

**UT/Texas Tribune Statewide Poll  
February 2010  
Horse-Race Crosstabs**

<b>GOP Gubernatorial Primary.....</b>	<b>2-3</b>
<b>Perceptions of Political Differences Between Perry and Hutchison.....</b>	<b>4-5</b>
<b>Democratic Gubernatorial Primary.....</b>	<b>6-7</b>
<b>Perceptions of Political Differences Between White and Shami.....</b>	<b>8-9</b>
<b>Democratic Lieutenant Governor Primary.....</b>	<b>10-11</b>
<b>Democratic Agriculture Commissioner Primary.....</b>	<b>12-13</b>
<b>Hypothetical Gov Matchup: White v Perry.....</b>	<b>14-15</b>
<b>Hypothetical Gov Matchup: White v Hutchison.....</b>	<b>16-17</b>
<b>Hypothetical Gov Matchup: White v Medina.....</b>	<b>18-19</b>
<b>Hypothetical Gov Matchup: Shami v Perry.....</b>	<b>20-21</b>
<b>Hypothetical Gov Matchup: Shami v Hutchison.....</b>	<b>22-23</b>
<b>Hypothetical Gov Matchup: Shami v Medina.....</b>	<b>24-25</b>
<b>U.S. Senate Special Election.....</b>	<b>26-29</b>
<b>U.S. Congress Generic Ballot.....</b>	<b>29-31</b>
<b>State Legislature Generic Ballot.....</b>	<b>31-33</b>
<b>Generic Tea Party v Dem &amp; GOP.....</b>	<b>33-35</b>

**2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today	Rick Perry	Count	3	4	1	2	14	30	107	0	161
		% within 7 point Party ID	30.0%	44.4%	20.0%	13.3%	29.8%	37.0%	56.9%	.0%	45.2%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	4	3	1	4	5	20	36	0	73
		% within 7 point Party ID	40.0%	33.3%	20.0%	26.7%	10.6%	24.7%	19.1%	.0%	20.5%
	Debra Medina	Count	3	0	2	4	21	16	19	1	66
		% within 7 point Party ID	30.0%	.0%	40.0%	26.7%	44.7%	19.8%	10.1%	100.0%	18.5%
	Don't know	Count	0	2	1	5	7	15	26	0	56
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	22.2%	20.0%	33.3%	14.9%	18.5%	13.8%	.0%	15.7%
	Total	Count	10	9	5	15	47	81	188	1	356
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today	Rick Perry	Count	0	0	1	15	28	81	38	163	
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	14.3%	34.1%	39.4%	48.2%	54.3%	44.7%	
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	1	2	3	11	19	30	9	75	
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	50.0%	42.9%	25.0%	26.8%	17.9%	12.9%	20.5%	
	Debra Medina	Count	0	2	1	7	12	31	17	70	
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	50.0%	14.3%	15.9%	16.9%	18.5%	24.3%	19.2%	
	Don't know	Count	0	0	2	11	12	26	6	57	
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	28.6%	25.0%	16.9%	15.5%	8.6%	15.6%	
	Total	Count	1	4	7	44	71	168	70	365	
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today	Rick Perry	Count	123	2	30	2	2	6	165
		% within Race	43.5%	28.6%	52.6%	66.7%	50.0%	42.9%	44.8%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	64	2	6	1	1	2	76
		% within Race	22.6%	28.6%	10.5%	33.3%	25.0%	14.3%	20.7%
	Debra Medina	Count	51	1	13	0	1	3	69
		% within Race	18.0%	14.3%	22.8%	.0%	25.0%	21.4%	18.8%
Don't know	Count	45	2	8	0	0	3	58	
	% within Race	15.9%	28.6%	14.0%	.0%	.0%	21.4%	15.8%	
Total	Count	283	7	57	3	4	14	368	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
2010 Republican primary election for governor vote choice if held today	Rick Perry	Count	97	68	165
		% within Gender	50.0%	39.1%	44.8%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	35	40	75
		% within Gender	18.0%	23.0%	20.4%
	Debra Medina	Count	36	34	70
		% within Gender	18.6%	19.5%	19.0%
Don't know	Count	26	32	58	
	% within Gender	13.4%	18.4%	15.8%	
Total	Count	194	174	368	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID									
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison	Their views differ greatly	Count	23	11	3	3	8	9	31	0	88
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.8%	12.2%	7.3%	6.5%	13.8%	8.3%	16.0%	.0%	11.7%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	69	39	16	19	36	61	111	3	354
		% within 7 point Party ID	32.5%	43.3%	39.0%	41.3%	62.1%	56.5%	57.2%	50.0%	46.9%
	Their views are largely the same	Count	67	15	14	9	11	23	38	1	178
		% within 7 point Party ID	31.6%	16.7%	34.1%	19.6%	19.0%	21.3%	19.6%	16.7%	23.6%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	26	3	4	4	1	1	3	0	42
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.3%	3.3%	9.8%	8.7%	1.7%	.9%	1.5%	.0%	5.6%
	Don't know	Count	27	22	4	11	2	14	11	2	93
		% within 7 point Party ID	12.7%	24.4%	9.8%	23.9%	3.4%	13.0%	5.7%	33.3%	12.3%
Total		Count	212	90	41	46	58	108	194	6	755
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

		Ideology scale								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison	Their views differ greatly	Count	4	5	5	22	12	20	20	88
		% within Ideology scale	13.8%	7.8%	6.4%	10.0%	9.8%	10.2%	23.3%	11.1%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	7	24	33	76	60	117	45	362
		% within Ideology scale	24.1%	37.5%	42.3%	34.7%	49.2%	59.4%	52.3%	45.5%
	Their views are largely the same	Count	6	16	26	50	32	43	12	185
		% within Ideology scale	20.7%	25.0%	33.3%	22.8%	26.2%	21.8%	14.0%	23.3%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	7	10	5	13	2	4	3	44
		% within Ideology scale	24.1%	15.6%	6.4%	5.9%	1.6%	2.0%	3.5%	5.5%
	Don't know	Count	5	9	9	58	16	13	6	116
		% within Ideology scale	17.2%	14.1%	11.5%	26.5%	13.1%	6.6%	7.0%	14.6%
Total		Count	29	64	78	219	122	197	86	795
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race							
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total	
Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison	Their views differ greatly	Count	64	10	13	2	0	1	90
		% within Race	13.1%	9.1%	8.2%	22.2%	.0%	3.6%	11.3%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	229	39	69	4	3	18	362
		% within Race	46.8%	35.5%	43.4%	44.4%	75.0%	64.3%	45.3%
	Their views are largely the same	Count	112	25	40	2	1	6	186
		% within Race	22.9%	22.7%	25.2%	22.2%	25.0%	21.4%	23.3%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	32	9	3	1	0	1	46
		% within Race	6.5%	8.2%	1.9%	11.1%	.0%	3.6%	5.8%
	Don't know	Count	52	27	34	0	0	2	115
		% within Race	10.6%	24.5%	21.4%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	14.4%
	Total	Count	489	110	159	9	4	28	799
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Differences between views of Rick Perry and Kay Bailey Hutchison	Their views differ greatly	Count	42	48	90
		% within Gender	11.6%	11.0%	11.3%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	164	199	363
		% within Gender	45.2%	45.5%	45.4%
	Their views are largely the same	Count	108	79	187
		% within Gender	29.8%	18.1%	23.4%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	28	17	45
		% within Gender	7.7%	3.9%	5.6%
	Don't know	Count	21	94	115
		% within Gender	5.8%	21.5%	14.4%
	Total	Count	363	437	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID						
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice	Bill White	Count	94	23	13	2	1	0	133
		% within 7 point Party ID	54.0%	42.6%	65.0%	33.3%	25.0%	.0%	51.2%
	Farouk Shami	Count	15	7	4	2	0	0	28
		% within 7 point Party ID	8.6%	13.0%	20.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	10.8%
	Someone else	Count	18	4	0	1	2	0	25
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.3%	7.4%	.0%	16.7%	50.0%	.0%	9.6%
	Don't know	Count	47	20	3	1	1	2	74
		% within 7 point Party ID	27.0%	37.0%	15.0%	16.7%	25.0%	100.0%	28.5%
Total		Count	174	54	20	6	4	2	260
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice	Bill White	Count	12	26	38	43	8	3	2	132
		% within Ideology scale	52.2%	53.1%	73.1%	42.2%	42.1%	30.0%	40.0%	50.8%
	Farouk Shami	Count	5	5	5	11	2	1	0	29
		% within Ideology scale	21.7%	10.2%	9.6%	10.8%	10.5%	10.0%	.0%	11.2%
	Someone else	Count	0	4	3	9	2	2	2	22
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	8.2%	5.8%	8.8%	10.5%	20.0%	40.0%	8.5%
	Don't know	Count	6	14	6	39	7	4	1	77
		% within Ideology scale	26.1%	28.6%	11.5%	38.2%	36.8%	40.0%	20.0%	29.6%
Total		Count	23	49	52	102	19	10	5	260
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race					Total	
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multi-racial		
2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice	Bill White	Count	76	34	21	1	1	133
		% within Race	63.3%	45.3%	35.0%	33.3%	16.7%	50.4%
	Farouk Shami	Count	8	6	9	2	3	28
		% within Race	6.7%	8.0%	15.0%	66.7%	50.0%	10.6%
	Someone else	Count	11	6	6	0	1	24
		% within Race	9.2%	8.0%	10.0%	.0%	16.7%	9.1%
	Don't know	Count	25	29	24	0	1	79
		% within Race	20.8%	38.7%	40.0%	.0%	16.7%	29.9%
	Total	Count	120	75	60	3	6	264
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
2010 Democratic primary election for governor vote choice	Bill White	Count	68	66	134
		% within Gender	62.4%	42.3%	50.6%
	Farouk Shami	Count	14	14	28
		% within Gender	12.8%	9.0%	10.6%
	Someone else	Count	9	15	24
		% within Gender	8.3%	9.6%	9.1%
	Don't know	Count	18	61	79
		% within Gender	16.5%	39.1%	29.8%
	Total	Count	109	156	265
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID									
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total	
Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami	Their views differ greatly	Count	23	9	3	3	3	10	16	0	67
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.0%	10.0%	7.3%	6.5%	5.2%	9.2%	8.4%	.0%	9.0%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	72	23	9	10	10	12	25	1	162
		% within 7 point Party ID	34.3%	25.6%	22.0%	21.7%	17.2%	11.0%	13.2%	25.0%	21.7%
	Their views are largely the same	Count	23	12	6	7	9	18	25	0	100
		% within 7 point Party ID	11.0%	13.3%	14.6%	15.2%	15.5%	16.5%	13.2%	.0%	13.4%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	3	0	1	3	2	1	6	0	16
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	.0%	2.4%	6.5%	3.4%	.9%	3.2%	.0%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	89	46	22	23	34	68	118	3	403
		% within 7 point Party ID	42.4%	51.1%	53.7%	50.0%	58.6%	62.4%	62.1%	75.0%	53.9%
	Total	Count	210	90	41	46	58	109	190	4	748
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

		Ideology scale								
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami	Their views differ greatly	Count	1	4	10	22	12	11	9	69
		% within Ideology scale	4.0%	6.3%	13.0%	10.1%	9.8%	5.7%	10.8%	8.8%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	11	21	21	51	23	26	11	164
		% within Ideology scale	44.0%	32.8%	27.3%	23.4%	18.9%	13.4%	13.3%	20.9%
	Their views are largely the same	Count	6	7	8	23	16	31	11	102
		% within Ideology scale	24.0%	10.9%	10.4%	10.6%	13.1%	16.0%	13.3%	13.0%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	2	0	0	2	3	7	2	16
		% within Ideology scale	8.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	2.5%	3.6%	2.4%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	5	32	38	120	68	119	50	432
		% within Ideology scale	20.0%	50.0%	49.4%	55.0%	55.7%	61.3%	60.2%	55.2%
	Total	Count	25	64	77	218	122	194	83	783
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



**Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race							Total
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial		
Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami	Their views differ greatly	Count	40	12	14	2	0	2	70
		% within Race	8.3%	11.2%	8.9%	22.2%	.0%	7.1%	8.9%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	95	25	33	4	1	5	163
		% within Race	19.6%	23.4%	21.0%	44.4%	25.0%	17.9%	20.7%
	Their views are largely the same	Count	55	13	28	0	1	7	104
		% within Race	11.4%	12.1%	17.8%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	13.2%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	10	1	2	0	2	1	16
		% within Race	2.1%	.9%	1.3%	.0%	50.0%	3.6%	2.0%
	Don't know	Count	284	56	80	3	0	13	436
		% within Race	58.7%	52.3%	51.0%	33.3%	.0%	46.4%	55.3%
Total	Count	484	107	157	9	4	28	789	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Differences between views of Bill White and Farouk Shami	Their views differ greatly	Count	30	41	71
		% within Gender	8.4%	9.4%	9.0%
	Their views differ somewhat	Count	82	81	163
		% within Gender	22.9%	18.7%	20.6%
	Their views are largely the same	Count	68	36	104
		% within Gender	19.0%	8.3%	13.1%
	Their views are almost entirely the same	Count	12	5	17
		% within Gender	3.4%	1.2%	2.1%
	Don't know	Count	166	271	437
		% within Gender	46.4%	62.4%	55.2%
Total	Count	358	434	792	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID						
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice	Marc Katz	Count	5	3	0	0	0	0	8
		% within 7 point Party ID	2.9%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.1%
	Ronnie Earle	Count	29	8	2	0	1	0	40
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.8%	15.1%	9.5%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	15.4%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	29	8	6	3	0	0	46
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.8%	15.1%	28.6%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	17.8%
	Someone else	Count	7	5	0	2	0	0	14
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.0%	9.4%	.0%	33.3%	.0%	.0%	5.4%
	Don't know	Count	103	29	13	1	3	2	151
		% within 7 point Party ID	59.5%	54.7%	61.9%	16.7%	75.0%	100.0%	58.3%
	Total	Count	173	53	21	6	4	2	259
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice	Marc Katz	Count	0	1	4	3	1	0	0	9
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	2.0%	7.5%	2.9%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	3.4%
	Ronnie Earle	Count	5	7	11	14	3	1	0	41
		% within Ideology scale	22.7%	14.0%	20.8%	13.7%	15.8%	10.0%	.0%	15.6%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	4	7	8	16	7	2	2	46
		% within Ideology scale	18.2%	14.0%	15.1%	15.7%	36.8%	20.0%	33.3%	17.6%
	Someone else	Count	0	2	0	10	0	1	0	13
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	4.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	5.0%
	Don't know	Count	13	33	30	59	8	6	4	153
		% within Ideology scale	59.1%	66.0%	56.6%	57.8%	42.1%	60.0%	66.7%	58.4%
	Total	Count	22	50	53	102	19	10	6	262
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race					
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multi-racial	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice	Marc Katz	Count	6	0	1	0	1	8
		% within Race	5.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	16.7%	3.0%
	Ronnie Earle	Count	26	7	8	0	1	42
		% within Race	21.7%	9.3%	13.3%	.0%	16.7%	15.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	13	13	19	1	1	47
		% within Race	10.8%	17.3%	31.7%	25.0%	16.7%	17.7%
	Someone else	Count	5	7	0	0	2	14
		% within Race	4.2%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	33.3%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	70	48	32	3	1	154
		% within Race	58.3%	64.0%	53.3%	75.0%	16.7%	58.1%
Total	Count	120	75	60	4	6	265	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Lt governor vote choice	Marc Katz	Count	4	5	9
		% within Gender	3.6%	3.2%	3.4%
	Ronnie Earle	Count	21	21	42
		% within Gender	19.1%	13.5%	15.8%
	Linda Chavez-Thompson	Count	19	28	47
		% within Gender	17.3%	17.9%	17.7%
	Someone else	Count	6	8	14
		% within Gender	5.5%	5.1%	5.3%
	Don't know	Count	60	94	154
		% within Gender	54.5%	60.3%	57.9%
Total	Count	110	156	266	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID						
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	Kinky Friedman	Count	51	19	5	4	1	2	82
		% within 7 point Party ID	29.3%	35.8%	25.0%	66.7%	25.0%	100.0%	31.7%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	51	9	9	0	1	0	70
		% within 7 point Party ID	29.3%	17.0%	45.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	27.0%
	Don't know	Count	72	25	6	2	2	0	107
		% within 7 point Party ID	41.4%	47.2%	30.0%	33.3%	50.0%	.0%	41.3%
Total		Count	174	53	20	6	4	2	259
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale							
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	Kinky Friedman	Count	5	22	11	33	9	1	0	81
		% within Ideology scale	22.7%	44.9%	21.2%	32.0%	47.4%	10.0%	.0%	31.0%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	9	6	22	27	4	1	1	70
		% within Ideology scale	40.9%	12.2%	42.3%	26.2%	21.1%	10.0%	16.7%	26.8%
	Don't know	Count	8	21	19	43	6	8	5	110
		% within Ideology scale	36.4%	42.9%	36.5%	41.7%	31.6%	80.0%	83.3%	42.1%
Total		Count	22	49	52	103	19	10	6	261
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race					Total	
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Multi-racial		
2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	Kinky Friedman	Count	48	13	19	2	4	86
		% within Race	40.0%	17.3%	31.7%	50.0%	66.7%	32.5%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	41	20	9	0	0	70
		% within Race	34.2%	26.7%	15.0%	.0%	.0%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	31	42	32	2	2	109
		% within Race	25.8%	56.0%	53.3%	50.0%	33.3%	41.1%
Total		Count	120	75	60	4	6	265
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
2010 Democratic primary election for Ag Commissioner vote choice	Kinky Friedman	Count	40	46	86
		% within Gender	36.4%	29.5%	32.3%
	Hank Gilbert	Count	33	37	70
		% within Gender	30.0%	23.7%	26.3%
	Don't know	Count	37	73	110
		% within Gender	33.6%	46.8%	41.4%
Total		Count	110	156	266
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry	Bill White	Count	166	46	27	16	3	12	7	2	279
		% within 7 point Party ID	77.9%	51.1%	65.9%	34.8%	5.1%	11.1%	3.6%	33.3%	36.9%
	Rick Perry	Count	10	24	3	15	42	71	167	2	334
		% within 7 point Party ID	4.7%	26.7%	7.3%	32.6%	71.2%	65.7%	86.1%	33.3%	44.1%
	Someone else	Count	4	5	7	10	8	15	15	0	64
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	5.6%	17.1%	21.7%	13.6%	13.9%	7.7%	.0%	8.5%
	Don't know	Count	33	15	4	5	6	10	5	2	80
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.5%	16.7%	9.8%	10.9%	10.2%	9.3%	2.6%	33.3%	10.6%
Total		Count	213	90	41	46	59	108	194	6	757
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale							
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry	Bill White	Count	22	53	60	104	27	6	9	281
		% within Ideology scale	78.6%	82.8%	77.9%	47.7%	22.0%	3.1%	10.5%	35.5%
	Rick Perry	Count	3	1	9	45	62	167	66	353
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	1.6%	11.7%	20.6%	50.4%	85.2%	76.7%	44.6%
	Someone else	Count	1	3	1	15	22	13	7	62
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	4.7%	1.3%	6.9%	17.9%	6.6%	8.1%	7.8%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	7	54	12	10	4	96
		% within Ideology scale	7.1%	10.9%	9.1%	24.8%	9.8%	5.1%	4.7%	12.1%
Total		Count	28	64	77	218	123	196	86	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry	Bill White	Count	144	67	60	5	1	6	283
		% within Race	29.5%	60.4%	37.5%	55.6%	25.0%	22.2%	35.4%
	Rick Perry	Count	255	7	73	2	3	15	355
		% within Race	52.3%	6.3%	45.6%	22.2%	75.0%	55.6%	44.4%
	Someone else	Count	42	7	11	0	0	3	63
		% within Race	8.6%	6.3%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	7.9%
	Don't know	Count	47	30	16	2	0	3	98
		% within Race	9.6%	27.0%	10.0%	22.2%	.0%	11.1%	12.3%
Total	Count	488	111	160	9	4	27	799	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Perry	Bill White	Count	132	152	284
		% within Gender	36.4%	34.7%	35.5%
	Rick Perry	Count	177	178	355
		% within Gender	48.8%	40.6%	44.3%
	Someone else	Count	33	31	64
		% within Gender	9.1%	7.1%	8.0%
	Don't know	Count	21	77	98
		% within Gender	5.8%	17.6%	12.2%
Total	Count	363	438	801	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

		7 point Party ID									
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison	Bill White	Count	163	39	21	10	4	16	11	2	266
		% within 7 point Party ID	77.6%	43.3%	51.2%	22.2%	6.9%	14.7%	5.7%	33.3%	35.4%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	11	29	9	17	38	70	150	3	327
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.2%	32.2%	22.0%	37.8%	65.5%	64.2%	77.7%	50.0%	43.5%
	Someone else	Count	7	4	5	10	8	9	19	1	63
		% within 7 point Party ID	3.3%	4.4%	12.2%	22.2%	13.8%	8.3%	9.8%	16.7%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	29	18	6	8	8	14	13	0	96
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.8%	20.0%	14.6%	17.8%	13.8%	12.8%	6.7%	.0%	12.8%
Total		Count	210	90	41	45	58	109	193	6	752
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale								
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison	Bill White	Count	26	47	59	89	28	11	8	268
		% within Ideology scale	89.7%	73.4%	77.6%	40.8%	22.8%	5.6%	9.4%	33.9%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	0	6	9	54	71	149	53	342
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	9.4%	11.8%	24.8%	57.7%	76.4%	62.4%	43.3%
	Someone else	Count	1	4	1	17	15	18	13	69
		% within Ideology scale	3.4%	6.3%	1.3%	7.8%	12.2%	9.2%	15.3%	8.7%
	Don't know	Count	2	7	7	58	9	17	11	111
		% within Ideology scale	6.9%	10.9%	9.2%	26.6%	7.3%	8.7%	12.9%	14.1%
Total		Count	29	64	76	218	123	195	85	790
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



**2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison	Bill White	Count	134	66	60	4	0	8	272
		% within Race	27.6%	60.6%	37.5%	50.0%	.0%	28.6%	34.3%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	248	20	59	2	3	12	344
		% within Race	51.1%	18.3%	36.9%	25.0%	75.0%	42.9%	43.3%
	Someone else	Count	41	2	17	0	0	7	67
		% within Race	8.5%	1.8%	10.6%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	8.4%
	Don't know	Count	62	21	24	2	1	1	111
		% within Race	12.8%	19.3%	15.0%	25.0%	25.0%	3.6%	14.0%
Total	Count	485	109	160	8	4	28	794	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Hutchison	Bill White	Count	127	146	273
		% within Gender	35.2%	33.5%	34.3%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	177	166	343
		% within Gender	49.0%	38.1%	43.0%
	Someone else	Count	25	44	69
		% within Gender	6.9%	10.1%	8.7%
	Don't know	Count	32	80	112
		% within Gender	8.9%	18.3%	14.1%
Total	Count	361	436	797	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina	Bill White	Count	159	48	25	11	6	19	11	2	281
		% within 7 point Party ID	76.1%	53.9%	61.0%	23.9%	10.3%	17.6%	5.7%	33.3%	37.5%
	Debra Medina	Count	16	9	7	14	39	51	130	2	268
		% within 7 point Party ID	7.7%	10.1%	17.1%	30.4%	67.2%	47.2%	67.4%	33.3%	35.7%
	Someone else	Count	4	3	1	6	5	13	22	2	56
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.9%	3.4%	2.4%	13.0%	8.6%	12.0%	11.4%	33.3%	7.5%
	Don't know	Count	30	29	8	15	8	25	30	0	145
		% within 7 point Party ID	14.4%	32.6%	19.5%	32.6%	13.8%	23.1%	15.5%	.0%	19.3%
Total		Count	209	89	41	46	58	108	193	6	750
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation

			Ideology scale								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina	Bill White	Count	21	46	61	101	32	16	6	283	
		% within Ideology scale	75.0%	70.8%	78.2%	47.0%	26.2%	8.2%	7.0%	35.9%	
	Debra Medina	Count	3	6	9	32	47	132	53	282	
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	9.2%	11.5%	14.9%	38.5%	67.7%	61.6%	35.7%	
	Someone else	Count	0	1	0	11	22	16	10	60	
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.5%	.0%	5.1%	18.0%	8.2%	11.6%	7.6%	
	Don't know	Count	4	12	8	71	21	31	17	164	
		% within Ideology scale	14.3%	18.5%	10.3%	33.0%	17.2%	15.9%	19.8%	20.8%	
Total		Count	28	65	78	215	122	195	86	789	
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race							
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina	Bill White	Count	149	67	58	4	1	7	286
		% within Race	30.6%	61.5%	36.9%	44.4%	25.0%	25.9%	36.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	199	13	56	2	2	10	282
		% within Race	40.9%	11.9%	35.7%	22.2%	50.0%	37.0%	35.6%
	Someone else	Count	45	4	5	0	1	5	60
		% within Race	9.2%	3.7%	3.2%	.0%	25.0%	18.5%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	94	25	38	3	0	5	165
		% within Race	19.3%	22.9%	24.2%	33.3%	.0%	18.5%	20.8%
Total	Count	487	109	157	9	4	27	793	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
2010 election for governor vote choice: White vs. Medina	Bill White	Count	138	149	287
		% within Gender	38.1%	34.5%	36.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	151	131	282
		% within Gender	41.7%	30.3%	35.5%
	Someone else	Count	25	35	60
		% within Gender	6.9%	8.1%	7.6%
	Don't know	Count	48	117	165
		% within Gender	13.3%	27.1%	20.8%
Total	Count	362	432	794	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry	Farouk Shami	Count	123	33	19	11	1	5	1	1	194
		% within 7 point Party ID	58.0%	36.7%	45.2%	23.9%	1.7%	4.6%	.5%	16.7%	25.7%
	Rick Perry	Count	11	25	8	18	45	78	171	3	359
		% within 7 point Party ID	5.2%	27.8%	19.0%	39.1%	76.3%	72.2%	89.1%	50.0%	47.5%
	Someone else	Count	45	16	9	9	8	18	14	0	119
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.2%	17.8%	21.4%	19.6%	13.6%	16.7%	7.3%	.0%	15.8%
Don't know	Count	33	16	6	8	5	7	6	2	83	
	% within 7 point Party ID	15.6%	17.8%	14.3%	17.4%	8.5%	6.5%	3.1%	33.3%	11.0%	
Total	Count	212	90	42	46	59	108	192	6	755	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry	Farouk Shami	Count	18	45	42	67	16	5	3	196	
		% within Ideology scale	66.7%	69.2%	53.8%	30.7%	13.2%	2.6%	3.5%	24.8%	
	Rick Perry	Count	5	1	9	54	70	170	69	378	
		% within Ideology scale	18.5%	1.5%	11.5%	24.8%	57.9%	87.2%	81.2%	47.9%	
	Someone else	Count	2	9	19	41	27	15	7	120	
		% within Ideology scale	7.4%	13.8%	24.4%	18.8%	22.3%	7.7%	8.2%	15.2%	
Don't know	Count	2	10	8	56	8	5	6	95		
	% within Ideology scale	7.4%	15.4%	10.3%	25.7%	6.6%	2.6%	7.1%	12.0%		
Total	Count	27	65	78	218	121	195	85	789		
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry	Farouk Shami	Count	96	46	44	5	0	6	197
		% within Race	19.8%	41.8%	27.5%	55.6%	.0%	21.4%	24.8%
	Rick Perry	Count	266	14	76	2	3	18	379
		% within Race	54.8%	12.7%	47.5%	22.2%	100.0%	64.3%	47.7%
	Someone else	Count	77	21	21	0	0	2	121
		% within Race	15.9%	19.1%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	15.2%
	Don't know	Count	46	29	19	2	0	2	98
		% within Race	9.5%	26.4%	11.9%	22.2%	.0%	7.1%	12.3%
Total	Count	485	110	160	9	3	28	795	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry \* Gender Crosstabulation**

			Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Perry	Farouk Shami	Count	95	103	198
		% within Gender	26.2%	23.6%	24.8%
	Rick Perry	Count	183	197	380
		% within Gender	50.6%	45.2%	47.6%
	Someone else	Count	54	68	122
		% within Gender	14.9%	15.6%	15.3%
	Don't know	Count	30	68	98
		% within Gender	8.3%	15.6%	12.3%
Total	Count	362	436	798	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

		7 point Party ID									
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison	Farouk Shami	Count	108	30	18	6	2	6	6	0	176
		% within 7 point Party ID	50.7%	33.7%	42.9%	13.0%	3.4%	5.6%	3.1%	.0%	23.2%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	37	28	14	20	37	78	155	5	374
		% within 7 point Party ID	17.4%	31.5%	33.3%	43.5%	62.7%	72.2%	79.9%	83.3%	49.4%
	Someone else	Count	32	13	5	12	13	14	22	1	112
		% within 7 point Party ID	15.0%	14.6%	11.9%	26.1%	22.0%	13.0%	11.3%	16.7%	14.8%
	Don't know	Count	36	18	5	8	7	10	11	0	95
		% within 7 point Party ID	16.9%	20.2%	11.9%	17.4%	11.9%	9.3%	5.7%	.0%	12.5%
Total		Count	213	89	42	46	59	108	194	6	757
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale								
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison	Farouk Shami	Count	18	36	41	57	15	10	2	179
		% within Ideology scale	66.7%	56.3%	52.6%	26.0%	12.2%	5.1%	2.4%	22.6%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	4	11	17	69	77	149	57	384
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	17.2%	21.8%	31.5%	62.6%	76.0%	67.1%	48.5%
	Someone else	Count	1	7	12	36	18	26	16	116
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	10.9%	15.4%	16.4%	14.6%	13.3%	18.8%	14.6%
	Don't know	Count	4	10	8	57	13	11	10	113
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	15.6%	10.3%	26.0%	10.6%	5.6%	11.8%	14.3%
Total		Count	27	64	78	219	123	196	85	792
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race						Total	
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial		
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison	Farouk Shami	Count	87	43	42	5	0	4	181
		% within Race	17.8%	39.1%	26.3%	62.5%	.0%	14.8%	22.7%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	273	26	70	2	3	13	387
		% within Race	55.9%	23.6%	43.8%	25.0%	75.0%	48.1%	48.6%
	Someone else	Count	74	13	21	0	0	8	116
		% within Race	15.2%	11.8%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	29.6%	14.6%
	Don't know	Count	54	28	27	1	1	2	113
		% within Race	11.1%	25.5%	16.9%	12.5%	25.0%	7.4%	14.2%
Total	Count	488	110	160	8	4	27	797	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender			
		Male	Female	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Hutchison	Farouk Shami	Count	96	86	182
		% within Gender	26.4%	19.7%	22.8%
	Kay Bailey Hutchison	Count	190	198	388
		% within Gender	52.3%	45.4%	48.6%
	Someone else	Count	42	74	116
		% within Gender	11.6%	17.0%	14.5%
	Don't know	Count	35	78	113
		% within Gender	9.6%	17.9%	14.1%
Total	Count	363	436	799	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina	Farouk Shami	Count	116	34	17	5	4	5	5	1	187
		% within 7 point Party ID	54.5%	37.8%	41.5%	10.6%	6.8%	4.6%	2.6%	16.7%	24.6%
	Debra Medina	Count	29	13	12	22	38	65	132	1	312
		% within 7 point Party ID	13.6%	14.4%	29.3%	46.8%	64.4%	59.6%	68.0%	16.7%	41.1%
	Someone else	Count	23	16	2	8	9	17	29	0	104
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.8%	17.8%	4.9%	17.0%	15.3%	15.6%	14.9%	.0%	13.7%
	Don't know	Count	45	27	10	12	8	22	28	4	156
		% within 7 point Party ID	21.1%	30.0%	24.4%	25.5%	13.6%	20.2%	14.4%	66.7%	20.6%
Total		Count	213	90	41	47	59	109	194	6	759
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

			Ideology scale								
			Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina	Farouk Shami	Count	18	37	38	70	15	10	3	191	
		% within Ideology scale	64.3%	56.9%	49.4%	32.0%	12.3%	5.1%	3.5%	24.1%	
	Debra Medina	Count	3	5	10	49	62	135	56	320	
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	7.7%	13.0%	22.4%	50.8%	68.9%	65.9%	40.4%	
	Someone else	Count	1	5	15	24	27	24	12	108	
		% within Ideology scale	3.6%	7.7%	19.5%	11.0%	22.1%	12.2%	14.1%	13.6%	
	Don't know	Count	6	18	14	76	18	27	14	173	
		% within Ideology scale	21.4%	27.7%	18.2%	34.7%	14.8%	13.8%	16.5%	21.8%	
Total		Count	28	65	77	219	122	196	85	792	
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	



**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race							
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total	
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina	Farouk Shami	Count	91	46	47	5	0	4	193
		% within Race	18.7%	41.4%	29.4%	55.6%	.0%	14.8%	24.2%
	Debra Medina	Count	225	15	64	2	3	13	322
		% within Race	46.2%	13.5%	40.0%	22.2%	75.0%	48.1%	40.4%
	Someone else	Count	78	15	11	0	0	6	110
		% within Race	16.0%	13.5%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	22.2%	13.8%
	Don't know	Count	93	35	38	2	1	4	173
		% within Race	19.1%	31.5%	23.8%	22.2%	25.0%	14.8%	21.7%
	Total	Count	487	111	160	9	4	27	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
2010 election for governor vote choice: Shami vs. Medina	Farouk Shami	Count	96	97	193
		% within Gender	26.4%	22.2%	24.1%
	Debra Medina	Count	162	162	324
		% within Gender	44.6%	37.1%	40.5%
	Someone else	Count	48	61	109
		% within Gender	13.2%	14.0%	13.6%
	Don't know	Count	57	117	174
		% within Gender	15.7%	26.8%	21.8%
	Total	Count	363	437	800
		% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**U.S. Senate vote choice if Hutchison resigned \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

			7 point Party ID								
			Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure	Total
U.S. Senate vote choice if Hutchison resigned	Roger Williams (Republican)	Count	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	0	9
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	3.1%	.0%	1.2%
	Craig James (Republican)	Count	0	0	0	0	2	1	6	0	9
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.9%	3.1%	.0%	1.2%
	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	3	7	1	6	12	26	62	0	117
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	7.8%	2.4%	13.0%	20.3%	24.1%	32.0%	.0%	15.4%
	Michael Williams (Republican)	Count	0	0	0	2	4	4	13	0	23
		% within 7 point Party ID	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	6.8%	3.7%	6.7%	.0%	3.0%
	Florence Shapiro (Republican)	Count	2	1	0	5	1	5	2	0	16
		% within 7 point Party ID	.9%	1.1%	.0%	10.9%	1.7%	4.6%	1.0%	.0%	2.1%
	John Sharp (Democrat)	Count	161	37	21	4	2	1	1	0	227
		% within 7 point Party ID	75.2%	41.1%	51.2%	8.7%	3.4%	.9%	.5%	.0%	29.9%
	Elizabeth Ames Jones (Republican)	Count	1	0	2	1	2	2	3	0	11
		% within 7 point Party ID	.5%	.0%	4.9%	2.2%	3.4%	1.9%	1.5%	.0%	1.5%
	Don't know	Count	47	45	17	28	36	66	101	6	346
		% within 7 point Party ID	22.0%	50.0%	41.5%	60.9%	61.0%	61.1%	52.1%	100.0%	45.6%
	Total	Count	214	90	41	46	59	108	194	6	758
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**U.S. Senate vote choice if Hutchison resigned \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale								
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7	Total	
U.S. Senate vote choice if Hutchison resigned	Roger Williams (Republican)	Count	0	0	0	1	1	6	2	10
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.8%	3.1%	2.4%	1.3%
	Craig James (Republican)	Count	0	0	0	0	1	6	1	8
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	3.1%	1.2%	1.0%
	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	1	1	4	15	25	49	24	119
		% within Ideology scale	3.7%	1.6%	5.1%	6.9%	20.5%	25.0%	28.2%	15.1%
	Michael Williams (Republican)	Count	0	0	0	0	6	14	3	23
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	7.1%	3.5%	2.9%
	Florence Shapiro (Republican)	Count	0	0	0	5	8	3	0	16
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	6.6%	1.5%	.0%	2.0%
	John Sharp (Democrat)	Count	22	43	47	87	14	6	5	224
		% within Ideology scale	81.5%	68.3%	60.3%	40.1%	11.5%	3.1%	5.9%	28.4%
	Elizabeth Ames Jones (Republican)	Count	0	0	0	3	3	5	0	11
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	2.5%	2.6%	.0%	1.4%
	Don't know	Count	4	19	27	106	64	107	50	377
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	30.2%	34.6%	48.8%	52.5%	54.6%	58.8%	47.8%
Total	Count	27	63	78	217	122	196	85	788	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**U.S. Senate vote choice if Hutchison resigned \* Race Crosstabulation**

			Race						
			White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial	Total
U.S. Senate vote choice if Hutchison resigned	Roger Williams (Republican)	Count	8	1	0	0	1	0	10
		% within Race	1.6%	.9%	.0%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	1.3%
	Craig James (Republican)	Count	8	0	1	0	0	0	9
		% within Race	1.6%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	81	5	26	2	2	3	119
		% within Race	16.6%	4.5%	16.4%	22.2%	50.0%	10.7%	14.9%
	Michael Williams (Republican)	Count	17	0	6	0	0	1	24
		% within Race	3.5%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	3.0%
	Florence Shapiro (Republican)	Count	13	0	3	1	0	0	17
		% within Race	2.7%	.0%	1.9%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	John Sharp (Democrat)	Count	108	60	49	5	1	7	230
		% within Race	22.1%	54.5%	30.8%	55.6%	25.0%	25.0%	28.8%
	Elizabeth Ames Jones (Republican)	Count	6	0	5	0	0	1	12
		% within Race	1.2%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	1.5%
Don't know	Count	248	44	69	1	0	16	378	
	% within Race	50.7%	40.0%	43.4%	11.1%	.0%	57.1%	47.3%	
Total	Count	489	110	159	9	4	28	799	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**U.S. Senate vote choice if Hutchison resigned \* Gender Crosstabulation**

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
U.S. Senate vote choice if Hutchison resigned	Roger Williams (Republican)	Count	4	6	10
		% within Gender	1.1%	1.4%	1.3%
	Craig James (Republican)	Count	6	2	8
		% within Gender	1.7%	.5%	1.0%
	David Dewhurst (Republican)	Count	78	42	120
		% within Gender	21.5%	9.7%	15.0%
	Michael Williams (Republican)	Count	15	8	23
		% within Gender	4.1%	1.8%	2.9%
	Florence Shapiro (Republican)	Count	6	11	17
		% within Gender	1.7%	2.5%	2.1%
	John Sharp (Democrat)	Count	103	127	230
		% within Gender	28.4%	29.2%	28.8%
	Elizabeth Ames Jones (Republican)	Count	3	8	11
		% within Gender	.8%	1.8%	1.4%
Don't know	Count	148	231	379	
	% within Gender	40.8%	53.1%	47.5%	
Total	Count	363	435	798	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation**

		7 point Party ID							Total		
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican		Not sure	
2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice	Democratic candidate	Count	187	54	23	7	2	4	1	2	280
		% within 7 point Party ID	87.8%	60.0%	54.8%	14.9%	3.4%	3.7%	.5%	33.3%	36.9%
	Republican candidate	Count	3	10	3	12	43	79	187	2	339
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	11.1%	7.1%	25.5%	74.1%	73.1%	96.4%	33.3%	44.7%
	Neither	Count	1	3	4	11	4	1	0	0	24
		% within 7 point Party ID	.5%	3.3%	9.5%	23.4%	6.9%	.9%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Don't know	Count	22	23	12	17	9	24	6	2	115
		% within 7 point Party ID	10.3%	25.6%	28.6%	36.2%	15.5%	22.2%	3.1%	33.3%	15.2%
	Total	Count	213	90	42	47	58	108	194	6	758
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale							Total	
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		
2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice	Democratic candidate	Count	26	56	62	103	19	6	6	278
		% within Ideology scale	92.9%	86.2%	79.5%	47.0%	15.3%	3.1%	6.9%	34.9%
	Republican candidate	Count	0	1	7	34	66	173	73	354
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.5%	9.0%	15.5%	53.2%	88.3%	83.9%	44.4%
	Neither	Count	0	2	0	14	7	7	2	32
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	3.1%	.0%	6.4%	5.6%	3.6%	2.3%	4.0%
	Don't know	Count	2	6	9	68	32	10	6	133
		% within Ideology scale	7.1%	9.2%	11.5%	31.1%	25.8%	5.1%	6.9%	16.7%
	Total	Count	28	65	78	219	124	196	87	797
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race						Total	
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial		
2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice	Democratic candidate	Count	131	71	64	5	0	8	279
		% within Race	26.8%	64.5%	40.0%	55.6%	.0%	29.6%	35.0%
	Republican candidate	Count	270	8	61	3	3	11	356
		% within Race	55.2%	7.3%	38.1%	33.3%	100.0%	40.7%	44.6%
	Neither	Count	16	4	6	1	0	3	30
		% within Race	3.3%	3.6%	3.8%	11.1%	.0%	11.1%	3.8%
	Don't know	Count	72	27	29	0	0	5	133
		% within Race	14.7%	24.5%	18.1%	.0%	.0%	18.5%	16.7%
	Total	Count	489	110	160	9	3	27	798
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
2010 election for U.S. Congress party vote choice	Democratic candidate	Count	120	161	281
		% within Gender	33.1%	36.8%	35.1%
	Republican candidate	Count	194	161	355
		% within Gender	53.4%	36.8%	44.3%
	Neither	Count	14	17	31
		% within Gender	3.9%	3.9%	3.9%
	Don't know	Count	35	99	134
		% within Gender	9.6%	22.6%	16.7%
Total	Count	363	438	801	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID								Total	
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure		
2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice	Democratic candidate	Count	188	57	23	8	3	3	0	0	282
		% within 7 point Party ID	89.1%	62.6%	56.1%	17.4%	5.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	37.5%
	Republican candidate	Count	2	6	1	12	44	79	183	2	329
		% within 7 point Party ID	.9%	6.6%	2.4%	26.1%	75.9%	73.1%	95.3%	33.3%	43.7%
	Neither	Count	1	2	3	10	1	1	0	0	18
		% within 7 point Party ID	.5%	2.2%	7.3%	21.7%	1.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	Don't know	Count	20	26	14	16	10	25	9	4	124
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.5%	28.6%	34.1%	34.8%	17.2%	23.1%	4.7%	66.7%	16.5%
	Total	Count	211	91	41	46	58	108	192	6	753
		% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale							Total	
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		
2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice	Democratic candidate	Count	23	54	63	106	19	8	4	277
		% within Ideology scale	85.2%	85.7%	80.8%	48.8%	15.6%	4.1%	4.7%	35.2%
	Republican candidate	Count	0	1	7	26	68	163	76	341
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.6%	9.0%	12.0%	55.7%	83.6%	88.4%	43.3%
	Neither	Count	0	1	1	12	5	3	2	24
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.6%	1.3%	5.5%	4.1%	1.5%	2.3%	3.0%
	Don't know	Count	4	7	7	73	30	21	4	146
		% within Ideology scale	14.8%	11.1%	9.0%	33.6%	24.6%	10.8%	4.7%	18.5%
	Total	Count	27	63	78	217	122	195	86	788
		% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

**2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race						Total	
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial		
2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice	Democratic candidate	Count	128	75	66	5	0	8	282
		% within Race	26.5%	68.8%	41.3%	55.6%	.0%	28.6%	35.6%
	Republican candidate	Count	271	4	52	3	3	10	343
		% within Race	56.1%	3.7%	32.5%	33.3%	75.0%	35.7%	43.3%
	Neither	Count	10	3	5	1	0	3	22
		% within Race	2.1%	2.8%	3.1%	11.1%	.0%	10.7%	2.8%
	Don't know	Count	74	27	37	0	1	7	146
		% within Race	15.3%	24.8%	23.1%	.0%	25.0%	25.0%	18.4%
	Total	Count	483	109	160	9	4	28	793
		% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%



2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice \* Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
2010 election for Texas state legislature party vote choice	Democratic candidate	Count	126	159	285
		% within Gender	34.9%	36.6%	35.8%
	Republican candidate	Count	186	156	342
		% within Gender	51.5%	35.9%	43.0%
	Neither	Count	12	11	23
		% within Gender	3.3%	2.5%	2.9%
	Don't know	Count	37	109	146
		% within Gender	10.2%	25.1%	18.3%
Total	Count	361	435	796	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* 7 point Party ID Crosstabulation

		7 point Party ID								Total	
		Strong Democrat	Not very strong Democrat	Lean Democrat	Independent	Lean Republican	Not very strong Republican	Strong Republican	Not sure		
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	The Republican candidate	Count	1	4	3	1	10	40	99	0	158
		% within 7 point Party ID	.5%	4.4%	7.3%	2.2%	16.9%	36.7%	51.0%	.0%	20.9%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	188	58	27	5	1	3	2	0	284
		% within 7 point Party ID	88.7%	64.4%	65.9%	10.9%	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%	.0%	37.5%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	3	2	4	17	33	28	35	3	125
		% within 7 point Party ID	1.4%	2.2%	9.8%	37.0%	55.9%	25.7%	18.0%	50.0%	16.5%
	Don't know	Count	20	26	7	23	15	38	58	3	190
		% within 7 point Party ID	9.4%	28.9%	17.1%	50.0%	25.4%	34.9%	29.9%	50.0%	25.1%
Total	Count	212	90	41	46	59	109	194	6	757	
	% within 7 point Party ID	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Ideology scale Crosstabulation**

		Ideology scale								Total
		Extremely liberal1	2	3	In the middle4	5	6	Extremely conservative7		
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	The Republican candidate	Count	0	1	5	19	33	72	35	165
		% within Ideology scale	.0%	1.5%	6.5%	8.8%	27.0%	36.7%	40.7%	20.9%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	23	54	60	113	20	4	6	280
		% within Ideology scale	82.1%	83.1%	77.9%	52.1%	16.4%	2.0%	7.0%	35.4%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	3	1	1	14	29	62	19	129
		% within Ideology scale	10.7%	1.5%	1.3%	6.5%	23.8%	31.6%	22.1%	16.3%
	Don't know	Count	2	9	11	71	40	58	26	217
		% within Ideology scale	7.1%	13.8%	14.3%	32.7%	32.8%	29.6%	30.2%	27.4%
Total	Count	28	65	77	217	122	196	86	791	
	% within Ideology scale	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

**Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Race Crosstabulation**

		Race						Total	
		White	African American	Hispanic or Latino	Asian/Pacific Islander	Native American	Multi-racial		
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	The Republican candidate	Count	126	6	27	2	1	4	166
		% within Race	25.9%	5.4%	16.8%	25.0%	25.0%	14.8%	20.8%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	130	79	65	5	0	7	286
		% within Race	26.7%	71.2%	40.4%	62.5%	.0%	25.9%	35.8%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	93	6	19	0	3	8	129
		% within Race	19.1%	5.4%	11.8%	.0%	75.0%	29.6%	16.2%
	Don't know	Count	138	20	50	1	0	8	217
		% within Race	28.3%	18.0%	31.1%	12.5%	.0%	29.6%	27.2%
Total	Count	487	111	161	8	4	27	798	
	% within Race	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

Party choice if Tea Party movement organized \* Gender Crosstabulation

		Gender		Total	
		Male	Female		
Party choice if Tea Party movement organized	The Republican candidate	Count	89	77	166
		% within Gender	24.6%	17.7%	20.8%
	The Democratic candidate	Count	124	162	286
		% within Gender	34.3%	37.2%	35.8%
	The Tea Party candidate	Count	77	52	129
		% within Gender	21.3%	11.9%	16.2%
	Don't know	Count	72	145	217
		% within Gender	19.9%	33.3%	27.2%
Total	Count	362	436	798	
	% within Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	