```
Page 1
                                                                                                                                                                       Page 3
                                                                                                            INDEX
      NO. 86-452-K26
THE STATE OF TEXAS,
                                                                                                                     Page
                                          IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
             Plaintiff,
                              WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS
                                                                                               Appearances
       MICHAEL MORTON,
                                                                                               Examination by Mr. Scheck
                                 26TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
             Defendant
                                                                                                 9:49 a.m. - 11:40 a.m.
                                                                                                  11:51 a.m. - 1:07 p.m.
                                                                                                 2:20 p.m. - 3:08 p.m.
              ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                      KEN ANDERSON
                                                                                                 3:17 p.m. - 4:09 p.m.
                                                                                                 4:12 p.m. - 5:20 p.m.
                    OCTOBER 31, 2011
                                                                                                 5:29 p.m. - 6:05 p.m.
                                                                                               Changes and Signature
                                                                                                                              306
             ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF KEN
                                                                                               Reporter's Certification
                                                                                                                            308
      ANDERSON, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendant, and duly sworn, was taken in the
                                                                                               Reporter's Further Certification
                                                                                                                               309
      above-styled and numbered cause on October 31, 2011, from 9:49 A.M. to 6:07 P.M. before Sherri Santman Fisher, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by
                                                                                           10
                                                                                                          EXHIBIT INDEX
                                                                                           12
                                                                                               Number
                                                                                                          Description
                                                                                                                            Page Marked
      machine shorthand, at the Williamson County Courthouse,
Grand Jury Conference Room, 405 Martin Luther King
                                                                                           13
                                                                                                    Duces Tecum
                                                                                                    Documents Produced by the
      Street, Georgetown, Texas, pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated in the
                                                                                                    Witness
                                                                                           15
                                                                                                    (As provided to the court
      record or attached hereto.
                                                                                                    reporter at the conclusion
                                                                                           16
                                                                                                    of the deposition)
                                                                                           17 2-A
                                                                                                     Complaint
                                                                                           18
                                                                                                    Handwritten Notes
                                                                                                    Motion to Recuse Williamson 28
                                                                                          19 4
                                                                                                    County District Attorney and
                                                                                           20
                                                                                                    for Appointment of
                                                                                                    Independent Prosecutor
                                                                                           21
                                                                                                    E-Mail Dated August 19, 2011 36
                                                                                           22
                                                                                                    Supplementary Offense Report 48
                                                                                           23
                                                                                                    Supplementary Offense Report 49
                                                                                                    Hearing on Recusal
                                                                            Page 2
                                                                                                                                                                       Page 4
                  APPEARANCES
                                                                                                         EXHIBIT INDEX (Continued)
                                                                                            2
                                                                                                Number
                                                                                                             Description
                                                                                                                                  Page Marked
3
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                                                                                            3
                                                                                                      Handwritten Note Dated
                                                                                                                                      130
      Lindsey Roberts
                                                                                                      8-15-86 to Don From Jill
      First Assistant District Attorney
                                                                                            4
5
      405 Martin Luther King Street, No. 1
                                                                                                10
                                                                                                       Supplementary Offense Report 139
      Georgetown, Texas 78626
                                                                                            5
6
                                                                                                11
                                                                                                       Supplementary Offense Report 139
      Kristen Jernigan
                                                                                            6
      Assistant District Attorney
                                                                                                12
                                                                                                      Letter Dated 9/27/86 to Don 139
      405 Martin Luther King Street, No. 1
                                                                                                      Wood From John B. Cross
      Georgetown, Texas 78626
                                                                                            8
                                                                                                13
                                                                                                       Motion for Production of
9
    FOR THE DEFENDANT:
                                                                                                      Evidence Favorable to the
10
      John W. Raley
      Raley & Bowick
                                                                                                      Accused
                                                                                           10
                                                                                               14
                                                                                                      Motion for Discovery and
                                                                                                                                      139
11
      1800 Augusta Drive, Suite 300
      Houston, Texas 77057
                                                                                                      Inspection
12
                                                                                           11
       Barry Scheck
                                                                                                       Motion for Production of
                                                                                                                                      139
                                                                                                15
13
      Innocence Project
                                                                                           12
                                                                                                      Statements and Reports
      40 Worth Street, Suite 701
                                                                                           13 16
                                                                                                       Motion for New Trial
                                                                                                                                    139
      New York, New York 10013
                                                                                                       Appellant's Brief
                                                                                           14
                                                                                               17
                                                                                                                                 139
15
    FOR THE WITNESS:
                                                                                           15 18
                                                                                                       Appellee's Brief
                                                                                                                                 139
      R. Mark Dietz
16
                                                                                           16
                                                                                               19
                                                                                                       Handwritten Notes
                                                                                                                                   245
      Dietz & Jarrard
                                                                                           17
                                                                                                      Judge's Criminal Docket,
                                                                                                                                     245
17
       106 Fannin Avenue East
                                                                                                      District Court, Williamson
      Round Rock, Texas 78664
                                                                                                      County, Texas
                                                                                           18
18
                                                                                          19 21
                                                                                                       Sworn Statement of Roberto 281
    Also Present:
                                                                                                      J. Bayardo
19
       Michael Morton
                                                                                           20
      Rachel Pecker
                                                                                                       Subpoena
20
      Al Rodriguez, Videographer
                                                                                           21
21
                                                                                           2.2
23
                                                                                           2.3
24
                                                                                           24
```

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 5 Page 7 Q. Okay. So we've marked first the -- as Exhibit 1 (Exhibit Nos. 1, 2, and 3 marked) 1 2 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: It's October 31st, 2011. 1, a request that was made under the subpoena duces The approximate time is 9:50 a.m., beginning Tape 3 tecum, right? And for -- to you, for all documents in No. 1. We are on the record. your possession or control regarding or related to in 4 5 5 KEN ANDERSON, any way involving Michael Morton, including, but not 6 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 6 limited to, letters, notes, facsimiles, and e-mails. 7 7 **EXAMINATION** And then there's a footnote describing that. 8 BY MR. SCHECK: 8 No. 2, copies of all recorded statements, 9 9 including text messages, or phone conversations in your Q. Good morning, Judge Anderson. possession or control regarding, related to, or in any 10 A. It's a little thing; but if you want to drop 10 the "judge," I told Mr. Dietz I don't want him 11 way involving Michael Morton, including, but not 11 12 limited to, voice mail messages. 12 referring to me as judge in the pleadings and --13 3, all notes, diaries, journals, 13 Q. Well, that's -- that's --14 MR. SCHECK: Can you hear Mr. Anderson? 14 calendars, messages, cards, charts, memoranda, copies 15 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) So you tell me. For purposes 15 of phone messages, or e-mails kept by you and in your 16 of this deposition, I was planning on calling you judge 16 possession or control regarding or related to or in any 17 way involving Michael Morton, Cause No. 86-452-K26, The 17 and according you every respect that you want. But if 18 you would prefer me to call you Mr. Anderson during the 18 State of Texas versus Michael Morton. 19 course of this, I will do that. 19 And then 4, all documents not privileged 20 20 under the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure that show the A. I hope we both respect each other; but I think date, location, content of each conversation or 21 given what this is about, it had nothing to do with me 21 22 being a judge and I'm not here in my capacity as a 22 communication between you and any person in your 23 possession or control regarding, related to, or in any 23 judge, so -way involving Michael Morton. Right? 24 24 Q. So your preference is that we call you 25 Mr. Anderson? 25 A. Correct. Page 6 Page 8 1 Q. And you have kindly come today with counsel 1 A. Yes, sir. 2 Q. Then that's exactly how we'll do it. and there's a stack in front of you right now which 3 Now, Mr. Anderson, I think we have marked consists of a production you have made pursuant to this 4 4 subpoena duces tecum. Do I have that correct, for purposes of --5 5 everybody? Mr. Anderson? MR. SCHECK: I should probably put on the 6 6 record who else is in this room because I think at the A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. And so we have -- for the time being, 7 moment this is still a protected proceeding. That's 7 8 and we can make proper copies of it, we have put on top 8 our understanding? 9 9 MR. DIETZ: That's my understanding. of this as Deposition Exhibit 2, right, the 10 MR. SCHECK: Yes. And that -- so we have 10 production. Maybe if you could just describe what it 11 Mr. Raley; Rachel Pecker, who is a law student who has is, that will help; and then we'll put it aside for the been working on this matter. moment, refer to it as necessary; and then we can, if 12 13 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Mr. Morton you recognize. 13 need be, make a -- you know, copies of this so that we 14 A. I don't really recognize him, but yes. 14 have it. 15 15 Q. Okay. And then --MR. SCHECK: Does that seem fair, 16 MR. ROBERTS: Lindsey Roberts. 16 gentlemen? 17 MR. SCHECK: Lindsey Roberts from the 17 MR. DIETZ: It seems fair. And when you District Attorney's office. And I think --18 say "describe what it is," you're referring to the 18 19 19 documents that Mr. Anderson produced. MR. ROBERTS: Kristen Jernigan. She'll 20 be back. 20 MR. SCHECK: Yeah, the documents that you 21 21 MR. SCHECK: Kristen Jernigan will be guys produced, yeah, because I actually pulled it out. 22 22 back in a minute. But initially this was all in this green folder if that 23 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) So -- and of course, your 23 helps. Okay? 24 counsel. Mr. Dietz. Is that correct? 24 THE WITNESS: All right. There's two A. Correct. sets of e-mails, one off my personal account, one off

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

2

6

7

8

9

23

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 9

my county account. There is -- I left everything with the District Attorney's office related to the case; and when I was reading that subpoena duces tecum, I just sort of chalked that up as it's all in the DA's

office. And then a day or two later I remembered, wait 6 a second, you probably have the notes you have for

7 final argument, voir dire, and opening statement. And so I, in fact, keep all those in a file. And I have

the voir dire, opening argument, another argument, and 9 10 another argument. So it looks like the three arguments 11 and the voir dire.

Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) So those were just notes you -- from the time of the trial about the voir dire and the closing argument, you kept for yourself.

A. Right. I keep all those -- and I don't know that I have every one. But on every trial I used to stick them in a folder so that when I was trying another case, I could go back and refer to it.

Q. Uh-huh.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

A. So we have the e-mails, that. Again, I was reading through the duces tecum and I wasn't quite sure exactly what some of that stuff meant, but when I got to -- somewhere in there you have something about writings.

Q. Yes.

DA's office. Yeah. I think that's where it came

Page 11

Page 12

3 MR. DIETZ: Let me do this for the 4 benefit of the record, just so it's clear. 5

MR. SCHECK: Yeah.

MR. DIETZ: The documents that remain in this stack, including the first one that you have in your hand, are all documents that I obtained from the District Attorney's office; and they are documents that 10 are public record, the probable cause affidavit, the 11 appellant and appellee's briefs, and a series of 12 correspondence between trial counsel, Mr. Allison, and the Court and some portions of the statement of facts.

14 Separate and apart from that we have a transcript of the proceedings as they were copied from a disk that I 15 16 obtained.

17 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. So for the sake of 18 clarity here, why don't we -- we have marked this all 19 Exhibit 2, right? Why don't we mark this page, which is the probable cause affidavit you've described from Don Wood, which has a Bates Stamp No. 1 that was sworn 21 22 and subscribed on the 25th of September, 1986 -- right?

A. That's what it says.

24 Q. Okay. Why don't we make that 2-A just for purposes of this record so we all know what we're

Page 10

A. And so I -- it snapped on me we might be talking about publications, too. So I checked the only two books that would have anything about this case, "The Crime Victim's Handbook" and "Crime in Texas" and in "Crime in Texas" I found something on Chapter 2. The first two pages was kind of an introduction that I was making a point about how exciting being a trial lawyer is and how glamorous it is.

O. We're going to discuss that, the glamorous world of trial lawyering, and we're familiar with that chapter. Thank you. Because we were actually wondering whether you had kept any diaries or journals 13 or notes in preparation for that chapter. But I take 14 it you've produced everything that you have then.

### A. That would be correct.

16 Q. Okay. So this was in the green folder, 17 right? And this -- and this is also what was 18 responsive in terms of e-mails concerning this matter that relate to it; is that right? 19 20

### A. That's correct.

21 Q. Okay. I'm going to do that for the sake of 22 this. Okay. This is a -- this is -- what's that 23 document?

24 A. The first document is the agreement. This is a probable cause affidavit that I assume came from the talking about. And everything else here, as you say, I think we've all seen, are letters and transcripts in the case. The only document that I'm not sure I hadn't seen was this one. So I'll just mark that 2-A and then I think we're ready to -- okay.

(Exhibit No. 2-A marked)

Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Now, before the deposition started, I showed you, Mr. Anderson, and counsel, a set of documents that we've marked Deposition Exhibit 3. And it consists of one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight pages, and they each have MM Bates stamp numbers. Okay? And another Bates stamp number that's in big black lettering. 3366 is the first one on the top of the exhibit.

And I represent to you that these are productions of -- that we got from the District Attorney's office with respect to work product in the trial file, that is, the file that they have from your trial, the Michael Morton case. You follow me?

### A. Uh-huh.

21 Q. All right. And the handwriting on, let's say, 22 just the first page here, which has got the MM10156 23 Bates stamp and also the 3366 Bates stamp, that's your 24 handwriting? The top page.

A. On the top page?

(Pages 9 to 12)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 13 Page 15 was a set of offense reports and/or rough notes that 1 Q. Yes, that top page. 2 2 A. That appears to be my handwriting. had -- that were -- had page numbers on them. 3 Q. Okay. And here, as part of this exhibit as 3 A. I have no knowledge whatsoever what those page 4 well, on a page that's 3341 and MM10131, is that your 4 numbers could be at this time. I haven't seen these handwriting? Just the -- I think the top page alone is 5 probably in twenty -- the better part of 25 years. 6 Q. I completely appreciate that. So let's just your handwriting. Is that right? 7 7 A. Yeah. There's handwriting on the other pages talk about routine then, Mr. Anderson. At or around 8 8 the time of this trial, would it have been your that isn't mine. 9 9 Q. Right. But I'm just talking about those two practice, when you received various offense reports 10 pages that I specifically referred to appear to be your 10 from the sheriff, that you would, on your own, just, handwriting, correct? 11 you know, either Bates stamp or handwrite a page number 11 12 12 to keep them all straight? A. It does. 13 13 Q. Okay. And what we've tried to do for A. It's hard to know what my practice was 25 14 convenience, because we were puzzled about this, is --14 years ago. I don't know that I ever heard the word 15 let me just point out, for example, on the document 15 "Bates stamp" until 10 years ago. that you have right here that is MM10131 or 3341, that 16 Q. Right. So in the old days, when you and I in your handwriting at the very bottom here it's 17 were practicing, because I think we're probably the 17 18 something -- it says something like "Check with Cavitt 18 same age, that one would actually handwrite perhaps --19 on page 82 - who examined watch". Do you see that? Is 19 before the year of Bates stamps, one would hand letter 20 20 this is one, two, three, four, five when you got a that what it says at the bottom? 21 A. It appears to say that, yes. batch of offense reports and/or rough notes from the 22 Q. All right. And then in -- if you can give me 22 sheriff. 23 23 that other document right there. A. It's possible. I kind of doubt it. I used to 24 24 A. Sorry. organize around a legal pad and go from there. 25 Q. On MM10156 or 3366, it has here at the top, 25 Q. So you can't share with us anything about --Page 14 Page 16 something "with hair and blood - results page 110" and 1 A. Those numbers -then "page 152," parentheses, "followed up," question 2 O. -- what those numbers mean. 3 mark. You see that? 3 A. -- mean nothing. 4 4 Q. Okay. So perhaps you can tell us something A. I do. 5 5 Q. All right. Now, what we've done with exhibits about your professional history with John Bradley. 6 is we've attached to them other documents from the Specifically, when did you first meet Mr. Bradley? offense reports, right, that seem to relate to the 7 7 MR. DIETZ: Mr. Scheck? 8 8 subject matter of your handwritten notes here. And MR. SCHECK: Yes. 9 what we were wondering about is -- if you look at your 9 MR. DIETZ: At this time, I'm not handwritten notes from the trial file, you seem to be 10 certain, but I think I'm going to have to interpose an 10 11 referring to like a page 152 or other pages. And so it 11 objection. And the reason I am is that as I understand 12 occurred to us that you might have been working, at the 12 the Court's order, which has been termed an order, is 13 time of the trial, with a set of offense reports and 13 this is a limited purpose deposition to determine facts 14 field notes that were numbered, that were given page 14 and circumstances as they relate to the pretrial, 15 15 trial, and post trial and appellate briefing. That's numbers, and so those references in your own 16 handwriting to page numbers were referring to a set of 16 found in the -- I believe the second page of your 17 documents that were offense reports and/or field 17 agreement. 18 notes. Do you see what I mean? 18 And so to the extent that we're seeking 19 19 A. You had explained that when you handed these information that takes place outside of that time 20 to me --20 period, I would have to object and instruct the witness 21 21 Q. Right. not to answer based upon the Court's order. 22 22 A. -- before the deposition. MR. SCHECK: Let me see if we can work 23 Q. And so I'm just wondering if you could help us 23 out something of a predicate here. with those references to page numbers and what they 24 MR. DIETZ: That's fine. might mean and if we are correct that somewhere there 25 MR. SCHECK: And that is that I do read

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 17 Page 19 the court order the same way you do. That is to say, questions? 2 MR. DIETZ: I'm objecting -- I'm going to that the subject matter of this deposition has to do 3 with the Brady allegations that are embraced in Claims instruct him not to answer based upon the Court's order 2 through 7 of our writ. 4 except for the last question. I think that that's 5 5 But in terms of that, the line of relevant to the inquiry that's set forth in the Court's 6 6 questioning that I'm about to pursue has to do with order and I have no objection to him answering any of 7 7 what explanations Judge Anderson gave to the District the questions as it relates to the direct appeal. Attorney's office with respect to the recusal motion 8 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. So you handled the 9 9 direct appeal in this case, did you not? and various allegations and when he gave them. And as 10 10 part of that inquiry, what's relevant is the close A. I did. 11 relationship between Mr. Bradley and Mr. Anderson, so I 11 Q. All right. And then there came a time when 12 you became a judge; is that right? just wanted to establish that for purposes of the 13 A. In two thousand and -- 2001. 13 record so that we can understand the context of all 14 14 O. 2001. And you were appointed? 15 15 A. I was appointed. MR. DIETZ: Again, if it takes place 16 outside of that time frame, '88 through perhaps early 16 Q. By Governor Perry? 17 A. Correct. 17 '91, it's outside of the purpose of this deposition. 18 MR. SCHECK: Why don't we --18 Q. And then after Governor Perry appointed you, 19 MR. DIETZ: And, you know, I recognize 19 did you make any recommendations as to who should take 20 from time to time during the day we may have your place? 20 21 21 disagreements about what the Court's intent is. MR. DIETZ: Again, you're trodding on the 22 MR. SCHECK: Sure. 22 matters which I've already --MR. DIETZ: And so perhaps we can save 23 MR. SCHECK: I'll ask just as a factual 23 these up so that we can have one discussion with Judge 24 24 matter then. 25 Harle. 25 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) And Mr. Bradley succeeded you Page 18 Page 20 MR. SCHECK: Sure. Okay. So maybe I as District Attorney. 1 2 should just tell you what questions I was seeking to A. Correct. ask and you tell me if you're going to continue to 3 Q. All right. Now, during this period of time 4 4 object. And what I was going to first ask Mr. Anderson was it ever brought to your attention that there was 5 is about the nature of his relationship with 5 post-conviction DNA litigation going on in the Morton 6 Mr. Bradley, are they close friends, are they social matter? 7 friends, are they close professional colleagues, have 7 A. In what period of time? 8 8 they not authored -- been coauthors of books together, Q. After you became a judge. 9 9 three books with respect to Texas sentencing A. I was generally aware of it. 10 guidelines, one in particular the cover predicate 10 Q. All right. Tell us how you were aware of it. 11 questions manual, which I believe it's in its seventh 11 A. The only way I would have been aware of it is edition, the last one being -- covering 2010 to 2012, 12 if Mr. Bradley or somebody in his office told me. 12 that they both have worked on something that I think is 13 13 Q. So was it a matter of -- so when -- just in 14 called a cheat sheet for lawyers, crime 14 terms of trying to understand the relationships here 15 15 classifications. between yourself and Mr. Bradley and other members of his office, with respect to the post-conviction Morton 16 I was then going to ask Mr. Anderson 17 about what role, if any, he played in Mr. Bradley 17 litigation, when the DNA litigation and other matters becoming his successor. And in particular, I wanted 18 were filed, members of -- Mr. Bradley himself or other 18 19 members that were assigned to handle the case would 19 to -- and I think this would be directly relevant -know what relationship Mr. Anderson had with respect to 20 consult with you about factual matters with respect to 21 the Morton case. the direct appeal of this case which he did and then 22 when Mr. Bradley took over, what, if anything, went on A. I don't remember a lot of that. I think it with respect to the DNA litigation and some of the 23 was more like if something happened, they would let me 23 matters leading up to disclosure. know and I was pretty much out of the loop. Are you objecting to those lines of Q. Well, were you aware that post-conviction DNA

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

	Page 21		Page 23
1	applications were being made to test evidence in the	1	Q. So when you say "the last Court of Appeals,"
2	Morton case?	2	you mean the Court of Appeals opinion that granted
3	A. Generally, yes.	3	testing on the bandana.
4	Q. And to the best of your recollection, tell me	4	A. Yes.
5	everything you remember about who told you of that and	5	Q. So you did not know that that was being argued
6	who you communicated with in the District Attorney's	6	on appeal or that the decision had been made that the
7	office.	7	bandana could be tested?
8	A. Are we talking about from the time I became a	8	A. The second part, I don't know. The first part
9	judge?	9	is I remember reading the opinion and being surprised.
10	Q. That's correct.	10	Q. Now, I realize that you don't, as you sit here
11	A. I can't remember talking to anybody except	11	today, have a specific recollection of each of the
12	Doug Arnold and John Bradley.	12	details of the DNA litigation that took place over six
13	Q. Now, Doug Arnold	13 14	years, correct?
14 15	A. Well, except recently.	15	A. I didn't have any recollection of all these writs being filed. Evidently there were writs filed
16	Q. Except recently. So let's just focus now on the initial period when you became a judge. Mr. Arnold	16	back as early as the 1990's.
17	was working in the District Attorney's office, correct?	17	Q. Okay. So let me help you with
18	A. Yes.	18	MR. DIETZ: Mr. Scheck, let me stop you
19	Q. He's now a judge.	19	right there. I've allowed you some latitude to at
20	A. Correct.	20	least ask those initial questions, remembering that we
21	Q. And Mr. Arnold was assigned to deal with, for	21	are here on Matters 2 through 7 rather than the DNA
22	a period of time, the post-conviction DNA litigation in	22	matter, which is Claim 1, and specifically the Court's
23	this matter.	23	order allows discovery on Claims 2 through 7, not Claim
24	A. He was the appellate lawyer and I assume that	24	1.
25	was part of that.	25	So again, we've moved way past the time
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Q. So from time to time as the DNA litigation	1	period relating to the pretrial, trial, post-judgment,
2	went on and you recall this was in front of Judge	2	and direct appeal phases of Mr. Morton's case. So I'm
3	Stubblefield?	3	going to ask that you move into something associated
4	A. It would have been in the 26th, so I suppose	4	with that before I have to start directing him not to
5	it would have been in front of Judge Stubblefield.	5	answer because it's outside of the Court's order.
6	Q. So from time to time either Mr. Arnold or	6	MR. SCHECK: Sure. Sure. And let me
7	Mr. Bradley would ask you questions, factual questions	7	just establish this.
8	about the case, so they could formulate a response	8	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) So there was also a motion
9 10	concerning the DNA?	9	that I think is directly on point here. There was an
11	A. I don't recall getting asked a lot of factual questions. I mean, I wouldn't have had much of an	10 11	Open Record Act motion that was filed seeking documents from the Williamson County file with respect to this
12	answer. I might have if they had asked a question	12	case. Were you ever made aware of that?
13	about how things were done back then or something like	13	A. I have been doing a lot the last month,
14	that, I may or may not have had an answer. I actually	14	including I've tried two jury trials, I've had I don't
15	remember them updating me and not updating me as much	l .	know how many criminal dockets; and so I'm trying to
16	as I probably wanted, but I was trying to stay out of	16	squeeze in being prepared for this deposition.
17	their business.	17	Q. I understand.
18	Q. When you say not updating you as much as you	18	A. So I focused on the disclosure, nondisclosure
19	wanted, what do you mean by that?	19	for that time period. Like I said, somewhere I saw
20	A. Occasionally I would see something in the	20	something, and I think it was in one of the pleadings,
21	newspaper that I wasn't aware of.	21	about the number of writs and sort of the history and
22	Q. Anything come to mind in particular?	22	testing and stuff. And I just haven't had time to go
23	A. It's hard to keep everything straight. The	23	back and
24	last Court of Appeals opinion, I was not aware that	24	Q. No, I understand. So just tell me if you
25	appeal was going on.	25	remember or not because really all that I'm trying to

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

5

6

7

9

10

17

18

19

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

get at here for purposes of getting into the Claims 2 through 7 allegations is how you regarded your role with respect to providing information to the District Attorney's office about a case you tried even though you were a sitting judge. Do you understand what I'm asking?

### A. If they asked a question, I would have answered it the best I could.

- Q. Right. So in other words, you treated yourself as just essentially a fact witness with respect to any of these post-conviction applications, that the District Attorney's office was free to go to you and ask you a question if they needed help and you would provide them answers to the best of your ability.
- A. I would provide them answers to the best of my ability. When I became a judge, I stopped being a prosecutor. I tried immediately to switch the gear in my brain and not be an advocate anymore.
  - Q. Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

A. It is very difficult when your successor or your predecessor is a judge in the same building that you are. So I have stayed out of Mr. Bradley's business for the last 10 years as much as humanly possible.

Page 25 Page 27

- know, it's your worst nightmare to have somebody who's 2 innocent get convicted. White and Allison and I should
- have sat down 15 years ago and figured out what the 4 heck was going on. I don't know how we got this far.

But something different should have happened.

- Q. Mr. Anderson, we all agree and we're going to be going through that in detail now. But if you could just answer my question because I'm trying to understand your posture in this.
- So it's true, is it not, that you 11 understood your role in this Morton litigation as just being somebody that answers questions factually for 12 Mr. Bradley's office and his other assistants about 13 what went on but you were not going to offer legal 15 advice or tell them what position they should or should 16 not take in the litigation? Fair enough?
  - A. Yeah. I mean, I can't say that they never asked me about a case or that I never -- you know, whatever they asked, I would have answered.
- 20 Q. So if they asked you "What's your legal opinion about what we should do or not do," you might 21 22 have given them an answer? Is that a fair statement?
  - A. I doubt they would ask my legal opinion.
  - Q. Okay. Well, but I'm not asking about -- I'm only asking about what happened or didn't happen. You

Page 26

don't recall ever suggesting to them "This is what you should do" or "This is the position you should take."

### A. No. I tried to stay out of it as much as I could and I was pretty much out of the loop.

MR. SCHECK: Okay. Now, let's mark the motion to recuse as the next exhibit in order.

(Exhibit No. 4 marked)

(Discussion off the record)

Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Now, you recall that on I guess it's August 17th that a motion was filed to recuse Mr. Bradley as continuing as the District Attorney in the Michael Morton matter and it was in the course of this recusal motion that documents were produced from an earlier Open Records Act request that we had made, right, outlining claims that exculpatory evidence had been suppressed at the original trial.

### A. What's the question?

- Q. So my question is: Do you recall this recusal motion being filed?
- A. I know there was a recusal motion filed.
- 21 Q. Do you remember reading about it in the 22 newspapers?
- 23 A. No. I haven't read much about the case in the 24 newspapers.
  - Q. You've tried not to read the newspapers about

4 you or did you, in fact, ever express an opinion to 5 Mr. Bradley or anyone in his office with respect to the Michael Morton litigation about what legal positions 7 they should take or not take? 8

reason I'm on this line of questions. And finally, in

that regard, did you believe it an appropriate role for

Q. I fully appreciate it and that's just the

### A. I can't imagine that I would have done that.

Q. Okay. So just so we understand, for purposes of moving forward, in handling what is admittedly a delicate situation -- correct?

### A. Correct.

13 Q. That you're a sitting judge. There's 14 litigation arising out of -- from a case that you 15 tried. Yes?

### A. Yes.

O. Okay. And so for purposes of this deposition and the matters herein, your posture was if anybody comes to you and asks you a factual question, you'll give them an answer to the best of your ability, but you were not going to provide advice, legal advice, or take a position about what Mr. Bradley or anyone in his office should or should not do with respect to the Michael Morton litigation. Fair enough? A. Counsel, I'm sick about this whole thing. You

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 29

Page 31

1 it?

2 A. That would be correct.

2 it step by step. So you were on vacation in Colorado

2 it step by step. So you were on vacation in Colorado

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Okay. So you're aware that there was a recusal motion filed. Do you recall anybody calling or speaking to you about this?

A. Bradley called me on my cell phone sometime when I was in Colorado on vacation. And I can't remember exactly what he told me, but he told me some development in the case. And it was the first time I had ever heard of there being an allegation of some sort of misconduct in the case.

Q. Well, you did the direct appeal.

A. It was the first time in recent memory.

Q. Okay. But you're -- we're going to go over it a little later, but you're painfully -- well, withdrawn.

You are aware, are you not, that Bill Allison made allegations right after the jury verdict, in the form of a motion, that there was exculpatory evidence he believed that should have been in Sergeant Wood's reports that had been produced to Judge Lott in camera that had not been disclosed? You're aware of that

24 **A.** I am now.

Q. All right.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

1

2

4

5

7

9

10

Q. Okay. We'll get to that. So let's just take it step by step. So you were on vacation in Colorado when you were called by Mr. Bradley and he told you that a recusal motion had been filed by Mr. Morton's counsel and that contained within that recusal motion were allegations of suppressed exculpatory evidence from the time of the trial, right?

MR. DIETZ: I'm going to have to object. The answer that would be given would be misleading based upon the predicate. I understand Mr. Anderson to have testified that Mr. Bradley told him that a pleading had been filed. I don't think he was aware of what the pleading was. At least that was my understanding.

Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Let's take it step by step. You got a call from Mr. Bradley while you were on vacation in Colorado. To the best of your recollection, what did he say to you and what did you say to him?

A. I have no recollection in that detail. There was some development in the case he called me about. Whether it was this being filed or the results of the DNA test, I don't know.

Q. Okay. So when he called you in Colorado -let's move back for a second then and see if we can

Page 30

A. And I was presumably back then.

Q. Right. Back then. And you're aware that he was alleging right after the trial, based on statements made in his presence by Mr. Davis in his motion, that there were -- there was exculpatory evidence in the report and field notes of Sergeant Wood and that the in-camera production to Judge Lott was too small to have contained all the documents that must have been produced in a long investigation.

A. I'm not quite sure I'm aware of all of that.

Q. Okay. Well, we'll go back over that specifically. But you just said that when John Bradley called you in Colorado, that was the first time that you had ever learned that there were allegations of misconduct or suppressed exculpatory evidence in the Morton matter, right?

17 A. In recent memory.

18 Q. In recent memory.

19 A. Obviously I knew back in the late '80's.

20 Q. Okay. And --

21 A. But I had forgotten that.

Q. You had forgotten.

A. And I didn't remember it again until these

24 allegations were made. And then I went back and I read 24

5 my brief and the opinion.

clarify this. When was the first time that you heard that there were DNA test results from the bandana that might be exculpatory of Michael Morton?

A. The dates are a blur. At some -- I knew something before, in July.

MR. DIETZ: Mr. Scheck, again, I'm going

to have to interpose an objection. We have -- I've allowed you latitude. We're supposed to be here on the matters that are relating to the pretrial, trial, post-judgment, and direct appeal phases. We've touched on it briefly by virtue of the attachments to this motion, but that's -- everything else seems to be what's happened lately. And that's not the purpose of this deposition.

MR. SCHECK: Let's see -- before -- I don't want to have to be interrupting all the time, Mr. Dietz, and calling the Court. And I'm sure you don't either.

MR. DIETZ: I don't either. And that's why I say if we can --

MR. SCHECK: And I appreciate it.

Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) The only -- and I'm not trying to trap you in any way, Mr. Anderson. If it would help, I can fill you in on the chronology, right, of what happened with the DNA, because that's hardly a

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

# Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services

Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth East Texas San Antonio Bryan/College Station

Page 32

Page 35 Page 33 secret, and see if that refreshes your recollection you're telling us about a call in Colorado, that might have been the substance of it and it wasn't about the because what's at issue here is we're focusing in on the first time that you learned about the recusal recusal motion? motion and the allegations of suppressed exculpatory A. He called me about some development in the evidence in recent times, correct? 5 case. He called me twice in Colorado, once -- if I 6 A. Correct. 6 could -- if I had my calendar, I could figure out when 7 Q. And so you recall getting a call from 7 I was in Colorado. But it was towards the end of my Mr. Bradley while you were on vacation in Colorado. 8 stay there. And then the next time he called me was 9 Maybe this will help. When were you on vacation in with some further development and that was as I was Colorado? 10 10 beginning to drive home. 11 A. Mid August. 11 Q. And you don't recall the substance of those 12 Q. Mid August. Okay. So -- and you might be 12 conversations? 13 able to refresh your recollection from looking at date 13 A. It was whatever the current progress of what 14 books as to exactly when that was? 14 he knew about was. 15 A. I'm sure I could. 15 Q. And when you heard that there was a DNA result 16 Q. Yeah. Okay. Maybe this helps. 16 on the bandana that indicated there was blood on it 17 MR. SCHECK: And, Mr. Dietz, tell me if 17 from Christine Morton and her hair and there was a male 18 you object to this. 18 DNA profile that was not Michael Morton and matched to 19 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I can just tell you something 19 the CODIS database, what was your reaction? 20 20 about the DNA test results. On August 9th, 2011, we MR. DIETZ: And again, I'm going to have were contacted -- defense counsel was contacted by the 21 to instruct him not to answer. Any answer he'd give is Department of Public Safety and was informed that there 22 misleading. There's no basis for any of those was a CODIS hit to unknown male DNA on the bandana and 23 subjective determinations by Mr. Anderson. If there also informed that an offender's sample was taken in 24 are -- questions that relate to specific knowledge he California and would be released to both parties as has than open-ended questions are better. Page 34 Page 36 soon as the California lab confirmed the hit through 1 MR. SCHECK: Let's see if this helps. their own data. Okay? So -- and the prosecutors were 2 And if you'll allow your client -- let me try to place 3 similarly notified at that time. this in time a little bit better for you. Let's mark 4 4 Does that help -- does that refresh your this as Exhibit -- what's next in order? 5? 5 recollection that you might have heard something about 5 (Exhibit No. 5 marked) the DNA hit on the bandana? 6 6 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Now, Exhibit 5 is a document 7 A. What happened on the chronology right before 7 we received from the District Attorney's office. It that? Was there some information that there had been has Bates stamps below. And as you can see, it's from 9 DNA extracted off this bandana? Kristen Jernigan and it indicates from John Bradley and 10 O. Yes. 10 the -- it appears to be a copy of an e-mail, right? Do 11 11 A. Was that in July? vou see that? 12 Q. That was -- that was in June, yeah. 12 A. It does. 13 A. Okay. Assuming the District Attorney's office 13 Q. And that's -- John Bradley, 14 knew that, they probably told me about that sometime 14 martybradley@mac.com, that is Mr. Bradley's private this summer. From the time I left to go to Colorado 15 account, so to speak. There's a John Bradley --15 16 16 A. It's one of his e-mails. until Bradley called me -- and I could pick a date. I think it was a Thursday. Maybe it was a Wednesday. 17 O. One of his e-mails. But it's not the official 17 18 public e-mail, right? In other words --18 But it was right before I was coming home. That was 19 19 the next time I heard anything about the case. A. I assume he has a jbradley@wilco. 20 Q. And so when you heard about the DNA hit on the 20 Q. Right. But anything that's on a 21 bandana, do you remember anything about the -- and you 21 jbradley@wilco, that can be accessed by the public 22 had a conversation with Mr. Bradley directly about through an Open Record Act request; but if it comes 23 that. Is that what you're saying? 23 from a private e-mail like this, it is not 24 A. Yes. automatically accessed by the public from an Open 25 Q. Okay. And so that might have been -- when Record Act request, right?

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

	Page 37		Page 39
1	A. I have no knowledge of that. I would assume	1	become familiar with the file and refresh his memory so
2	anything you put in an e-mail can become public.	2	he can state with clarity whether he provided discovery
3	Q. Well, it seems in this day and age anything	3	of those matters and the circumstances surrounding
4	you put in an e-mail becomes public no matter what you	4	discovery. JB."
5	do, right? Fair enough?	5	Do you see that?
6	A. That would be my understanding.	6	A. I do.
7	Q. So let's review this e-mail together and see	7	Q. All right. Now, did you get a call, do you
8 9	if this helps refresh your recollection about these conversations.	8	recall, from Ms. Jernigan or Mr. Bradley or anyone in
10	MR. SCHECK: And maybe then, Mr. Dietz,	10	the District Attorney's office about becoming familiar with the file and refreshing your memory so you could
11	you'll allow him to answer fully these questions.	11	state with clarity whether you provided discovery of
12	Okay? See if this places you.	12	matters, including the grandmother conversation that
13	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) This is on Friday, August	13	they allege here and the circumstances surrounding
14	19th. And if you look at it, that is two days after	14	discovery?
15	the motion to recuse was filed. And that of you	15	A. Well, I've never seen this e-mail before; but
16	didn't remember you didn't read the newspaper, but	16	when I got back, I had a copy. I don't remember if I
17	you knew that this was big news when this motion to	17	printed it out myself or if it was provided. But there
18	recuse was filed. You remember that.	18	was a copy and I would have looked at it.
19	A. I was in Colorado.	19	Q. So we're now holding what's Exhibit 4, the
20	Q. You're sure.	20	motion to recuse; is that right?
21	A. On in mid August, when John called me, I am		A. Yeah. I thought it was longer than this, but
22 23	sure.	22	okay.
24	Q. Right. But A. The second time he called me	23 24	Q. Okay. So you're saying that you got some calls from Mr. Bradley, but then
25	Q. Here's the problem. You've indicated to us	25	A. Two.
	Page 38		Page 40
1	that you're not sure, when you got these two calls,	1	Q. But then you do you remember any from
2	whether he was telling you about DNA results or he was	2	Ms. Jernigan to the effect of "Please look at these,
3	telling you about this motion to recuse which concerned	3	look at this motion, look at the file, refresh your
4	the allegations against you.	4	recollection so you can tell us with clarity whether
5	A. Or he could have been telling me about both.	5	you provided the discovery about the matters discussed
6	Q. Or he could have so we don't know. And	6	in that recusal motion"?
7	maybe at a break or something you can your calendar	7	A. When I got back to the office, on whatever day
8	is accessible and you can clear this up?	8	it was
9	A. I could come up with the days I was in	9	Q. Right.
10	Colorado.	10	A. Presumably it was Monday what day is this
11 12	Q. Okay. Maybe and that might help refresh your recollection as to what happened here?	11 12	dated? The 19th?
13	A. To the substance of the phone calls?	13	<ul><li>Q. August 19th is a Friday.</li><li>A. 20, 21st Monday, the 22nd. Either there</li></ul>
14	Q. Or yeah, or what you which of these	14	was a copy waiting for me or I had my court
15	matters were being discussed and when. Right? That we	15	administrator print it out.
16	could clear up, don't you think?	16	Q. And did you read it?
17	A. Possibly.	17	A. I'm sure I looked at it.
18	Q. Okay. Let's just review this e-mail then.	18	Q. You didn't read it with any care?
19	It's from John Bradley to Kristen Jernigan. The	19	A. I'm sure I was interested in the I still
20	subject is "Discovery". And it says "Please contact	20	remember it being longer than this. It was only 29
21	Ken and make sure he gets a copy of the motion and	21	pages?
$^{\circ}$			
22	reads it. He needs to understand that he will likely	22	Q. This is the initial recusal motion.
23	be the object of a claim that he committed a Brady	23	A. Was there a second one?

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Page 41 Page 43 A. There was a longer recusal motion? bandana were certainly exculpatory of Mr. Morton. 1 2 2 Q. There was -- I mean, maybe the exhibits made A. At some point, yes. it look longer. 3 Q. Well, as soon as you heard that there was a 4 MR. SCHECK: But do you want to 4 CODIS hit to somebody else and it was Christine 5 5 double-check your copies, Mr. Dietz, to see if you --Morton's blood on the bandana, you knew right away that 6 6 there was a potential that Mr. Morton was going to be this is -- this is a recusal motion. 7 7 MR. DIETZ: Could it be because it was exonerated, didn't you? double-sided? That's double-sided printed? 8 A. A potential, yes. 8 9 9 MR. SCHECK: Yes. This is -- thank you Q. And that was upsetting to you. 10 very much. 10 A. It was surprising to me. Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) This is double-sided. So it's 11 Q. Now -- because you believed he was guilty. 11 29 pages; but since this is a double-sided printout, 12 12 A. That would be correct. 13 13 maybe this looks thinner. Fair enough? Q. Now, so as soon as you got back on the 21st 14 A. Fair enough. 14 and you went through this recusal motion, didn't you 15 Q. Okay. So when you got this recusal motion, 15 take it very seriously? 16 this was a matter of concern to you, wasn't it? 16 A. There was a series of developments; and, yes, 17 17 A. I'm sure it was. I was taking it seriously to the point where I was 18 Q. And it was a -- and in your conversations with 18 trying to light up my brain cells trying to remember. Mr. Bradley about this, those conversations you had on 19 Q. And this e-mail that we've marked as 19 20 Exhibit -- what number is that? 5? 20 your way back from Colorado, there was a certain 21 urgency in his tone, too, I would imagine. No? 21 A. 5. I'm sorry. 22 A. He wasn't -- I don't -- I can't describe it as 22 Q. 5. From Mr. Bradley to Ms. Jernigan, it says 23 here "Please contact Ken and make sure he gets a copy 23 urgent. I don't know how to --24 Q. How would you describe it? 24 of the motion and reads it. He needs to understand 25 A. I don't know. Because he was giving me the that he will likely be the object of a claim that he Page 42 Page 44 committed a Brady violation at trial by not disclosing information. I really wasn't wanting to hear 1 2 information when I was on vacation. certain matters." 3 Q. So it would have been unusual, but you knew it 3 You see that. 4 4 was a serious matter that he would even call you when A. Okav. 5 5 you were on vacation. Fair enough? Q. You took that seriously, didn't you? 6 A. I don't know if he knew I was on vacation. He 6 A. Okay. 7 7 Q. That's a yes? called my cell phone. 8 A. Yes. 8 O. Okay. 9 A. The second time he would have known I was on 9 Q. Okay. And he's instructing here that vacation because the first time I said, "Why are you 10 whether -- do you remember Ms. Jernigan speaking to you 10 11 11 calling me?" on the 21st when you got back? 12 Q. But from -- even though you can't recall the 12 A. She probably talked to me several times a week 13 substance of those conversations and whether they were 13 from the time I got back until the time she stopped 14 about the DNA test results or about the recusal motion 14 talking to me. 15 itself, would it be fair to say that you gathered it Q. And when was the time she stopped talking to 15 16 was a matter of some serious concern to Mr. Bradley? 16 you? 17 A. At that point? I don't know how I would 17 A. Sometime in October. 18 18 Q. And do you remember what the occasion was that characterize it. 19 19 led her to stop talking to you? Q. Well, you --20 A. He called me. It was something he wanted me 20 A. Probably the deposition subpoena. Q. Okay. So from the time that -- on the 21st 21 to know about. 21 22 22 Q. Were you aware that Mr. Bradley's office had when the -- you received a copy of the recusal motion opposed DNA testing in this matter for six years? 23 and read it, to the time that you received the 23 24 A. Generally, ves. deposition subpoena, you were talking to Ms. Jernigan, Q. And you were aware that these results on the I think you just told us, several times a week.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

6

7

8

9

15

17

Page 45

A. It may have stopped on October 4th.

2 Q. And why do you pick that date?

A. October 3rd I picked a jury. I was trying to figure out why neither side had asked me to be ready to come testify because I believe you all had a hearing that day. And I was -- I didn't know if you all were going to just, you know, come down and tell me to show up in front of Judge Harle and start testifying or not. I would have thought that unusual and I was obviously in the middle of trial, so --

Q. Right.

1

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

A. And then I got a message from her -- a telephone message at my office on probably October 4th 13 saying that this agreement had been reached. I don't know if the message said that or if I returned her call. But anyway, evidently this agreement that we're here today on had been reached.

18 Q. And that's when you stopped talking to her.

19 A. The communication went way down pretty 20 quickly.

Q. And why was that?

A. I have no idea.

Q. So in other words, the District --

24 Ms. Jernigan and the District Attorney's office stopped calling you.

Page 46

A. It went way down. I don't know if it totally stopped.

Q. We'll go through that.

Now, so when you got back on the 21st and you read through the recusal motion, what, if anything, did you do -- and I'm quoting here from this e-mail from Mr. Bradley -- to become familiar with the file and refresh your memory so you can state with clarity whether you provided the discovery that Mr. Morton's lawyers were alleged -- alleging had not been disclosed? What did you do?

A. I reviewed portions of the transcript and probably some offense reports.

Q. And how long did it take you before you were able to -- withdrawn.

And after doing that, were you able to make a statement with clarity to the District Attorney as to whether you had provided the discovery of the matters that the defense was alleging had not been disclosed and the circumstances surrounding discovery?

MR. DIETZ: I'm sorry, Mr. Scheck. I may not have been -- it sounded like there were two questions there, and that's why I -- that's why I want to make sure.

Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) All right. When did you --

let's start it this way. After reviewing, you said, 1

the portions of the transcript and -- what else?

A. Probably some offense reports.

Q. And some of the offense reports. After doing that, did you then contact the District Attorney's 6 office and say, "Here's the position I take with 7 respect to discovery. Here's what I turned over or 8 didn't turn over"?

A. At some point I would have told somebody in the District Attorney's office that I had absolutely no recollection from 25 years ago of what I did or didn't do in discovery.

Page 47

Page 48

Q. So when did you reach that conclusion? About 14 a day or two later? Or how long? This is the Monday, 15 the 21st.

A. I reached that opinion the first time I started thinking about it and I have tried to refresh my memory ever since.

Q. Okay. Now, let's -- let's review some of the documents that -- for the sake of referring to these things by subject matter, would it be fair to say that

22 in this recusal motion, right, there were allegations

23 first about the transcript of an interview between

24 Sergeant Wood and Ms. Kirkpatrick, Christine Morton's

mother and the grandmother of Eric? Do you recall

reading that in the recusal motion, that transcript?

A. There was an allegation about Eric saying that 3 the monster had killed his mom.

4 Q. All right. Now -- and that transcript was attached here to Exhibit 4 and you read it, right? 5

A. What are you looking at?

Q. I'm sorry?

A. Are you looking at the recusal motion?

Q. Yeah. I'm just trying to find it for you.

10 Here's -- it's Exhibit C on the recusal motion, just so 11 you see, and I'll mark as a separate copy as Exhibit

next in order so you can just have it in front of you. 13

Okay? 14

MR. SCHECK: Let's just mark this. (Exhibit No. 6 marked)

16 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Are you with me?

A. I am.

18 Q. Okay. So you, on August 21st, read the

19 recusal motion and you saw the supplemental offense

report that we've now marked as Exhibit 6 and was

Exhibit C to the recusal motion that purports to be a

22 taped -- a transcript of a taped conversation between

23 Rita Kirkpatrick and Sergeant Don Wood on August 24th,

24 1986. You see that.

25 A. I see it. I don't think I actually went

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 49 Page 51 through the exhibits any time in August. There was a 1 the exhibits and there were press articles. So I had 2 lot of newspaper articles and stuff as I remember, and already decided I needed to go pull the transcript and it was -- I thought it was a hundred pages or 90 3 try to read whatever portions might help me recapture pages. So maybe that includes the exhibits. That's 4 some memory on this and asked Kristen for what was 5 why I thought it was thicker than what you showed me. actually in the DA's file. 6 So if it was single-sided and if we printed it off of And I assumed there was a DA's file like 7 7 our printers here, then it would have been single-sided I had left it in 19 -- whenever I got done with the 8 even if you filed it double-sided probably. 8 direct appeal. Evidently the DA's file has continued 9 9 Q. So are you saying that you didn't look at the to grow as each successive writ has been filed, so 10 specific transcript of the Kirkpatrick conversation? 10 there's not the nice relatively concise file that I 11 A. No. I made a decision that I needed to go 11 thought would exist. 12 12 back to the trial transcript and see if I could figure Q. Right. And so that was one of the first 13 13 out what was going on and find out what was in the DA's things you did after you read the recusal motion is you 14 file. And I don't know if this was in the DA's file or asked Ms. Jernigan to provide to you what, if anything, 15 not. I thought there was a shorter version that was in 15 existed in the District Attorney's file with respect to 16 the DA's file. 16 the transcript of the interview? Q. That's correct. And now that you've gone back 17 17 A. Something like that. And also I wanted to 18 and looked at all the documents, you understand that 18 see -- read certain things in the transcript to see if 19 there was a shorter version in the DA's file that 19 that could get me back thinking -literally is CC'd. 20 20 Q. Do you remember what things you wanted to read 21 MR. SCHECK: So why don't we mark this 21 in the transcript? 22 as -- why don't we mark this as Defendant's next in 22 A. Anything I could find that related to 23 23 discovery. 24 24 (Exhibit No. 7 marked) Q. So you got the transcript of the trial? 25 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) And No. 7 is a shorter version 25 A. I only recently got the entire transcript. Page 50 Page 52 1 of the transcript of the conversation between Sergeant 1 Q. But I'm trying to focus your attention now on Wood and Rita Kirkpatrick and -- is that correct? the 21st when the recusal motion --3 A. That seems to be the shorter version, yes. 3 A. No, no, no. This wasn't happening necessarily 4 Q. Okay. Now, but when you read the recusal 4 on the 21st. 5 Q. Let's just go back to the 21st. You come back 5 motion, did you -- you must have gotten at least as far as page 11 where there is a reference to the monster 6 from Colorado. You get the recusal motion. You look 7 7 Eric Morton saw kill his mother. at it, right? You begin conversations with 8 8 MR. DIETZ: Do you want to show it to Ms. Jernigan. 9 him? 9 A. I may well have looked at it the evening of 10 10 MR. SCHECK: Sure. the 20th. THE WITNESS: At some point early on I 11 11 Q. The evening of the 20th. You mean -became aware that the allegation was that I hadn't 12 12 A. Because I probably came up to my office and 13 disclosed information about what Eric had seen. 13 checked. 14 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) And in terms of the press 14 Q. Because it was a matter of concern, wasn't it? 15 15 coverage certainly, a lot of attention was focused on A. I wanted to see what it was. 16 this particular transcript where the 16 Q. I mean, this is not a small matter. A motion 17 three-and-a-half-year-old is talking to his grandmother 17 was being filed to recuse Mr. Bradley and was making 18 18 specific allegations about you suppressing exculpatory about what he saw, right? 19 19 evidence. That was a matter of serious concern, wasn't A. I haven't read a lot of the press coverage.

A. I was getting the information, yes.

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

A. It became a matter of serious concern.

Certainly by the 20th and the 21st, right, you knew

that there were exculpatory DNA results, right?

Q. And I'm just trying to focus your attention.

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

20 21

22

23

24

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Well, but I'm just directing your attention

A. Yeah. And it seems like it involved a lot of

other things. There was allegations about Bradley.

And that's where I stopped reading. Then I looked at

to -- you started to say before that the recusal

motion, Exhibit 4, was long and --

Page 53 Page 55 Q. And you knew that there was a lengthy motion 1 1 A. I hadn't seen that e-mail and I was trying to 2 2 moving to recuse Mr. Bradley and making allegations figure out what I could remember. that you had committed acts of misconduct at the time 3 Q. Right. But I guess the point of this e-mail 4 was to assist you in trying to refresh your of this trial by suppressing exculpatory evidence, 5 5 recollection that either Ms. Jernigan or Ms. Bradley -right? 6 6 A. There was a single Brady allegation, if I were you talking to Mr. Bradley personally after seeing 7 7 remember correctly. this recusal motion on the 21st of August? 8 Q. Well, actually there was more than one matter 8 A. I'm sure I did at some point. 9 9 alleged. One had to do with the transcript. A second Q. So you were -- communications were --10 one had to do with information about a credit card of 10 A. But all of your questions are assuming that on the deceased being used after she was dead. And --11 the 21st I did a whole bunch of stuff. 11 A. That was in the recusal motion? 12 12 Q. No. 13 13 A. I got back. I had a busy court docket that Q. Yes. 14 A. Okav. 14 15 15 Q. And there was also an allegation with respect Q. Right. to the sighting of a green van in the neighborhood 16 A. You know, I was fitting it in. behind the Hazelhurst house, the wooded area where the 17 17 Q. I understand. I'm just trying to refresh your 18 bandana was recovered, and a man observed walking in 18 recollection about what you knew and when you knew it 19 that area at or around the time of the incident. 19 and who you were talking to as best we can. Fair 20 20 Those three items of alleged suppressed enough? 21 exculpatory evidence were included in this recusal 21 A. Fair enough. 22 22 Q. And so as I understand it, you got two calls motion. Do you not recall that? 23 23 A. I'm now aware of that, that it's the in Colorado from Mr. Bradley on your way back. True? 24 24 allegation. I don't remember when I became aware of A. One when I was there, one on the way back. Q. All right. You then came to the office on the 25 it. 25 Page 54 Page 56 1 Q. So you're saying that -- let me just ask 1 20th and you read this recusal motion. Or you obtained then. So when you were provided with this recusal 2 it. Yes? motion, I mean, did you -- I know you say it was long, 3 A. Yeah, it was likely on Sunday night. but did you read through it in its entirety? 4 4 Q. All right. And then starting on the 21st, you 5 5 A. I'm pretty sure I did not read through it in began not only talking with Mr. Bradley but you began 6 its entirety. I read through to find the portions that having conversations with Ms. Jernigan. 7 7 probably affected me. And I decided, after initially A. Yes. 8 8 looking at that, I needed to get things out of the DA's O. She was your point of contact. 9 9 file and read the transcript. A. She was the one who knew what was going on. 10 Q. So would it be fair to say that when you read 10 Q. Right. And you were saying that up through 11 through the recusal motion and the exhibits, your focus October 4th you were having several conversations with was on those allegations against you in terms of her during the week about updates in the Morton 12 13 13

- suppressing exculpatory evidence? You were not focusing on allegations against Mr. Bradley and his --
- 14 the allegations that he was biased against Mr. Morton's 15 defense attorneys. Fair enough?
- 16

### A. That would be fair.

17 18 Q. Okay. And then you then -- starting on the 19 21st, focusing on the allegations against you about suppressed exculpatory evidence, you then began looking at the transcript and offense reports trying to make the best effort you could to give a clear statement to the prosecutors with respect to what discovery you did 23 and did not provide and the circumstances surrounding 25 it.

matter. 14

### A. Yes. And then I imagine she was preparing a response to the motion to recuse.

Q. Right. So I've just shown you this e-mail, in fairness to you, that we received from the District Attorneys on Friday, August 19th, and you see that it is a direction to Ms. Jernigan to contact you and to see if you could familiarize yourself with the record so you could refresh your memory and state with clarity what happened during the discovery because there were specific Brady allegations being made against you. Fair enough? A. I never saw that memo.

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

Page 57

Q. I understand you didn't see it. A. I was trying to figure out what I could remember.

1

2

3

4

7

9

10

11

12

3

4

5

7

8

9

18

- Q. Here's my point to you. Very simple. And I just show you this e-mail to see if it helps you. But were you not getting requests from -- let's just start with Ms. Jernigan, right -- for you to look at the records and state with clarity everything you could remember about the discovery?
- A. You keep repeating that. I don't remember it that way. I remember I was the one who was trying to figure out what I could recapture from my memory.
- 13 Q. Okay. And all I'm asking you now is: Were 14 you receiving, during your calls starting on August 15 21st with Ms. Jernigan, statement -- a clear statement from her that she and Mr. Bradley wanted you to refresh 17 your recollection by becoming familiar with the file so 18 you could state with clarity whether you provided the 19 discovery about the matters that were alleged in the 20 recusal motion as to having been suppressed? Did you 21 not get that clear message from Ms. Jernigan and 22 Mr. Bradley starting on August 21st?
- 23 A. That's what I think I've been trying to say, 24 is I don't recall that being the focus. I was trying to, for my own purposes, do this. Kristen may well

- 1 A. I'm sure I was. I was in court that day. 2
  - O. And --
  - A. I was in my court that day.
  - Q. Right. And you understood that the conversations that you were having with Ms. Jernigan -let's just start with her -- between the 21st when you were back and having looked through the motion to recuse, to the 23rd, those conversations were in preparation for something that she would have to say in court, representations she would have to make in court about the discovery.

Page 59

Page 60

- A. I would assume so.
- 13 Q. And when you saw the allegations in the 14 recusal motion about the transcript of the interview 15 between Ms. Kirkpatrick and Eric and the conversation between Ms. Kirkpatrick and Sergeant Wood, did you 17 remember having seen such a transcript before? 18
- 19 Q. So were you very surprised to see that 20 transcript that was attached to the recusal motion?
  - A. I don't know that I ever looked at that. I was -- I just had no recollection of the discovery process, the disclosures, all those things. It was 25 years ago.
  - Q. We'll go over this in a little bit more

Page 58

have been asking me questions to get her response filed. And I'm not sure I've seen a response. And I'm just assuming she filed a response.

- Q. Right. But you knew that -- and let's try it this way. But you knew that Ms. Jernigan was tasked with having to respond to this recusal motion and she needed to find out from you --
  - A. What I knew.
- Q. -- what you knew, right?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Fair enough?
- 12 A. Fair enough.
- 13 Q. And you felt an obligation to report 14 accurately to her, as much as you could after 15 refreshing your recollection from looking at the 16 records and looking at all the relevant documents, what 17 happened.
  - A. I was trying to determine that, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, are you aware that by August 20 23rd -- and that would be -- the 21st is a Monday. 21 Okay?
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. By the 23rd, Wednesday, there was actually a hearing in front of Judge Stubblefield with respect to the motion to recuse?

- 1 length. But just as a general impression, when you
- read the transcript of the conversation between
- Sergeant Wood and Ms. Kirkpatrick with respect to what
- Eric said, did it strike you that this was Brady 4
- 5 material that you should have at least disclosed to
- 6 Judge Lott for purposes of in-camera review?
  - A. Brady is a mixed question of law and fact. You know, I had my own working standard for Brady
- 9 which was considerably higher than what the Supreme 10 Court requires. I have no recollection of what I told
- 11 White and Allison and what I didn't.
- 12 Q. But let's just step back. When you first read 13 the transcript, right, that was in the -- attached to
- 14 the recusal motion and read the allegation that the 15 defense was saying that this transcript of the
- 16 conversation between Wood and Kirkpatrick about what
- 17 Eric saw and said was Brady material and should have
- 18 been disclosed at least to Judge Lott for in-camera
- 19 review, when you saw it, right, did you say to yourself
- on August 21st or whenever you first started looking at
- it in those early days of this process, "My God, this
- 22 is obviously Brady material. It should have been
- 23 disclosed"?
- 24 A. All right. You keep talking about, you know, did I take it serious. I started taking it much more

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

	Page 61		Page 63
1	serious when I found out about the John Doe	1	think it was going anywhere when you say that the
2	information.	2	DNA
3	Q. You're talking here about when you found out	3	A. I was thinking of the evidence that convicted
4	that there was a DNA hit to somebody, correct?	4	the defendant and I was sure that nothing in this DNA
5	A. No. When I found out that there was more to	5	was going to ultimately exonerate him.
6	it than just a DNA hit.	6	Q. So you it was still in your mind even
7	Q. Oh, in other words, that there was a when	7	when you were told that it was Christine Morton's blood
8	you say let's let's well, this is let's	8	on the bandana, right, and that there was DNA from the
9	call let's just refer to the individual as John	9	skin cells of the wearer of the bandana that did not
10	Doe. Did you find out the individual's name, without	10	match Michael Morton and that that DNA profile of the
11	saying it out loud?	11	skin cells of the male wearer of the bandana had hit a
12	A. Yes.	12	convicted offender, even when you knew all of that, you
13	Q. Okay. And that rang no bells for you, I take	13	still believed that that was not going to be sufficient evidence to vacate Michael Morton's conviction just
14 15	it, the individual's name?  A. No.	15	based on the DNA, right? That's what you just said?
16	Q. Okay. So there was initially right? What	16	A. In part because of the way you said it, but
17	prompted the recusal motion was that there was not only	17	also in part because I didn't know what type of
18	DNA that excluded Mr. Morton and DNA testing that	18	technique had been used to extract the DNA. In other
19	showed it was Christine's blood on the bandana and	19	words, I didn't know if this was some sort of touch DNA
20	you indicated before, although your counsel was	20	and we were out in inadmissible land or it was
21	objecting to some questions	21	something more traditional. I had a feeling it wasn't
22	MR. SCHECK: Now maybe we could reopen	22	something real traditional because DPS didn't do it.
23	it, Mr. Dietz?	23	Q. So did you ask anybody this was part of
24	MR. DIETZ: I don't know what the	24	your discussions with Mr. Bradley or others in the
25	question is.	25	office?
	Page 62		Page 64
1	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) My question is: You indicated	1	A. Probably with Ms. Jernigan.
2	before that you had some awareness that there had been	2	Q. So you obviously are pretty familiar with DNA
3	DNA test results that showed that before any recusal	3	testing.
4	motion had been filed, that you were kept in the loop	4	A. Not as familiar as you are and not as familiar
5	and you knew there were DNA test results on the bandana	5	as I was when I was a prosecutor. Because when you're
6	that showed it was Christine's blood and it wasn't	6	a judge, you listen to the evidence in a different way
7	Michael Morton's DNA on the handkerchief.	7	than when you're actually preparing it for trial. So
8	A. I think I've already said that, yes.	8	for the last 10 years I have not kept up with DNA. I
9 10	Q. Okay. And that was sometime earlier than August 17th when the recusal motion was filed. You had	9	am vaguely aware that we get more exotic forms as we go and there's something called touch DNA which is, as far
11	that awareness.	11	as I know, not admissible in most jurisdictions.
12	A. Yeah, I had some awareness before I	12	Q. But I'm still focusing on your answer. You
13	sometime during the summer. Whether it was June or	13	said you even after hearing that there were DNA test
14	July, I don't remember.	14	results that hit somebody in CODIS from the male,
15	Q. Right. And now, at the time the recusal	15	right, whose DNA was found on the bandana, you still,
16	motion is filed, it is also disclosed that there's been	16	quote, "didn't think this was going anywhere," unquote,
17	a DNA hit, right, to somebody in the CODIS system.	17	as far as the DNA was concerned and Mr. Morton being
18	A. I think I learned that when I was in Colorado.	18	exonerated. That's what you just said, right?
19	Q. Okay. You learned that in Colorado. Is that	19	A. Yeah, that was my general impression.
20	when you started taking it more seriously?	20	Q. And that state of mind was something that you
21	A. No. Because up to that point I didn't think	21	still had on August 21st when you were reading the
22	this was going anywhere and the Brady allegations	22	recusal motion.
7).)	didult concoun me harrier I arrier 6 1/ 191 II I I	7 7	
23	didn't concern me because I never felt like I've had a	23	A. I was processing information when I was
23 24 25	didn't concern me because I never felt like I've had a problem with Brady.  Q. What do you what do you mean you didn't	23 24 25	reading the recusal motion, but Q. But so you still so in your words, you

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Page 65 Page 67 still weren't taking the DNA part -- you still weren't And he then turned over on September 26th, to both taking the DNA part of this seriously or the whole prosecutors and defense, the fact that the two DNA 3 thing seriously? profiles from the Baker case and from the Morton case 4 A. "Serious" isn't the right word. But you had 4 came from the same individual. All right? 5 expressed some words like urgency and --5 A. Okay. 6 6 Q. Yes. Q. So I take it what you're telling us then, it 7 A. And I was trying to say, you know, there was a 7 wasn't until September 26th that you began to take different level than it became whenever I became aware 8 seriously --9 A. No. I was taking it seriously. 9 of subsequent things with John Doe. 10 10 Q. Okay. So --Q. All right. 11 A. And I don't know if I'm even aware of all the 11 A. But when you're talking about urgent --12 12 things with John Doe. Q. Urgent. It didn't become an urgent matter to 13 Q. All right. So you -- I don't want to -- you 13 you. 14 know, we were using the words "taking it seriously" or 14 A. I didn't -- it became "Good grief, he's 15 "matter of serious concern". Those were the terms we 15 innocent" at some point in -- later on, which probably were using. And just to be fair with you, as far as 16 happened in late September. 17 17 the recusal motion was concerned and the DNA testing Q. So --18 results, you did not regard this as a serious matter of 18 A. Because at the beginning part of September, I 19 concern until you found out that John Doe had been 19 knew what my thoughts on it were. And I don't know if 20 20 linked --Mister -- what Mr. Bradley's thoughts were at that 21 21 A. When did we know that John Doe was linked to point. 22 additional things? 22 Q. Well, what were your thoughts? 23 23 Q. We can say it out loud. We knew that John Doe A. My thoughts were that it was long past time to was linked to the Baker case in Travis County and that 24 24 get the Texas Rangers or the Attorney General or somebody in to figure out what the heck was going on was first revealed --Page 66 Page 68 1 1 MR. RALEY: August 23rd. That's when you Q. So in other words --2 revealed it in court. A. -- in terms of figuring out everything. I 3 MR. SCHECK: No. It's later than that. 3 mean, I was getting the impression that you knew 4 4 It was in September. things, the Travis County DA or the Austin police knew 5 5 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Well, it was in September. I things. The Williamson County DA was maybe the last 6 think Judge Harle revealed that to counsel from both 6 person to find out. Well, except possibly for me. And 7 7 probably it was in the newspaper before I found out sides on --8 8 A. It had to have been in September. about it, but whatever. But early on in September I 9 9 Q. -- on September twenty -- September 16th. thought it was way past time. Like I said, White, 10 10 Allison, and I should have sat down a long time ago and A. Okav. 11 11 Q. I want to be precise with you. On September hashed this thing out. 12 Q. We'll get to that. But if I understand you 12 16th there was a telephonic hearing regarding the Debra 13 Baker case with the Travis County District Attorney and 13 correctly, you're saying in early September, after the 14 Judge Harle which he took in camera and then on 14 motion to recuse had been filed and after Judge 15 Stubblefield recused himself, you felt that a special 15 September 26th Judge Harle revealed that to both the prosecutor should have been appointed? 16 prosecutors and defense. Okay? Does that help you? 16 17 That's September 26th. 17 A. No. I thought the AG's office or the Texas 18 18 Rangers or somebody who could do a thorough A. Judge Harle knew before you all did? 19 19 investigation could get it -- should get everything and Q. Yes. Just to help you, he got a call. There's a transcript -- I take it you've never seen 20 get on top of this. it -- of a conversation between Ms. Lehmberg and Judge 21 Q. Well, in order to do that, that would mean 22 Harle where she provided to him what she thought was that -- were you suggesting to Mr. Bradley that he 23 should request that the Attorney General take over the potentially exculpatory information concerning the DNA 24 hit in the Travis County case, that it matched the same 24 investigation of this matter in early September? 25 individual whose DNA was found on the handkerchief. 25 A. I don't think I suggested it that way.

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

	Page 69		Page 71
1	Q. Well, is that what you were thinking?	1	it with you, Mr. Anderson"?
2	A. Not necessarily the Attorney General. Just	2	A. That was the gist of the conversation.
3	somebody.	3	Q. And during didn't he was disturbed, was
4	Q. Well, I think your words before were the	4	he not? Mr. Anderson Mr. Davis.
5	Attorney General.	5	A. I think that's a fair characterization, but
6	A. I think I said the Texas Rangers or the	6	Mike is
7	Attorney General or somebody.	7	Q. An excitable guy?
8	Q. Okay. Well, as things stood in September	8	A. He's an excitable guy, but he's also you
9	withdrawn.	9	know, his health isn't great. So he kind of looks
10	You knew that after we filed the motion	10	disturbed a lot of the time.
11	to recuse on August 17th and you read it on August 21st	11	Q. Okay. But he appeared he was would
12	that Mr. Bradley was taking the position that he was	12	agitated be a fair way to describe his demeanor when he
13	not going to recuse himself and he was not going to ask	13	met with you that day?
14	the Attorney General to come in and take over the case	14	A. I can't remember if he was agitated or shaken,
15	or ask	15	but he clearly was not himself.
16	A. Okay. I didn't mean to tie that into the	16	Q. And he was upset about this transcript in
17	recusal motion. I was just putting out terms and	17	particular of a conversation between Rita Kirkpatrick
18	groups who might could do a comprehensive investigation	18	and Sergeant Wood with respect to what that Eric had
19	to get to the bottom of this.	19	seen a monster who and specifically said it was not
20	Q. Okay. Let me ask you	20	his father. It wasn't Daddy. He was disturbed about
21	MR. SCHECK: Do you want to break?	21	that, was he not?
22	MR. DIETZ: We've been an hour and a	22	A. I don't remember the conversation that well,
23	half. Let's take a break, 10 minutes or so, if you	23	but he may well have been.
24	would.	24	Q. Well, we deposed him; and I think we might as
25	MR. SCHECK: Let me just focus your	25	well, before we take our break, read what his
	Page 70		Page 72
1	attention on one question and see if this helps you.	1	version of the conversation and then ask you if this
2	MR. DIETZ: That's fine.	2	accords with your recollection.
3	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) So August 21st you read the	3	Now, this is at
4	motion, correct? Or go through it, the recusal	4	MR. RALEY: And over to the next page.
5	motion.	5	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. Just to give it's
6	A. Probably on the 20th.	6	starting at page 43 of his deposition.
7	Q. Right. On the 20th, the 21st. And August	7	MR. SCHECK: Do you have that?
8	23rd, that Wednesday, there's a hearing in front of	8	MR. DIETZ: No.
9	Judge Stubblefield. You have that in mind. Okay?	9	MR. SCHECK: Maybe I'll just read
10	That time frame.	10	along
11	A. Okay.	11	MR. DIETZ: That's fine.
12	Q. And you remember there was a hearing in front	12	MS. PECKER: I can give you a copy.
13	of Judge Stubblefield.	13	MR. SCHECK: Why don't you give him a
14	A. And I probably was in court solid that week.	14	copy.
15	Q. Right. But I'm just keeping that time frame	15	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Starting at line 23.
16	in mind. Did you during that period get contacted by	16	A. Can I be looking at the copy while you're
17	your co-counsel on the case, Michael Davis?	17	reading it?
18	A. I didn't know who tried the case with me. On	18	Q. Sure. Sure.
19	probably the 21st Mike Davis was in my outer office, as		MR. RALEY: Just hang on one second.
20	he frequently is, and he that was when I found out	20	We'll get it.
21	he was the other prosecutor in the case.	21	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Maybe I'll start at line 15.
22	Q. And do you recall the conversation being	22	Why don't you get a copy. And I want you to hear
23 24	something to the effect of he informed you you had	23	everything he said and then you can respond.
4	first said, "I thought it was Phillips who tried this	24	A. Okay. What page are we on?
25	case," and then he said, "No, no, I'm the one who tried	25	Q. So go to page 43 and let's start around line

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

	Page 73		Page 75
1	15. And just to give you a sense of he was being	1	A. Yes.
2	asked about his reactions after the recusal motion was	2	Q. Okay. Now, you have told us that you recall
3	filed and what he did. Okay? I'm starting at line	3	Mr. Anderson coming into your office and discussing
4	15. Question, "All right. And did after that	4	this and that
5	motion was filed that stated what you had stated to the	5	A. Mr. Davis.
6	jury, did Ken Anderson ever ask you about that motion	6	Q. Mr. Davis. I'm sorry. And I represent to you
7	or that quotation?"	7	that he also says at another point in this deposition
8	Answer, "Not that I recall."	8	that at first you did not remember that he was the one
9	That's referring to the post-conviction	9	that tried the case with you, he thought it was an
10	motion.	10	individual named Phillips. And then he goes on to make
11	A. Okay.	11	the statements in the deposition that I just read to
12	Q. Do you remember that?	12	you.
13	Okay. Question, "Did he ever discuss	13	Now, does his sworn testimony about that
14	that conversation with you?"	14	conversation accord with your recollection?
15	Answer, "Not that I recall."	15	A. He seems like he's got about as good a recall
16	Question, "Did he ever assure you that	16	of that conversation as I do, which isn't very good.
17	'Not to worry. I produced everything in the Woods	17	You know, I literally had totally forgot the issues in
18	file'?"	18	this case. I have recollection of the trial
19	Answer, "I didn't even know this was an	19	happening. I have some general impressions of things
20	issue. And Mr. Anderson and I are not friends, so I	20	that happened. But until I went back and read the
21	don't he never talked to me about that until	21	transcript, it didn't really refresh my memory. I just
22	recently."	22	looked at the transcript and it showed this.
23	Question, "And in your recent	23	Q. But you were aware, after reading the recusal
24	conversation, what did he tell you?"	24	motion, that there had been an order for you to produce
25	Answer, "I would have to when this	25	for Judge Lott the reports of Sergeant Wood and then
	Page 74		Page 76
1	came out about the DNA testing and they were starting	1	rough field notes of Sergeant Wood for in-camera
2	to have those hearings, I was shocked to hear about	2	inspection with respect to the defendant's Brady
3	this kid transcript or story or whatever it was that	3	motion.
4	the kid had seen this because I didn't recall that.	4	A. I don't think that's a fair representation of
5	And I still don't I know there was something about	5	the transcript.
6	the kid, but I don't recall what it was."	6	Q. All right. Well, we'll go through the
7	"And so I went to Judge Anderson's	7	transcript. You're much more familiar with it now,
8	office and I asked him, 'Were you aware of that?' And	8	correct?
9	Judge Anderson showed me a copy of the Morton opinion.	9	A. I am very familiar with it after spending this
10	He had his book there, reading it. And he says, 'We	10	weekend on it.
11	turned it over.' And I can't quote him exactly, but the essence was that that was the sealed file that was	11	Q. Okay. But as of the 21st, you were at least
12		12	aware that there was an allegation that you did not
13 14	turned over."  Question, "And that was in relation to	13	produce for Judge Lott the complete report of Sergeant
15	the statement the transcript of the statement by	14 15	Wood and his rough notes with respect to this
16	Eric Morton, the three-and-a-half-year-old son."	16	investigation.  A. I don't think I got that. If that's in the
17	Answer, "That"	17	recusal motion, I was just focusing in on did I tell
18	Question, "That's what"	18	the defense about what Eric saw.
19	Answer, "Yes, sir."	19	Q. Let's
20	And then just to go on, question, "That's	20	A. And the concept of transcript versus the
21	what you were concerned about. That's what you were	21	information, I only recently realized that was two
22	asking him about."	22	separate allegations you all were making.
23	Answer, "Yeah, because that would just be	23	Q. So as you sit here today, you have a
24	horrible if that didn't happen."	24	recollection that you verbally told the defense
25	Do you see that?	25	counsel

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

Page 77 Page 79 1 A. No, sir. 1 Okay? Now, on the 21st of August when 2 Q. -- about the transcript or you read it to them you spoke with Mr. Davis, did you tell him, in or you showed it to them? substance, "Yes, I produced the transcript of this 4 A. Absolutely not. conversation between Kirkpatrick and Wood to Judge Lott 5 Q. You did not do that. 5 for in-camera inspection"? 6 6 A. I have no recollection of it. A. I haven't ever told anybody that. I would 7 7 Q. Do you think you did that? have to get the opinion out to see what it was. 8 A. There's no way on God's green earth either I 8 Evidently it had been raised on the appeal. It had didn't tell them about it or we all were talking about 9 9 been sealed. And the Court of Appeals examined it. 10 it. 10 And I -- I'd have to go back and look at the Court of 11 11 Q. Are you denying that in terms of your state of Appeals opinion. I think they said there was no Brady 12 12 mind and your best recollections as of August 21st that information in it. 13 13 when you saw the recusal motion and you were confronted Q. Right. Well, the Court of Appeals ruled -by Mr. Davis in your office that you told him, "Yes, we 14 the Trial Court and the Court of Appeals ruled that 15 produced that transcript for Judge Lott"? 15 they looked at a sealed envelope and they said -- that 16 A. There is no way I would make that affirmative 16 purportedly had Sergeant Wood's report and field notes 17 17 statement. in it because you've seen the parts of the transcript 18 Q. So what he said there is not accurate. 18 where you say, "Oh, I forgot his field notes. I'll go 19 19 get his notes," right? You've seen that. A. I -- I'm not reading this the same way you 20 20 are. A. There's multiple places in the transcript. Q. Okay. 21 21 Q. So the point is that Judge Lott reviewed 22 22 whatever was produced to him in camera as being A. There is a sentence where he appears to say 23 23 Sergeant Wood's reports and notes, field notes, and 24 24 Q. Well, if you want -- how do you read it? found no Brady material, correct? Let's just go on and read the next -- I left off at 25 A. That's what he says in the transcript. Page 78 Page 80 page 45, line three, right? Okay. Let's go on 1 Q. And then -further. Question, "And he told you that was turned 2 A. Wait a second. Back up. How did you ask that 3 over " 3 question? 4 4 Answer, "I don't know what he" -- "that O. I'm sorry? 5 5 he used the transcript -- or said transcript or the A. You said he reviewed his reports and field 6 6 statement. He said --" notes. 7 7 Question, "But that's what you were Q. A report -- his complete report, the word 8 "complete report". Well, we'll go back over the 8 talking about." transcript together. But I'm just going to ask you 9 Answer, "That's what I was talking 9 about. And what I got from that is the things had been straight up. Okay? Did you tell Mike Davis that to 10 11 turned over to the Court and the Court of Appeals had 11 the best of your recollection that this transcript or a 12 reviewed them." 12 transcript of the conversation between Sergeant Wood 13 Question, "And you had -- in your mind, 13 and Rita Kirkpatrick had been produced to the Court for 14 14 in-camera inspection? Did you tell him that? you had no doubt that you were talking to him about --" 15 15 Answer, "That's what I was talking A. That may have come out of the opinion. 16 about." 16 Q. Well, the opinion doesn't discuss the 17 Question, "-- the child's statement." 17 transcript of the conversation at all. The opinion Answer, "Yes, sir. Because at that time 18 18 just says that there's no exculpatory evidence in the 19 19 I didn't have any idea about checks or credit cards." sealed envelope, right? 20 Question, "But you were concerned about 20 A. I'd have to go back and look at the opinion, 21 21 the child's statement." but yes. 22 Answer, "Absolutely." 22 Q. Okay. So the question was being asked of you 23 23 on August 21st when this transcript was discovered in Question, "And that's what you went to 24 talk to him about." the sheriff's files of an interview between Wood and "Yes, sir." Kirkpatrick about what Eric Morton saw, right, and the

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

Page 81 Page 83 allegation was being made, you understood it, that you that you must have disclosed because it would have 2 had not produced that to Judge Wood as part of Sergeant fallen into the area of something that you would have Wood's report or notes. You understood that was the considered then and now Brady. 4 4 allegation. A. Something then and now I would turn over. But 5 5 I don't recall. And I don't recall actually exactly A. Generally. 6 6 Q. And you told Davis, did you not, that yes, you what Mike and I talked about that day. 7 7 had produced that to Judge Wood? Didn't you tell him MR. SCHECK: Okay. All right. Why don't 8 8 we take a break and then we'll move on. that? 9 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 11:41. We're off the A. There was no way I told him that. 10 Q. Or what did you say to the best of your 10 record. 11 11 recollection? (Recess from 11:40 a.m. to 11:51 a.m.) 12 12 A. I don't recall that part of the conversation. VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 11:53, beginning Tape 13 13 The only thing I could --No. 2. We are on the record. Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) And I'm just now referring to 14 Q. Judge Lott. I'm sorry. Could you --14 15 A. The only thing I could have or would have said 15 the recusal motion at page 15 and if you got that far 16 is -on September 20th or 21st. I'll just read this out 17 17 Q. Yes. loud to you and see if this helps. Under -- after 18 A. -- I have no recollection. There is no way on 18 recounting what's in the transcript of the conversation 19 between Sergeant Wood and Ms. Kirkpatrick, the recusal 19 God's green earth, if that was in my file, I wouldn't 20 have told them that Eric said that the monster killed motion then goes on to say on Point D -- and this his mother unless -- and I may not have gone into this 21 relates to you, I would say -- "Prosecutors 22 22 Deliberately Withheld Eric's Eyewitness Account from with Davis -- unless we had been having conversations Defense Counsel by Keeping Sergeant Wood from 23 about that between the lawyers. 24 Q. Okay. So your state of mind as of October Testifying and Falsely Asserting that His Reports 21st, right, your best recollection of what happened, Contained No Brady Material". Page 82 Page 84 1 is that --1 Do you see that? A. Now, I had another conversation with Mike at 2 A. Okav. 3 some other time and so I'm trying to think --3 Q. You remember that? 4 A. That would be hard to say, but --4 O. After this one? 5 5 A. Yeah, I had at least two -- well, that other Q. Well, let's put it this way. As you were conversation -- this probably was the most substantive looking at the August 21st document, as you said, for 7 things that related to you, it's fair to say that by conversation, but it was really short. 8 8 page 15, when you get to Paragraph D, that's a pretty Q. Tell us about the other conversation so we 9 9 don't get confused. direct ---10 A. It was -- Bradley had come into my courtroom. 10 A. I don't want to be critical, but that thing 11 Davis was there. And Bradley wanted to tell us contains a lot of purple prose and I wasn't concerned 11 12 12 something, probably that the opinion had been issued. that I had violated Brady. 13 I didn't want to talk about that at the bench, so I 13 O. Okav. 14 14 said, "Let's go back to my office." A. So I wasn't -- you know, it wasn't causing me 15 15 Q. Okay. We'll get to that one later. But right any great concern. Whatever I gleaned from it, I knew 16 now I'm just focusing on the 21st. You're telling us 16 after I read it or went through it that I needed to 17 that you did not tell Davis -- withdrawn. look at the transcript, the statement of facts, and 17 18 18 In that conversation with Davis did you whatever reports were in the DA's file to see if I 19 19 acknowledge that this transcript was plainly Brady could remember stuff. 20 material? 20 Q. So I realize that, in your own mind, you felt 21 A. The information would have been something I 21 secure that you had not violated Brady, right? That's 22 22 typically would have disclosed. what you're telling us. 23 23 Q. So the information in the transcript of the A. That's correct. 24 interview between Wood and Rita Kirkpatrick you were 24 Q. Okay. But I'm just reading you what it says communicating to Davis was information, in your mind 25 here. And it says "Undersigned counsel has carefully

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

4

5

6

7

13

14

25

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reviewed all of trial counsel's remaining file (which

- was provided to us in or around 2002) and the Sergeant
- Wood report above is nowhere in that file. Undersigned
- has also been informed by Bill Allison and Bill White,
- Defendant's trial attorneys, that neither of them
- 6 possesses any additional files in this case - nor do
- 7 they remember (and they submit that they surely would
- have remembered) seeing the foregoing transcripts in
- 9 their file had it been provided to them during
- 10 discovery."

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

13

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

Now, that's referring to this transcript of Sergeant Wood talking to Ms. Kirkpatrick.

A. Okay. There's two things. First of all, if we're -- if one of your questions had the word "Brady" in it, you know, Brady involves admissible evidence and that's different than just pure what a layman would call exculpatory evidence. And Eric's testimony was not going to be admissible.

And then you keep talking about this transcript. And I'm having -- you know, if I gave that information to them, I would not have given them the transcript. I would have given them an oral report or summary that Eric said a monster killed his mother.

Q. So your understanding of Brady as of 1986, at the time you tried this case, is that you did not have

as a prosecutor unless you believed that that

Page 85 Page 87

> A. I mean, we could write a 20-page treatise and you and I could look at the same piece of hypothetical

information and come to two different conclusions using what we've just researched in that 20 pages.

- Q. Let's -- let's just -- I know that -- I've noticed that you do not want to use the term "Brady" in answer to the previous question, so let's -- and you're
- 8 making a distinction. Okay? And I take it the
- 9 distinction is between what you think you were legally 10 obligated to turn over as so-called Brady material or
- 11 exculpatory evidence versus your own policies on what
- 12 you would ordinarily disclose to the defense.
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. Is that the distinction you're making?
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Okay. And as I understood your answer just
- 17 now with respect to the transcript of Kirkpatrick
- 18 talking to Sergeant Wood, it was -- it's your belief
- 19 even today, I take it, that that was not -- that
- 20 transcript was not something that you were legally
- 21 obligated to disclose to the defense or even to Judge
- 22 Lott in camera for review as potential Brady material
- 23 because you believed that that transcript and the
- testimony of Eric would not be admissible. 24
  - A. That's about three different questions.

Page 86

to disclose information that you had in your possession 1 Q. All right. Let's take them one at a time.

> 2 Did you believe that you did -- as you sit here 3

today -- right?

4 you thought Brady meant? 5 A. I told you my working definition and the legal 6 definition of Brady were two different things.

Q. Well, you know that there are two transcripts, 7 correct?

Q. Okay. Let's start with your legal definition. You -- it was your state of mind in 1986, and it remains yours today, that the only kind of favorable information you have to disclose with respect to Brady is information that you believe is admissible

information would be admissible evidence. That's what

12 evidence. A. I have no idea what I thought the law was in 14 '86. Bagley --

- Q. That's what you think today.
- A. Bagley or Agurs or one of those cases that was probably decided before '86 says something to that effect.
- 19 Q. All right. That's -- but that's what you 20 think even today. 21
  - A. There -- we have, what, 50 years worth of cases interpreting what Brady means; and you and I could disagree with that all day. But I'm trying to avoid using the term "Brady" without a proper --Q. Right.

- A. As I sit here today, I do not know if the transcript was in my possession in 1986.
- A. All I'm aware of that was in the District
- Attorney's file was the --Q. Shortened transcript.
- A. -- two-page report.
- 12 Q. Right. There's no question in your mind that 13 that was in your possession in 1986.
  - A. No, I have no memory of that being in my possession in 1986.
  - Q. Well, you know that the shortened transcript was CC'd to you; is that right?
  - A. If it says that on the bottom, yes. And I asked what was in the DA's file and that was provided to me as being in the DA's file.
  - Q. I just wanted -- before we move on, I just want to get some clarification from you. Is it your position as you sit here today that that transcript did not have to be disclosed as Brady material to the defense because in your judgment -- I'm talking about

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth East Texas San Antonio Bryan/College Station

Page 88

	Page 89		Page 91
1	the law now because in your judgment it was not	1	pointed allegations that you did not turn over this
2	admissible evidence?	2	transcript and you did not have Sergeant Wood testify
3	A. If the law is that inadmissible evidence	3	because you were concerned that his report and notes,
4	doesn't have to be turned over, that would be correct.	4	including this transcript, would have to be disclosed
5	Q. Now let me ask you. Do you think that's the	5	to the defense. That was being alleged. You knew
6	law?	6	that, right?
7	A. I'm not sure at this point. And I'm really	7	A. If I read that, I knew it.
8	not sure what it was back in 1986. That would take a	8	Q. Okay. Now, you're saying that when Mike Davis
9	little bit of legal research.	9	came and spoke with you on the 21st, you did not assure
10	Q. Well	10	him that the transcript of the conversation between
11	A. But that's not the standard I used.	11	Kirkpatrick and Wood had been disclosed to Judge Lott
12	Q. Have you reviewed Bill Allison's motion for	12	or the for in-camera review.
13	Brady material that was filed in this case?	13	A. There would be no way I could have said that
14	A. I looked at it last night.	14	in that fashion.
15	Q. And when you looked at it last night, you're	15	Q. Okay. What fashion
16	aware that in that Brady motion they asked for evidence	16	A. And you keep using "transcript". I'm fairly
17	that is inconsistent with the prosecution's theory of	17	certain that I wouldn't give the transcript, which I
18	the case, favorable evidence, whether admissible or	18	didn't probably have, when I'm making a Brady
19	inadmissible. You saw that in the motion, didn't you?	19	disclosure or any kind of disclosure.
20	A. I didn't notice that particularly. I noticed	20	Q. Okay. Did Ms. Jernigan, between August 21st
21	he had an extremely expansive view of what Brady was.	21	and August 23rd, ask you questions about whether or not
22	Q. All right. But as far as you're concerned,	22	you disclosed to the defense or to Judge Lott for
23	even as you sit here today, you're unsure about whether	23	in-camera review the tran any transcript, whether
24	or not favorable evidence to the accused must be	24	it's the short one or the long one, of the conversation
25	disclosed by the prosecutor even if that information is	25	between Kirkpatrick and Wood about what Eric saw?
	Page 90		Page 92
1	not necessarily admissible evidence.	1	A. I don't know. But where are you coming up
2	A. I think that's one of the factors you look at.	2	with transcript and disclosing that to Judge Lott?
3	Q. Well, in your book, "Crime in Texas," at page	3	Q. You were aware
4	42, when it comes to the motions to disclose evidence,	4	A. Because there's no
5	you write "The defense will also file a Brady motion.	5	Q. You were aware from the recusal motion that
6 7	This motion received its name from a United States	6 7	the allegation was
8	Supreme Court called Brady versus Maryland. The Supreme Court held that prosecutors must turn over to	8	A. No, I'm not aware from the recusal motion. I don't recall what I knew about the recusal motion. I
9	the defense any evidence which indicates the defendant	9	clearly did not read the whole thing. I did not look
10	might not be guilty."	10	at the attachments.
11	A. Correct.	11	Q. All right. So it's now your position that you
12	Q. Now	12	did not carefully review the recusal motion
13	A. I'm writing for laypeople. I wouldn't try to	13	A. That's always been my position.
14	explain Brady and its progeny in less than 20 pages to		Q and that you did not did you all
15	anybody who's a lawyer.	15	right. Did you understand from either your cursory
16	Q. Let's just get your state of mind now. As of	16	would it be fair to say you made a cursory review of
17	August 21st when you looked at the recusal motion	17	the recusal motion?
18	right?	18	A. And probably read portions of it.
19	A. Okay.	19	Q. Right. But from your limited review would
20	Q. That included the transcript of the interview	20	that be a fair term?
20	between Wood and Kirkpatrick yes?	21	A. Okay.
21			Q. Is that fair with you, limited review?
21 22	A. I don't remember that, but okay.	22	
21 22 23	Q. Well, you know it's in this exhibit.	23	A. Okay.
21 22 23 24	<ul><li>Q. Well, you know it's in this exhibit.</li><li>A. Well, yeah. You showed it to me.</li></ul>	23 24	<ul><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So from your limited review of the recusal</li></ul>
21 22 23	Q. Well, you know it's in this exhibit.	23	A. Okay.

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

Page 93 Page 95 August 21st and August 23rd when there was going to be when -a hearing in front of Judge Stubblefield -- and you 2 A. I'm having trouble understanding and recalling knew she would have to say something about these 3 what I knew on August 21st. I mean, I was told to come issues, correct? 4 to this deposition to talk about disclosure and 5 5 A. I don't have any recall of a specific nondisclosure back in '86 through the end of the direct 6 conversation with Kristen between the 21st and the 6 appeal. 7 7 Q. Yes. That's right, sir. Now, let's see if --8 Q. Right. My -- but I know you don't -- you're 8 I'm trying to be careful with you. You would expect 9 saying now you don't recall any specific conversations that, would you not? 9 10 10 with her. But do you have any doubt that you had A. I'm sure you're being careful. conversations with either her or Mr. Bradley after your 11 Q. About what you knew and when you knew it. 11 review of the recusal motion and before the hearing 12 Fair enough? 12 13 13 with Judge Stubblefield? A. I'm having trouble understanding -- I'm having 14 A. I certainly presume I did. 14 trouble recalling of the conversations when -- what 15 Q. Okay. Because you understood that she was 15 happened on the 20th -- 21st, 22nd, and I don't know 16 going to have to be the one that was going to stand up 16 what time the hearing was on the 23rd. I could go in court and say something to Judge Stubblefield about 17 check my court calendar; but having just come back from 17 whether or not the defense allegations were true that 18 a vacation, I suspect the twenty -- those three days 19 this transcript, either the short or the long form, of 19 were extremely busy in my court. 20 20 the interview between Kirkpatrick and Wood had not been Q. I understand that. And that's why I'm trying 21 disclosed to Judge Lott for in-camera review. You were 21 to go through with you, as best we can, right, what you 22 aware of that issue, weren't you? 22 remember of the issues and what you said to whom. Fair 23 23 enough? A. I was aware they were having a hearing. 24 24 Q. But weren't you aware -- when you were having A. That's fair enough. But I'm --Q. And so as I understand what you've told us, conversations with Ms. Jernigan about this recusal 25 Page 94 Page 96 you received these two telephone calls in Colorado from motion, weren't you aware that one of the issues was whether or not you had submitted to Judge Lott for 2 Mr. Bradley. in-camera review a report from Sergeant Wood and field 3 A. I have a distinction recollection of that. notes that would include this transcript, either the 4 Q. Okay. 5 5 short form or the long form? A. I have a distinct recollection of the 6 A. I've read the statement of facts for the 6 conversation with Mike Davis the day I got back to my 7 pretrial hearings and there is no discussion in there office, or at least having a conversation. 8 8 Q. All right. So tell me what your recollection about transcripts. It's about Don Wood's report and 9 field notes. 9 is as differentiated from what he testified to. 10 10 A. I don't recall the details that he goes into. Q. You've now read that. And are you telling us 11 11 that as far as you're concerned, the only thing that I recall the initial part of the conversation where he you produced or you felt obligated to produce to Judge said that he -- you know, "What's going on?" And 12 13 Lott -- now having looked back over the transcripts and 13 somehow or another I said, "What's it to you," 14 all the briefs and everything else in preparation for 14 basically or, you know -- because I thought Phillips 15 this deposition, your understanding is the only thing had tried the case with me. 15 16 16 that you were obligated to produce for Judge Lott was a O. Right. 17 report from Sergeant Wood that concerned the statements A. And then he said, "No, I tried it with you." 17 18 And I said, "Oh, okay." 18 that Michael Morton made on August 13th and 14th? Is 19 that your --19 And whatever conversation we had after 20 A. Based on my review of the transcript, that's 20 that would have necessarily been based on what I had. 21 what I would assume I was supposed to prepare -- do. 21 And I may well have opened the direct appeal to 22 22 Q. That's your -- after reviewing them now, Morton's case on my desk at that time. I have a hard 23 correct? 23 book library. And it looked to me in his transcript --

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

in his deposition like that might have been what he was

referring to. But I'd have to look at the appeal and

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

24

A. Yes.

Q. All right. Now, but on August 21st, okay,

Page 97 Page 99 see exactly if that matches up. that's exculpatory. I must have produced it"? Could 1 1 2 2 Q. To the best of your recollection, did you say you have said words to that effect? 3 anything to him, in substance, "Yes, I disclosed this 3 A. The only thing I could have said was "I don't 4 4 remember. If I had that information, surely I would transcript or the substance of it to the defense. Yes, 5 5 I produced it"? Did you say anything like that? have turned it over." 6 6 A. The transcript? Q. Now, between the 21st when you read this, 7 Q. Yes. Did you say anything like that? What 7 right, and the 23rd when there was this hearing, you've did you say as best you can recall --8 told us that you must have been talking with 9 Ms. Jernigan, correct? 9 A. I don't recall --Q. -- on that issue? 10 10 A. I can't imagine I wasn't. 11 Q. Right. Because you knew that she was very 11 A. I don't recall that I said anything on that 12 issue. If I said anything, it would have been "I can't 12 eager to find out --13 13 remember a darn thing about that. If there was A. I don't know what was said at that hearing. 14 information about Eric seeing the monster" --14 We've been talking about that for, what, 20 minutes 15 15 Q. Yes. 16 A. -- "in our possession, there is no way on 16 Q. I'm not interested right now in what was said 17 17 God's green earth I wouldn't have told the defense at that hearing. What I'm interested in, if you can do 18 about it unless we had already been talking about it." 18 it, is your best recollection of what you told 19 Q. Now, why do you say that? Because -- why do 19 Ms. Jernigan or anyone else, including Mr. Bradley, 20 20 you say that if you had any knowledge that Eric had from the District Attorney's office from the moment you said that he had seen the monster and the monster was 21 did your limited review of the recusal motion to the 22 not Daddy -- right? That's what's exculpatory here. 22 time they had to stand up in court and make 23 23 Fair enough? representations --24 24 A. I think the whole thing is --A. Okay. Q. You think the whole thing is exculpatory, 25 25 Q. I want to know what, to the best of your Page 98 Page 100 1 right? 1 recollection, you told them about whether or not you 2 A. In general, yes. had produced for Judge Lott in camera or turned over to 3 Q. All right. And exculpatory meaning tends to the defense directly the transcript of the interview show that the defendant didn't commit the crime. 4 4 with Eric or even the substance of the interview with 5 5 A. Well, clearly Eric wasn't going to be a Eric. What did you tell them? witness. There was a motion in limine I filed. We 6 A. I cannot focus on a two-and-a-half-day period 7 7 out of this nine-month -- nine-week stretch we've gone talked about that at the trial. 8 8 Q. I'm just asking whether this transcript -through and tell you what I told somebody on that 9 you're saying that this information that Eric was 9 particular day. 10 Q. Well, could you have given them -- would it 10 saying that he had seen a monster that hurt Mommy and it was not Daddy -- right? That that information is 11 be -- thinking back, did you provide them assurances, something that's contained in the transcript and you're 12 "Oh, yes, I produced" -- withdrawn. 12 13 telling us now that you plainly recognize that's 13 Let's start it this way. You knew from 14 exculpatory. Fair enough? 14 recusal motion filing up through the September 23rd 15 A. I plainly recognize that it's something I 15 hearing that there was an issue about what had been 16 16 would have turned over. produced in camera to Judge Lott. You knew that. 17 O. All right. So you're saying that that 17 A. Yeah, at some point I became aware of that. information is something that you would have turned 18 Q. And you knew that it was the position of the 18 19 19 over to the defense had you known about it at the time defense that you were supposed to have turned over for 20 of trial. 20 in-camera review in connection with the Brady motion 21 21 the complete report of Sergeant Wood and his field A. Yeah. If that's in my file, there's no way in 22 22 God's green earth I don't hand -- not hand it -- don't notes in connection with Brady. 23 23 tell them. A. I have no idea what I knew about the defense. 24 Q. Right. So you -- so whatever you were saying 24 I know now -to Mister -- so could you have said to Mr. Davis, "Yes, Q. You knew that was the allegation.

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

	Page 101		Page 103
1	A. I wasn't particularly concerned about the	1	Q. Okay. Did you assure Ms. Jernigan or anyone
2	exact wording of the allegation. I know now, from	2	in Mr. Bradley's office that either of those reports of
3	reading the transcript, what Judge Lott wanted from me	3	the conversation between Kirkpatrick and Wood should be
4	Q. I'm not asking about that. We're going to	4	in the in-camera production to Judge Lott?
5	review the Lott the transcripts together and what	5	A. During that two-and-a-half-day period?
6	was said or not said. But I'm asking you now about	6	Q. Yeah.
7	your state of mind and what you told the prosecutors,	7	A. I don't know. My mind is incapable of going
8	right, to the best that you can recall it between	8	back and pulling out one two-and-a-half-day period out
9	August 21st and August 23rd about and that's what	9	of a nine-week transaction.
10	I'm inquiring about. Are you following me?	10	Q. Did you at any point during before the
11	A. Yes.	11	sealed envelope was opened, recall assuring anyone from
12	Q. Okay. And what I'm asking you is: During	12	the District Attorney's office that when that envelope
13	that period of time were you aware that an issue had	13	was opened up that the some version, either the
14 15	arisen about whether or not you had provided the complete report of Sergeant Wood and field notes,	14	short version or the long version, of the
16		16	Kirkpatrick-Wood conversation would be in there?
17	including either the short version or the long version of the Kirkpatrick testimony transcript whether	17	<ul><li>A. In the sealed envelope?</li><li>Q. Yeah. As part of the production to Judge Lott</li></ul>
18	you had provided that for in-camera review to Judge	18	in connection with the Brady motion of the Wood
19	Lott in connection with the Brady motion? You knew	19	documents.
20	that was an issue, didn't you?	20	A. First of all, it wasn't in connection with the
21	A. That was four questions.	21	Brady motion and we'll go talk about the transcripts in
22	Q. All right. Did you know it was an issue about	22	a minute.
23	whether or not you had produced for in-camera review to	23	Q. We'll talk about that. You've reviewed these
24	Judge Lott the transcript of the Wood of the	24	files. You know that there's a notation in there by
25	Wood-Kirkpatrick conversation?	25	Judge Lott that he was reviewing the Wood report with
	Page 102		Page 104
1	A. I don't believe I had focused in the detail	1	respect to the Brady motion. You've seen that, right?
2	you're talking about. I was focused on I was	2	A. I saw the transcript where Allison, without
3	focused on getting back to work; but to the extent I	3	White evidently being present, and me are taking up a
4	was focused on this, I was thinking in terms of did I	4	motion to suppress and evidently voluntariness was also
5	disclose something about Eric saying about the monster		
6			an issue, where Bill is doing a really, really good job
	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or	6	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm
7	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's	6 7	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available.
7 8	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you	6 7 8	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained."
7 8 9	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the	6 7 8 9	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back
7 8 9 10	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what	6 7 8 9	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to
7 8 9 10 11	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?	6 7 8 9 10 11	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not
7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.  Q. All right. Could you have assured them during	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection with the Brady motion?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.  Q. All right. Could you have assured them during that two-and-a-half-day period that, yes, you produced	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection with the Brady motion?  A. In the log book?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.  Q. All right. Could you have assured them during that two-and-a-half-day period that, yes, you produced Wood's complete report and this transcript of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection with the Brady motion?  A. In the log book?  Q. Yes, his log docket sheet. Did you ever
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.  Q. All right. Could you have assured them during that two-and-a-half-day period that, yes, you produced	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection with the Brady motion?  A. In the log book?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.  Q. All right. Could you have assured them during that two-and-a-half-day period that, yes, you produced Wood's complete report and this transcript of the interview between Kirkpatrick and Wood should be there?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection with the Brady motion?  A. In the log book?  Q. Yes, his log docket sheet. Did you ever see that?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.  Q. All right. Could you have assured them during that two-and-a-half-day period that, yes, you produced Wood's complete report and this transcript of the interview between Kirkpatrick and Wood should be there?  A. Transcript? Again, you know	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection with the Brady motion?  A. In the log book?  Q. Yes, his log docket sheet. Did you ever see that?  A. I noticed there was one. I couldn't really
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.  Q. All right. Could you have assured them during that two-and-a-half-day period that, yes, you produced Wood's complete report and this transcript of the interview between Kirkpatrick and Wood should be there?  A. Transcript? Again, you know  Q. There's two transcripts, a short one and a long one, right?  A. There's a well, I'd call it an offense	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained."  Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection with the Brady motion?  A. In the log book?  Q. Yes, his log docket sheet. Did you ever see that?  A. I noticed there was one. I couldn't really decipher his handwriting.  Q. Okay. But there was a notation with words that I've just described to you, right?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Are you telling us that Kristen Jernigan or John Bradley or someone from the District Attorney's office did not say to you, "Hey, Ken, what did you produce to Judge Lott in camera with respect to the Wood reports?" Did they ask you anything about what you produced in camera?  A. I don't remember having that conversation during that two-and-a-half-day period.  Q. They didn't ask you that.  A. I have no idea.  Q. All right. Could you have assured them during that two-and-a-half-day period that, yes, you produced Wood's complete report and this transcript of the interview between Kirkpatrick and Wood should be there?  A. Transcript? Again, you know  Q. There's two transcripts, a short one and a long one, right?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	trying to ferret out everything that was said. I'm objecting. I offered to make the report available. Judge Lott said, "That's fine. Objection sustained." Bill Allison comes back  Q. Judge Mr. Anderson, I don't mean to interrupt you, but I think your answer is not responsive. I'm asking a very specific question. Have you seen a notation in the log book by Judge Lott indicating that there was that he had ordered an in-camera inspection of the Wood report in connection with the Brady motion?  A. In the log book?  Q. Yes, his log docket sheet. Did you ever see that?  A. I noticed there was one. I couldn't really decipher his handwriting.  Q. Okay. But there was a notation with words

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

	ONAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEP		
	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. You mean the	1	see whether the Wood report and these other things are
2	Q. Yes.	2	in there. We will need an unbiased District Attorney
3	A handwritten stuff	3	to investigate this anew, fresh eyes to see what was
4	Q. Yes.	4	known and why. Did anybody run down this credit
5	A where he writes these long	5	card?"
6	Q. Yes.	6	Were they going to "We're going to
7	A. Okay.	7	need an evidentiary hearing about this. Mr. Bradley
8	Q. You remembered seeing that, didn't you?	8	will likely be a witness. Judge Anderson may be a
9	A. I remember seeing it. I didn't try to	9	witness. How can Mr. Bradley and his office
10	decipher his I had a Xerox copy which wasn't great	10	investigate this matter in an unbiased fashion when
11	and I didn't try to decipher his handwriting.	11	they are there is evidence to be inquired about that
12	Q. That's the question I asked you. That's the	12	they are complicit in the scheme to conceal exonerating
13	question I asked you.	13	evidence in violation of Brady vs. Maryland."
14	Let's get back to the events between	14	Okay? Did you hear that?
15	August 21st and August 23rd. So as you sit here today,	15	A. Yes.
16	are you telling us that you never made any kind of	16	Q. Do you want to read that?
17	representation to Ms. Jernigan or the District	17	A. Is it what's highlighted?
18	Attorney's office that there would be, in the sealed	18	Q. Yeah.
19	envelope that purported to have Wood's report, some	19	A. And who's speaking?
20	version of the transcript of the Kirkpatrick-Wood	20	Q. This is Mr. Raley at the beginning of the
21	conversation, whether it was the shorter version or the	21	hearing.
22	longer version? Did you say anything like that to	22	A. All right. There's some discussion in the
23	Ms. Jernigan?	23	Court of Appeals opinion that I recall about the
24	A. I can't imagine that I said that at any time.	24	thickness. And the Court is going to have to unseal
25	Q. And okay. Let me just let's take	25	it, which ultimately was done, I think.
	Page 106		Page 108
1	let's go to the let's mark the hearing of August	1	Q. I'm calling this to your attention just for
2	23rd.	2	the point that, A, this was said in open court, and, B,
3	MS. PECKER: You have both of them.	3	to see if it refreshes your recollection that it was
4	MR. SCHECK: I'm talking about the Judge	4	clear that there was going to be a possible issue here
5	Stubblefield hearing.	5	about what was produced to Judge Lott for in-camera
6	MS. PECKER: I gave you both last night.	6	review with respect to the Woods reports, whether or
7	MR. SCHECK: Where is the other one? I	7	not the transcript of the Kirkpatrick interview and the
8	have one. Why don't we mark this as Exhibit what's	8	credit card was produced there. All right? Do you see
9	next in order? 8. Let's mark that as 8.	9	that? Do you see that's what Mr. Raley is talking
10	(Exhibit No. 8 marked)	10	about?
11	MR. RALEY: You know, Barry, if you want	11	A. Fresh eyes to run down the credit card or
12 13	to mark one, I can pull it up on my	12 13	did anybody run down the credit card, rather.
14	MS. PECKER: I can pull it up also.	14	Q. Okay.
15	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) What I'm just going to do here is just read to you and see if this now, at page 13	15	A. All right.  O. Now, does this refresh your recollection that
16	of the hearing	16	Q. Now, does this refresh your recollection that between the 21st and the 23rd that an issue had arisen
17	A. Okay.	17	as to whether or not the transcript of the
18	Q Mr. Raley is making a presentation. And he	18	Wood-Kirkpatrick interview and the notation about a
19	says, starting at line 15, quote, "Were these documents	19	credit card being used after Christine Morton was dead,
20	really produced in camera to the judge at the time?	20	that those issues there was going to be a hearing
21	There is evidence in a Court of Appeals decision that	21	about the defense wanted a hearing about whether
22	what was submitted in camera was much thinner than what		those things had been produced for in-camera review and
23	was earlier seen as the Wood file. This sealed in	23	that you might have to testify?
24	camera document is now going before the Third Court of	24	A. I didn't know what Mr. Raley said. I just now
	Appeals. The Court is going to have to unseal that and	25	have been seeing this. So this is the first knowledge
25			

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

1 2

5

6

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 109

I have.

Q. I'm only -- I'm only showing it --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

### A. I don't know what happened at that hearing.

- Q. I understand that. I understand that. I'm only asking this to see whether or not you had been told or had it occurred to you from any source, right, between August 21st when you did your limited review of the recusal motion until the hearing of the 23rd, that there was going to be an unsealing of this envelope and there might be an evidentiary hearing where you had to
- A. Breaking down to the last part of that, I have always assumed I was going to be testifying at one of these hearings.
  - Q. Okay. All right. Now, let me --
- 16 A. I didn't assume that there would be a six-hour 17 deposition.
- 18 Q. Now I want to call your attention to 19 Ms. Jernigan. Ms. Jernigan starts talking to the Court 20 at page 15 of the transcript. And then by page 20 --
- well, let's start with this. She, at page 18, raises
- 22 the issue of the chain of custody of the bandana, that
- there is a problem, that they want to have to -- they
- 24 want to investigate the chain of custody of the bandana
  - to see if the DNA tests are reliable. All right?

didn't believe that the DNA test results that had been obtained from the bandana, to use your expression, was, quote, "going anywhere," unquote.

Page 111

Page 112

- A. That's correct. I -- you know, at some point before I got enough information out of the details of the APD or the Travis County DA's investigation, you know, I thought it was -- it was now time to take a different tack.
- Q. And the -- then on page 20 -- well, actually on page 18, Ms. Jernigan says, "You know, I'll remind the Court that Mr. Bradley wasn't even the District Attorney when this case was prosecuted. He didn't even work for the District Attorneys. You know, when this case was prosecuted, I was in high school. So, I mean, we're --" meaning Ms. Jernigan and I guess her colleagues, "were not involved in the original case."

### A. That would be correct.

18 Q. Right. So wouldn't it be fair to say that 19 during this period of time, certainly from the 21st to 20 the 23rd and thereafter -- you were the one that tried 21 the original case, right? 22

### A. Evidently me and Mike.

23 Q. Right. And you were the best source of 24 information for the District Attorney's office about the particulars of the discovery about what was

Page 110

### A. Okay.

- Q. Is that an issue that you discussed with her between the 21st and the 23rd?
- A. I am sure at that point I was concerned about the reliability of the DNA testing. I don't know that chain of custody had focused on -- I hadn't really focused on that because I'm not sure that I really knew that -- or at least remembered -- well, I don't know if I remember them now, the chain of custody details on the bandana, who found it, all that.
- Q. All right. So it's fair to say that this is 12 the kind of conversation you were having with 13 Ms. Jernigan and other members of the District 14 Attorney's office, your doubts about potentially the reliability of the DNA test results?
  - A. Yeah, I told you about that earlier. I was trying to think -- I was trying to figure out what kind of technique this was, if it was a touch DNA that's not generally admissible or if it was something else.
  - Q. So that's some of the concerns that you were raising early on, right?
  - A. Right.
- 23 Q. Okay. And because as you're -- I think you've told us here today that until the results came in from the Baker case in Austin, you still did not -- you

1 produced and the circumstances of its production.

### A. I can't imagine anybody else being a source.

Q. Right. And that e-mail that we discussed before where Mr. Bradley tells Mr. Jernigan "Make sure Ken gets a copy of the motion and reads it. He needs to understand that it will likely be the claim that he committed a Brady violation at trial by not disclosing certain matters involving the interview of a grandmother. He needs to become familiar with the file 10 and refresh his memory so he can state with clarity 11 whether he provided discovery of those matters and circumstances surrounding the discovery," you recall me 13 showing you that. 14

### A. Yes.

Q. And wouldn't it be a fair statement that Ms. Jernigan was doing her best between August 21st and August 23rd to get you up to speed as fast as you could on exactly what the facts and circumstances were surrounding the production of discovery materials, particularly the interview with the grandmother?

A. I don't know what her part of the conversation was. You know, I'm having trouble telling you that I recall a specific conversation with Kristen during that time period or with John during that time period.

Q. Okay. I understand. You can't recall the

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 113 Page 115 specific conversation. Or maybe I'll start -- let's start on page -- line 1 1 2 2 A. I can't imagine I didn't have a conversation three. "As Your Honor's aware, the district attorney's with one of them -office has a duty to protect confidential information, 4 especially when there's pending litigation. That Q. Right. 5 5 A. -- or both of them and maybe more than one routine practice was followed in this case. There was 6 6 conversation. But I don't recall -no effort to prevent the disclosure of any material 7 7 O. Right. And it would be fair enough that in evidence." your conversations with them between the 21st and the 8 "And to that end, the transcript that 9 9 23rd, you would have given them your best recollection Mr. Raley has described is a conversation between 10 about what happened in terms of production of materials 10 Detective Wood and the -- Christine Morton's mother. to Judge Lott and this transcript of the 11 The transcript appears in the case file. As Mr. Raley 11 12 Kirkpatrick-Wood conversation. You would have given alluded to, during a pretrial hearing in 1987, the 13 them your best recollection as you had it then. Fair 13 issue of Detective Wood's notes and supplemental report 14 enough? 14 was broached. Those reports were gathered. They were 15 15 shown to Judge Lott, then the presiding Judge of this A. If they had asked, I would have told them what 16 I remember, which would have been I have no court. He ruled on whether or not there was Brady 17 17 recollection. material contained within those documents. He sealed 18 Q. So you would have told them between the 21st 18 them and sent them to the Court of Appeals for their 19 and the 23rd you had no recollection, you have 19 review. That review -- also the ruling was that there 20 20 absolutely no idea about this transcript and whether it was no Brady material in those documents. So -- and 21 was produced to Judge Lott or whether it was 21 there's nothing to suggest that this transcript wasn't 22 disclosed. That's what you were telling them. 22 in the Court of Appeal's file." 23 23 A. If they asked about the transcript. Like I "So the issue -- and this is all easily 24 24 said, I hadn't really focused on the transcript. I was cleared up, Judge. This is another reason why I think initially concerned about trying to figure out if there 25 we've gotten far afield from the Chapter 64 proceeding, Page 114 Page 116 and that is, when the defendant filed for a writ and 1 was something in the record or some way I could figure 1 2 out with -- either by reading and it coming back to my alleges a Brady violation, we will simply go to the mind, that I had given either Bill White or Bill Court of Appeals, open up the file, and see if the 4 notes are there." 4 Allison the information that Eric said that he saw the 5 5 monster. You have that in mind. Do you want to 6 6 Q. Wait a second. Are you telling us that they review what I just read to you yourself? 7 7 did not specifically say to you "Did you produce for A. Yeah. I -- yes. 8 8 Judge Lott either the short or the long form Q. Starting at page 20. 9 9 transcript?" They never asked you that? MS. PECKER: We can substitute a clean 10 A. I don't know if they asked me that. 10 copy at the break. 11 11 Q. You think that they didn't ask you that MR. SCHECK: Do you have a clean copy? between the 21st and the 23rd? 12 12 We'll substitute a clean copy. 13 A. I would have to have recall of a conversation 13 MR. RALEY: While he's reading, we've got 14 during a two-and-a-half-day period. And I just don't. 14 a 1:30 phone conference with the Court on the issue of 15 Q. Do you think it makes any sense that they 15 whether the rest of the DA's file needs to be disclosed and there's a call-in number for that, the file from 16 wouldn't have asked you that question since you were 16 17 the best --17 August 19th to the present, because there was some 18 MR. DIETZ: That calls for speculation. 18 dispute about that. And we might at that time ask 19 MR. SCHECK: Withdrawn. I'll withdraw 19 about the scope of the deposition if counsel wants to. 20 that. 20 THE WITNESS: All right. 21 21 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. Let me just read to you Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. Now having reviewed 22 22 what Ms. Jernigan said at the August 23rd hearing. that, did you -- do you remember having any discussion 23 with Ms. Jernigan where you indicated to her that 23 Okay? 24 A. Okav. 24 Sergeant Wood's reports had been gathered and that the 25 Q. And we're starting at line nine on page 20. documents, including the transcript of the

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 117 Page 119 Wood-Kirkpatrick conversation, had been provided for I don't know how I'm -- how that ties back to me. 2 in-camera inspection to Judge Lott? Q. Well, I'll tell you how it ties back to you. A. I'm trying to make the connection between what 3 I think you've indicated that you were the most 4 you just asked and what I just read. 4 knowledgeable person with respect to the --5 5 Q. All right. Do you want to go back and look at A. I was the lead --6 6 it again and we'll review it with some care? Q. -- discovery process here, right? 7 7 A. Well, just show me where she says that. A. I was the lead counsel and I had zero 8 Q. Yeah, sure. I'll repeat it to you again. She 8 recollection. says, quote, "And to that end, the transcript that 9 Q. But are you saying to us that Ms. Jernigan's Mr. Raley has described" -- I've started reading at 10 representations about the fact that this -- one version 11 line nine -- "is a conversation between Detective" --11 of this transcript, the short version or the more she calls him Ron Wood. Maybe she thinks he's a member 12 12 expanded version, should be in the in-camera production of the Rolling Stones or something. Withdrawn --13 13 to Judge Lott -- that she did not get any information 14 "Detective Don Wood and the -- Christine Morton's 14 or any assurances from you that it had been produced 15 mother. The transcript appears to be in the case 15 and it would be in that sealed envelope? 16 file." 16 A. I couldn't possibly have been the source for 17 17 All right? Let's stop right there. that. 18 18 There was a short version that you're calling an Q. Right. You couldn't have -- and you couldn't 19 offense report of the Wood-Kirkpatrick conversation in 19 possibly have said --20 20 the case file. Fair enough? A. Did we spend 20 minutes just trying to get me 21 A. Yeah, a supplemental report. 21 to say that? 22 Q. Supplemental report that's CC'd to the DA, 22 Q. Yeah, I'm just trying to figure out what 23 happened here. And you couldn't possibly have said right? 23 24 A. And that's how it gets in the DA's file. 24 what Mr. Davis said -- testified you said to him in his 25 Q. Is there any doubt in your mind that that was deposition the other day about having produced the Page 118 Page 120 transcript or the interview between Wood and in your file at the time of this trial? 1 2 2 A. I can't -- I can't imagine it wouldn't have Kirkpatrick to the defense. 3 been in my file at the time of the trial. 3 A. The only thing I could have told him is what I 4 4 Q. Okay. As Mister -- so "As Mr. Raley alluded told you, which is I don't have any recollection. I 5 5 to, during the pretrial hearing in 1987, the issue of can't imagine if that was in my file I wouldn't have Detective Wood's notes and supplemental reports was 6 told them about it unless we were already talking about 7 broached. Those reports were gathered. They were 7 it and I doubt I gave him that full explanation. 8 8 shown to Judge Lott, then the presiding Judge of this Q. So the only thing that you could have told 9 9 court. He ruled on whether or not there was Brady Davis or Jernigan from the time you read the recusal material contained within those documents. He sealed 10 motion to the August 23rd hearing is what? 10 11 11 them and sent them to the Court of Appeals for review. A. The same thing I could tell them now. 12 That review -- also the ruling was that there was no 12 Q. "I have no recollection but I must have turned 13 Brady material in those documents. So -- and there's 13 something over or I must have made it available." 14 nothing to suggest that this transcript wasn't in the 14 A. It would have been known -- either, you know, 15 15 Court of Appeal's file." we were talking about it or I would have had to have 16 You see? That's what she said, right? 16 told them. 17 17 Q. Why don't we turn now to the actual substance A. Okav. 18 Q. So it's clear from this statement that Kristen 18 of these things. Now, just in passing here, have you kept up to date with the various different things that 19 19 Jernigan was under the impression on August 23rd that the in-camera review ordered by Judge Lott for Wood 20 are going on in this litigation after the -- what --

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

when did you first find out that there was nothing in

Q. You've reviewed what was in the sealed

wasn't nothing. There was a -- the --

A. When somebody told me it had been opened. It

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

2122

23

24

25

the sealed envelope?

21

22

2324

documents, his report and field notes, would include

connection with a Brady motion and that when that

A. That's what it appears that she represented.

this transcript because it was being reviewed in

sealed envelope was opened up --

1	Page 121		Page 123
_	envelope, right?	1	Q. But I'm just talking about the rules. You
2	A. I don't know that I've reviewed what was in	2	knew that if you called Wood, all that would have to be
3	the sealed envelope. It was my understanding that the		turned over, right?
4	field notes were not but that Don Wood's four- or	4	A. All what?
5	five-page report and the consent were.	5	Q. His complete offense report and field notes
6	Q. Right. But you knew none of his his	6	would have to be disclosed to the defense.
7	complete report on the investigation was not in that	7	A. His offense report would have to be disclosed
8	envelope.	8	to the defense to the defense.
9	A. That's my understanding.	9	Q. Well, is there any doubt in your mind that if
10	Q. And his field notes with respect to the	10	Wood had been called as a witness that the both the
11	investigation were not in that envelope, right?	11	short and the long version of the Kirkpatrick
12	A. That's my understanding.	12	transcript of what was said between him between
13	Q. And if Don Woods had been called as a witness	13	Sheriff Sergeant Wood and Ms. Kirkpatrick would also
14	at the trial, he would have had to have disclosed to	14	have to be disclosed? Any doubt about that in your
15	the defense you would have had to have disclosed to	15	mind?
16	the defense his complete report and his field notes.	16	A. Yes. I don't know if we gave supplemental
17	A. We would have given the offense report. And	17	reports under Gaskin in '86 or '87, I guess this
18	I've never heard of giving field notes before, but	18	was.
19	evidently we would have.	19	Q. Okay. Let's all right. Now, let's talk
20	Q. Right. So you knew at the time of the	20	about your we're talking about now the trial. I
21	trial	21	want you to get your mind-set around that. And you had
22	A. I've never used the expression "field notes"	22	a close relationship with Sheriff Boutwell.
23	before.	23	A. We had a really great professional working
24	Q. Okay. But you saw that in the transcript,	24	relationship, as I tried to cultivate with all of the
25	right?	25	police chiefs. Sheriff Boutwell happened to be a
	Page 122		Page 124
1	A. Evidently Sheriff Boutwell testified using his	1	couple of blocks away, so I was able to you know, we
2	handwritten notes; and since he had done that, both	2	saw each other not every day, but close to every day.
3	his whatever report he had I can't believe the	3	Q. Right. And you wrote about this in your book,
4	sheriff actually wrote a report. But anyway, whatever	4	"Crime in Texas".
5	report he had and his handwritten notes was what he was		A. I did.
6 7	testifying off of.	6 7	Q. And there's a chapter entitled "The System:
8	<ul><li>Q. Right.</li><li>A. So he I'm not sure if the record indicates</li></ul>	8	Police, Prosecutors, and Judges".  A. There is.
9	that he gave them to them or if I had already given	9	Q. And you talked about how you and Sheriff
- 9	them to them or what.	10	Boutwell would get together at the L&M Cafe on Austin
_	Q. Well	11	Avenue in downtown Georgetown.
10	A. I'd have to go back and look at the record.	12	A. It's such a hard thing to think that we
10 11	Q. You understood the rules were that if you	13	actually ate the food there.
10 11 12	called him as a witness, you'd have to turn all that	14	Q. But that's true, right?
10 11 12 13	over at the time of the trial.	15	A. Yeah.
10 11 12	A. Turn over the offense report.	16	Q. And that that's where you did some of your
10 11 12 13 14	Q. Yeah. And his field notes if requested	17	best work, right?
10 11 12 13 14 15	because that's what he indicated he had reviewed.	18	A. I've recently read that, yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. It was the one and only time I've ever heard	19	Q. So I'm and you would painstakingly piece
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the handwritten notes. And I believe the reason	20	together circumstantial murder cases, right? That's
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	those were turned over is because Sheriff Boutwell was		
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		21	what you'd do.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually testifying off of them.	21 22	what you'd do. A. That's what I wrote.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	actually testifying off of them. Q. But	21 22 23	what you'd do.  A. That's what I wrote.  Q. That's what you wrote, right?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	actually testifying off of them.	21 22	what you'd do. A. That's what I wrote.

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

			SITION OF REN ANDERSON
	Page 125		Page 127
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. The word "circumstantial," I was trying to think how many circumstantial murder cases I've prosecuted and  Q. Well, this one is certainly one of them, isn't it?  A. And that number is a small number.  Q. Right.  A. But I'm sure Sheriff Boutwell and I talked about this case.  Q. But this one is a circumstantial murder case, is it not?  A. Yes, sir.  Q. And you write in your book that "We painstakingly pieced together circumstantial murder cases," right?  A. And that would be not exactly correct. We painstakingly put together cases.  Q. Okay. But you wrote "murder cases" here.  A. And I wrote "circumstantial". And the circumstantial part, I was trying to go back through my mind and think about what other circumstantial murder case Sheriff Boutwell would be involved with and I was unable to come up with something. So I misspoke there.	22	Texas," right, quote, "At the L&M Cafe on Austin Avenue in downtown Georgetown, Jim and I did some of our best work. We painstakingly pieced together circumstantial murder cases. We debated the next step of an investigation. We planned undercover operations against burglars and drug dealers. Early in the movement for victims' rights, we put together a unit to help victims of crime to serve as a model for the counties. The downfall of more than one criminal doing life in the State prison began with an investigation put together on a coffee-stained napkin at the L&M Cafe."  Right? You wrote that.  A. I did.  Q. Okay. And I guess now you're regretting that you wrote this in the book  A. No, I'm not regretting it.  Q. You're not regretting it? But you're telling us that as far as the Morton case is concerned which is the one circumstantial murder case that you can recall you did with Boutwell, right?  A. Yes.  Q. That you're backing off the statement that you
24	Q. Well, you certainly when you wrote this	24	painstakingly pieced together the circumstantial murder
25	book	25	case. "We debated the next step of the
	Page 126		Page 128
1	A. Miswrote.	1	investigation." That's how you proceeded.
2	Q you certainly had the Morton case in mind	2	A. Jim and I may have talked about
3	when you wrote	3	investigations, but we had a pretty firm rule. He
4	A. I'm sure I did.	4	handled investigations. I handled prosecutions. If we
5	Q. Right? Because you later wrote about the	5	wanted each other's advice or thoughts about something.
6	Morton case in this book, correct?	6 7	we might ask. But he was in charge of investigations
8	<ul><li>A. Yes, sir.</li><li>Q. And so this would be one of the</li></ul>	8	and there wasn't anybody going to get in Jim Boutwell's way on his investigations. And I made a firm rule,
9	circumstantial perhaps the only circumstantial	9	even with Sheriff Boutwell and the other police chiefs,
10	murder case that the two of you would painstakingly	10	I was handling the prosecutions.
11	piece together. Fair enough?	11	Q. Well, so the phrase in the book "We debated
12	A. I was writing for laypeople. He and I	12	the next step of an investigation," you're saying that
13	certainly were talking about this case. I think	13	wasn't true.
14	Q. Here's what I'm interested in. Okay? You	14	A. I'm saying that wasn't I don't have any
15	wrote this book. What I'm interested in is your	15	recall about that. I know that particular thing one
16	process and routine with Sheriff Boutwell, particularly	16	of the things we were working on was undercover
17	with respect to this case. Would it be fair to say	17	operations; and if I was financing it, we he might
18	that the way you worked with Sheriff Boutwell on the	18	come to me and ask me a question about how to proceed.
19	Morton case is the two of you would painstakingly piece	19	And I'm thinking about one undercover investigation in
20	together the evidence in this case?	20	particular which was in the middle of failing.
21	A. From my memory today, I would say that is not		Q. Judge Anderson, is it fair to say that you are
22	correct except for the last two weeks, whenever he was	23	now saying that you left the investigations up to Boutwell and you wouldn't interfere with them because
24	available, he and I would be talking about this case.  Q. So let's just be clear now. Because I guess	24	you are reluctant to say that you were apprised of the
25	you're saying you you wrote in your book, "Crime in	25	day-by-day developments in the Morton case? Right?
۷ ک	you're saying you you wrote in your book, Crime in		any by any developments in the Morton case: Right!

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

	Page 129		Page 131
1	A. No, I'm not saying that at all. I'm saying	1	that you and Boutwell were pursuing as early as August
2	Boutwell handled the investigations. He would	2	13th, the day of the murder?
3	undoubtedly keep me up to date.	3	A. I don't know when I first found out about the
4	Q. All right. So do you not agree that in the	4	murder.
5	course of the Morton case and circumstantial murder	5	Q. Okay. Do you think you this note indicates
6	cases, of which you only recall one, that the two of	6	that a Bill De La Verne from San Antonio you see
7	you would painstakingly piece together the evidence?	7	that, right?
8	True?	8	A. Uh-huh.
9	A. That was some literary license.	9	Q. "Has recovered credit card at the Jewel Box
10	Q. Is that a fair phrase of the level of care and	10	and Larry Miller can ID the woman. \$1,000 in fraud on
11	review that you and Boutwell would go through with	11	her. He'll come to Austin and do it all if you like or
12	respect to the case?	12	mail it to you. Just let me know." And then there's a
13	A. He would keep me up to date on investigations.	13	telephone number and it's signed "Jill". You see
14	Q. Okay. Now, in keeping you up to date on	14	that.
15	investigations, you're aware that there's a note in	15	A. Yes.
16	this file let's	16	Q. And Jill was a secretary who worked at the
17	MR. RALEY: Which one?	17	sheriff's office, right?
18	MR. SCHECK: Let's pull out the credit	18	A. I assume it's Jill Bowman.
19	card.	19	Q. Okay. So wouldn't you expect that given your
20	MR. RALEY: The credit card?	20	relationship with Sheriff Boutwell that either he or
21	MR. SCHECK: Yeah.	21	Sergeant Woods would have at some point informed you
22	MR. DIETZ: Barry, just so you know,	22	that a credit card from Christine Morton had been used
23	we've got in four minutes we're going to have to	23	in San Antonio two days after her death?
24	break because he's got to do a thing.	24	A. It didn't depend on our relationship. I would
25	MS. PECKER: We have a 1:15 call.	25	assume I would I couldn't imagine that they
	Page 130		Page 132
1	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll	1	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how
2	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit	2	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.
2	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.	2	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your
2 3 4	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked)	2 3 4	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case
2 3 4 5	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've	2 3 4 5	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you
2 3 4 5 6	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked)  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?	2 3 4 5 6	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently.	2 3 4 5 6 7	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so. Q. All right. And you were aware that the purse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?  Q. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so. Q. All right. And you were aware that the purse of Christine Morton and a .44-caliber pistol were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?  Q. All right.  MR. RALEY: And, Counsel, you're not to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so. Q. All right. And you were aware that the purse of Christine Morton and a .44-caliber pistol were reported to have been stolen, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?  Q. All right.  MR. RALEY: And, Counsel, you're not to instruct in your objections.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so. Q. All right. And you were aware that the purse of Christine Morton and a .44-caliber pistol were reported to have been stolen, correct? A. I'm sure I was at the time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?  Q. All right.  MR. RALEY: And, Counsel, you're not to instruct in your objections.  MR. DIETZ: No. I could have I could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so. Q. All right. And you were aware that the purse of Christine Morton and a .44-caliber pistol were reported to have been stolen, correct?  A. I'm sure I was at the time. Q. All right. And it was your theory of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?  Q. All right.  MR. RALEY: And, Counsel, you're not to instruct in your objections.  MR. DIETZ: No. I could have I could have just told him not to answer based upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so. Q. All right. And you were aware that the purse of Christine Morton and a .44-caliber pistol were reported to have been stolen, correct?  A. I'm sure I was at the time. Q. All right. And it was your theory of this case that Michael Morton had beaten his wife to death	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?  Q. All right.  MR. RALEY: And, Counsel, you're not to instruct in your objections.  MR. DIETZ: No. I could have I could have just told him not to answer based upon misleading that the answer would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so. Q. All right. And you were aware that the purse of Christine Morton and a .44-caliber pistol were reported to have been stolen, correct?  A. I'm sure I was at the time. Q. All right. And it was your theory of this case that Michael Morton had beaten his wife to death but staged the scene to look like a burglary, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?  Q. All right.  MR. RALEY: And, Counsel, you're not to instruct in your objections.  MR. DIETZ: No. I could have I could have just told him not to answer based upon misleading that the answer would be  MR. SCHECK: I understand that you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SCHECK: Right. I'll do the I'll do this in four minutes. Let's mark this as Exhibit 9.  (Exhibit No. 9 marked) Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I show you Exhibit 9. You've seen this document before, have you not?  A. Very recently. Q. All right. And this is a A. Is that a color copy? Is that what that is? Q. Yeah, it's a color copy now. This is a field note to Don from a at 8-15-86 at 11:30 a.m. Do you see that?  A. Yeah. Q. All right. And that would be two days after the Morton murder, correct? A. If yeah, I think so. Q. All right. And you were aware that the purse of Christine Morton and a .44-caliber pistol were reported to have been stolen, correct?  A. I'm sure I was at the time. Q. All right. And it was your theory of this case that Michael Morton had beaten his wife to death	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wouldn't let me know. But it also depends upon how involved I was in the case on the 15th.  Q. Well, sometime after the 15th, in your painstaking review of evidence in a circumstantial case with Sheriff Boutwell, wouldn't you expect that you would have been informed and been interested in the fact that the deceased's credit card had been used in San Antonio by a woman two days after her death?  MR. DIETZ: Objection. I think that any response would be misleading to that question. I don't think there's a credit card whose credit card is identified in that.  MR. RALEY: You can answer.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Do you want me to can you answer my question?  A. What was your question?  Q. All right.  MR. RALEY: And, Counsel, you're not to instruct in your objections.  MR. DIETZ: No. I could have I could have just told him not to answer based upon misleading that the answer would be

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

	Page 133		Page 135
1	take it, Mr. Anderson, to explain away this credit card	1	or inconsistent. It would be something that would be
2	note as possible exculpatory evidence is that you're	2	important to find out.
3	going to say that the term "credit card" here does not	3	Q. But let me just ask you answer my question,
4	refer to the credit card of Christine Morton. That's	4	if you can. Would not the use of Christine Morton's
5	the position you're going to take?	5	credit card by some woman two days after her death in
6	A. I haven't decided anything and I'm not trying	6	San Antonio be consistent with the defense theory that
7	to explain anything away.	7	an intruder had entered the home, killed Christine
8	Q. Okay.	8	Morton, and stolen her purse, would it not?
9	A. I'm trying to tell you what I know.	9	A. Obviously.
10	Q. Let me then then let me review it to you	10	Q. So the use of this credit card would be
11	this way. If it had come to your attention, right,	11	important potential exculpatory evidence for the
12	that there was a report that Christine Morton's credit	12	defense to pursue, right?
13	card had been used two days after her death at a place	13	A. It would have been important for everybody.
14	called the Jewel Box in San Antonio right?	14	Q. I understand. But I'm asking now about the
15	A. Right.	15	defense and exculpatory evidence. Can you say out loud
16	Q. Would that be important information that you	16	that if, in fact, there was a report that Christine
17	and Sheriff Boutwell would have discussed together	17	Morton's credit card had been used two days after her
18	given your painstaking examination of details in	18	death by a woman in San Antonio
19	circumstantial murder cases?	19	A. Okay.
20	A. All right. You're all hung up on that quote	20	Q that that was exculpatory information that
21	from the book, so I don't know how to keep responding	21	should have been turned over to the defense?
22	to that. You write in a book with a little bit of	22	A. It wouldn't have gotten to that point. If
23	liberty.	23	somebody had used
24	Q. All right. You don't like the term	24	Q. Just answer my question. Would that not have
25	"painstaking detail" to describe the way that you and	25	been exculpatory information that should have been
	Page 134		Page 136
1	Sheriff Boutwell would review evidence in a	1	turned over to the defense as soon as you, as a
2	circumstantial murder case, right? You don't like that	2	prosecutor, knew about it?
3	term.	3	A. It couldn't have happened because either they
4	A. I have no recollection that we did that in	4	follow this up, in which case there wouldn't have been
5	this case	5	any charges filed, assuming
6	Q. Let's put it this way.	6	Q. Can you answer my question? Do you not agree
7	A with the possible exception of the last two	7	that a report that Christine Morton's credit card had
8	weeks before trial.	8	been used in San Antonio two days after her death was
9	Q. Okay.	9	information that should have been disclosed to the
10	A. And there were things in the transcript that	10	defense in this case as Brady material?
11	make me think that Sheriff Boutwell was working with me	11	A. If I was aware that Christine Morton's credit
12	during those last two weeks getting the case ready for	12	card was used two days after her death, it would have
13	trial.	13	been something that would have had to have been checked
14	Q. Let me put it to you this way. Wouldn't you	14	out; and if, in fact, it was confirmed, that would have
15	expect that if it had come to your attention that there	15	led the investigation in a whole nother direction.
16	was a report that Christine Morton's credit card had	16	Q. My question to you and this is and
17	been used two days after her death in San Antonio by	17	answer it one more time. The information contained in
18	some woman, that would be important information, right?	18	Exhibit
19	A. That would clearly be important information.	19	A. 9.
20	Q. That would be consistent with the defense	20	Q 9 and let's assume for the sake of this
21	theory that Michael Morton had left for work and	21	question that the credit card in issue in the
22	somebody had entered the home, beaten his wife to	22	handwritten note is Christine Morton's credit card. Do
23	death, and committed a burglary, stealing her purse,	23	you have that assumption in mind?
0.4		. / /	A. I can make that assumption.
24 25	right?  A. It wouldn't matter whether it was consistent	24 25	Q. I'm asking you to make that assumption.

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

	Page 137		Page 139
1	Assuming that the credit card referred to	1	Q. Have you seen any document anywhere that
2	in Exhibit 9 is Christine Morton's credit card, do you	2	indicates that a follow-up investigation was done
3	not agree that the information contained in this field	3	indicating that Christine Morton's credit card was not
4	note directed to Sergeant Wood that Christine Morton's	4	used in San Antonio or that this information has no
5	credit card had been used two days after her death was	5	basis to it that's in Exhibit 9?
6	information that should have been disclosed to the	6	A. I have not seen any follow-up report.
7	defense for their investigation as exculpatory	7	Q. All right.
8	information?	8	MR. DIETZ: Can we break now?
9	A. I'm trying to answer that question.	9	MR. SCHECK: We'll take a break now.
10	Q. Can you answer that yes or no?	10	VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 1:08. We're off the
11	A. It's difficult.	11	record.
12	Q. Why can't you answer that question yes or no?	12	(Recess from 1:07 p.m. to 2:20 p.m.)
13	A. Because two days after the murder, before any	13	(Exhibit Nos. 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16,
14	charges had been filed, there wouldn't have been a	14	17, and 18 marked)
15	defense to disclose it to.	15	VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 2:22. We are on the
16	Q. Mr. Morton had been Mirandized and had been	16 17	record.
17 18	interrogated the day of the murder, right?  A. If that's what the transcript shows, yes.	18	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Mr. Anderson, the Morton case got a lot of publicity.
19	Q. You knew that. You knew that he was	19	A. I'm sure it did.
20	immediately a suspect, wasn't he?	20	Q. There was a gag order in this case.
21	A. I'm not sure what I knew on the 15th.	21	A. I saw that in the transcript.
22	Q. At some point in time after Mr. Morton was	22	Q. And you were DA for how long before this case
23	charged	23	arose?
24	A. If I was in trial this week, I may not even	24	A. A little over a year.
25	have	25	Q. So this was an important case to you, a year
	Page 138		Page 140
1	Q. At some point in time after Mr. Morton was	1	in as District Attorney, getting a lot of publicity,
2	charged, if you had become aware or if you were aware,	2	correct?
3	all right, of this document, Exhibit 9, and assuming	3	A. It wasn't any more important than all the
4	that the credit card referred to here is Christine	4	other high profile cases. We had a rash of them.
5	Morton's credit card, is there any doubt that that	5	Q. Right. Well, other than the nurse the
6	would have to be disclosed as Brady material to the	6	Jones case, right, the nurse, was that before or after
7	defense?	7	this one? It was before this one, right?
8	A. Two months after afterwards, if I was aware	8	A. I didn't actually try that. It was probably
9	of this information and it had not been run to ground	9	before because I think I was still an Assistant
10	and determined to be totally without merit, there's no	10	District Attorney.
11	way I wouldn't have turned it over.	11	Q. Okay. In terms of your tenure as a District
12	Q. Well, is there anything that you've seen in	12	Attorney, was the Morton case, at the time it arose,
13 14	this file that indicates that this report of a credit	13 14	the highest profile case that you had prosecuted?
15	card, Christine Morton's credit card, being used in San Antonio two days after the crime was run down and found	15	A. I'd have to go back and look and see what I prosecuted. It was not the highest profile case I
16	to be irrelevant and not connected to this case at	16	prosecuted. It was not the nighest prome case i prosecuted.
17	all? Is there anything in the file that indicates	17	Q. But it was certainly a very important one that
18	that?	18	necessitated a gag order, correct?
19	A. Now, this wasn't in the DA's file; is that	19	A. Yeah. I mean, it had TV cameras.
20	correct?	20	Q. Okay. And you didn't want to lose this one,
21	Q. This was in the Sergeant Wood's field	21	did you?
22	notes. Have you seen any document anywhere	22	A. I wanted to do what I want to do in every
23	indicating	23	case, which is get it right.
24	A. Sergeant Wood's field notes? This is in the	24	Q. Right. Just get it right. Just do justice,
25	sheriff's	25	right? That's what you wanted. Is that right?

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

Page 141 Page 143 A. Yes, Mr. Scheck. 1 1 together. 2 2 Q. Okay. Now, so you wrote about the Morton case Q. And that would include visiting with Sheriff in your book "Crime on Texas," right? Boutwell? 4 4 A. I used it to introduce a chapter. A. I'm sure I was visiting with Sheriff Boutwell. 5 Q. Right. And let's take a look at that chapter, 5 Q. That would include going through the sheriff's 6 6 Chapter 2. Take a look at it there. Okay. And in file? 7 7 Chapter 2 you describe that as a kid growing up, you A. I don't know that I went through the sheriff's got your ideas about trials and lawyers from movies, 8 8 file. TV, and books, Gregory Peck, To Kill a Mockingbird, 9 9 Q. Is there any reason that you wouldn't go 10 Spencer Tracy in Inherit the Wind. "In the popular 10 through the sheriff's file? 11 11 media, the good-guy lawyer is always handsome or A. I would assume everything in the sheriff's 12 beautiful, always stars in the court" -- "always a star 12 file was also in my file because --13 13 in the courtroom, always able to break down any lying Q. Well, you knew that before you put a witness 14 witnesses with brilliant cross examination, and always 14 on the stand at trial, a police witness, you would have 15 15 able to expose the truth," right? to turn over their reports to the defense, right? A. Right. When you put a witness on, after they 16 A. That's correct. 16 17 Q. Okay. 17 testify you give the report to the defense attorney. A. That's what was written, what I wrote, and 18 18 Q. And at a pretrial hearing, before you put the witness on, you would want to review their reports and 19 19 what was true. 20 20 Q. And what's true. And then you said -notes because there's a possibility that those might be 21 A. That's probably how you got your inspiration. 21 turned over to the defense, right? 22 Q. And -- certainly true. To Kill a Mockingbird, 22 A. Not usually at a pretrial. 23 23 Inherit the Wind, ves. Q. Well, but if you put them on at a pretrial, 24 24 A. Inherit the Wind was a million-dollar movie. wouldn't you want to review their -- review their 25 Q. There you go. Spencer Tracy, right? reports and field notes, as Woods called it, before Page 142 Page 144 they testified? 1 A. Right. It showed 14 times in one week. 1 Q. But your point here is that that's all the 2 A. I have never had a case in 35 years where I movies. You wanted to tell the reader about what's ever heard the word "field notes". 4 4 reality in trials, correct? Q. Well, you sure had one here, didn't you? You 5 used the word "field notes" on the record. You 5 A. I was trying to make the point that --6 Q. Is that right? 6 reviewed that record. 7 A. -- it's not brilliance. It's hard work. 7 A. Except, evidently, this case. 8 8 Q. It's hard work. So your next paragraph is --Q. Okay. Well, in any event, you would want to you say, quote, "In reality, I don't see much 9 review the reports and notes of your police witnesses 10 brilliance in the courtroom. Trials are won and the 10 that they were using to refresh their recollections 11 11 truth is exposed because of detailed, painstaking before you put them on the witness stand, would you 12 12 preparation done before the first witness is sworn in. 13 Someone has to visit the crime scene, interview the 13 A. You keep saying "notes". You have an offense 14 14 report. That's what the prosecutor gets. And you use witnesses, retrace the steps of the victim or defendant, and examine the physical evidence. Someone 15 15 the offense report. 16 has to master the hundreds of details." 16 Q. Well, why don't we -- I'd like to read you --17 17 we've deposed Sergeant Woods. Okay? And -- okay. So That's what you wrote, right? 18 A. That's what every lawyer does before you even 18 Sergeant Woods -- I'm now reading at page 168, line 12, 19 of his deposition. 19 announce ready in a case. 20 Q. Right. So from your point of view, it was 20 A. Are we going to get the deposition? 21 21 important for you in the Michael Morton case to master Q. I'm sorry? 22 22 the details, the hundreds of details of the case, A. Are we going to get the Wood deposition? 23 Q. Yes, of course. 23 24 A. I spent probably the last two weeks 24 A. Can we get it now? 25 interviewing witnesses and trying to put the case 25 Q. Yeah. Let me first read this to you and see

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

# Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services

	Page 145		Page 147
1	if it refreshes your recollection. We'll take a break	1	think you told us that this morning.
2	in time and you can review this to your heart's	2	A. With the sheriff, yeah, the sheriff's office.
3	content. Okay? But let's just get some grounding.	3	There were we did one with Round Rock Police
4	Question, page 168, line 12, "Anyway,	4	Department. I can't remember where we did the third
5	reports on these investigations of these items, these	5	one. And those are the only three circumstantial cases
6	four items" and I the four items are the	6	I can remember.
7	Kirkpatrick transcript, the credit card note, the note	7	Q. Just to hammer home the point, just if there's
8	about the offense report concerning the spotting of a	8	any doubt, on page 92 of Wood's deposition, he's asked,
9	green van and a man walking behind the wooded area.	9	question question, "And you wanted to make sure that
10	You've refreshed your recollection of that one, haven't	10	the information you found was in the file, was in the
11	you?	11	sheriff's file"
12	MR. DIETZ: Page? I'm sorry.	12	Answer, "Right. Right."
13	MR. SCHECK: Page 168.	13	Question, " available to the District
14	MR. DIETZ: 168. All right. Go ahead	14	Attorney."
15	and listen to his question again. I'm sorry I	15	Answer, "Yeah. All of my reports were
16	interrupted.	16	available to the District Attorney."
17	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) "These are the kind of	17	Question, "Any time he wanted them, he
18	documents that in routine practice would have been put in the sheriff's file."	18 19	could have them."
19 20		20	Answer, "As far as I was concerned, he could."
21	Answer, "Right. They would have went in the file."	21	All right? Now, does that sound accurate
22	Question, "And things that rose to this	22	to you?
23	level of importance, you can see that they're also	23	A. I can't imagine why you deposed Sergeant
24	copied directly to the sheriff."	24	Wood. He's, what, seventy-some years old? He's had a
25	Answer, "Right."	25	stroke.
	Page 146		Page 148
			-
1	"And was District Attorney Anderson	1	Q. Look, Mr. Anderson
2	was he the sort of prosecutor that wanted to have	2	A. I would like to look I would like to look
3	complete reports available to him?"	3	at what before I get questioned from it, I'd like to
4 5	Answer, "He wanted a copy of everything. I know that. But"	5	look at the deposition.
6	Question, "Thank you, sir."	6	Q. Then let me just ask it to you this way. As you prepared for the Morton trial, right, as you
7	Sometime answer, "Sometimes he'd come	7	describe in your book, "Crime in Texas," were you not
8	and ask for them. Sometimes he wouldn't."	8	trying to become the master of hundreds of details with
9	Question, "Well, sometimes he might get	9	respect to the case?
10	them from other sources."	10	A. I had a list of roughly 75 potential witnesses
11	Answer, "Right."	11	in the case. I had roughly two weeks to get ready for
12	Question, "But your memory is he wanted a	12	trial. Just trying to keep up with who those people
13	copy of everything."	13	were, who I was going to call, coordinate the time,
14	Answer, "Yeah."	14	deal with the physical evidence, deal with the
15	Now, in terms of your dealing with	15	scientific evidence, that's a huge, huge undertaking.
16	Sergeant Wood in this case and generally, were you the	16	Q. So my question to you was: Were you not, just
17	kind of prosecutor that wanted to go through their	17	as you wrote about in the book, trying to master the
18	files and get everything you could see?	18	hundreds of details in the Morton case as best you
19	A. Generally a case has an offense report. So	19	could? Yes or no? Can you answer that yes or no?
20	when you have a more complicated case, it's going to	20	A. Yes, I was prepared for trial.
21	have more than one offense report and things like	21	Q. And that being prepared for trial, as you
22	autopsy reports. You try to make sure that you have a	22 23	wrote about in this book, required painstaking
23 24	complete file.  Q. Well, this was the only circumstantial murder	24	preparation done before the first witness is sworn in, visiting the crime scene, interviewing the witnesses,
~ 4	case you can recall doing with Sergeant Boutwell. I	25	retracing the victim or defendant, examining the
25			

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

Page 149 Page 151 physical evidence. Someone has to master the hundreds 1 A. I reviewed the short one and then I saw that 2 of details. That's what you wrote in this book, right? there was a longer one and I was trying to make sense A. That's what every prosecutor does in every 3 of why there were two. 4 4 Q. Okay. But -- why don't you -- there's a copy case. 5 5 Q. And then you went on in the next paragraph to of -- do you have another copy of it here? Why don't 6 say "Such preparation led me to produce the crates at 6 you review with me -- it's attached as an exhibit --7 the City Grill." And then you go on to talk about how I'm showing you now what's Exhibit C to the recusal you went back in the Morton case and was able to find 8 motion. Okay? And this is a copy of the transcript. So why don't we review it together. That might be the the food that Christine Morton ate with her husband the 9 9 10 easiest thing. Okay? 10 night before her murder, right? 11 A. Yeah, that's one of the few actual 11 A. Okay. 12 12 recollections I have, was going to the City Grill, Q. And this is what was in the recusal motion, 13 13 looking through their produce sheets. And I don't know but you're telling us that you're not sure after you why I remember that, but maybe because when I wrote got it on August 21st that you read this with any care 15 that was -- the book came out in '97, I believe, so I 15 or detail, right? probably wrote it in '95, so it was closer in time to 16 A. Right. I told you I looked at the recusal the trial. 17 17 motion and then I started to do some work, including 18 Q. And you were writing about it in this book, in 18 pulling the opinion of the appeal. 19 a sense bragging about how you were the kind of lawyer 19 Q. Okay. So you can see that this is a call -- a 20 that would master hundreds of details and that led you transcript made, it says at the top, of a taped to go back and actually get the contents of the food. 21 conversation between Rita Kirkpatrick and Sergeant Wood 22 That's what you wrote about here, right? 22 on August 21st, 1986. Do you see that? 23 A. That was the gist of it. I was trying to 23 A. Yes, sir. 24 write a layman's guide so people could understand how 24 Q. All right. And -our system works. 25 A. August when? Oh, 24th, yes. Page 150 Page 152 1 Q. Okay. 1 Q. Right. Okay. And Ms. Kirkpatrick -- he says, A. And to do that, you engage in some prose and I in the middle of the page, "Hello. Mrs. Kirkpatrick?" have a chapter introduction in each of the relatively Answer, "Yes." 4 "This is Sergeant Wood." 4 dry chapters. 5 5 Q. So you're now telling us that to the best of Answer, "Yeah, I've wanted to repeat a your recollection, you were preparing as best you could 6 conversation - let me get ..., okay?" 7 7 the last two weeks of the trial -- two weeks before the Mr. Wood says, "Okay." 8 8 trial, trying to master the details in this case, Then he says -- then she says, "Do you 9 right? That's your recollection now. 9 want to tape this or not? This was the first time I 10 A. I don't have a lot of recollection. Typically 10 was alone with Eric since my daughter was killed." I would take a week off before trial to prepare a big 11 You see that. case. There's something in the transcript that makes 12 A. Yes. 12 13 me think I had two weeks. 13 Q. All right. And then Don Wood says, "Okay," 14 14 Q. Now, let's review some of the disputed items okay to the taping. 15 15 And she says, "Ah, he came in my bedroom, here that you know we, Mr. Morton's lawyers, are saying 16 16 are exculpatory evidence. closed the door and began to talk, okay?" 17 A. Okav. 17 And Wood says, "Okay. You don't mind if 18 Q. And the first one would be the deposition --18 I tape, then." 19 19 what we've marked Exhibit 6 and Exhibit 7. And this is And she says, "Please do. I'll tell you the interview between Don Wood and Rita Kirkpatrick, 20 that yesterday was ... child psychology was the first Michael's mother-in-law, the mother of the deceased, 21 time ... real anger in missing his mother." One day --22 22 Christine Morton. Okay? "One week to the day from the funeral." 23 23 And then Don Wood says, "Okay." A. Correct. 24 Q. And you've reviewed this, these two 24 She says, "It was a bad day of biting and 25 transcripts, with some care, have you not? 25 kicking and saying, I want Mommie, which he has not

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

	Page 153		Page 155
_			
1	been doing."	1	Answer, "No, Mommie stopped."
2	And Woods goes, "Umm."	2	"Then what happened?"
3	All right? Okay.	3	And then in parentheses, presumably this
4	And then she says, "Okay. Marilee told	4	is what the grandmother is saying, "My heart was in my
5	me to come write this down and I think it was probably	5	throat, my stomach was in my toes, but I knew I had to
6	a very important thing to do. I'm here - with a	6	do it. Okay."
7	three-year-old - you keep remembering."	7	Do you see that?
8	And Wood says, "Right."	8	A. Uh-huh.
9	Now, who's Marilee? Do you remember?	9	Q. All right. And, you know, up until this
10	A. Marilee would be Chris' sister.	10	point, Eric had been found in that morning, running
11	Q. Uh-huh. And then she says, "Alright, so this	11	around unsupervised by a neighbor, correct?
12	is it. Approximately 11:00 a.m., August 24th, Eric and	12	A. Correct.
13	I were alone at my house in Pearland which was the	13	Q. And that's what led the neighbor to go in the
14	first time he and I had been alone since his mother's	14	house, a woman named Elizabeth Gee; is that right?
15	death." He was "I was putting on make-up in the	15	A. I'll
16	bathroom. Eric layed on his blanket on the floor of my	16	Q. But your general recollection if you don't
17	bedroom. He said, Mommie is sleeping in the flowers.	17	remember the name, your general recollection is that
18	His dad had told him that last week" "His dad had	18	A. No, I remember the name. I just don't
19	told him that last week at the cemetary. Then he	19	remember
20	kicked the blanket and said, Mommie, get up. Ah, I've	20	Q. Right. Who it was?
21	got grandmother and Eric - okay, G for grandmother."	21	A. No. I remember I don't remember exactly
22	And then I guess he's interrupting.	22	what where she found Eric and that she went into the
23	"I've got grandmother and Eric - okay, G for	23	house, but I don't disagree.
24	grandmother. Uh, G: Don't kick Mommie, Eric."	24	Q. You do agree that there was some question in
25	Okay? You see that?	25	the early stages of this investigation as to what Eric
	Page 154		Page 156
1	A. I kind of got I was following and then I	1	saw, correct?
2	lost when you started saying G for grandmother. Where		A. Okay. That's a different question. There was
3	is that?	3	some question about what Eric saw.
4	Q. Well, now there's a what follows in this	4	Q. Right. I mean, as to whether or not Eric
5	transcript is what is attributed to Eric and what's	5	should be questioned about what he saw or if anybody
6	attributed to the grandmother, right?	6	did it, anybody had questioned him.
7	A. It seems that way.	7	A. All I know about what Eric said, saw, or
8	Q. Okay. And then it says, "Eric," quote,	8	anything else was whatever was in my file. And then I
9	"Mommie is crying. She's stop it. Go away."	9	may have learned other things because at some point
10	"Grandmother: Why is she crying?"	10	during the trial or pretrial, I made a motion in limine
11	"Eric: Cause, the monster's there."	11	about his competence, that we approached the bench, and
12	"Grandmother: What's he doing?"	12	either White or Allison made a joke at that point about
13	"Eric: He hit Mommie. He broke the	13	they had been spending the last two days prepping him
14 15	bed."	14	and then laughed and indicated they weren't going to be
	Now, let's stop for a second. Christine	15	calling him.
16	Morton was found murdered in her bed, right?	16	Q. Right. But you're saying all that you would have known about what Eric said he saw would have been
17 18	A. That's correct.	17	
	Q. And a blue suitcase was thrown on top of that	18 19	what was in your file. Is that what you just said?  A. I don't know what I knew then.
19	bed, right?	20	
20 21	A. From reading the transcript, I gather there	21	Q. Okay.
22	was a multiple things that	22	A. I have no recollection about it. I know there
23	<ul><li>Q. Multiple things, right?</li><li>A. There was yeah.</li></ul>	23	was a two-page version of this Q. Right.
24	Q. Okay. The grandmother, "Is Mommie still	24	A. What is it, seven or nine pages?
25	crying?"	25	Q. Right.
۷)	crying:	127	A. vikiir

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

	Page 157		Page 159
1	A. In the DA's file. And this was	1	"How did he leave?"
2	Q. And that's Exhibit 7 that we reviewed before.	2	"The door."
3		3	
	A. Okay.		"Which door, Eric?"
4	Q. Okay? Now, let's just continue reading here.	4	"The front door."
5	All right? Then Eric says, "The monster throw a blue	5	Was it the front door or the patio
6	suitcase on the bed. He's mad."	6	door "Eric, was it the front door or the patio
7	Do you see that?	7	door? Did he go out on the deck or in the front?"
8	A. I do.	8	"The front."
9	Q. All right. That accords with the facts, that	9	"Where was Daddy, Eric?" And in
10	a blue suitcase was thrown on the bed, correct?	10	parentheses says it says "This is where Grandmother
11	A. Okay.	11	almost died."
12	Q. "Did he put anything else on the bed?"	12	"Was Daddy there?"
13	"No."	13	Answer, "No. Mommie and Eric was
14	A. I didn't recall it was a blue suitcase.	14	there."
15	Q. I'm sorry?	15	You see that?
16	A. I don't recall that it was a blue suitcase,	16	A. I do.
17	but	17	Q. All right. Now, does that not indicate that
18	Q. Well, if you don't remember, you don't	18	in this tape recording Eric is saying that his father
19	remember.	19	wasn't there?
20	A. I don't.	20	A. That would be my reading of it.
21	Q. "Did he put anything else on the bed?"	21	Q. That this monster, this man, was not his
22	"No."	22	father.
23	"Grandmother: Did the monster hurt	23	Now, why don't you turn to Exhibit 7, the
24	Mommie?"	24	short form version of this. And as you've noticed, it
25	Answer, "Yes. Mommie go to hospital."	25	is not a complete transcript, right?
	Page 158		Page 160
1	"Grandmother: Then what did the monster	1	A. Where's 7?
2	do?"	2	Q. That's I'm going to give that to you now.
3	"Eric: He said Mommie's in the	3	A. Okay.
4	garage."	4	Q. All right? It is not a complete the
5	Question, "Was he big?"	5	offense report is not a complete transcript, correct?
6	Answer, "Yeah."	6	A. I haven't matched them up, but it's obviously
7	"Did he have on gloves?"	7	shorter.
8	"Yeah, red."	8	Q. Okay. Now, let me turn your attention to the
9	"What did he carry in his red gloves?"		back of this exhibit. All right?
10	"Basket."	10	A. Okay.
11	"What was in the basket?"	11	Q. And let me take a look at that. And at the
12	"Wood."	12	end of this, it says the description of the monster
13	"Did he have Daddy's gun or Mommie's	13	is in the first part. And then it says here, "Rita:
14	purse?"	14	Eric, was it the front door or the patio door? Did he
15	Then it says in parentheses "Sort of	15	go out on the deck or out in the front?"
16	vague, 'Yeah'."	16	"Eric: The front."
17	"But did he have wood?"	17	"Rita: Where was Daddy, Eric? Was
18	"Yeah."	18	Daddy there?"
19	"What kind of wood, Eric?"	19	And Eric says, "No, Mommy and Eric was
20	"Like Daddy's"	20	there."
21	"Did he have the tool for the	21	Okay. You see that?
22	fireplace? Did he have anything from the fireplace?"	22	A. I do.
23	"Sort of a vague, 'No'."	23	Q. So and this is a document that was CC'd to
24	"Did the monster leave then?"	24	you, right?
25	"Yes."	25	A. It was in my file.
۷)	1 55.	ر ک ا	A. It was in my me.

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

	Page 161		Page 163
1	Q. So there's no question that this document was	1	you think my son-in-law did it, but you should be going
2	in your file, correct?	2	off and looking for somebody else, like Michael Morton
3	A. I don't have independent recollection; but,	3	has been saying he wasn't there, somebody else
4	yes, it was.	4	committed this crime. I would get off this domestic
5	Q. And this document if you can hand it to me	5	thing and go look for the monster." You see that.
6	for just a second. This offense report indicates	6	A. That's how I'd read it.
7	that it's plainly something that looks like a	7	Q. All right. Now, then as we go on in this
8	precise transcript of what the grandmother said and	8	transcript, you see where there comes a point where
9	what Eric said, right? Right?	9	and it's turn to the next page, page four. Right?
10	A. That's what it appears to be.	10	And Sergeant Wood then says, "Okay? Has he," meaning
11	Q. And so looking at that, you, as a	11	Eric, "ever seen Mike in his skin diving suit?"
12	prosecutor and I realize you don't remember now.	12	And she says, "I don't know. I would
13 14	But wouldn't it be fair to say in the routine course of	13 14	think I'll find out for you." You see that?
15	things, you would take a look at this and think maybe there's a tape, maybe there's some extensive	15	A. What line? Oh, these aren't lined. Okay.
16	handwritten notes about exactly what was said between	16	We're on page four.
17	Eric and the grandmother and Sergeant Wood because it's	17	Q. Page four.
18	laid out in question-and-answer form with that kind of	18	A. Okay. Skin diving suit. Found it.
19	precision?	19	Q. All right. You see that?
20	A. I don't know what I would conclude from this.	20	A. Uh-huh.
21	Q. So you don't know what you would conclude.	21	Q. All right. And then now, then going to the
22	But let let me just ask you this. Let's zero in on	22	next page, page five, at the top of it, Sergeant Wood
23	it. That last statement, right, where Eric is saying	23	says, "We need to find out about that skin diving suit
24	Daddy wasn't there, right, Daddy wasn't the monster,	24	real, real bad because that, ah, you know, that is
25	now, that's exculpatory evidence, isn't it?	25	something that a child would describe as a monster,"
	Page 162		Page 164
1	A. Well, this whole thing is something that you	1	right?
2	would typically tell a defense attorney about.	2	A. That's what it says.
3	Q. Right. This is something that there's no	3	Q. So from reading this transcript, does it not
4	question that the defense attorney should know about,	4	appear that Sergeant Woods was suggesting to Rita
5	right? No question.	5	Kirkpatrick that maybe Eric didn't recognize his father
6	A. Under my standard, that's correct.	6	because his father had actually committed the murder in
7	Q. We won't debate about the law.	7	his skin diving suit and he didn't recognize him and
8	But now, let's go on and look at this	8	thought he was a monster?
10	more expanded transcript. Okay?  A. Okay.	10	A. I never knew what Sergeant Wood was thinking, but that's a reasonable
11	Q. So the next thing that Ms. Kirkpatrick	11	Q. That's a reasonable interpretation of this
12	says, "So. Sergeant Wood, I'd get off the domestic	12	transcript, right?
13	thing now and look for the monster. I have no more	13	A. Yes, sir.
14	suspicions in my mind that Mike did it. I have had."	14	Q. And one of the things you know about Brady
15	Do you see that?	15	material is that one of the things that a prosecutor
16	A. What page?	16	has to disclose is information that shows the bias of
17	Q. Page three.	17	the police investigators or sometimes the term is used,
18	A. Okay.	18	as in the case Kyles v. Whitley, information that
19	Q. You see that? Right after right after she	19	impeaches the integrity of the police investigation.
20	asked "Was Daddy there," he says "No, Mommie and Eric	20	A. I'd have to look at those cases and see
21	was there." And then she says, "So. Sergeant Wood"	21	exactly what they say.
22	A. Okay. I see it.	22	Q. Well, as you
23	Q "I'd get off" now, that's a pretty clear	23	A. It's not something on the top of my head.
24	statement that Christine's mother, after hearing what	24	Q. Well, as you sit here today and think about
25	Eric had to say, right, is telling the police "I know	25	it, don't you agree that information that would impeach

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

Page 165

the fairness of the way the police were investigating a case, showing their bias, is something that a prosecutor is obligated to disclose?

- A. I've never thought of it. I've never heard of it. Whatever cases you're talking about, I'm not familiar with.
- Q. Let me ask you a question. You've never heard of Kyles v. Whitley?
  - A. Even -- there are cases I could pull out of the air that you might not even be able to tell me what
  - Q. Well, you wouldn't agree that Kyles v. Whitley is one of the leading cases that the United States Supreme Court decided in the whole area of Brady disclosures?

#### A. What year was it decided?

Q. Well, I can't remember off the top of my head, but let me see if I can -- I can go get you the year at a break. But maybe this helps refresh your recollection. This was a case that arose out of the trial of a man named Curtis Kyles in New Orleans and the issue before the Supreme Court is whether certain

- 23 information should have been disclosed to the defense
- 24 for purposes of showing that the investigators were
- biased. Does that ring any bells for you?

Page 167

Q. What word would you use?

A. You know, I'm not going to take that sentence out of context and just say something. I mean, I imagine Sergeant Wood was doing the best he could.

- Q. All right. Then you see that he's talking about finding the skin diving suit. So the implication here would be that if, in fact, Eric didn't recognize his father because his father was wearing a skin diving suit at the time that this monster hurt Mommy, right, that if you could find the skin diving suit, you might be able to discover blood on it or some other trace evidence that could connect it to the crime, right?
- A. I'm assuming that's what he was thinking about.
- Q. And that's what he's talking about, about "We really have to find that skin diving suit real bad," right?

## A. Apparently.

19 Q. Now, if it turned out that efforts were made 20 to find the skin diving suit and it did not in any way 21 show that it could have been something that Michael 22 Morton was wearing while committing the crime, that 23 would tend to be information that shows that the police 24 theory here was wrong and that would be information that was -- it was like a lead not panning out, right?

Page 166

A. It does not.

Q. All right. Does it ring any bells that it's in Kyles v. Whitley that Justice Souter wrote the standard for what is the basis for vacating a conviction based on suppressed Brady material?

- A. I'm not familiar with the case.
- Q. Okay.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

## A. If Souter wrote it, it obviously was after this trial.

Q. I understand. Okay. But wouldn't you agree as a common sense matter that just from reading the transcript, here we have Sergeant Wood beginning to suggest to the grandmother, "Oh, maybe this really isn't such a -- maybe Eric is wrong. Maybe he didn't recognize his father because he was wearing a wet suit," right? That's what -- that's the fair inference, we just agreed a second ago.

#### A. That's correct.

- Q. All right. And wouldn't you agree that that shows some bias on the part of Sergeant Wood in terms of trying to persuade the grandmother that maybe she shouldn't be relying so heavily on what Eric says, that the father was not the person that he saw hurt Mommy? 23
- 24 A. I don't know if bias would be the word I'd 25 use. I --

That would be a lead not panning out.

A. I've tried to familiarize myself with the case, but I have no idea what the skin diving suit had to do with anything. I don't believe that was anything that I saw in the transcript of the trial, the statement of facts of the trial.

Q. Right. Well, if it's not in the statement of facts of the trial, maybe that means that efforts were made to locate the skin diving suit and that would -it would not explain away Eric's statement that he saw a monster hurting Mommy and that person wasn't his

A. I don't know what it would mean. I'm looking at this. I don't know that I've ever seen it before the last couple of weeks. It's talking about a skin diving suit. And I don't know what that would have to do with the case.

18 Q. Now, do you have any recollection during the 19 course of trying the Morton case of discussing with 20 Mr. Davis or anyone else what you would do if Eric were 21 actually called to the witness stand?

A. It's something conceivably we could have talked about. Eric is not -- Eric was not going to be called to the -- well, he could have been called. He wasn't going to be testifying.

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth East Texas San Antonio Bryan/College Station

Page 168

	Page 169		Page 171
1	Q. Well, there was under the law, a	1	A. 7.
2	three-and-a-half-year-old could testify, correct?	2	Q. All right. Did you think the defense had
3	A. In 1987 I don't know that anywhere in the	3	as you sit here today, can you tell us you disclosed to
4	State of Texas a under-four-year-old and maybe even an	4	any of the lawyers, either by reading it to them or
5	under-five-year-old would be allowed to testify. But I	5	giving them a copy of Exhibit 7, a transcript of an
6	know the last Court in the State of Texas that would	6	interview with Sergeant Wood and Rita Kirkpatrick where
7	allow a three-and-a-half-year-old to testify. And that	7	it describes how Eric told Christine's mother soon
8	would be the Court we were trying it in.	8	after the funeral that a monster had killed Christine
9	Q. I'm sorry. And you said they did allow a	9	and Daddy wasn't there?
10	three-year-old to testify?	10	A. I can't recall any specifics of any piece of
11	A. No, they did not.	11	discovery, any conversations. The only thing I can
12	Q. They did not.	12	recall is, because it's mentioned in the transcript
13	A. I don't think it would be allowed anywhere in	13	well, whatever is mentioned in the transcript. And
14	the state, but the last judge that would have let it in	14	I've said that from the beginning. I have no specific
15	would have been Judge Lott. He was very old-fashioned	15	recollection of turning any evidence over to them. The
16	about things like that.	16	only way I know that I had turned evidence like
17	Q. Well, did you think about did it ever	17	duplicates of negatives is because the transcript says
18	were you planning in any way for the possibility that	18	it.
19	Eric might get on the witness stand and say that he saw	19	Q. Now, let me ask you this.
20	the he saw somebody attacking Christine Morton and	20	A. But if this was in my file
21	it wasn't his father?	21	Q. Yes. Now we're talking about Exhibit 7.
22	A. I can't say that. Obviously I filed a motion	22	A. 7.
23	in limine to test his competence if the defense was	23	Q. There's no question Exhibit 7 was in your
24	planning on calling him.	24	file, right?
25	Q. So there was so just from having reviewed	25	A. The DA's office says it was in the file.
	Page 170		Page 172
1	the transcript and realizing that you filed a motion in	1	Q. Assuming it was in your file
2	limine, as you sit here today, you realize that you	2	A. I will assume it was in my file.
3	must have had some knowledge that there was a	3	Q. All right. Let me ask you this. Assuming
4	possibility that the defense might call Eric to testify	4	that was in your file and further assuming that you
5	that he saw the crime and it wasn't his father. You	5	believed that Eric might well have said something
6	were anticipating something like that.	6	similar to this to the defense lawyers, right, do you
7	A. And from the defense attorneys' reaction, it	'/	believe that you still had an obligation to turn over a
8	seemed to me like we both would have known this was an		transcript of the statement of Rita Kirkpatrick,
9	issue.	9	Christine's mother, and Eric?
10	Q. Well, let me ask you a question. Do you think	10	A. That's a there's no way I could answer
11	the defense attorneys had any idea that there was a	11 12	that.
12	statement by Eric soon after the funeral to his mother-in-law in the kind of detail that we see here in		Q. I'm just trying to understand your under
	Deposition 6, the longer version? Do you think the	13	your view of Brady and what you must have done as a
14 15	•	14	matter of routine. Assuming that's in your file and that's a fair assumption, right? We're talking about
16	defense had any idea of that?	16	1 , 5
17	A. After I read the newspaper article, I became convinced that everybody knew about it.	17	Exhibit 7, correct?  A. Yeah. If we're going to assume everything and
18	Q. That's what you think, huh? But at the time	18	just think did you handle this the way you handle every
19	of trial you read a newspaper article subsequent to	19	other case, I sit down with the defense attorney. I
20	the Morton trial, right?	20	open my file. I go through it report by report with
21	A. I think it was a week after.	21	them.
22	Q. Right. But prior to the trial did you think	22	Q. Okay. So your routine, you believe, at the
23		23	time is that you your ordinary routine is that you
2.1	what's the short version? What exhibit number is		would git down with the defense etterney and you would

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

24 would sit down with the defense attorney and you would

open your file and you'd go through it with him report

24 what's the short version? What exhibit number is

25 that? 7?

Page 173 Page 175 1 by report. Is that what you just said? to be testifying. 1 2 2 A. Not like you're doing, like showing it to Q. The possibility of admitting them. I would sit down and go through it report by Ms. Kirkpatrick -- but just take my point, that if an 4 issue arose, you're telling us, "Oh, Judge Lott never report with them. 5 5 Q. You would sit down and you would be on one would have admitted it." But if the defense said, "We 6 6 side of the table and the defense attorney would be on want to offer Eric to testify because he has told us 7 7 the other, correct? that he saw this incident and it wasn't his father who 8 A. Yeah. We'd do it at my desk. 8 killed Mommy," right, that one of the reliability 9 9 Q. You'd do it at your desk. concerns would be did the defense prime him to say 10 A. I can't say that I did it in this case. 10 that. That would be a reliability concern. Fair 11 Q. I understand you're not saying you did it in 11 enough? 12 this case. But if you were to follow your routine of 12 A. We're speculating on guesses. 13 13 how you would do discovery, you would sit on one end, Q. No, we're not speculating on guesses. See if the defense would sit on the other, and you would have 14 you can answer my question. My point to you simply, 15 pulled out -- you would have come across Exhibit 7, 15 sir, doesn't this transcript where Eric is 16 this transcript, and you would have said to the defense 16 spontaneously saying this to his maternal grandmother, lawyers, "I have here an interview between Rita 17 Christine's mother -- right? Doesn't that have greater 17 18 Kirkpatrick, Christine's mother, and Eric soon after 18 reliability than if the defense had tried to put him on 19 the funeral in which Eric says that he saw a monster 19 where accusations could be made the defense put the kid 20 20 hurt Mommy and when asked where was Daddy, he was up to it? Isn't there a difference? 21 saying Daddy wasn't there; it was just Eric, Mommy, and 21 A. Okay. the monster." You would have said --O. Are you're saying "okay" like you take my 22 22 23 A. I don't know how much detail I would have gone 23 point, what I'm saying is true, that there is a 24 into, but it's something I would have told them about, 24 difference? 25 25 A. Well, if we're going to deal with this case -yes. Page 174 Page 176 1 1 Q. I mean, you would have said something of O. I'm asking -substance so that the defense would have known that 2 A. This case is -- a three-and-a-half-year-old Rita Kirkpatrick had told this to Sergeant Wood; is was not going to be testifying anywhere in the State of 4 4 that right? **Texas in 1987.** 5 5 A. I can't -- you know, what --Q. Do you think that the defense, if they had Q. Let me put it to you this way. If all of a 6 Exhibit 7, just that transcript which you admit was in 7 sudden the defense had tried to put Eric on the 7 your file, could have tried to introduce it into 8 8 stand -evidence as an excited utterance? 9 A. Right. 9 10 Q. -- to talk about his observations, one of the 10 Q. Okay. So it never occurred to you at all. So 11 potential problems there would be that a suggestion even today, if you were retrying this case, right, and would be made -- and a question would be raised by the 12 you were sitting on one side of the desk and the 13 prosecution, "How do we know that Michael Morton and/or 13 defense lawyers were on another, you still would not 14 his lawyers did not prime Eric to say that he saw 14 turn over a copy of Exhibit 7, the short version of the 15 15 somebody hurt Christine and Daddy wasn't there?" That Kirkpatrick-Wood interview. You wouldn't do that. 16 would be one of the first concerns right away, right? 16 A. I've been a judge for 10 years. I don't think 17 A. There wouldn't have been any concern at that 17 like a prosecutor. I have no idea what I'd do today. 18 18 Q. All right. What about Rita Kirkpatrick time about a three-and-a-half-year-old testifying. 19 19 Judge Lott was not going to allow a testifying? Do you think that the defense should have been entitled to see that transcript so they could try three-and-a-half-year-old to testify. I don't think 20 21 any judge in the state would have. We just started 21 to call her? 22 22 doing child molesting cases in eighty -- late '84, A. Are we out of tape? 23 '85. Nobody had any experience with children as 23 Q. Okay. Just answer yes or no and we'll -witnesses back then. And the basic rule, if I remember 24 A. What was the question? I was watching him. correctly, was if they weren't five they weren't likely 25 He was making these hand signals.

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 177 Page 179 1 Q. Do you think the defense should have been into the wooded area off the road." 2 allowed -- should have been given Exhibit 7 at least, Do you see that? the one that you say should have been in your file was 3 A. Uh-huh. in your file -- they should have been given that so 4 Q. All right. And it goes on to say "The subject 5 they would have had the option of trying to call Rita from 13202 Adonis advised that from the way his wife 6 6 Kirkpatrick? talks, he believes that Joni St. Martin knows where the 7 7 A. I can't think of anybody in '86 who would have subject in the green van lives." 8 8 Do you see that? thought that --9 9 MR. SCHECK: Okay. Let's take a break A. Yes. 10 and get a new tape. 10 Q. All right. So this is a report the day after 11 VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 3:09. We're off the 11 the murder, on August 14th, 1986, of an investigator 12 record. who is -- you've heard the term "canvassing" a 13 (Recess from 3:08 p.m. to 3:17 p.m.) 13 neighborhood? You've heard that, right? 14 VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 3:19, beginning Tape 14 A. I have. 15 No. 3. We are on the record. 15 Q. And that's typically done in a homicide case 16 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Mr. Anderson, I've handed you 16 of this fashion where investigators would go out into what's been marked as Exhibit -- I believe it's 10, 17 17 the area and ask neighbors and witnesses what they 18 right? Which is a --18 might have seen, right? 19 19 A. I've seen that on TV, so I'm guessing that's A. Yes. 20 Q. -- supplemental offense report dated 8-14-86. 20 what police officers do. 21 You see that? 21 Q. That's not much of a guess. You know that's 22 22 what they do, don't you? A. I do. 23 Q. All right. And you've seen this report 23 A. I'm surprised that they were doing that, but 24 before. You studied that in preparation for this 24 that's good. deposition? 25 Q. Yes, it's good. Page 178 Page 180 A. I did. 1 1 And part of what would be normal 2 Q. All right. And you understand that this is a investigative routine in a homicide is that one would document which the defense has alleged is exculpatory go out the next morning at or around the time of the evidence that should have been disclosed to them at the 4 incident to see if people, as part of their ordinary 4 5 time of trial? 5 routines, were in certain areas around the crime scene 6 A. I do. 6 to see if they had remembered observing anything the 7 7 day before that might be of significance, right? Q. Okay. And I call your attention to the middle 8 of this where -- this is obviously a report of Traylor, A. That would be good police work. 9 right? 9 Q. And that's exactly the kind of police work 10 A. Yes. 10 that appears to have been done by Mr. Traylor in this 11 Q. Mr. Traylor? And he was an investigator 11 case, correct? connected with this case? 12 A. Correct. 12 13 A. He was a deputy of some sort. 13 Q. And he identified an individual who said he 14 Q. All right. And he says here that he 14 saw a green van that was -- that a male parked a green 15 encountered a subject, right, who advised that he and 15 van on the street behind the Hazelhurst address and his wife and a Joni St. Martin from 13204 Adonis had on 16 16 then would get out and walk into the wooded area off several occasions observed a male park a green van on 17 the road. You see that. 17 the street behind the Hazelhurst address. Do you see 18 A. I do. 18 19 19 that? Q. Can we hold up what's Exhibit 11? Exhibit 11 20 20 that your lawyer is holding up right now --A. Yes. 21 21 MR. SCHECK: Can we see that in the Q. And the Hazelhurst address that we're talking about is the home of Christine and Michael Morton where 22 tape? the murder occurred, correct? 23 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: He'd have to put it right 24 A. Presumably. 24 next to the judge. 25 Q. And "then the subject would get out and walk MR. SCHECK: Could you put that right

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 181 Page 183 next to the judge, Mr. Dietz? 1 Q. Let me put it to you this way. Was it not 2 2 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Maybe you could hold that, part of the investigation on -- in the early days, 3 Judge, if you might. August 13th and August 14th of 1986, that pursuing what 4 Exhibit 11 is a blown-up copy of a Michael Morton said, that it was a burglar that came in 5 5 diagram that was drawn on the day of the murder, 8-13, through the back door and then -- either came in and 6 of the area around 9114 Hazelhurst where the murder 6 left through the wooded area, right, pursuant to that 7 7 took place, correct? line of investigation, the bandana was picked up and 8 8 recovered? A. It appears to be. 9 9 A. I'm just going to have to take your word for Q. And that dotted line would appear to be sort 10 of an area of what might be the crime scene, correct? 10 it because I didn't get into the transcript enough A. I don't know what that is. 11 11 Q. Well --12 12 Q. Looking at Exhibit 10, which is the report of A. This whole two-block --13 13 the green van on the street behind the Hazelhurst 14 Q. Yes. 14 address ---15 A. Or I don't know if that's two blocks. But I 15 A. Okay. don't know what that is. 16 Q. Right? And a male there, okay, being seen 16 17 there, is that not -- is that report not consistent 17 Q. Well, you do recall that there was a wooded 18 area behind the house that's indicated at 9114 18 with the defense theory that there was somebody in the Hazelhurst. Do you see that? 19 early morning hours who had been perhaps casing this 19 20 20 location in a green van and walking in the area behind A. I see 9114 Hazelhurst. 21 Q. Okay. And if you look at that diagram to the house where the perpetrator may have come through 22 orient you, right, you see the X indicates where the the back window and then assaulted and burglarized the bandana was found, correct? 23 Morton residence -- assaulted Christine Morton and 23 24 24 A. I'm just -- I have no recollection of this. burglarized the Morton residence? 25 Q. I understand. 25 A. All right. There's -- it begins by talking Page 182 Page 184 1 A. So I'm just interpreting what I'm seeing here. 1 about a subject, Allan Robinson, at 6:00 a.m. 2 Q. Right. That's right. 2 Q. That's a different individual. 3 A. Yes, that's what the X indicates it is. 3 A. And he says he doesn't know anything about 4 4 Q. And you knew, did you not, that it was the this. 5 5 position of Mr. Morton from the very beginning that Q. It's a different individual. somebody had broken into the home after he had left for 6 A. And then without a time frame it says "Later 7 work around 5:30 in the morning and assaulted and 7 that morning a subject from 13202" --8 killed his wife? You knew that. 8 Q. Right. But I'm directing your attention to 9 A. Yes. 9 something different, not the Allan Robinson. There was 10 Q. And that her purse was missing and a a subject -- there was an individual who said that his 11 .44-caliber gun -- .45-caliber gun, right? wife and a Joni St. Martin from 13204 Adonis had on 12 A. I know that from reading the transcript and 12 several occasions -- that's prior occasions, right? 13 13 some other things recently, but I don't have any A. Presumably. 14 recollection of that. 14 Q. Yes. Observed a male park a green van on the 15 15 Q. You understood that was the defense theory, street behind the Hazelhurst address and then the 16 correct? 16 subject would get out and walk into the wooded area off 17 A. I'm sure I did at the time. 17 the road. You see that, do you not? 18 Q. And you understand that the defense theory 18 A. Yes. 19 19 equally was that this bandana that was found at the X Q. And so that's an individual that was talking 20 point was an item that was associated with the about somebody that was driving a green van and going 21 21 behind the Morton residence in the wooded area as assailant. 22 22 A. Whose theory was that? though he might be casing the place for a burglary, 23 23 O. The defense. right? 24 A. I'd have to see something in the transcript 24 A. That's reading a lot into that. 25 **to-**-25 Q. You think that's reading a lot into that?

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

			SITION OF REN ANDERSON
	Page 185		Page 187
1	A. Yeah.	1	at different checks here.
2	Q. All right. Well, did you know anything about	2	Q. Okay. Why don't we just start with what is
3	this document at the time of the trial to the best of	3	A. There's three checks in here.
4	your recollection?	4	Q. Let's turn to the part of this which says
5	A. To the best of my recollection, I don't have	5	"9-27-86, Attention: Sergeant Don Wood". Do you see
6	any recollection.	6	that?
7	Q. All right.	7	A. I do.
8	A. I was this in the DA's file?	8	Q. You've reviewed this, haven't you, before?
9	Q. I believe this was in the	9	A. I had a very bad copy.
10	MR. RALEY: It was in the master	10	Q. All right. Why don't we review this
11	sheriff's file, which Judge Anderson had complete	11	together. All right? It says "Dear Sir: Per your
12	access to 24 hours a day.	12	phone request of yesterday, please find attached our
13	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Well, this was in the	13	canceled Check No. 362 relating to Chris Morton. The
14	sheriff's file.	14	check was mailed immediately after it was written and
15	A. All right.	15	was a gift for her son Eric after his surgery. Chrissy
16	Q. If you had seen this I know you have no	16	acknowledged the gift immediately, probably by late
17	recollection; but if you had seen this at the time of	17	June. We no longer have her acknowledgment note. We
18	trial, knowing what the defense theory was, would you	18	do not know why the check was never cashed while she
19	have disclosed this to the defense?	19	was alive. I hope this will be of assistance."
20	A. I can't imagine that I would not.	20	You see that, right?
21	Q. When you say you can't imagine you would not,	21	A. I read along with you.
22	you're saying if you had seen it, you would have	22	Q. Okay. Now, so this is a now, if you look
23	disclosed it, is what you're saying. Am I	23	at the color copy right?
24	misinterpreting you?	24	A. I'm going to have to I saw a bad copy of
25	A. No. That's what I said. You know, the only	25	this note. I'm not sure I've actually seen this check
	Page 186		Page 188
1	reason I wouldn't is if somebody interviewed Joni	1	before.
2	St. Martin. And there's no report I've seen of that	2	Q. Okay. Well, let me represent to you that at
3	interview.	3	first we only had the front of the check and then
4	Q. Right. So we're agreeing then that if you had	4	recently we what was also produced to us through the
5	seen this and if this had come to your attention, you	5	District Attorney's office was the back of the check.
6	agree that this would be exculpatory evidence, a lead	6	Okay? And I call your attention to the color copy here
7	that should have been turned over to the defense,	7	on the page where you see where it says in red
8	right?	8	"Processed, August 22nd"?
9	A. That's the sort of stuff you routinely give to	9	A. Uh-huh. I do.
10	the defense, yes.	10	Q. Okay. And if you look very carefully at the
11	Q. Okay. Let's turn to what's been marked as	11	top, you'll see where it says something "San Antonio"
12	Exhibit 12. That's the documents do you have that	12	at the top?
13	marked? This is the check. Why don't we take a color	13	A. In red?
14 15	version? They're here? I'm sorry. I tell you what.	14 15	Q. No. Just above the red. You see "San
16	I'll we'll substitute in later. I gave him a color copy. Okay?	16	Antonio" and sort of typed?  A. Okay.
17	That's Exhibit 12. You've reviewed these	17	Q. Now, have you had a discussion with
18	documents before, have you not?	18	Mr. Bradley or anyone from his office about this check?
19	A. I have	19	A. I had a discussion with Kristen about it.
20	Q. Recently?	20	Q. Tell us everything about that discussion.
21	A. No. I've seen the front side of the check in	21	A. She indicated it was for deposit only. And I
22	a very bad copy, although this isn't any better than	22	don't remember if she said it went into the Mortons'
23	the one I saw.	23	account or not. I think that's what she said.
24	Q. All right.	24	Q. When were you told this?
25	A. Hold it. Now, wait a second. I was looking	25	A. It would have been back when I still had some
	,	-	

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

Page 189 level of communication with them, so before October -A. If I knew about that and it hadn't been 1 2 2 O. Before October 4th? investigated, it would have been investigated very 3 A. Yeah. 3 quickly. 4 Q. All right. So basically what was happening --4 Q. All right. But let's talk about exculpatory 5 and we'll get in -- we'll go into greater detail if the evidence a little bit. Right? Because we seem to have judge permits us, Mr. Dietz, about what conversations 6 some problems with your understanding and my 7 you had with the prosecutors and when. But the issue understanding of it. Fair enough? of a check being cashed nine days after Christine 8 A. Well, I don't --9 Morton was murdered was brought to your attention, Q. Let me just ask you this question. 10 correct? 10 A. Exculpatory evidence doesn't begin until we 11 A. Yeah, there was an allegation about it. 11 get into discovery. Q. Yes. In our writ, in Claims 2 through 7 --12 12 Q. Let me just ask you this question. Let me 13 13 A. Correct. just ask you this question. Is it not exculpatory 14 Q. -- you actually saw a copy of this note to 14 evidence that the defense ought to have access to that 15 Sergeant Wood's attention, right, from John Cross, 15 leads which are consistent with innocence are not pointing out that this check had been cashed nine days pursued by law enforcement? Isn't that something the 16 17 17 after she was dead, right? defense is entitled to know? 18 A. I saw the allegation and I looked at 18 A. Yes. whatever -- I guess that's what I actually saw was 19 19 Q. And so if you had discovered prior to this 20 20 whatever you had attached to your writ. trial, right, that a credit card had been used by 21 Q. Right. And so it's your -- if you had -- you someone two days after Christine Morton's death, her 22 have no recollection of this, I take it, from the time 22 credit card, in San Antonio, by some woman, and that 23 23 had not been adequately investigated, the failure to 24 A. From 25 years ago? 24 adequately investigate that is exculpatory evidence 25 Q. Yes. that should be turned over to the defense, right? Page 190 1 A. That's correct, I have no recollection. 1 2 2 O. Would you not agree that this note to Sergeant 3 Wood from Mr. Cross, right, about a check that was 3 4

Page 192

Page 191

cashed by someone purporting to be Christine Morton nine days after her death was important exculpatory evidence to be turned over to the defense? A. Well, first of all, it's an important piece of

# evidence that should have been run to ground and some determination made of what this was.

Q. Right. But on the face of it -- answer my question. On the face of it, right, this note and this -- that somebody cashed a check made out to Christine Morton nine days after she was dead and endorsed it, right, that's exculpatory evidence to be turned over to the defense in this case, right?

### A. I don't want to disagree with you because I -you know, on one sense, yes. But the first thing is it should have been investigated.

19 Q. It should have been investigated and people 20 should have followed up on it, right?

#### A. Correct.

5

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

21 22 Q. But even if -- let's try it this way. Right? 23 It should have been investigated; and if it wasn't investigated, that's also exculpatory evidence to be turned over to the defense, right?

## A. In a very hypothetical sense, yes. What would really happen is it would be investigated.

Q. Well, that's what you're saying. You're saying it must have been investigated. You're sure it 5 was investigated. Right?

### A. No, I'm not saying I'm sure it was investigated.

Q. Okay. Let me ask you this.

## A. I'm saying if I was aware of it --

Q. Give me a clear answer. Give me a clear answer to this question, if you can. You're the prosecutor in a case, this case. If you had learned that there was a report that Christine Morton's credit card had been used by a woman in San Antonio two days after she was dead and that had not been adequately investigated, followed through, the fact that that had happened and it had not been adequately investigated is something that's exculpatory evidence and the defense should have known about, right, if that happened?

## A. Except what would happen is it would get investigated right then.

Q. Well, that's what you think. There's no evidence, is there, that the credit card was -- there was a follow-up or any investigation of the credit card, is there? You've seen no other documents about

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 193		Page 195
1	that.	1	He's fine.
2	A. I have not.	2	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. I have premarked a
3	Q. And if it turned out that there was no	3	number of exhibits for identification here. Exhibit
4	follow-up investigation of the use of the credit card	4	13 and I think you're familiar with them. Exhibit
5	two days after her death, that would be exculpatory	5	13 is Bill Allison's motion for production of favorable
6	evidence the defense would be entitled to know about,	6	evidence. Exhibit 14 is the motion for discovery and
7	right?	7	inspection. Exhibit 15 is the motion for production of
8	A. It would be accompanied with my dismissal.	8	statements and reports. All right? You've reviewed
9	Q. Okay. Number two, the check nine days	9	those prior to coming here?
10	afterwards, okay, if it turned out that this report	10	A. I looked through the transcript last night; so
11	well, there's no question this report was given to	11	if those were all pretrial motions, I looked at them,
12	Sergeant Wood, right? About the check being cashed	12	yes.
13	nine days after death?	13	Q. Okay. And let's talk about the motion for
14	A. Was given to Sergeant Wood?	14	production of favorable evidence. Okay?
15	Q. Yes.	15	A. Okay.
16	A. How would I know that?	16	Q. Now, I guess, having reviewed that, would it
17	Q. In other words, you have no doubt that this	17	be fair to say that based on what we've just reviewed
18	document, Exhibit what exhibit number is that?	18	that the Kirkpatrick-Wood transcript that was in your
19	Exhibit 12. Okay? Exhibit 12	19	file, the short version at least, was evidence that
20	A. Okay. That's the letter from the Crosses?	20	should have been disclosed in some form to the defense
21	Q. Yes, the letter and the copy of the check.	21	after they filed the motion for production of Brady
22	There's no question that Exhibit 12 was in the	22	material in November of 1986?
23	sheriff's file, right?	23	A. I'm sorry. I lost your question along the
24	A. I wouldn't think so.	24	way.
25	Q. Okay. So if, in fact, Exhibit 12 is in the	25	Q. You have it in mind that Mr. Allison and
	Page 194		Page 196
1	sheriff's file and there is no follow-up as to running	1	Mr. White filed a series of motions, correct?
2	this lead to ground, right, the fact that it's in	2	A. Correct.
3	this is in the file, somebody was cashing a check in a	3	Q. One of them was this Brady motion that I've
4	bank in San Antonio nine days after her death right?	4	handed you for production of favorable evidence.
5	A. Correct.	5	A. Correct.
6	Q. And it wasn't adequately followed up. That	6	Q. And they're very, very specific in that,
7	would be exculpatory evidence that should have been	7	right?
8	disclosed to the defense. Fair enough?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. In a hypothetical world, yes.	9	Q. Another one, which is Exhibit 15, was the
10	Q. Okay. Now let's move on to now all	10	motion for production of statements and reports. This
11	right. So you have in mind	11	was a motion that they were making that if you called a
12	A. Your client is getting very upset.	12	witness to the stand, right, the ordinary rule in
13	MR. MORTON: I'm fine. I'm cool.	13	Texas, I think they called it the Gaskin rule, was that
14	THE WITNESS: He's not. So do we need to	14	the defense was not entitled to see, let's say, the
15	take a break or something?	15	reports or of a police witness until after the
16	MR. SCHECK: Let the record reflect that	16	witness had testified and finished direct examination.
17	Mr. Anderson is indicating that he's looking at	17	A. Correct.
18	Mr. Morton, who is in the room, and he says that	18	Q. All right. So they were making a motion here
19	Mr. Morton is upset.	19 20	for the production of statements and reports to see if
20	MR. RALEY: He's not. He's fine.		they could get those the day before to save time and to
21	MR. SCHECK: We're going to proceed.	21 22	get some advance notice of what those reports would
22	MR. DIETZ: Let the record reflect	23	look like before the trial, correct?
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	Mr. Morton has stepped out away from the line of sight of Mr. Anderson.	24	A. Yes, sir.
25	MR. RALEY: He doesn't want to distract.	25	Q. All right. And you opposed that motion, correct?
20	MIN. IN ILL 1. THE duesn't want to distract.		0011001:

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

	Page 197		Page 199
1	A. Yes, sir.	1	A. I'd have to go back and look exactly how many
2	Q. You didn't want to give them any reports ahead	2	people were in the sheriff's office at that time. I
3	of time, correct?	3	think you're making some assumptions about there being
4	A. I think I was actually thinking about it; but	4	more people than there actually were.
5	again, I can't tell exactly whether we did that or not.	5	Q. But let's just assume for the sake of our
6	Q. But certainly in the course of preparing for	6	purposes here that even if it worked that way, where
7	trial, when you were considering calling police	7	Boutwell was making the decisions but Woods was
8	witnesses, right, it would be your general practice to	8	designated to be the lead investigator and various
9	review their offense reports and any other documents	9	reports were going through Woods, right, as the chief
10	they were using to prepare to testify so that after	10	investigator, when you put Woods on the stand, you
11	they finished the direct, they would be disclosed to	11	would still have to turn over all of his reports and
12	the defense, right?	12	all of the notes he gathered to review before he
13	A. Sure. I'd have a file of any offense reports	13	testified, right?
14	ready to go.	14	A. His report and anything else he had reviewed,
15	Q. Right. So you would literally collect and	15	but not well, okay.
16	gather all those reports. You would have it with you.	16	Q. Okay. Now, let's let's go you've
17	And if you were going to call the officer, you would be	17	reviewed with some care the transcripts of the pretrial
18	prepared to give it after that officer testified,	18	hearings on November 25th and then later the
19	correct?	19	November 25th, 26th, and then February 6th. These are
20	A. Right. I would have a separate	20	the key proceedings where the motions for favorable
21	Q. And Sergeant Woods was a witness that you were	21	evidence, the motions for production of statements were
22	considering calling in this case.	22	ruled on by Judge Lott, correct?
23	A. He was on the witness list.	23	A. Those were the pretrial hearings, yes.
24	Q. Okay. So he would be one of those	24	Q. You've reviewed that with some care, so I'm
25	individuals he was the lead investigator in this	25	going to ask you to follow along with me in reviewing
	Page 198		Page 200
1	Page 198 case, wasn't he?	1	Page 200 some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this
1 2		1 2	_
	case, wasn't he?		some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this
2	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.	2	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.
2	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?	2	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly  Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to
2 3 4 5 6	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether	2 3 4	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly  Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to  November the proceedings of November 25th.
2 3 4 5 6 7	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly  Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to  November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports  Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted here as saying at line one, "Mr. Anderson: Judge,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports  Q. Okay.  A while Sheriff Boutwell was calling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted here as saying at line one, "Mr. Anderson: Judge, again we're so far beyond the scope of this Motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports  Q. Okay.  A while Sheriff Boutwell was calling calling and making all the decisions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted here as saying at line one, "Mr. Anderson: Judge, again we're so far beyond the scope of this Motion to Suppress, I don't even remember what we're talking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports  Q. Okay.  A while Sheriff Boutwell was calling calling and making all the decisions.  Q. Well, but in terms well, even if that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted here as saying at line one, "Mr. Anderson: Judge, again we're so far beyond the scope of this Motion to Suppress, I don't even remember what we're talking about."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports  Q. Okay.  A while Sheriff Boutwell was calling calling and making all the decisions.  Q. Well, but in terms well, even if that were the case, that Woods was designated to be the lead	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted here as saying at line one, "Mr. Anderson: Judge, again we're so far beyond the scope of this Motion to Suppress, I don't even remember what we're talking about."  You see that, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports  Q. Okay.  A while Sheriff Boutwell was calling calling and making all the decisions.  Q. Well, but in terms well, even if that were the case, that Woods was designated to be the lead investigator in the case and various investigating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted here as saying at line one, "Mr. Anderson: Judge, again we're so far beyond the scope of this Motion to Suppress, I don't even remember what we're talking about."  You see that, right?  A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports  Q. Okay.  A while Sheriff Boutwell was calling calling and making all the decisions.  Q. Well, but in terms well, even if that were the case, that Woods was designated to be the lead investigator in the case and various investigating officers were reporting back to him and then he in turn	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted here as saying at line one, "Mr. Anderson: Judge, again we're so far beyond the scope of this Motion to Suppress, I don't even remember what we're talking about."  You see that, right?  A. I do.  Q. And this was when this was a motion to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	case, wasn't he?  A. He said he was. Sheriff Boutwell said he was.  Q. But Sergeant Woods undoubtedly was the person that a lot of other officers were reporting to when they were routing their reports to him, right?  A. I didn't see enough reports to know whether that was true or not.  Q. Well, but you had enough knowledge of this case  A. At the time I did.  Q. Yes.  A. Now, in looking through what I read yesterday, which was not the entire statement of facts it was far from it it looked to me like Sheriff Boutwell had allowed Mr. Wood to be called the chief investigator and let him write some reports  Q. Okay.  A while Sheriff Boutwell was calling calling and making all the decisions.  Q. Well, but in terms well, even if that were the case, that Woods was designated to be the lead investigator in the case and various investigating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	some of these matters. And you've been reviewing this with a lot of care, haven't you?  A. I was trying to figure out what was exactly Judge Lott's ruling.  Q. Okay. So why don't I call your attention to November the proceedings of November 25th.  MR. DIETZ: Which volume is it in?  MR. SCHECK: I think this would be I get so confused with your volumes Volume 2, November 25th, page 60.  Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay?  A. Okay.  Q. And this on mine it has at the top of the page it says "Mr. Anderson". Okay?  A. Correct.  Q. All right. And if you recall, you're quoted here as saying at line one, "Mr. Anderson: Judge, again we're so far beyond the scope of this Motion to Suppress, I don't even remember what we're talking about."  You see that, right?  A. I do.

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

Page 201 Page 203 1 1 Well, let's just move on here. Q. Right. And you were sitting there with all 2 2 the offense reports and all the reports from Wood and A. Yes. 3 Q. And then Mr. Allison says, "Well, Judge, we Boutwell that you had asked to produce -- them to 4 have -- of course, we have some other motions before produce in case they were called as witnesses, right? 5 5 the Court. And I think I need to put on the record A. No. You don't give Gaskin at pretrial. 6 6 right now that it's pretty obvious this is a major Q. I understand you don't give it at pretrial, 7 7 case, a major criminal prosecution. And we have but do you think by November 25th you had gone out and received so far from the Prosecution a hundred and tried to familiarize yourself with the offense reports 9 9 eight photographs taken from the Sheriff's and the sheriff's file with respect to this 10 Department." 10 investigation? "We have received no other discovery in 11 A. I would have had a file and it would have had 11 12 12 this case at all. I think it is an important fact now what it had. 13 Q. Well, would you not -- in your effort to 13 since the Luther Adams case that needs to be put on the 14 stand both for purposes for motions for discovery and 14 master the hundreds of details that you have written in 15 for Brady motions, and for motions to quash." 15 your books are necessary for a trial lawyer to prepare 16 All right? You see that? a case, even though you say you were only doing it in 17 17 the last two weeks, would you not have at least in A. I do. 18 Q. Okay. 18 those last two weeks tried to go through the sheriff's 19 19 files and the offense report to familiarize yourself A. Then I point out they had the autopsy report 20 with this case? 20 before I did. 21 Q. Right. And then you say, "Judge, with all due 21 A. In the last two weeks I was getting --22 respect, they had a copy of the autopsy report before I 22 interviewing witnesses, figuring out how I was going to 23 23 did. Their investigators have been interviewing put the case on, looking up case law, doing gazillions 24 24 witnesses before I was even aware there was anything of things you do for a trial of this length. I'm sure more than I had seen in the newspaper. And to say I was putting in 60-hour weeks and probably didn't do Page 202 Page 204 there hasn't been any discovery is not accurate." 1 anything else for at least the week before and it looks 2 2 Do you see that? from the transcript like maybe two weeks before. 3 A. Yes. 3 Q. And some of the things that you would be doing Q. Okay. And then Mr. Allison says, "Discovery, 4 4 in this all-out preparation prior to the trial was 5 looking over what the sheriff had in his file, right? 5 when we use it in a criminal courtroom, has a particular meaning, Judge. It doesn't mean what I go 6 That would be your normal routine? 7 7 out and discover on the street. It means what I A. My normal routine would be to sit down with 8 somebody and say, "Do I have all your reports?" 8 discover through the Prosecutor's office. When we talk 9 about discovery, and when I file a Motion for 9 Q. And one of the people that you would have Discovery, I don't file a motion to discover what I've 10 certainly sat down and talked to to see if you had all 10 already found out by hiring an investigator. I the reports was Sergeant Wood, who had at least been designated to be the lead investigator in the case, 12 obviously file a motion to discover what he has in his 12 13 13 files or in his records." right? 14 "I don't mean to be silly about this, 14 A. I have no recall, but I cannot imagine me 15 15 but, I mean, let's not confuse what discovery is." sitting down with Sergeant Wood and asking him that 16 You see that? 16 question. 17 A. Yes. sir. 17 O. You mean you -- you're telling me that you do 18 Q. All right. So Mr. Allison is making it clear, 18 not believe that as a matter of routine prior to the 19 19 trial of this case that you would have sat down with and you're not disputing it, that he's got 108 photographs and maybe the autopsy report, but he does 20 Sergeant Wood and tried to review his reports and his 21 investigation of this matter? 21 not have any of the offense reports or any of the 22 investigative reports from the officers as of November A. No. I would have done that with the sheriff. 23 23 25th. That's clear as a bell, isn't it? O. I'm sorry?

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

A. I would have done that with the sheriff.

Q. So you would have done that with the sheriff

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

24

25

24

A. I don't think he was going to get any offense

25 reports until witnesses testified.

Page 205 Page 207 and you never would have done it with Woods -- with 1 Q. And would you also have expected in your 2 2 conversations with Sheriff Boutwell that there would Wood. 3 A. It's hard to remember exactly what I would have been a discussion of the conversation between Rita 4 Kirkpatrick and Eric Morton -- I'm sorry, the have done in '86 with a particular police officer. 5 Q. Right. But all that we really know about your conversation between Sergeant Wood and Rita Kirkpatrick 6 routine is what you wrote about in your book, that what 6 about what Eric saw and the fact that he said his 7 7 you would do is go to the L&M Cafe and sit there with father wasn't there when his mother was attacked? Sheriff Boutwell in a circumstantial murder case and 8 A. That report was in my file, at least the 9 painstakingly go through every detail. Fair enough? two-page version. 10 10 That's what you wrote. Q. Now let's turn to page 63. All right? And 11 A. And I've already explained that --11 then the Court indicates, okay, "If you'll call your Q. That that was hyperbole for the book. Is that 12 next witness." 12 13 And Bill Allison says, "Don Wood." 13 your position? 14 A. It was hyperbole based on fact. 14 And you asked, "Is he being called in 15 15 connection with which motion?" O. Okav. 16 A. Sheriff Boutwell and I had a good working 16 And Mr. Allison said. "Motion to relationship. We frequently went to the L&M and had 17 17 Suppress." 18 18 "Judge, there may be some questions 19 19 asked under the Brady motion, maybe some questions Q. Well, do you think that in the course of your 20 discussions with Sheriff Boutwell about the Morton case 20 asked of him under the Motion to Quash." 21 just as a matter of routine that he would have brought You see that. 22 to your attention, "Hey, their theory is that it was a 22 A. I do. burglary. Our theory is that he beat his wife to death 23 23 Q. All right. So now turn to page 65. Okay? 24 And at line 21 Mr. Allison asks Sergeant Wood, "All 24 and staged it to look like a burglary"? That kind of thing would have come up in discussion, right? right. What time did you arrive at the scene?" Page 206 Page 208 1 A. I'm sure two weeks before trial I would have 1 And his answer is, "I'd have to look at been well aware of that. the report, sir. I don't remember." Q. Okay. And certainly he would have wanted --3 Question, "Do you have your report with you?" you would have expected him to call to your attention 4 the fact that there were reports of a credit card of 5 5 "No, sir, I don't." Christine Morton being used in San Antonio and a check 6 Do you see that? 7 cashed in her name nine days after her death, right? 7 8 8 A. Yeah, I can't imagine that he didn't --O. So he can't even remember, without looking at 9 wouldn't tell me about those, but I don't see any 9 his report, what time he arrived at the scene; is that 10 reports. 10 right? 11 Q. So you don't see any reports, but your -- what 11 A. Evidently. 12 12 you figure must have happened is that he told you about Q. And so if I understand the way things were those items and explained to you that they never went 13 working, you actually were sitting there with his 14 anywhere. Those -- those things don't mean anything. 14 reports and could have -- but you were not obligated 15 15 A. I -- I can't quite say that, but -legally during the pretrial hearings to turn those over 16 Q. Okay. And what about the report of a gray 16 to the defense, right? 17 van -- a green van being seen parked behind -- in the 17 A. That's correct. wooded area behind the house where the murder took 18 18 Q. So he was testifying and you were sitting 19 place and a man being seen in that area walking 19 there with his reports, is that right, in all around? Is that the kind of thing that you would have 20 likelihood? 21 expected Sheriff Boutwell to have brought to your A. I would assume I had my file with me. 22 22 attention as you were discussing and preparing for this Q. Okay. And your file should have included his 23 23 case? reports. 24 A. I would expect every report they had to be in 24 A. It should have included all the reports I had. my file. Evidently they weren't. 25 Q. Now why don't you turn to page 73, line

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

	Page 209		Page 211
		_	
1	eight. And he's asked, "Sergeant Wood, can you	1	seven. "That's an interesting way of saying he wants
2	remember anything else about what she told you on the	2	to go on a fishing expedition and know what oral
3	13th?"	3	statement his client made to Sergeant Wood, and he's
4	Answer, "Not without looking at the	4	not entitled to it prior to the trial. He'll hear
5	report. I don't remember it offhand."	5	about it at the trial. His client can relate to him
6	Question, "You don't have that report	6	what his version of what he told these officers at the
7 8	with you?" "No gir I don't "	7 8	time, and he's just not entitled to it as a matter of
9	"No, sir, I don't."  Question, "Did you take any note did	9	discovery. What he told him is not relevant to the voluntariness of the confession or the statement."
10	you take notes as you were doing this investigation in	10	You see that.
11	the house?"	11	A. I do.
12	Answer, "Yes, sir."	12	Q. All right. And then on page 83, right, the
13	"All right. What might you what you	13	next page, at line four, you volunteer you say,
14	might call rough field notes?"	14	"Well, if that's their concern, Judge, I'd be happy to
15	Answer, "Yes, sir."	15	provide a copy of Sergeant Wood's report to the Court
16	"Have you retained those rough field	16	for any in camera inspection. The Court can determine
17	notes?"	17	itself if it contains anything relevant to the
18	"Yes, sir."	18	voluntariness of the confession. I have read the
19	"And from those field notes you made, I	19	report, and it doesn't. I'd be happy to give the Court
20	guess, a more polished report?"	20	a copy of it."
21	Answer, "Yes, sir."	21	Right? Do you see that?
22	"And in that report I assume you tried	22	A. Yes, sir.
23	to be thorough and complete."	23	Q. Okay. And then Mr. Allison then says, "Judge,
24	And then he answers, "Yes, sir, I did."	24	at this point I'm going to ask that the Court allow me
25	You see that.	25	to make a bill in front of the court reporter in order
	Page 210		Page 212
1	A. I do.	1	that I can preserve it for an appellate record in this
2	Q. And so you're on notice here as you're sitting	2	case."
3	there at the hearing that Sergeant Don Wood not only	3	And the Court says, "I think the
4	completes his investigative reports, but he has field	4	appellate record will be preserved. I will ask the
5	notes and he includes those field notes in the file,	5	District Attorney to furnish me a copy of this report
6	correct?	6	and I will make an in camera inspection of it and it
7	A. I notice that he said he did.	7	will be sealed at this time to be included in the
8	Q. And so you would expect if you went to look at	8	record for an appeal."
9	the sheriff's file for Don Wood's offense reports, you	9	Right? And then Mr. Allison says, "Will
10	would find his offense reports and you would also find	10	the Court allow us to review the report for purposes of
11	his rough notes.	11	making any argument or briefing on the contents,
12	A. I would expect I'm not sure what I'd	12	including that in our arguments on the preliminary
13	expect. But anyway	13	matter before the Court today?"
14	Q. That's what he's saying here.	14	And he says, "No, sir."
15	A. That's clearly what he's saying.	15	Okay?
16	Q. Okay. Now, why don't we turn to page 82.	16	A. Yes.
17	A. Okay.	17	Q. All right. Now, this has to do with a copy of
18	Q. All right. A dispute arises about what	18	the Woods of the Wood report, as you understood it,
19	statements the defendant made on August 13th and August		with respect to what he recorded, if anything, about
20	14th, correct?	20	Michael Morton's statements on August 13th and August
21	A. Correct.	21	14th, right?
22	Q. And at page 82, after Mr. Allison makes an	22	A. Yes, sir, that's what we were talking about.
23	argument about trying to get access to the statements	23	This whole thing was about the statements.
24 25	that Mr. Morton might have made, you say "That's an interesting way" I'm starting to read at line	24 25	Q. At that point that's what you were talking
	interesting way i in starting to read at line	23	about. All right? Is that right?

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

	Page 213		Page 215
1	A. Yes, sir.	1	Do you see that? Do you see that?
2	Q. Okay. All right. Then if we go to page 90 in	2	A. Uh-huh.
3	this proceeding okay? And then there's another	3	Q. That's plural, right? Reports?
4	discussion about what's in these reports. And the	4	A. Okay.
5	question was, "Mr. Anderson: What was the question	5	Q. And isn't it clear that Sergeant Wood is
6	that I objected to?"	6	indicating that it was quite an investigation,
7	I'm reading at line seven.	7	extensive investigation, and that he talked to lots of
8	"It was what Elizabeth had told him?"	8	people? Right?
9	What Elizabeth Gee had told Wood, right?	9	A. That's what he says.
10	Mr. Allison said, "It was concerning	10	Q. So you're on notice, too, as you're sitting
11	whether he asked her about the relationship between	11	there, that Sergeant Wood had a lot to do with this
12	Mr. and Mrs. Morton. And he indicated, I believe, that	12	case, right?
13	he did, and then there was an objection."	13	A. At that time I would have had a lot of
14	And then you say, "No, the reports,"	14	information about a lot of things.
15	plural, "don't indicate that she had that conversation	15	Q. All right. But it's clear enough, isn't it,
16	with Sergeant Wood that day," right?	16	that Sergeant Wood is making it clear that he had a lot
17	A. Okay.	17	to do with this case, he spoke to a lot of people, it
18	Q. And then the Court said, "Well, is that what	18	was a very extensive investigation, right?
19	the last look back and see if that's what the last	19	A. That's what he says.
20	question was."	20	Q. You're sitting there listening to him also,
21	Mr. Anderson said, "That was, Judge."	21	right?
22	And the Court says, "It will not be	22	A. I was.
23	covered by the report?"	23	Q. And it was a matter of real concern and
24	And then you say, "No, the report	24	strategy to you because you were already beginning to
25	Sergeant Wood wrote concerning the 13th doesn't go into	25	sit there and think, "Well, if I call Sergeant Wood to
	Page 214		Page 216
1	anything Elizabeth Gee said. I don't even believe it	1	the witness stand as my witness, under the Gaskin rule
2	mentions her name," right?	2	after he finishes his direct case, I'm going to have to
3	A. That's what I said.	3	turn over all the reports of all the people that he
4	Q. So in other words, you had the reports there.	4	interviewed and all of his rough field notes that he
5	You were looking at the reports right there at the	5	used to refresh his recollection prior to his
6	hearing. You had them right there and you were looking	6	testimony." You knew that as you were sitting there,
7	at it. And you could say what it said about Wood's	7	didn't you?
8	interactions with Elizabeth Gee and Wood's interactions	8	A. I would have had to turn over the five-page
9	with Michael Morton, right?	9	report or four-page report. I don't know about the
10	A. Either that or I had read them before I went	10	supplements. And I just don't know about the field
11	down there.	11	notes, no.
12	Q. Okay. Then let's turn to page 91. Bill	12	Q. I'm talking I'm asking you a different
13	Allison asks, line 22, "Sergeant Wood, is it fair to	13	question. All right?
14	say that you don't have a very good memory of what went	14	A. Okay.
15	on on the 13th as you sit here today and that you just	15	Q. One thing is about turning over to Judge Lott
16	don't have much of a memory of it?"	16	any reports of Sergeant Wood about the statements that
17	Answer, "No, sir. It was quite an	17	Michael Morton made on August 13th and August 14th.
18	extensive investigation and I talked to lots of people	18	And you've already said, "I have those right here,
19	and I just, you know, I don't remember everything I	19	Judge. I can turn those over to you. I will turn them
20	said to everybody."	20	over in camera."
21	Question, "So my question is: You don't	21	You saw that, right?
22	have a memory today a good memory today of what went		A. Right. I offered to
23	on on the 13th, is that a correct statement?"	23	Q. You offered to do that and then you went on to
24	Answer, "No, sir, I really don't without	24	say, "I know that he didn't talk to Elizabeth Gee. I'm
25	checking my reports."	25	sitting here looking at the report."

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

	Page 217		Page 219
1	You said that. You just reviewed that,	1	Q. Okay. Now
2	right?	2	A. Once
3	A. You added "I'm sitting here looking at the	3	Q in this case you also knew these defense
4	report." I don't know.	4	lawyers, Allison and White, right?
5	Q. Well, you said, "I can tell you it's" maybe	5	A. Yes.
6	it's not at your desk. Maybe, as you suggested before,	6	Q. You had wrote in your book that you regarded
7	you just had a really great memory. But of Wood's	7	them as very capable lawyers, right?
8	report. But you had sufficient recollection of it that	8	A. Allison and White?
9	you could say to the judge, "No, he didn't talk to	9	Q. Yes.
10	Gee. I looked at his report. It's not in there,"	10	A. Yeah.
11	right? That's what you said on the record.	11	Q. Allison had been your law school professor at
12	A. That's what I said on the record.	12	the University of Texas.
13	Q. All right. Now, you're also listening and you	13	A. He was a supervisor in the criminal defense
14	hear from Wood that he's spoken to a lot of people and	14	clinic. I can't remember if he was my supervisor or
15	it was an extensive investigation and he's got a lot of	15	not. I know we tried a case together.
16	reports. You saw that, too, right?	16	Q. All right. And you make at some point, you
17	A. I read that along with you.	17	must have seen in these pretrial proceedings, some
18	Q. And he has field notes, right? He said that.	18	reference to the fact, "Oh, you better watch out.
19	A. Yes.	19	White might Allison might file one of these
20	Q. And you're telling us now that you've never	20 21	sandbagging motions to quash the indictment."  You made some reference to that?
21 22	heard of any police officer who not only completed his	22	
23	offense reports but also stored in the Sheriff's	23	A. Yes, sir.
24	Department file his rough field notes.  A. What I testified to was I can't recall a case	24	Q. Now, so from your point of view, you knew, did
25	where we talked about field notes.	25	you not, that the more offense reports and the more
25		25	reports from this investigation that you turned over to
	Page 218		Page 220
1	Q. Okay. Now, my point to you is, sir, that as	1	these capable defense lawyers, they could use those
2	you're sitting there during this pretrial hearing on	2	they would make very good use of them at trial?
3	November 25th, you're already considering whether or	3	A. I respected their abilities, yes.
4	not you're going to call Sergeant Wood as a witness,	4	Q. Now, then at the very end, on page 96, again,
5	right?	5	at line four, there's a question, "This report that's
6	A. I have no way of knowing that 25 years later.	6	going to be given to Judge Lott in camera," he's asked,
7	Q. You're telling me that as you're sitting there	7	"is it a detailed report?"
8	November 25th prior to the trial, you weren't already	8	And Wood says, "Yes, sir, I believe it
9	thinking, "Who am I going to call as my investigators	9	is."
10	in the case? Am I going to call Wood? Am I going to	10	Question, "And I assume we're talking
11	call Boutwell? Am I going to call both of them?"	11	about" and then, "Mr. Allison: Maybe, Judge Lott, I
12	A. I was probably trying to figure out if we were	12	need a clarification." Excuse me. "Are we talking
13	going to be able to get our evidence in, because this	13	about the report itself and the field notes so that you
14 15	was a motion to suppress.  Q. All right. But my point to you very simply,	14 15	have everything?"  And the Court says "Are the field notes
16	wouldn't as a matter of ordinary routine at this	16	And the Court says, "Are the field notes available?"
17	stage, by the time you're doing this hearing, you would	17	And the witness says, "Yes."
18	already be thinking about gathering the reports	18	And the Court says, "Yes, that would be
19	together of your police witnesses and the fact that you	19	fine."
20	would have to turn over, according to the Gaskin rule,	20	Do you see that?
21	after they finished their direct case, their reports	21	A. Yes.
22	and, in Sergeant Wood's case, the rough field notes	22	Q. All right. So the judge is making it clear
23	that he says that he made?	23	that as far as at least the submission in camera with
24	A. I may well have. I may well not have. It	24	respect to the voluntariness of the statements, both
25	depends.	25	the field notes should be turned over as well as the

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

Page 221 Page 223 actual reports; is that right? 1 Q. All right. And it goes on to argue that "We 2 2 A. That was my understanding. should have an opportunity under Brady if our witness' 3 Q. Okay. Now why don't we turn to February 6th, statements, that is, the defendant's statements, are 4 which is the pretrial. Okay? And I want to -exculpatory in nature, then they are Brady material and 5 A. I've got to turn some pages here. 5 we want them to be given to us prior to the time of 6 MR. DIETZ: That's in Volume 2? 6 this trial. So the main thing we have asked for in 7 7 MR. SCHECK: It's Volume 2, ves. both the motion for discovery and part of this Brady 8 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) And I call your attention --8 material is that we get the statements, that is, the 9 9 it starts with -- if you go to page 28. longhand written statements, tape recordings, oral 10 MR. RALEY: How much time, ma'am, have we 10 statements, offense report notes by Don Wood and Sheriff Boutwell of any statements that this defendant 11 used? made. We want them prior to the trial under Brady." 12 MR. SCHECK: Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 4:10. We are off the 13 A. Correct. 13 14 record. 14 Q. And the Court says, "That's in." 15 (Recess from 4:09 p.m. to 4:12 p.m.) 15 And then Mr. White says, "And any other 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 4:14. We are on the 16 Brady material the State might have." 17 17 record. You see, right? 18 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. Are you with me? 18 A. Yeah. And then the Court finishes his 19 19 A. I am. sentence. Q. All right. Now, let's turn to the top of page 20 20 Q. And so right now it's very clear --21 28. 21 A. Or his question, rather. 22 22 Q. -- is it not, from this discussion, that the A. Okav. 23 defense knows that their client made statements, right, 23 Q. And this is a -- in this proceeding on 24 24 February 6th, we're now going through the different on August 13th and August 14th, right? motions that the defense has made, correct? 25 A. Yes, we had already had this huge hearing to Page 222 Page 224 1 A. Yes. We now have Mr. White, and Mr. Allison l 1 suppress them. don't believe was present. Q. We had this huge hearing. And you took the 3 Q. Okay. Now, Mr. White says here on the top of 3 position at the hearing that you don't have to turn page 28, "Mr. White: We also have a motion for 4 over any recorded statements that Wood had of what 5 production of evidence favorable to the accused," 5 Michael Morton said because, as far as you're 6 right? 6 concerned, if the defendant told it to his lawyers, 7 7 A. Correct. then you don't have to turn over what the police 8 Q. "And that particular motion, I would like to 8 recorded, right? That was your position. call the Court's attention that the State's counsel has 9 A. Also under Texas law at that time, we didn't 10 indicated that he doesn't wish to provide the defense 10 have to give them those oral statements. And that's with statements of the defendant in this lawsuit and he 11 11 the Quinones case that Mr. White is referring to. doesn't think we have a right to them." 12 Q. So there's a debate about whether or not the 12 A. There's no "and," but okay. 13 13 defendant's recorded statements constitute Brady Q. I'm sorry? 14 14 material, right? That was part of this debate, 15 A. That's no "and". That kind of changes the 15 correct? meaning. But that's okay. 16 16 A. Not --17 Q. Okay. Well, let me read it precisely. 17 O. Right here. 18 "Doesn't wish to provide the defense with the 18 A. Okay. I'm sorry. statements of the defendant in this lawsuit, that he 19 19 Q. Right here. Right here on page 28. Right 20 doesn't think we have a right to them." 20 here on page 28. 21 21 A. Correct. A. And I'll get there in a second. Originally we 22 Q. All right. Then he goes on to say -- to cite 22 hadn't talked about that. We had talked about it in 23 a case called Quinones versus the State and he gives 23 connection with the motion to suppress and the that to the judge, right? 24 voluntariness. A. Yes. 25 Q. Right. What I'm pointing out to you --

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 225

A. And then Mr. White does, in fact, say, "We want this stuff under Brady."

Q. Right. So the point is that they made -- at the pretrial hearing they made an application for Wood's records of Michael Morton's statements to be produced and they said we have a right to that under Brady and a right that you should consider this for in-camera inspection with respect to the voluntariness statement, right? That's what happened before. We just reviewed that whole transcript.

### A. That's what Mr. White's arguing, to get everything under Brady.

Q. Then here on page 28, when they're taking up the motion for production of evidence favorable to the accused, he's making the argument that, "Yes, we want -- we believe that Michael Morton's statements, prior statements, constitute -- we have a right to those under Brady." And then he very -- he goes on to say -- because you're considering the Brady motion right here at the page of top -- top of page 29. And he says, "And any other Brady material the State might have."

You see that.

#### A. He says that, yes.

Q. So it's very clear he's not just asking for

1 Q. So at that point you know that this is a Brady 2 motion that they're filing.

#### A. Correct.

4 Q. And they're asking you not just for Michael 5 Morton's prior statements. They're asking for any --6 any Brady material that you might know about that 7 should be disclosed, correct?

### A. Correct. And I went on to explain that Mr. Allison, or maybe Mr. White, had a really broad reading of Brady. I think Mr. Allison had a very broad reading of Brady.

Q. Well, you go on then to argue -- let's just be clear. Right? "Mr. Allison mentioned it to me that he considered if the defendant makes any statement that doesn't admit to the commission of the crime, he thinks that's Brady material. I don't think that's Brady material. And as a practical matter, I think the defendant knows of any statements he made anyway, so they already have possession of them," right?

### A. Right.

21 Q. So first you say, "No, we have no Brady 22 material"; and then they go on to say that 23 Mr. Allison's idea that any recorded statement of his client where he doesn't admit to the crime is Brady, that's going too far, correct?

Page 226

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Page 228

Page 227

the statements of Michael Morton. He's asking for -as the context of this Brady motion, for any Brady material that you might have, right?

#### A. Correct.

1 2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

Q. And you had a motion from them that was a very detailed motion, right, about everything that might be inconsistent with the prosecution's theory, whether admissible or inadmissible evidence, they were asking you to turn over pursuant to their Brady motion. You were on notice of that, right?

### A. I saw their motion and it was a very good motion from the defense standpoint. They had a very incredibly broad interpretation of Brady.

Q. Okay. All right. And then the Court says, "That's in your Brady motion?"

And Mr. White says, "Well, we're going to argue that. We're going to argue the oral statements under our motion for discovery of the defendant."

The Court says, "All right,

20 Mr. Anderson." And he says this very directly here on page 29. "All right, Mr. Anderson. Do you have 21 22 anything that is favorable to the accused," right?

23 A. Correct.

Q. And your answer is, "No, sir."

25 A. Correct.

#### A. Correct.

Q. All right. But before that, when you're asked do you have anything favorable to the accused, just after Mr. White says, "Any other Brady material the State might have," you understood at that time, did you not, right, that they were asking you not just for the Michael Morton statements, they were asking you do you have any Brady material, any Brady material consistent with the motion or anything that you, Ken Anderson, District Attorney of Williamson County, looking at this file on February 6, thought you had to turn over and you said no, right?

#### A. That's what I said.

Q. And then you go on to say in this next paragraph, "You know, I have made Brady material available to defense attorneys in the past and I haven't noticed the Court disagreeing with me and I think I know what Brady material is."

You see that.

19 20 A. Uh-huh. 21 Q. So you're assuring Judge Lott that you 22 understand your Brady obligations. We're not just 23 talking about Michael Morton's statements. We're talking about any Brady material. You've reviewed this file and you see no Brady material. That's the meaning

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 229 Page 231 of all this, isn't it? 1 A. Is it something I would have given to the 1 2 2 A. With my further caveat that I don't agree with defense attorney? The answer is yes. 3 their broad interpretation of Brady. 3 Q. So you're -- you're saying you can't determine 4 Q. Right. But I think we've already established 4 whether it's Brady because, what, you don't think it's 5 5 that -- well, maybe we haven't established. Would you exculpatory? Tell me all the reasons -- tell me all 6 not agree that the short transcript that was in your 6 the reasons that you think that Exhibit 7 is not Brady 7 7 file of the discussion between Don Wood and Rita material that has to be turned over to the defense. 8 Kirkpatrick -- that should have been disclosed in some 8 A. I'd have to make a number of assumptions and 9 9 fashion or form? determinations and then I'd have to come to a legal 10 A. Yeah, I think I disagreed on whether we can 10 opinion. 11 11 come to a conclusion that it's Brady material. I said Q. Well, let's talk about what those assumptions 12 it's the sort of stuff I typically would give. 12 are. I think you mentioned before -- let me see if I 13 Q. Well, I misunderstand you. Let's be very, 13 can help you here. You mentioned before that you think 14 very clear. Right? Are you telling me -- are you 14 that maybe you don't -- maybe it's not Brady material 15 telling the Court that might see this videotape 15 because you think that the inadmissible of a -- the deposition that the interview that Wood conducted with 16 testimony of a three-and-a-half-year-old would be Kirkpatrick where she says that Eric told her that he 17 17 inadmissible. 18 saw the monster who hurt Mommy and when he was asked 18 A. That would be one factor. 19 "Was Daddy there," he says, "No, Daddy wasn't 19 Q. Right. So you're telling us that you say you 20 there" -- right? Are you saying that that recorded 20 don't have to give them Exhibit 7, this transcript that 21 question and answer was not Brady material? was in your file, because you think that if the --22 A. As I -- you know, we got into this at the 22 ultimately Eric's testimony may not be admissible, 23 beginning. 23 therefore that doesn't have to be disclosed under 24 Q. I just -- see if you can answer that 24 Brady. Is that your position? 25 question. 25 A. And again, I don't -- I can't imagine giving Page 230 Page 232 1 A. Okay. The answer is any Brady determination this transcript. Brady would require that I disclose is a mixed question of law and facts. It requires a the information. professional judgment to be made. It requires you to 3 Q. Okay. Why don't we say then you're agreeing 4 that Brady would require that you disclose the 4 know exactly what facts there are and how they're 5 5 perceived by the prosecutor at the time in question. information. Is that what -- that's your position now, 6 6 Q. Well, you were the prosecutor at this trial. right? 7 7 A. Yes, sir. A. I'm saying what I've said the entire 8 8 Q. Do you have any question in your mind that deposition. 9 when you said to Judge Wood, "No, I have no Brady 9 Q. Let me -- that's your position. Brady would material," that you had this Kirkpatrick-Wood interview 10 require that you disclose the information that's in 10 11 in your file? 11 Exhibit 7. 12 12 A. Evidently I had the two-page version, yes. A. Brady would require it if they already knew 13 Q. So let's start with the two-page version. Do 13 about it. 14 you consider the two-page version -- let's get the 14 Q. But wait a second. You don't -- you didn't --15 exact exhibit number. Where is that? 15 as you sit here today, you have no recollection of 16 MS. PECKER: Exhibit 7. 16 this -- of Exhibit 7 at all, right? 17 MR. SCHECK: I think it's 7. 17 A. That's correct. 18 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) All right. Exhibit 7, was 18 Q. You have no recollection -- you have no 19 19 that Brady material that should have been disclosed on recollection when the motion to recuse was filed that 20 February 6th when you were asked, "Do you have any 20 there was any transcript of an interview between Brady material," and you said no? 21 21 Kirkpatrick and Wood with respect to Eric saying that

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

he saw the monster and it wasn't his father.

A. Back in August I didn't have --

A. Back in August?

Q. What?

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

O. All right.

A. That's a legal conclusion.

A. I'm not agreeing that it's Brady material.

Q. I'm asking you to the -- your understanding --

Page 233 Page 235 would have said something along those lines. 1 Q. Yes, in August. 2 2 A. -- any recollection of any of this. Q. Okay. Now let me ask you another question. Q. That's what I'm asking. So you're saying no, If you're sitting -- if, in fact, you believed that the defense must have known what Eric was saying because, you had no recollection at all in August. 5 5 A. Right. And I still don't have any after all, Michael Morton had access to his son after 6 6 the murder, right, would you say -- would it be recollection. But I'll certainly acknowledge this 7 7 inadequate to say, "Well, gee, they know" -is --8 Q. So all you can tell us is that as far as 8 A. No, I wouldn't --9 9 Q. -- "what Eric is saying, therefore they don't you're concerned, Brady would require that you disclose have to know that he made a statement to his 10 the substance of Exhibit 7 to the defense; is that 10 11 11 grandmother," right? 12 12 A. I would, under my working definition of A. No. 13 Q. That wouldn't be enough, would it? 13 exculpatory evidence, provide this -- the substance of 14 this sort of information routinely. 14 A. If I have this, I'm not going to trust that he 15 Q. Tell us -- since you have no specific 15 would have also told that. 16 recollection, tell us what your routine would have been 16 O. Okav. in terms of what you would have disclosed. What would 17 17 A. If they're not talking to me about it. you have done -- what would you have said to the 18 Because I'm sure I'm talking to them about Eric because 19 defense lawyers about Exhibit 7? 19 I'm trying to figure out if they're going to call him. 20 20 Q. Right. Well, if you're trying to figure out A. There's no way I can determine that. I 21 whether they're going to call Eric, don't you think 21 mean --22 Q. Well, let me see if I can help you. Would you 22 that part of their determination as to whether or not 23 they're going to call Eric is that the maternal 23 have said, "Gentlemen, I have a transcript of an 24 grandmother, who initially was suspicious of her 24 interview between the maternal grandmother, Rita son-in-law, was convinced by Eric's statement that "You Kirkpatrick, and Eric that was done soon after the Page 234 Page 236 funeral where Eric says to Ms. Kirkpatrick that he saw 1 should stop looking at my son-in-law and go out and 2 find the monster"? the person that committed this crime and it was not his 3 father"? 3 Wouldn't that have been important to the A. That would certainly be a possible way of 4 defense in deciding whether or not to call Eric or to 4 5 doing it. 5 call Rita Kirkpatrick? Isn't that exactly the kind of 6 6 Q. What I'm trying to get at, sir, do you not Brady information they were entitled to get? 7 feel that you had a responsibility under Brady to at 7 A. It's the stuff I routinely would have given 8 least communicate that there was actually a transcript them. 9 of that recording -- of that conversation between 9 Q. Okay. Let's talk about -- let's go back now Kirkpatrick and Wood about what Eric said? 10 to our examination of the transcript. 10 11 A. I can't recall from 26 -- 25 years ago --11 A. Okav. 12 Q. We're not asking about that. We're asking 12 Q. And we're back at -- I think we just finished your discussion on page 29 where you say, "You know, I 13 about routine. We know you don't remember anything. 13 14 You've said to us that you regard it as Brady and that 14 have made Brady material available to defense attorneys you would have to disclose the substance. And we're 15 in the past and I haven't noticed the Court disagreeing 15 16 16 now describing -- we're now reviewing what that might with me. I think I know what Brady material is." 17 mean. Okay? 17 You see that, right? 18 18 A. Okay. A. Correct. 19 19 Q. Now, it's your position today that had you Q. And what I'm trying to get at, sir, is: Would part of a fair description of the substance of Exhibit known about the report of a check being cashed nine 7 be that this is a conversation that Kirkpatrick had 21 days after Christine Morton's death and if there was no 22 with Eric that she told Wood about where Eric says he adequate follow-up on that, that is Brady material that saw the person who committed the crime and it was not 23 you would have disclosed, right? 23 24 his father? 24 A. That is material I would have disclosed. 25 Q. If, in fact, there was information provided to A. If we hadn't talked about this beforehand, I

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

5

6

7

9

10

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 237

Don Woods that her credit -- Christine Morton's credit card had been used by a woman in San Antonio at the Jewel Box two days after her death and that follow -no follow-up had been done to adequately run down this 5 lead, that is something that you would have disclosed 6 to the defense, right?

#### A. Correct. I mean, there --

Q. Okay. And to the best of your knowledge, there's nothing in any file that demonstrates -- and 10 I'm looking here at Exhibit 9 -- that there was 11 follow-up that indicated that this credit card was 12 either not Christine Morton's or there was some 13 innocent explanation of it, right?

#### A. That's correct.

7

8

9

14

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

23

25

15 Q. All right. We've discussed Exhibit 10, which 16 is the observations of an individual of seeing a green 17 van on the street behind the Hazelhurst address and a 18 subject walking in the wooded area behind the Hazelhurst house in the days before the murder. You 19 20 have no recollection of this Exhibit 10, right?

#### A. Was it in my file?

22 O. It was in the sheriff's file. It was not in 23 your -- we did not get a production of this in the 24 prosecutor's trial file.

### A. Well, I wouldn't have had any recollection no

1 Q. "To make a determination about the defendant's 2 statements whether -- whether they're -- they were Brady material or not or whether Brady material existed."

Page 239

You see that.

#### A. I do.

Q. And so referring to two things, whether the defendant's statements are Brady material or whether or not there's other Brady material that exists in the reports of Boutwell and Wood.

11 A. Well, this is White going back and saying, I guess, to Judge Lott, what his understanding from 12 13 Allison was of the November hearing. And that is clearly not what the status of this was when we left 15 the November hearing.

16 Q. So whatever the understandings were at the 17 time of the November hearing -- and your understanding 18 was that you were only producing a Wood report about 19 Michael Morton's statements on August 13th and August 20

21 A. Right, because I wanted to get my objection 22 sustained and that's what we were talking about was 23 those statements.

24 Q. But now we're on a different day. We're on 25 February 6th.

Page 238

Page 240

#### matter which file it was in, but no.

Q. But you've agreed that we've discussed this, that this was -- this report on 8-14 by Traylor about a green van in the neighborhood and an individual walking on several occasions behind the wooded area behind the Morton house, that would have been the kind of exculpatory evidence that, if you had known about it, you would have disclosed.

## A. Green van testimony, sure.

Q. Okay. All right. And the same goes for the -- I think we've covered everything, the credit card, the cashed check, the green van, and the statement, right?

### A. The statement -- yes.

Q. Okay. Now let's turn to page 30. Okay?

Q. And Mr. White says, "If I might reply." This is after you say there's no Brady

19 material.

20 "My understanding at the pretrial was 21 that the State, that is, the Government, was going to provide the reports of" -- "the reports of Officer Wood 22

and Sheriff Boutwell, the complete reports." 24 Do you see the term "complete reports"?

A. I do.

#### A. And Mr. White --

Q. We're talking about the -- starting on page 28, we're talking about whether or not there's exculpatory evidence. We're talking about the defendant's motion for the production of evidence favorable to the accused, right?

### A. On those pages, yes.

Q. Okay. And we've now reviewed it, that this is clear that they're not just talking about Michael Morton's statements. They're talking about, as on page 29, any other Brady material the State might have, right?

#### A. Correct.

14 Q. And that you say -- and then the judge says, 15 "All right, Mr. Anderson. Do you have anything favorable to the accused," and you say, "No, sir," 16 17 right? 18

## A. With the caveat that me and Mr. Allison disagree on what Brady is.

Q. Right. And you disagree on what -- you disagree what Brady means with respect to a defendant's statement, right? But then you go on to say you know what Brady material is. You tell the judge --

### A. Correct.

25 Q. -- "You know, I've done this kind of review

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 241 Page 243 before and I have no Brady material," right? 1 And the Court says, "There's a notation 2 2 A. Correct. about Don Wood. I don't have a notation about 3 Q. And then on page 30, White is clear that he's Boutwell " 4 not just talking about whether the defendant's And then White says, "I'm going to check 5 statements are Brady material. He's talking about my pretrial hearing transcript to see if he's mistaken 6 whether Brady material existed. And he's saying that about Boutwell," right? 7 7 in the context of the Government is supposed to be A. Correct. turning over the reports of Wood -- reports of Wood and 8 Q. Okay. And then the Court says, "I haven't 9 9 Sheriff Boutwell, the complete reports, right? Not checked to see on that either. These are just my notes 10 just to see whether there's Brady material with respect 10 I made. And that was not submitted to the Court." to the defendant's statements, but also whether Brady 11 Okay? And then -- all right. Let's read 11 12 material existed. Do you see that that's what he's 12 it all so that we're -- then on line six of page 31, 13 Mr. White says, "I think if we could get it submitted 13 saying? 14 A. And that was a misstatement. Not necessarily 14 to the Court between now and then, we could get a copy 15 intentional, but that was not what had been decided at 15 and you could make an interpretation as to whether the 16 16 material concerning the defendant's statement are Brady 17 17 Q. Well, whether that is a misstatement of what are to be given to us now or not. As I said before, 18 happened at the pretrial and what your limited 18 this is the type of case that every issue is to be 19 obligation was at the pretrial, it's clear on this 19 hotly contested. The actus reus is denied. It's going 20 20 transcript, isn't it, that White is at least saying, "I to be purely circumstantial. It's going to be real --21 want the complete reports of Wood and Boutwell and 21 the points are going to be in tight and close. As a result of that, I think it's going to make a 22 whether there's not just Morton's statement but Brady 22 23 significant difference in the appellate record. And as 23 material," right? And then goes on to say "Has the State provided those to the Court," those in-camera 24 24 I said, we don't want to try it again." And then the Court says, "Is that the reports, right? You see that. 25 Page 242 Page 244 lengthy one?" 1 A. Yeah, except --1 2 Q. And then the Court says --2 And you say, "Actually it's not. It's 3 A. -- he clearly was misrepresenting what had 3 two pages long is my memory -- if my memory is" -prior -- what the judge's prior --4 4 The Court says, "How many?" "About two pages long, if I'm not 5 5 Q. Right. So whatever the judge's prior ruling was or your understanding of what you were supposed to 6 mistaken." produce, it's now clear that this is White's 7 And then the Court says, "What about his 8 8 field notes?" understanding, that you're supposed to produce the 9 complete reports not just about the defendant's 9 And you say, "Field notes. I had statements, about whether other Brady material 10 forgotten about those, Judge. I need to get with 10 11 existed. That's what White's saying, right? Mr. Wood today obviously to get those. I haven't seen 12 them myself. I have no idea what they say," right? A. Yeah. 13 Q. Okay. And then he says, "Has the State 13 A. Yes. 14 provided those to the Court for in camera reports?" 14 Q. And then the Court says, "All right. Get 15 15 And then the judge says, "No. I have a those to me so I can have an opportunity over the weekend to examine them and check the record on the 16 notation that a copy of the report was furnished. This 16 is Wood, I believe." 17 motion to suppress to see if this also covers the 17 18 And White says, "There should be one for 18 sheriff." 19 Boutwell, too, I believe." And you say, "Okay," right? 19 A. Correct. 20 And the Court says, "Well, to the" --20 21 he's looking at -- he says, "to the Court for in camera 21 Q. And then the Court says, "But the motion then 22 22 inspection and they will be sealed for appellate record for the production of evidence favorable to the and also field notes leading to it." 23 accused, subject to my examining Don Wood's records -23 24 And then you say, "The field notes of Don 24 and if any is found there, that will be furnished. And 25 Wood?" it also covers the sheriff. If those are to be

61 (Pages 241 to 244)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 245 Page 247 examined and any found, then they will be furnished." notation -- starting on the left-hand column, it says 2 2 "Weekend" and then there's a line to "Brady motion," Okay? 3 A. Okay. 3 right? 4 Q. All right. So now let me show you what --4 A. Uh-huh. 5 MR. SCHECK: Let's mark -- what's the 5 Q. And it says "Rule Monday." And then in the 6 6 left-hand column it says "Don Wood's records," plural, exhibit next in order? 7 7 "and sheriff's records for in camera equals" -- equal (Exhibit Nos. 19 and 20 marked) 8 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Now, I'm showing you what's 8 sign, "and then determine if defendant's statements been marked 19 that I represent to you are notes that 9 should be turned" -- I can't -- "turned" -- I can't --9 we just recovered from Judge Lott's own reports. And I 10 MR. RALEY: "Turned over to defendant". 10 11 think it's a document --11 MR. SCHECK: "Turned over" --MR. RALEY: "To defendant". 12 12 A. Judge Lott's? MR. SCHECK: Oh, yes, "turned over to 13 Q. Judge Lott. These are notes that were just 13 14 recovered from the attic today of Judge Lott, his own 14 defendant," I think. 15 15 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I think that's what it says. handwritten notes. 16 MR. RALEY: Hold on. They are from Judge 16 And then on the next page it says "as 17 Brady matter". And then in parentheses is "favorable 17 Lott, right? 18 MS. PECKER: Yeah. 18 to defendant or inconsistent statements". Okay? 19 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) That's my understanding. You 19 A. Okay. may recognize his handwriting better than I. That's 20 20 Q. So that's what the records say, right? Exhibit 19. They were just produced. 21 21 A. That's what Judge Lott's -- if these are Judge 22 And here's Exhibit 20, which is the 22 Lott's. 23 23 judge's criminal docket, right, that you and I actually Q. Okay. Now, you have no independent had discussed before as to whether you had noticed this 24 24 recollection about what you turned over, when you entry on page two of Exhibit 20 that I've highlighted turned it over, at all, do you? Page 246 Page 248 in yellow. Okay? 1 1 A. Absolutely not. 2 So if you follow me here, you see on Q. And so you're doing your best to reconstruct 3 February 6th in the docket, the judge's or whosever all of this, correct? handwriting this is -- if it's the judge or if it's a 4 4 A. I am. 5 5 clerk, I don't know. But there's a summary of what was Q. All right. And we've been reviewing this. 6 decided at the February 6th hearing. Do you see that? 6 Now, I want to call your attention to page 31. Right? 7 7 And at the very end here, the Court says, at line 19, 8 8 "Is that the lengthy one," right? He's talking about Q. And then there's a note here "Court to conduct 9 in camera inspection of report of Officer Don Woods in 9 the in-camera submissions here. And the Court says, connection with his Brady motion." 10 "Is that the lengthy one?" 10 11 Do you see that? 11 And you say, "Actually it's not. It's 12 A. Yes. 12 two pages long as my memory is" --13 Q. Okay. So that plainly refers to the colloquy 13 "The Court: How many?" that we've just reviewed on February 6th, does it not? 14 Anderson, "About two pages long, if I'm 14 A. Yeah. It was that day. 15 15 not mistaken." 16 Q. All right. And --16 "And what about his field notes?" 17 A. Yeah, February 6th. 17 "Field notes I had forgotten about. I Q. February 6th. Now I show you what's 18 need to go get with Sergeant Wood today." 18 Exhibit -- what number is this? We have that as --19 So what do you think -- this arose --19 20 A. 19. 20 you've read your brief on appeal, correct? 21 Q. -- 19. And I call your attention to the 21 A. I have. 22 bottom of the page. All right? Well, at the very top, Q. And you issue a footnote about this particular as you can see, it's 2-6-87, right? So this would be 23 statement by Judge Lott about the lengthy one, right? 23 notes of 2-6-87 and the docket is the Michael Morton 24 A. Yeah, I'd have to look at my appellate brief. docket. And at the bottom of the page it has a 25 We'll go back to that. Let's see if that

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

# Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services

Page 249 Page 251 doesn't refresh your recollection. Let's just note 1 Q. You've read that before, haven't you? 2 2 that. Okay? A. I would presume so. It's my handwriting up on the upper right-hand corner. 3 So I take it now that it's your position 4 that in reconstructing this, what must have happened is 4 Q. Where is that? I'm sorry. 5 that you just turned over Sergeant Wood's report about A. Where it says "file". 6 6 the events of August 13th for in-camera submission to Q. Could I see what you're talking about? 7 7 Judge Lott and you did not turn over what could be --A. Are we looking at different motions? 8 what -- his complete report or Sheriff Boutwell's 8 Q. No. We're looking at that. Your -- your copy 9 9 report, right, and field notes? has -- that's your handwriting on the upper right-hand 10 A. My reading of this entire transcript is that I 10 corner, right? 11 was supposed to turn over Don Wood's report about the 11 A. It certainly appears to be. defendant's oral statements of August 13th. 12 Q. Okay. Now, I must say that -- okay. And in 13 13 Q. That's your reading of it now, correct? this motion -- now, do you remember any of these 14 A. That's correct. 14 events? Do you remember hearing that Mike Davis had 15 Q. All right. But you certainly see where one made some kind of statement in front of Bill Allison 16 could read this as, regardless of what happened at the after the jury returned its verdict? pretrial, now Judge Lott is ordering in connection with 17 17 A. No. 18 the defendant's Brady motion that he wants to see 18 Q. You have no recollection of that at all. 19 the -- and the defense is asking for the complete 19 A. No. When I was reading that, I guess it's in 20 report of Don Wood's and field notes for purposes of 20 the opinion and briefing, I was thinking "I wonder if I 21 making a Brady determination. You see that. knew that he said something like that" or "I wonder i 22 A. I see where he's segued into a Brady he did say something like that." Because I know one 23 determination. 23 thing. If he did say something like that and I heard 24 Q. Let's -- that's one reading one could make of 24 it, there wouldn't have been a quiet conversation up in the record. 25 my office. Page 250 Page 252 1 Q. Well, how about this? You did get this motion 1 A. That's the reading I make. 2 2 Q. No, no. What I'm suggesting to you is that that Bill Allison filed after the trial for a new 3 the reading you're making is that all that was ever trial, right? 4 A. Obviously I got it. 4 required of you was to turn over Wood's report about 5 Q. Okay. And in this motion for new trial, okay, 5 Michael Morton's statements on August 13th. That's 6 6 your position, right? he is saying that "The Court committed material error 7 7 calculated to injure the rights of the Defendant by not A. It looks like I was also supposed to provide 8 8 requiring the State to produce the offense reports and the field notes. supplemental reports" -- "offense reports of Don Wood, 9 9 Q. And the field notes, correct? 10 10 the Chief Investigator in this case who was not called A. That's my reading. 11 Q. But you do not -- you are saying here on 11 by the prosecution at trial. These reports and 12 February 6th that you don't know of any Brady material supplemental reports were the ones which the Court 12 13 and you did not -- you don't regard this as meaning 13 indicated it had reviewed the weekend before the 14 that you should be turning over a complete report by 14 commencement of the trial on February 9th, 1987." 15 15 You see that, right? Sergeant Woods and his field notes of his work on the 16 16 investigation. That's not how you read this A. I see that. 17 17 transcript, right? Q. Okay. And he then says "During the 18 18 conversation with the jury after the trial of this case A. No. 19 19 was concluded on Tuesday, February 17th, 1987, Mr. Mike Q. Okay. Let's stop it there. 20 Now let's turn to the next big event. Davis, one of the prosecutors told the jury that And that is, after the trial -- right? After the trial 21 Sergeant Wood's reports were sizeable (he held up his 21 22 Bill Allison filed a motion for a new trial. I hand and indicated about one inch between his fingers) think -- I think we have marked this as Exhibit 16. 23 and that if the defense had gotten them, we would have 23 24 been able 'to raise even more doubt than we did.' It

63 (Pages 249 to 252)

is believed that from further remarks made in the jury

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

24 Here it is. You see that?

A. Okay.

			1
	Page 253		Page 255
1	room that the reports contain leads concerning other	1	Q. Yeah.
2	unusual happenings or strange persons in the	2	A. Okay.
3	neighborhood which, if disclosed to the defense, would	3	Q. Okay. And I'll let the deposition speak for
4	have been relevant before the jury on the issue of	4	itself, but I think that that is a fair summary of what
5	whether or not the Defendant committed this crime.	5	he said. He doesn't quarrel with it.
6	This type of information would have led to further	6	And then it goes on to say "It is
7	admissible evidence tending to indicate the Defendant	7	believed from further remarks made in the jury room
8	did not commit this offense."	8	that the report contains leads concerning unusual
9 10	Do you see that?  A. I do.	9	happenings or strange persons in the neighborhood which, if disclosed to the defense, would have been
11	Q. All right. And then it says "It is further	11	relevant before the jury on the issue of whether or not
12	feared that if the Court does not take immediate steps	12	the Defendant committed the crime."
13	to preserve these reports, they may be destroyed or	13	You see that, right?
14	removed so they would not be available for use in the	14	A. I see it in the motion.
15	appeal or a subsequent trial."	15	Q. Well, don't you think that the report that we
16	You see that.	16	have reviewed about the green van and an individual
17	A. I do.	17	walking behind the wooded area to the rear of the
18	Q. Okay. Now, are you telling us that after this	18	Morton home is embraced by that statement, "strange
19	trial now, Mike Davis was leaving the office right	19	persons in the neighborhood," that might lead to
20	after this trial. Do you remember that?	20	exculpatory evidence? In other words, that's
21	A. I didn't remember when he left. I knew it was	21	Exhibit
22	sometime	22	A. Where was was I not in the jury room when
23	Q. Soon thereafter?	23	all this was going on? This is evidently after the
24	A after I had been there roughly two years,	24	trial?
25	so it would have been sometime in the first half of	25	Q. This is after the trial. And nobody connected
	Page 254		Page 256
1	'87.	1	with this litigation has represented that you were
2	Q. Wouldn't you agree that this is I'm sorry.	2	there. What's represented in this motion is that this
3	I didn't mean to interrupt you.	3	is a conversation that happened in front of the jurors
4	Wouldn't you agree that this is a pretty	4	where Bill Allison was present and Mike Davis. Right?
5	unusual motion?	5	That's what it says, doesn't it?
6	A. It's a motion for new trial. I don't know	6	A. I haven't read it that closely; but okay, I'll
7	that I would characterize it as unusual. I'm sure,	7	take your word for it.
8 9	when I read it, if I hadn't been aware of this thing with Mike saying that, I asked him about it.	8	Q. Well, are you telling us that at the time that this motion was filed, you didn't read it?
10	Q. Well, what I mean about unusual, it's unusual	10	A. I don't remember. I would assume I read it.
11	that a prosecutor would say in the jury room, "Boy, I'm	11	Q. Okay. Wouldn't you say that after reading
12	really glad that Sergeant Wood's reports, which were	12	this, the appropriate thing for you to have done was to
13	sizeable, about an inch thick, had not been disclosed	13	have called Mike Davis and said, "Mike, what are you
14	because if the defense got them, they would have been	14	talking about? What sizeable file about an inch thick
15	able to raise even more doubt than they did."	15	of Sergeant Wood's did you see which the defense would
16	A. Yeah, it would be	16	have been able to use to raise even more doubt than
17	Q. That's a pretty remarkable statement, isn't	17	they did?" Wouldn't that have been the question that
18	it?	18	was appropriate to ask?
19	A. I agree. It would be a remarkable statement	19	A. I would think so.
20	if he made it.	20	Q. You never did that, did you?
21	Q. Well, I hope you realize he's been deposed in	21	A. I have no idea.
22	this case and he doesn't quarrel that this is he	22	Q. Well, Mr. Davis doesn't recall doing it, that
23	doesn't quarrel that this is he accepts this as the	23	you came and asked him that.
24	statement that he made.	24 25	A. I have no recall from 25 years ago.  Q. Well, I understand you don't have any recall,
25	A. Really?	L 2 3	Q. Well, I understand you don't have any recall,

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

1

2

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

Page 257

so let's just talk about what an ethical prosecutor would have done, right, upon receiving a motion like this. Isn't the first thing that you would have done is said, "Mike, what are you talking about? What Woods reports did you see that the defense could have used to raise doubt?" Wouldn't you have asked him that?

### A. I would have asked him about making these statements in front of -- or I would have asked him about making the statements, period.

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Well, let's put aside you would have said, "Mr. Davis, you probably never should have said anything in front of the jury," right? But it's plain that you would have asked him, would you not -- an ethical prosecutor certainly would have asked him, "What are you talking about? What did you see in Wood's report about an inch thick that the defense could have used to raise doubt or that would have contained leads concerning other unusual happenings or a strange person in the neighborhood that would have been relevant to the defense?" Wouldn't you have asked that question?

### A. I would have talked to him about it, yes.

23 Q. Would you not have gone back and looked to see 24 if there was such reports from Sergeant Wood that could have been used to raise doubt, that could have

Page 259

Page 260

A. Okav.

Q. Let's put it this way. Let's not even assume that. Wouldn't it be a fair statement that prior to the beginning of the trial in this case, before deciding whether or not to call Sergeant Woods, you would have reviewed his complete report and rough field notes about what you heard him say in open court was the extensive investigation that he conducted?

#### A. I would have reviewed the reports that I have, which I would have assumed were all the reports.

Q. Wait a second. You were -- we reviewed the transcript where you heard him say on the witness stand that he had conducted an extensive investigation and talked to lots of people and had field reports, right? Field notes as well as offense reports, right?

#### A. We reviewed that.

17 Q. You heard him say that during the pretrial 18 hearing, right?

### A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. And you're the one who wrote a book where you 21 reference the Morton case and you talked about someone 22 has to master the hundreds of details that's necessary 23 to try a case and that person is the prosecutor, right?

#### A. That's right.

Q. And don't you think that you, being somebody

Page 258

contained exculpatory evidence, that could have done -could have involved unusual happenings or strange persons in the neighborhood? A. I don't know. At that time I should have been

very familiar with -- well, I don't know how far after the trial this was. I would have been very familiar with the evidence and the reports.

Q. Right. And so to the best of your knowledge, you didn't ask him, right?

#### A. No. To the best of my knowledge, I don't recall one way or the other.

Q. And now, you're aware that Bill Allison asked for a hearing on this, on the file.

## A. I was from looking through the things.

Q. What's a motion to abate, by the way?

A. It is usually something you file with an Appellate Court to stop the proceeding. Well, it's a motion to stop a proceeding.

Q. So -- now, by the way, just to be clear about this, you're aware that Sergeant Wood has testified that he wasn't told that he wasn't going to testify until two hours before the trial.

#### A. I am not aware of that.

24 Q. All right. Why don't you assume that to be 25 true for the moment.

that endeavored your best to be careful -- I think your 2 words were painstakingly investigate and review

hundreds of details in a case. Once you heard Woods

4 testify that he had conducted an extensive 5

investigation and had lots of notes, talked to lots of 6 people, wasn't it incumbent upon you to go back and 7

find -- look at exactly what he had done?

A. I don't know. I was working 60 hours a week at this time. I had a small office and we had a case to try, and I'm sure right before that we had a case to try and right after that we had a case to try.

Q. Wouldn't it be a fair statement that as a strategic matter, you were very concerned about how many police reports these two very capable lawyers were going to get during the course of the trial?

A. I don't have a good feel right as we sit here for how many reports were in the District Attorney's

19 Q. Well, we can go back and look at the District 20 Attorney's file. But you certainly had access to the 21 sheriff's file, did you not? 22

A. Don Wood said I had access to it. That would probably be an inaccurate statement.

24 Q. You're saying that --

25 A. I could have asked the sheriff to see their

65 (Pages 257 to 260)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 261 Page 263 1 whole file and I'm sure he would have showed it to me. case. We don't have any discovery." You heard him say 2 2 Q. Well, as a matter of routine, based on even that, right? what you wrote about in the book -- I realize you're 3 A. Right. calling it hyperbole now. But at this point in time 4 Q. And so wasn't it part of your strategy that if 5 wouldn't it have been part of your ordinary routine to you could avoid it, you were going to turn over as few 6 police reports as you could to these capable lawyers in try to find out as much as you could about the details 7 7 of the Morton investigation -the trial of this case? 8 8 A. Of course I would have --A. Okay. That's two things. They talk about 9 9 O. -- once you heard Sergeant Wood said that he the -- but we had unprecedented discovery in this 10 had done extensive investigation and had interviewed 10 case. It may -- Allison may be correct that it wasn't 11 lots of people? 11 by November 26th, but I can't -- from what I've gleaned 12 A. If you stop that sentence before you get to from the statement of facts, there was more discovery 13 Sergeant Wood, the answer is of course I would. than I -- than I -- there were a lot of exhibits and 14 Q. So you would have wanted to find everything there was a lot of scientific testing and this was 15 you could about the Morton investigation from 15 before scientific testing was, you know, as routine as Boutwell. Is that what you're now going to tell us? 16 16 17 Q. But that's scientific testing. I'm talking 17 A. I would have wanted to find everything I could 18 about any investigation, but not because Sergeant Wood 18 about disclosure of the police reports. Let's just see 19 testified to whatever Sergeant Wood testified to. 19 if we can reach some closure on this. 20 20 Q. I'm just only pointing out that Sergeant --A. Okay. 21 21 when Sergeant Wood testified to that -- that way, that Q. All right? And that is, are you saying that 22 gave you notice that he was extensively involved in 22 you were not concerned at the time of this trial to 23 23 this investigation and talked to lots of people, right? limit, as much as you possibly could, the number of 24 24 A. That gave me notice that Sergeant Wood said police reports about the course of this investigation 25 that. to the defense as possible? Page 262 Page 264 1 Q. Well, do you think he was lying when he got up 1 A. Well, I have no real memory of 25 years ago and said that at the pretrial hearing? what my strategy was. But as a practical matter, I 3 A. Sergeant Wood is Sergeant Wood. 3 always called the fewest witnesses I needed. 4 Q. Well, you keep on saying that. Are you trying 4 Q. Okay. Now, let's go back to this motion from 5 5 to suggest here that there's -- that you thought Mike Davis. You're saying that you don't remember Sergeant Wood was not a truthful person or an 6 this, but you must agree at some point you read it. 7 incompetent person? Is that what you're saying? At 7 A. Yeah. I wrote "file" on top of it. 8 8 the time of this trial? O. And I think you've agreed that the proper 9 A. And I'm having trouble remembering what my thing to have done would be to inquire of Mr. Davis, "Tell me exactly what reports you're referring to of 10 thoughts were about Sergeant Wood at the very time of 11 this trial. Sergeant Wood's that could raise even more doubt and 12 Q. Okay. Then let's go back to this. You -- as what reports you're referring to that could contain 13 a strategic matter, would it not be fair to say that leads leading to other unusual happenings or strange 14 you had considerable concerns as to how many police 14 persons in the neighborhood." You agree, don't you? 15 A. I agree I would have asked Mike if he said 15 reports and how extensive your disclosure was going to 16 be to Allison and Wood about this investigation? 16 that and what he was thinking about. 17 A. No. I probably had some concerns about how to 17 O. And a little further, you would have also 18 wanted to inquire as to whether or not what he said was 18 call as few witnesses as possible because I always had 19 19 that concern. I figured out what I -- the fewest accurate, that there were reports -- a sizeable set of 20 number of people to get the evidence I wanted in front 20 reports from Sergeant Woods that could have been used 21 21 by the defense to raise doubts and that concerned of the jury. 22 Q. Well, you reviewed with us where Bill Allison 22 unusual happenings or strange persons in the

66 (Pages 261 to 264)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

23

24

25

neighborhood, true?

A. I'm -- I don't know.

Q. Well, I'm asking. We're only asking about

23

said at the pretrial hearing, "All we have are 108

photographs and the medical examiner -- the autopsy

report. And we don't have any police reports in this

Page 265 Page 267 Q. Right. We'll put that in -routine. I mean, wouldn't that have been the proper 1 2 A. -- consent to search. thing to do? Not just ask did he say it, but also to further inquire if there were such reports, right? 3 Q. Right. But that's what we're talking about. 4 A. At that time I think I would have been 4 There was a sealed envelope that Allison didn't know 5 5 familiar with the reports. what was in it that was -- that Judge Lott had sealed 6 6 and was up in the Court of Appeals, right? Q. So at that point you would have known that 7 7 Sergeant Wood had filed an extensive set of reports and A. Correct. that you had not disclosed that to Judge Lott for 8 Q. You knew what was in it, didn't you? 9 A. I knew what was in it? Somebody knew what was 9 in-camera review and you had not disclosed it to the 10 defense. You would have known that at the time -- at 10 in it. And Judge Lott looked at it. 11 the end of the trial, right? 11 Q. Well, wait a second. If you don't -- weren't 12 you the ones that produced the reports of Wood and then 12 A. Of course not. 13 13 went out and got the field notes and made a production Q. Well, let's review what's been marked 17 and 14 18. These are the briefs on appeal. Let me see if I 14 to Judge Lott in camera? Aren't you the one that did 15 can find my copies. 15 that? 16 16 Okay. You're aware that Bill Allison's A. I don't recall who did it. 17 Q. Well, was there anyone else that would have 17 motion for a new trial was denied by Judge Lott, no 18 hearing was granted, and Judge Lott just sent the 18 sealed envelope with the Woods report to the Court of 19 19 A. You're talking about a presentation to Judge 20 20 Appeals for them to conduct their in-camera review. Lott. Somebody would have given him a sealed envelope 21 21 You know that. that probably had some markings on it. 22 22 Q. No, no, no. I'm not talking about that. I'm A. I saw some stuff about that. I couldn't 23 talking about there was a production made to Judge 23 really follow it, but that sounds right. 24 24 Lott, right, after February 6th that he was going to Q. Okay. Now, Bill Allison then in Point 6 of 25 his brief -- right? look at over the weekend, right? Page 266 Page 268 1 A. Uh-huh. What page? 1 A. Somehow or another he got an envelope on 2 2 O. Page 64. Friday which, according to what he says, he opened and 3 A. 64? looked at over the weekend. 4 4 Q. Right. And we have just reviewed at length O. Yeah. That's Point 6 of his brief. And that 5 not just the transcript, but we've looked at his docket 5 concerns a point of error. The judge failed -- the 6 judge erred in failing to produce for the defense the entry and we've looked at his notes, right? 7 exculpatory portions of the reports of Chief 7 A. Right. 8 8 Investigator Sergeant Wood or failed to exercise due Q. And I think what you've said is that your 9 9 diligence to see that all the reports were turned over interpretation was that all that you were obligated to to him for inspection. You see that. 10 disclose was Sergeant Wood's report and field notes, if 10 11 11 A. Okav. any, of the August -- of the August 13th and 14th 12 12 statements that Michael Morton made, right? Q. All right. And he says "In August of this 13 year, the trial court filed a second supplemental 13 A. That's what I read. 14 14 transcript with this Court. That transcript is sealed Q. So if you didn't make the production of 15 15 and purports to be the offense reports of Chief reports to Judge Lott, as a matter of routine, who 16 Investigator Don Wood." 16 17 17 You see that, right? A. Well, I can't do everything in the office. I 18 A. Uh-huh. 18 may well have. But somebody took an envelope, put at 19 19 least the report in and possibly the field notes -- and Q. Now, we now know that that -- I'll mark that as an exhibit in a second. But you've seen it, haven't 20 usually the judge seals it, but I don't know how -- who 21 you? And that is the sealed envelope and the few pages would seal it -- and got it to Judge Lott. 22 from Don Wood's offense report concerning his Q. If I understand it, you're telling us now that 23 you basically have no specific independent recollection 23 activities on August 13th, correct? 24 A. Yeah. It's a four-page or five-page report 24 of any of this, right? 25 and a --25 A. That's correct.

67 (Pages 265 to 268)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

14

15

19

20

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

Page 269

Q. Okay. And so what you've done after the 1 2 motion to recuse was filed is that you have then gone, looked at the transcript, look at all the motions, and look at the records that we've produced and tried to 5 reconstruct what you think must have happened based on 6 your legal obligations and knowledge of your own 7 routine; is that right?

#### A. That is very correct. And most of that work was done in the last week.

Q. Okay. And as part of that -- I'm sorry. And as part of that, what I think you told us here today is that the way you interpret the directions that you were given by the Court and the colloquy on February 26th --I'm sorry, February 6th, is that you thought the only thing that you would have turned over to Judge Lott was just a very, very thin production of a few pages that was Don Wood's formal report on what Michael Morton said on either August 13th or 14th, right?

### A. Correct. That's evidently what Judge Lott thought he was getting.

Q. Well, Judge Lott is not here and we have -the record speaks for itself. But all I'm trying to establish is that your reconstruction of this is that you thought that -- you think now that that's all that was required to be produced. Is that right? Do I hear

- A. Okav.
- Q. Isn't that right? We've just reviewed that.
  - A. We just reviewed that.
- Q. Okay. Now, what I'm asking you -- and now we're looking at Bill Allison's appellate brief, Point 6. Okay? That's where we're up to. Yes?

8 Q. All right. Now, what I'm saying to you is 9 that you knew that there was not a complete set of 10 offense reports from Don Wood in that sealed envelope. 11 You knew that, didn't you? It wasn't a mystery to you as to what was in that sealed envelope.

### A. I would assume at that time that I thought what was in the envelope was, in fact, the -- what Judge Lott had ordered.

16 Q. Right. Well, what Judge Lott had ordered, 17 what you interpreted Judge Lott as ordering. You knew 18

#### A. Okay. But you're making accusations. And Judge Lott clearly agreed with me.

21 Q. I'm asking you a different question. And 22 please --

MR. SCHECK: Motion to strike what Judge Lott believed or didn't believe.

Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) The record speaks for itself.

Page 270

Page 272

Page 271

you right?

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

#### A. What Judge Lott said was critically important.

Q. No, I'm asking you now just about is that true, that the only thing you thought you had to produce was just a recorded report from Sergeant Wood of what Michael Morton said on August 13th that was relevant to the voluntariness of the statement? That's all you thought you had to turn over.

#### A. Both me and Judge Lott evidently thought that.

MR. SCHECK: Motion to strike what Judge Lott thought.

Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I'm asking about you. And as you have looked back over this, it's your current position, I take it, that you believe after reading this record that the only thing that you were required to turn over was just Wood's report about the August 13th statement. Is that right? Yes or no?

19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Now, you get this motion from Bill Allison 21 saying that Mike Davis has said that there's a thick one-inch report from which the defense could raise doubts about unusual happenings and unusual persons in 23 the neighborhood, right? That's the next thing that 25 happens.

He's not here to discuss this matter.

#### A. No. Sheriff Boutwell is not here and Judge Lott is not here.

Q. Yeah. Okay. So I'm just reviewing this with you. And I'm saying here that when Mike Davis said in front of Bill Allison that there was a sizeable one-inch report from Sergeant Woods that contained information that the defense could have used to raise doubts and that had -- and there were reports in it of unusual happenings and suspicious people in the neighborhood that the defense could have used, right? You have that in mind. Okay?

When that allegation was made, you knew that there wasn't one inch of reports -- one inch of papers that had been produced to Judge Lott. You knew that.

#### 17 A. I should have known what was in the envelope, 18 ves.

19 Q. And you knew that there was nothing in that envelope that had to do with anything that the defense could have used to raise doubts concerning unusual 22 happenings or suspicious people in the neighborhood.

23 You knew that.

24 A. That's a logical deduction.

25 Q. Okay. And here's Bill Allison saying that --

68 (Pages 269 to 272)

# Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services

			SITION OF REIL ANDERGON
	Page 273		Page 275
1	in this brief, that "Originally, Appellant was	1	the thrust of what Bill Allison
2	skeptical of the trial court's ruling concerning the	2	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) The purpose of his request for
3	contents of Sergeant Wood's report. Now, the Appellant	3	a motion to abate was to find out what's in that
4	is skeptical that this second supplemental transcript	4	envelope, right? Is it really an inch worth of
5	even contains all that the trial court ordered produced	5	documents that could have been used by the defense?
6	for in camera inspection. And, if it does not,	6	What's in the envelope? He wants to know what's in the
7	Appellant is curious as to whether the trial court	7	envelope. That's what he's suggesting should be the
8	looked specifically at the contents of the second	8	basis of a hearing and a motion to abate before
9	supplemental transcript, or was material deleted in the	9	anything further is done on appeal with respect to this issue.
10	at least four and one-half months during which it was	10 11	A. Okay.
11 12	supposed to be under seal, but apparently was not. If the material was not turned over to the trial court as	12	Q. Didn't you understand that to be what he was
13	ordered, or it was tampered with in between the order	13	asking?
14	sealing it and the time it was actually sealed, a	14	A. If he filed a motion to abate, I'm sure that's
15	possible violation of Brady versus Maryland has	15	what he was asking for.
16	occurred and this Court should abate this appeal	16	MR. RALEY: Let's change the tape. He's
17	pending a full hearing in the trial court on this	17	about to run out.
18	matter."	18	VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 5:22. We're off the
19	You see that, right?	19	record.
20	A. I do.	20	(Recess from 5:20 p.m. to 5:29 p.m.)
21	Q. And do you recall that Bill Allison filed a	21	VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 5:30, beginning Tape
22	motion to abate?	22	No. 4. We are on the record.
23	A. I don't recall that, but I'm sure.	23	MR. DIETZ: And before we start, I
24	Q. But the thrust of this statement in a motion	24	understand there's five minutes remaining on the record
25	to abate is to say, "Look, why don't we all go back and	25	on the six-hour clock. I have acceded to Mr. Scheck's
	Page 274		Page 276
1	look and see what's in that envelope and see if there	1	request for an additional 30 minutes, or thereabouts,
2	really is an inch of reports from Sergeant Wood about	2	to complete the deposition. And I've indicated that I
3	unusual happenings or strange people in the	3	will agree to that, although in exceedance of that I
4	neighborhood that the defense could have used to raise	4	will terminate the deposition.
5	doubts?"	5	MR. SCHECK: Thank you very much,
6	A. Okay.	6	Mr. Dietz.
7	Q. What was in the envelope is the thrust of what	7	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Turn your attention to page 65
8	he's asking, right?	8	of Mr. Allison's appellate brief. And he goes on
10	MR. DIETZ: Madam Court Reporter, where are we on time?	10	A. What number is that, Mr. Scheck? Q. I think that it was Exhibit part of Exhibit
11	MR. SCHECK: They have to change the tape	11	18.
12	in three minutes anyhow.	12	A. Okay.
13	MR. DIETZ: I do have a question.	13	Q. That's the brief. We're going to go through
14	MR. SCHECK: Sure.	14	his and then yours.
15	MR. DIETZ: Where are we on time?	15	We're at page 65. And he writes at page
16	MR. RALEY: Can we get an answer to the	16	65 where we left off, "This is a very difficult legal
17	last question? Was it answered?	17	issue upon which to write. We are now asking this
18	MR. SCHECK: We'll have it read back and	18	Court" "this Court to review two issues under the
19	then he'll answer it.	19	due process clause" "due process of law concept that
20	MR. DIETZ: That's fine. He can answer	20	comes under the aegis of Brady versus Maryland. The
21	that question.	21	first is whether or not there is a reasonable
22	THE REPORTER: Question: "What was in	22	possibility that all of Sergeant Wood's reports were
23	the envelope is the thrust of what he's asking,	23	turned over to Judge Lott for his review. If the Court
24	right?"	24	determines there is a possibility that all the reports
25	THE WITNESS: What was in the envelope is	25	were not turned over as ordered, the appeal should be

69 (Pages 273 to 276)

	Page 277		Page 279
1	abated pursuant to the motion and the trial court	1	to the brief.
2	should be ordered to hold a full hearing on the	2	Q. But you were the District Attorney of
3	matter. Second, if this Court determines that all	3	Williamson County. You weren't just some appellate
4	materials ordered for the in camera inspection were	4	lawyer, right? Not to be any offense to appellate
5	turned over for the trial court's inspection, the next	5	lawyers.
6	issue that must be addressed is whether or not they	6	A. I was the appellate lawyer for the Williamson
7	contain exculpatory evidence the Appellant could have	7	County District Attorney's office.
8	used at trial."	8	Q. You were the fellow that tried this case.
9	You see that.	9	A. And wrote the appeals.
10	A. I do.	10	Q. And put a man in jail for the rest of his
11	Q. All right. And so the first issue he's	11	life, a life sentence, right?
12	raising is whether or not all of Sergeant Wood's	12	A. The jury did that; but, yes, I was the
13	reports were turned over to Judge Lott for his review,	13	prosecutor.
14	right?	14	Q. You even gave a public statement that that
15	A. That's yes.	15	wasn't sufficient punishment as far as you were
16	Q. And the clear and you understood it when	16	concerned.
17	you read this brief and as you read it now that what	17	A. I was shocked when I read that.
18	he's saying there is that Mike Davis has said that	18	Q. But you did say it, didn't you?
19	there was an inch worth at least of papers, right, that	19	A. I'm sure I did.
20	had information which the defense could have used to	20	Q. Right. And in fact, at the time of this
21	raise more doubt that involved unusual happenings and	21	trial, you were pretty engaged in it, weren't you? You
22	unusual sightings of people in the neighborhood, right?	22	really wanted to win.
23	A. Correct.	23	A. Once I make a decision that the evidence shows
24	Q. And so he's explicitly saying where is that	24	somebody is guilty and we announce ready, that's my
25	there's a possibility that information that Davis is	25	job.
	Page 278		Page 280
1	talking about and seems to have some knowledge of was	1	Q. And you really and in fairness to you,
2	never disclosed to Judge Lott. You see that.	2	Mr. Anderson, you believed, since the stomach contents
3	A. Okay.	3	indicated, according to the evidence you tried to put
4	Q. You see that, right?	4	on, that the time of death was around 1:30 a.m., that
5	A. Yes.	5	Michael Morton was the only person who could have
6	Q. And you understand that's his concern, right?	6	committed the crime, right?
7	A. It speaks for itself. I mean	7	A. I can't remember the exact time, but it was
8	Q. Right. And just prior to that, as we've	8	well before he went to work.
9	discussed, he's saying "And we should have a motion to	9	Q. So you believed that he had to be the person
10	abate just to determine what was or was not in that	10	that committed this crime because of the time of death;
11	sealed envelope," right?	11	and all the defense was raising about the possibility
12	A. Okay.	12	of a burglary and a third party entering the home after
13	Q. Now, why didn't you just agree to the motion	13	Morton left for work, as far as you were concerned,
14	to abate and see whether or not there was any police	14	that was just red herrings that the defense was
15	reports from Sergeant Woods that Mike Davis had seen	15	raising, right? That was your mind-set.
16	which could have raised doubts or recorded unusual	16	A. No, I don't think that's fair.
17	happenings or suspicious people in the neighborhood?	17	Q. All right.
18	Why didn't you do that?	18	A. I had the time of death.
19	A. Because my job was to file a response, which I	19	Q. Yes.
20	did.	20	A. Clearly an important factor. I had all the
21	Q. But I thought your job was to do justice and	21	rest of the circumstantial evidence.
22	to find out whether there really was exculpatory	22	Q. Okay.
23	evidence that was being withheld from the defense.	23	A. They kind of supported each other.
24	Isn't that part of your job, too?	24	Q. To put it simply, you were convinced of
25	A. At some point you get a brief and you respond	25	Mr. Morton's guilt.

70 (Pages 277 to 280)

Page 281 Page 283 1 A. I wouldn't have announced ready if I wasn't. proved as the killer of his wife,' unquote. Do you see 1 2 2 Q. And you believed that any suggestion that that, sir?" somebody had broken into the home and committed a 3 Answer, "Yes, I see it." burglary and in the course of that killed Christine 4 Question, "You never testified that 5 5 Morton you believed had no factual basis, right? Michael was scientifically proved as the killer of his 6 6 A. I eventually got to that point, ves. wife, did you, sir?" 7 7 Q. Now, you know, here's a copy -- we'll probably Answer, "I did not." mark this Defendant next in order. Here's a copy of a 8 Question, "In fact, you affirmatively 9 told the jury that making such an estimate as to the sworn statement that we took from Robert Bayardo, the 10 medical examiner who testified in this case on the 3rd 10 time of death was not scientific." 11 of October, 2011. Okay? You know who he is, don't 11 Answer, "Correct." 12 12 you? Do you see that? 13 13 A. Are you going to mark it? A. Okay. 14 MR. SCHECK: Yeah. 14 Q. All right. Now, as you sit here today, do you 15 15 think that you were so carried away in adversarial zeal (Exhibit No. 21 marked) 16 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) You remember Dr. Bayardo. 16 at the time of this trial that you might have misstated 17 A. I do. 17 Bayardo's testimony in telling the jury that he offered 18 18 Q. You called him to testify as to the time of his time of death as a scientific opinion when he had 19 19 specifically said that his estimate was not scientific? death, right? 20 20 A. He testified to the time of death, yes. A. Well, I wouldn't have any way of knowing what 21 Q. Have you reviewed your closing argument in 21 he was going to say 25 years later. I know what he 22 this case and his testimony in the course of preparing 22 said at the trial. I know I made a final argument. for this deposition? 23 23 That's what lawyers do. 24 A. I have not. 24 Q. I understand. And all I'm pointing out to 25 Q. Have you reviewed your closing argument and 25 you, sir, if it turns out that you -- if it turns out Page 282 Page 284 that you misstated -- in your closing argument you his testimony in this case any time recently? 1 2 2 overstated the -- Bayardo's testimony by telling the 3 Q. Now let me show you what he has testified to jury that it was a scientific estimate on his part when 4 after looking at his testimony and your closing 4 he was saying it's really not a scientific opinion as 5 5 argument. All right? And I'm starting here on line 18 far as he was concerned, if that happened, that's the of page six. Question, "So would you agree that you 6 kind of thing that can happen when lawyers are engaged 7 7 in the adversarial process and they believe in their were trying to tell the jury when you were on the 8 8 witness stand that estimating time of death based cause and they get carried away, right? 9 solely on analysis of stomach contents was not 9 A. That's what happens when we have an scientific and was not precise?" 10 adversarial proceeding and lawyers make a final 10 11 Answer, "That's what I kept repeating 11 argument. There were three defense attorneys sitting 12 over and over again." at the other table. If they thought it wasn't a 13 A. Is this my direct or --13 reasonable deduction from the evidence, all they had to 14 Q. No. This is Bayardo's sworn statement to us 14 do was stand up and object. They didn't. If they had, recently. Okay? 15 15 there would have been a ruling from the Court. And if A. Oh, I see. 16 16 they didn't like that ruling, it would have been 17 Q. All right. And then question, "All right, 17 reviewed upon appeal. sir. Now, I want to show you some passages from the 18 Q. But I'm only asking -- okay. I'm really just 18 19 rebuttal of the closing argument by the State in this trying to get to your state of mind. 19 20 case" -- that's you, right? Your closing argument. 20 A. Well, there's no direct here. Okay? So, you 21 21 A. I'm sure. know, it's all cross examination. It's been cross 22 22 Q. "Starting with the bottom of page 1144 and on examination for over six hours. 23 to the top of the next page. The State -- the 23 Q. You're being deposed. prosecutor said, quote, 'That makes the time of death 24 A. I understand. But we want to make sure this 3:15 and that makes Michael Morton scientifically 25 is a deposition.

71 (Pages 281 to 284)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Page 285

1 Q. If your lawyer wants to ask you questions on 2 redirect, he's free to do so.

### A. And that's the same thing that you're just saying that I'm doing, you know, being adversarial.

Q. Well, you know, I'll put it to you directly, sir. Do you think you were being adversarial in trying to keep from the defense and from production to Judge Lott of the full set of police reports of Wood's that you reviewed at the time of this trial? Is that something you could have done by being adversarial?

### A. I don't think that's what happened.

- Q. All right. Let me ask you another question. We just reviewed here Bill Allison's motion; and I asked you, right, why didn't you go back -- why didn't you agree to a motion to abate? Why didn't you go back and try to find out if there was an inch of police reports which could have raised doubts and had descriptions of unusual happenings and suspicious people in the neighborhood? Do you remember my asking you that question?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 22 Q. And you said, "Well, I was just replying. I 23 was just filing a brief in reply," right? That was 24 your statement?
  - A. That's correct. I filed a reply brief.

the time, you stood up and said in front of Judge

- Lott -- when we were reviewing this transcript
- together, when it was clear as day that they were
- asking not just for Michael Morton's statements but for

Page 287

- all Brady material, you said clear as day "There's no
- 6 Brady material here," right? We reviewed that 7 together, didn't we?
  - A. We reviewed that together.
  - Q. And that's what you said, right?
  - A. With the other caveats, yes.
- Q. And if you had known, I think we've reviewed, 12 certainly about the credit card and about -- the report 13 of the suspicious person in the neighborhood certainly qualifies as something that it sounds like Mike Davis was talking about in front of the jury, right? That gray -- green van, suspicious person report from Traylor that we've reviewed, right?

#### A. We'd have to go back 25 years ago and ask Mike Davis, but that's --

- 20 Q. But I think you've told us here today that if 21 you had knowledge of that report, you would have turned 22 that over as Brady material, right? We've discussed 23 that today and you've agreed.
- 24 A. Whatever I said earlier, yes.
  - Q. Okay. And the same goes for the credit card

Page 286

Page 288

Q. Okay. Now, and I presume then that given your

- Q. Right. And you are telling us that you felt no particular obligation to actually go back and see if there were reports that -- as Davis described in front of the jury and in front of the defense counsel.
  - A. I'm not even sure how I could answer that.
  - Q. Try.
  - A. I don't know what happened 25 years ago.
- Q. Okay. Well, I submit to you, sir, isn't it possible that -- well, let's put it -- withdrawn.

Okay. You then go on to say -- he goes on to say "Appellant filed" -- and I'm here on page 65 -- "a 'Motion for Production of Evidence Favorable to the Accused.' It specifically asked for impeachment evidence, inconsistent statements, statements of witnesses interviewed by the State which tended to show the innocence of appellant. Making a specific request is one of the requirements."

Right?

A. Yes.

- Q. That's fair enough. And he did that, didn't 21 he, in his motion for Brady, production of favorable 22 evidence, right?
- A. He had specific requests that were not within 23 24 keeping of what Courts have held as Brady.
  - Q. Well, whatever your interpretation of Brady at

and the cashed check, true?

#### A. Whatever I said earlier.

statements about the nature of those items as Brady material, that if you had known about them when you were -- when this motion to abate was filed and when this appeal was filed, you would have said, "Wait a second, Mr. Allison. You're right. It turns out that you were under the mistaken impression that all of 10 Sergeant Wood's reports were turned over. In fact, 11 here's some reports along the lines of what Mike Davis mentioned in front of the jury and we've got to 12 disclose them. They're potentially exculpatory. Let's 13

A. No, I filed a reply brief saying -- calling him on his misstatements and pointing out what the judge had actually ordered, which is what Judge Lott, in fact, was satisfied with and what the appellate court was satisfied with.

Q. I appreciate you filed a brief outlining the 21 same position that you've taken here today, that you felt that you were not obligated to turn over anything other than the statements that Sergeant Wood recorded of Michael Morton on August 13th and 14th when he made

statements to the police, right?

see what the Courts decide."

72 (Pages 285 to 288)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 289

### 1 A. I would have no knowledge of that 25 years 2 later.

3 Q. Okay. Well, as a matter of routine --4 withdrawn.

Let's go on, just to be clear about how much notice you had. Bill Allison says at page 65 "At the evidentiary pretrial hearing held on November 25th and 26th, 1986, Chief Investigator Sergeant Wood did not bring his reports to court with him. Sergeant Wood testified that he did not have a detailed memory of the events of the investigation, but that those details would have been in the reports. He testified he had both rough field notes that were handwritten and more polished versions of those reports. He testified that his notes were thorough and complete."

Do you see that?

## A. I'm sorry. I'm not tracking very well. I'm sure it's --

Q. Page sixty -- page 66.

## A. Okay. I've got the wrong page. That's why I couldn't find it. Okay.

Q. All right. I've just -- in other words, he recounts that same set of testimony that we reviewed where Woods talks about being unable to remember anything without looking at his notes --

## A. I filed a reply brief. I don't think I specifically filed a response to his motion to abate.

A. Correct. And a trial judge and three

right here, right, when it comes to Bill Allison's

Q. No, that was how you read it. But I'm saying

motion to abate and his assertion that there might be

Lott, right, from Sergeant Wood, consistent with what

Mike Davis had talked about, right, you opposed that

police reports that were never turned over to Judge

Q. You never went back and looked to see if there were exculpatory reports in Sergeant Wood's file. You never did that, did you?

## A. That, I don't know.

appellate judges agreed.

motion. True?

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. Well, if you had found the reports that we have been discussing today about the credit card, about the cashed check, about the suspicious person in the neighborhood, you've agreed all those were exculpatory and should have been turned over, right?

A. Yeah. If those hadn't been run to ground and determined to be nothing and I found out there were some reports of this nature after the trial, I would have been pretty darn upset if nobody had showed them 24 to me.

Page 290

Page 292

Page 291

Q. And here you were on notice that the defense lawyer was saying that Sergeant Wood had conducted an extensive investigation and there must be more reports and the assistant prosecutor on the case has said that there are reports from Sergeant Wood that he saw that the defense could have used to raise doubts. You understand that that's what was going on in this appeal, right?

## A. Right. But what we don't know is what Mike Davis told me or what I knew back 25 years ago.

Q. Right. And all I'm saying to you right now is that it's your position, I take it, that if you had seen the items that I put before you 25 years ago, 14 right, the -- about the credit card, about the cashed check, about the suspicious person in the neighborhood driving the green van who was seen behind the home, and frankly about the Kirkpatrick interview with Don Woods, those were all matters that you would have disclosed.

## A. Yeah, unless I knew something additional, which is stuff we've gone into when those questions were asked before.

22 Q. And you know -- and you did not, to the best 23 of your recollection, bother to go back and look at anything in this file and Sergeant Wood's reports when Bill Allison filed this appeal

### A. Right. We already went over that.

Q. -- and the same page -- the same pages where he said that he had conducted an extensive investigation and talked to lots of people and had lots of reports and notes, right?

## A. Correct.

Q. So Bill Allison is saying, "Look, look at this testimony that you and I have just reviewed. This guy conducted a lengthy investigation and there's a -there should be a lot in his notes."

You see that, right?

## A. I'm reading his brief, yes.

13 Q. Okay. And then Bill Allison goes on -- turn 14 to page 67. 15

### A. Okay.

Q. All right. He then says "It would seem that these reports would have been sealed at the time pursuant to the order of the Court, however, they were not."

There's a second supplemental transcript on June 30th, 1987, some four and a half months after the end of this trial, exclamation point.

"In addition, the second supplemental transcript appears to contain only a few pieces of paper, folded over. This seems highly unlikely that

73 (Pages 289 to 292)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 293 Page 295 both the field notes and the finished notes of the comply with Gaskin for Boutwell, correct, because he 2 Sheriff and Chief Investigator for a six week murder was going to be a witness? investigation, would amount to so few pages. In 3 A. Any police officers who I was thinking about addition, Judge Lott asked an interesting, unprompted 4 calling, I would have had their reports. 5 5 question at the second pretrial hearing concerning one Q. Boutwell's would have been one report; and 6 Wood's, who you were anticipating calling, would have of the reports. He asked, 'Is that the lengthy one?' 7 7 Although the prosecutor responded that it was not been another, correct? lengthy, the judge had apparently thought that one of 8 A. I don't know that I was anticipating calling 9 9 the reports was long before the prosecutor's response him. 10 10 was given." Q. He was on your witness list. 11 You see that. 11 A. And I haven't seen a witness list. Somewhere 12 12 in the record there's a reference to it having 75 A. I do. 13 13 names, which I called 18, I believe. Q. All right. Now, you address that in your 14 reply brief, do you not? 14 Q. If Boutwell's testimony at this deposition is 15 15 truthful that he only found out two hours before he A. I do. Q. And --16 16 testified that he wasn't going to -- Wood. I'm sorry. 17 Withdrawn. 17 A. Well, I'm assuming I do. What page are we 18 18 If Wood's testimony at his deposition is on? 19 19 to be credited, he remembers that he found out very Q. Yes. Go to the last one. 20 20 late in the game, he thinks two hours beforehand, that A. The last page? 21 Q. Yes, the last page. Or the second to last he wasn't going to be a witness, right? 22 page. 22 A. You told me that. I haven't seen his 23 deposition. 23 A. Okay. Ground 6. 24 24 Q. Why don't we just talk about what the normal Q. And appellant's brief -- you put in your asterisk, okay, "Appellant's Brief makes an implication routine would have been. The normal routine would have Page 296 which must be addressed. At page 67, appellant implies been that if he was going to be a witness and you 2 that the trial court knew of a lengthy report prior to decided at the last minute not to call him as a 3 the prosecution telling him about it." witness, right, you still would have gathered together 4 4 "Before the court asked that question, all of his reports. 5 5 there was a discussion about the state furnishing A. I would have taken out of my file the reports 6 Gaskin offense reports to the defense the evening I had. 7 before a witness testified in order to reduce delays 7 Q. Well, more than out of your file. When a 8 between direct and cross-examining of the state's witness is going to be coming to testify, he would have 9 9 witnesses. The court wanted to know how many witnesses more than just all of his reports. Let's get the 10 the state had with lengthy reports. The state 10 subpoena. Let's get the subpoena. 11 11 responded that there were two such reports but pointed Are you aware that Bill Allison filed a 12 out that only one had a lengthy report (more than one 12 subpoena of Sergeant Wood and served him with a 13 page) which would have to be turned over under the 13 subpoena to produce all of his reports and records and 14 rules. Presumably this is what motivated the court's 14 tape recordings prior to the trial? 15 15 question 'Is this the lengthy one?" A. No. 16 16 You see that, right? Q. I'm going to show you that document. 17 17 A. Okav. A. Okay. 18 Q. All right. So when we're talking about the 18 Q. But while I'm getting that -- here it is. 19 MR. SCHECK: Let's mark this as Exhibit 19 Gaskin rule, we're talking about the requirement of 20 turning over all the reports of a police witness that next in order. might testify after the prosecution's -- after his 21 (Exhibit No. 22 marked) 21 22 22 direct testimony, correct? Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Take a look at that. Now, you 23 23 A. Yes. see that this is a subpoena that was served on 24 O. And at this point in time at the trial, you Boutwell, right? On February 6th, 1987, right? I 25 had two -- you would have gathered together reports to mean, sorry, served on Wood.

74 (Pages 293 to 296)

Page 297 Page 299 1 A. I can't tell who it's served on. It looks can -- we'll proffer to you and your counsel a set of 2 papers that would constitute -- would be responsive to like it's for three of them. Q. Well, for three of them, but including Don that subpoena that would be certainly sizeable, at least something like the one inch that Mike Davis 4 Wood, right? This is not the complete subpoena. 5 5 described in his statement in front of the jury. There's another one. 6 6 Okay? Keep that in mind. All right? A. This is an application for a subpoena 7 7 probably. No. It says "Subpoena". A. Okay. 8 Q. You know what it is? Turn it around to the 8 Q. Now, in terms of ordinary routine, if Sergeant 9 9 other side. Okay. I'm sorry. I can't -- my Wood showed up with his reports and documents 10 responsive to that subpoena, would you not have 10 apologies. I can't stand it when people do 11 double-sided copying. Right? It drives me crazy. 11 reviewed those before deciding whether to put him on 12 Look at the other side of Anderson 22 and 12 the witness stand? 13 A. I mean, I have no recollection of this 13 you'll see that there's also here the same thing, a 14 subpoena for Sergeant Wood. Do you see that? 14 subpoena. I have no recollection of any of these 15 15 things. A. Okay. 16 Q. All right. So there's one for Boutwell and 16 Q. I understand that. And what we're trying to Wood and a third person. And there's one very 17 do is just discuss right now, as best you can, what the 17 18 specifically to Wood. You see that, right? 18 ordinary routine would have been. And my question to 19 A. Correct. 19 you very simply in terms of the ordinary routine, if 20 20 Woods -- upon receiving a subpoena and Woods brings his MR. RALEY: We'll need to ask the court reports -- we're not talking about what's in your 21 reporter to make copies of both sides when they do the 22 22 file. We're talking about what he brings. Wouldn't in exhibits. 23 23 the ordinary course of your business you review all of MR. SCHECK: Do both sides. 24 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) And it says that "To bring to 24 Wood's reports and notes that he might have brought court with him or her any and all offense reports, and responsive to that subpoena? That's what you would Page 298 Page 300 have done, right? investigative reports, and evidence including all rough 1 2 notes, handwritten notes, tape recordings prepared in A. Not necessarily. And I have no recollection connection with the investigation into the homicide of 3 obviously, but I don't believe that Judge Lott would 4 4 Christine Morton and the prosecution of Michael have ordered him to give over this information. I 5 5 mean, this is not a typical subpoena -- I mean, we Morton. Additionally, bring all notes, typed or 6 don't have subpoena duces tecums in criminal cases like handwritten, of statements made by Michael Morton, 7 7 including any tape recordings of Michael Morton's you would in a civil case. 8 8 statements. Further, bring any notes or reports of any Q. Well, you knew --9 A. I mean, you can issue one, but it's --9 statements made by any witness interviewed in connection with the Christine Morton homicide. Also, 10 Q. Mr. Anderson, you knew that the defense had 10 11 11 any and all notes of conversations with Holly been literally jumping up and down during the course of 12 Gerskey." the pretrial hearings that they did not have any 13 You see that. 13 reports of this investigation. They only had 14 14 photographs and scientific reports. You reviewed that A. I do. 15 15 Q. And having received such a subpoena, Sergeant with us, didn't you? 16 16 Woods would have brought all those documents to court A. Back in November. And then in the next two 17 with him, right? That's what he should have done. 17 months they got a sizeable amount of discovery. 18 Yes? 18 O. You're telling me that -- withdrawn. 19 If, in fact, Wood came -- Wood is 19 A. I would suppose so. 20 Q. And knowing what we know about this file, that 20 subpoenaed, unusual as it might have been, and came would have been a lengthy set of documents, would it 21 with documents responsive to this subpoena, if he came 21 22 with his offense reports in this case and his field 22 not? 23 23 notes, right, is there any way in the world that you A. It would have been whatever is described here. 24 Q. All right. Well, I can represent to you that 24 would not have looked at them, if he showed up in court 25 based on what we have from the sheriff's file, we with them, before deciding whether to put him on the

75 (Pages 297 to 300)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 301 Page 303 1 stand? 1 A. No, that is not -- I have no actual 2 2 A. There's no way I can answer that. I mean, I'm recollection. There were probably -- obviously I not getting to say my side. I'm just answering cross didn't need Sergeant Wood to testify, because he didn't examination questions. So I cannot say that. 4 testify. 5 5 Q. As you're sitting here today, you're actually Q. I'm not asking whether you needed him to 6 6 telling us that if a police officer shows up in court, testify. I'm asking --7 7 who is described as the chief investigator in the case, A. I only call witnesses I need. with his file, his offense reports and field notes, 8 Q. All right. And you certainly didn't need 9 Sergeant Wood to testify because then you would have right, you would not review them in the course of 10 deciding whether or not to call him as a witness. 10 had to disclose all of his reports and notes which 11 A. I imagine I had 20 or so witnesses sitting in 11 contained, as we see, Brady material. Isn't that what 12 12 a room at some point. happened? 13 MR. RALEY: Nonresponsive. 13 A. No, sir. 14 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) But this wasn't just any old 14 MR. SCHECK: I think that we can stop 15 15 witness, was it? right now. 16 A. This was a sergeant with the Sheriff's 16 MR. DIETZ: Thank you, Mr. Scheck. 17 17 VIDEOGRAPHER: It's 6:06. We're off the Department. 18 Q. This was the sergeant who was described as the 18 record. 19 chief investigator in the case, right? 19 (Discussion off the record) 20 20 MR. SCHECK: Mr. Dietz and I are now A. And there's absolutely nothing in the record 21 to show that he was anything other than somebody who 21 going to discuss what we previously had talked about 22 wrote reports for Sheriff Boutwell. 22 with the judge on a conference call, that there is a 23 23 line of questioning and a series of productions that Q. Well, there's nothing in the record except the 24 24 sworn testimony that he gave under oath that you heard are being made with respect to Mr. Anderson's conversations with the District Attorney's office. I where he said that he talked to lots of people and Page 302 Page 304 conducted an extensive investigation and he had lots of think we got up to August 23rd. And there's a whole 1 2 2 notes and reports. We reviewed that together, did we line of questions that we'd like to ask him about 3 not? 3 4 A. And Sheriff Boutwell also testified that he, 4 An objection has been interposed and we 5 5 in fact, was the chief investigator. agreed that we're going to take that up with the judge 6 Q. But you heard Woods on the stand in November. 6 later to see if we can continue the deposition along 7 7 A. I'm sure I did. those lines, probably not today, but -- because we're 8 8 Q. We reviewed that. And so if he came to court quitting. 9 with these extensive notes, if you really were MR. DIETZ: Here's my thoughts. And this interested in painstakingly investigating the case and 10 is not argumentative. But my perception is that many 10 11 trying to master hundreds of details or as much as you 11 of the issues -- we spent the first hour and a half could, would it not have been incumbent upon you to basically working off of that time frame from late 12 12 13 review his reports and notes? Yes or no? 13 August, mid to late August, until mid to late 14 A. I was working nonstop on the case. I had been 14 September, regarding communications that Mr. Anderson for two weeks. I have no idea who was doing what with 15 15 had with various individuals separate and apart from 16 any particular witness. And there's only so much a 16 the District Attorney's office. 17 17 human being can do at any given time. And as the -- I'm relying upon the 18 Q. I'm going to put it -- this will be the end. 18 Court's order and the discovery agreement associated 19 I'm going to put it to you directly and ask you whether 19 with the Court's order as to the limited purpose of or not this is true. Isn't it a fact, Mr. Anderson, 20 this deposition. And if -- what the Court determines 21 that you did not put Sergeant Woods on the stand regarding a continuation of this deposition, I'm 22 because after reviewing his extensive notes and willing to have a discussion with the Court on that. I reports, you did not want to disclose those to the 23 would like to have a copy of the transcript and the 23 defense because you thought they could use them to 24 Court to have a copy of that transcript before such raise doubts in the case? Isn't that what happened? time as that consideration is made.

76 (Pages 301 to 304)

# **Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services**

Page 305	Page 307
MR. SCHECK: And if we can expedite the transcript, I think that would assist us in going through that line of examination. And the only thing that I would I don't know if I would even quarrel with it, but I think the record is going to reflect that we really didn't get past August 23rd.	I, KEN ANDERSON, have read the foregoing deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is true and correct, except as noted herein.
7 MR. DIETZ: It's whatever the record	KEN ANDERSON 7
8 reflects. I mean, we'll	8 #96675
9 MR. SCHECK: Believe me, we didn't get	9
<ul> <li>past August 23rd.</li> <li>MR. DIETZ: The record will show what it</li> </ul>	10 THE STATE OF TEXAS )
12 shows. I agree with that.	11 COUNTY OF TRAVIS ) 12
13 (Proceedings recessed at 6:07 p.m.)	
14	Before me,, on this day personally appeared KEN ANDERSON, known to me to be the
15	15 person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing
16	<ul> <li>instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed</li> <li>the same for the purposes and consideration therein</li> </ul>
17 18	18 expressed.
19	19
20	Given under my hand and seal of office this  day of, A.D., 2011.
21	22day 01, A.D., 2011.
22	
23 24	Notary Public in and for
25	24 the State of Texas 25
Page 306	Page 308
1 CHANGES AND SIGNATURE	1 NO. 86-452-K26
2 WITNESS NAME: DATE OF DEPOSITION:	2 THE STATE OF TEXAS, IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
3 KEN ANDERSON OCTOBER 31, 2011	3 Plaintiff
4	4 VS. WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS
5 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON	5 MICHAEL MORTON, 6 Defendant 26TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
6	7 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
8	DEPOSITION OF KEN ANDERSON
9	8 OCTOBER 31, 2011
10	9 I, SHERRI SANTMAN FISHER, Certified Shorthand 10 Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify
11	11 to the following:
12	That the witness, KEN ANDERSON, was duly sworn
1314	13 by the officer and that the transcript of the oral
15	14 deposition is a true record of the testimony given by
16	<ul><li>15 the witness;</li><li>16 That the deposition transcript was submitted</li></ul>
17	17 on to the witness or to the
18	18 attorney for the witness for examination, signature,
19	19 and return to me by;
20	That the amount of time used by each party at
22	<ul> <li>the deposition is as follows:</li> <li>Barry Scheck - 6 hours, 31 minutes</li> </ul>
23	23 That pursuant to information given to the
24	24 deposition officer at the time said testimony was
25	25 taken, the following includes counsel for all parties

77 (Pages 305 to 308)

Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services

Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth East Texas San Antonio Bryan/College Station

	Page 309	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	of record: Lindsey Roberts, Kristen Jernigan, Attorney for Plaintiff (512/943-1234) John W. Raley, Attorney for Defendant (713/429-8050) Barry Scheck, Attorney for Defendant (212/364-5357) I further certify that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties or	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was taken, and further that I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.  Further certification requirements pursuant to Rule 203 of TRCP will be certified to after they have occurred.  Certified to by me this 3rd day of November, 2011.	
<ul><li>18</li><li>19</li><li>20</li><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	SHERRI SANTMAN FISHER, Texas CSR 2336 Expiration Date: 12-31-11 Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services Firm Registration No. 87 1016 La Posada Drive, Suite 294 Austin, Texas 78752 (512) 465-9100	
23 24 25	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 203 TRCP The original deposition/errata sheet	
	Page 310	
1 2	was/was not returned to the deposition officer on	
3	If returned, the attached Changes and	
4 5	Signature page contains any changes and the reasons therefor;	
6 7	If returned, the original deposition was delivered to, Custodial	
8	Attorney;	
9 10	That \$ is the deposition officer's charges to the for preparing	
11 12	the original deposition transcript and any copies of exhibits;	
13	That the deposition was delivered in	
14 15	accordance with Rule 203.3, and that a copy of this certificate was served on all parties shown herein on	
16 17	and filed with the Clerk.  Certified to by me this day of,	
18		
19 20		
21	CHERRI CANTMAN FIGHER T CCR 2226	
22	SHERRI SANTMAN FISHER, Texas CSR 2336 Expiration Date: 12-31-11	
23	Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services Firm Registration No. 87	
	1016 La Posada Drive, Suite 294	
24	Austin, Texas 78752 (512) 465-9100	
25	Job #96675	

78 (Pages 309 to 310)

Sunbelt Reporting & Litigation Services

Houston Austin Corpus Christi Dallas/Fort Worth East Texas San Antonio Bryan/College Station

	233:6	advance 106:21	163:24	272.6 25 272.21
<u>A</u>	acknowledged	advance 196:21 adversarial 283:15	ahead 145:14 197:2	272:6,25 273:21 275:1 288:8
abate 258:15	187:16 307:16	284:7,10 285:4,6	air 165:10	290:25 291:6
273:16,22,25		285:10	Al 2:20	290.23 291.0
275:3,8,14 278:10	acknowledgment 187:17	advice 26:21,21	alive 187:19	Allison's 89:12
278:14 285:15		,		
288:6 289:5,11	Act 24:10 28:14	27:15 128:5	Allan 184:1,9	195:5 227:23
abated 277:1	36:22,25	advised 178:15	allegation 29:10	265:16 271:5
abilities 220:3	action 309:8,10	179:5	48:2 50:12 53:6	276:8 285:13
ability 25:15,17	activities 266:23	advocate 25:19	53:15,24 60:14	289:4
26:20	acts 53:3	aegis 276:20	76:12 81:1,4 92:6	allow 36:2 37:11
<b>able</b> 33:13 46:15,16	actual 120:17	affidavit 10:25	100:25 101:2	169:7,9 174:19
124:1 141:13,15	149:11 221:1	11:10,20	189:11,18 272:13	211:24 212:10
149:8 165:10	303:1	affirmative 77:16	allegations 17:3,9	allowed 23:19 32:8
167:11 218:13	actus 243:19	affirmatively 283:8	25:2 29:18 30:14	169:5,13 177:2
252:24 254:15	<b>Adams</b> 201:13	affix 307:2	30:24 31:6 33:4	198:15
256:16	added 217:3	afield 115:25	38:4 47:22 50:24	allows 23:23
above-styled 1:18	addition 292:23	age 15:18 37:3	52:18 53:2 54:12	alluded 115:12
absolutely 47:10	293:4	<b>agitated</b> 71:12,14	54:14,15,19 56:23	118:4
77:4 78:22 113:20	additional 65:22	<b>ago</b> 15:14,15 27:3	59:13 62:22 76:22	<b>all-out</b> 204:4
248:1 301:20	85:6 276:1 290:19	47:11 59:24 68:10	91:1 93:18	<b>Alright</b> 153:11
acceded 275:25	Additionally 298:5	166:17 189:24	<b>allege</b> 39:13	<b>amount</b> 293:3
accepts 254:23	address 178:18,21	234:11 256:24	<b>alleged</b> 46:10 53:9	300:17 308:20
access 185:12	180:15 183:14	264:1 286:7	53:20 57:19 91:5	analysis 282:9
191:14 210:23	184:15 237:17	287:18 290:10,13	178:3	<b>Anderson</b> 1:10,16
235:5 260:20,22	293:13	agree 27:6 129:4	alleges 116:2	5:5,9,14,18,25 6:3
accessed 36:21,24	addressed 277:6	136:6 137:3	<b>alleging</b> 30:3 46:10	8:5,19 12:8 15:7
accessible 38:8	294:1	155:24 164:25	46:19	17:7,11 18:4,16
accompanied 193:8	adequate 236:22	165:12 166:10,19	<b>Allison</b> 11:12 27:2	18:20 27:6 31:10
accord 75:14	adequately 191:23	186:6 190:2 229:2	29:18 60:11 68:10	32:23 35:23 71:1
accords 72:2 157:9	191:24 192:15,17	229:6 254:2,4,19	85:4 104:2,9	71:4 73:6,20 74:9
account 8:25 9:1	194:6 237:4	264:6,14,15 276:3	114:4 156:12	75:3 104:10 107:8
36:15 83:22	administrator	278:13 282:6	195:25 200:24	128:21 133:1
188:23	40:15	285:15 305:12	201:3 202:4,18	139:17 146:1
accurate 77:18	admissible 64:11	<b>agreed</b> 166:17	207:13,16,24	148:1 177:16
147:21 202:1	85:15,18 86:3,11	238:2 264:8	210:22 211:23	185:11 194:17,24
264:19	87:24 89:2,18	271:20 287:23	212:9 213:10	200:14,17 213:5
accurately 58:14	90:1 110:19 226:8	289:2,19 304:5	214:13 219:4,8,11	213:21 226:20,21
accusations 175:19	231:22 253:7	agreeing 186:4	219:19 220:11	228:9 240:15
271:19	admit 176:6 227:15	230:22 232:3	222:1 227:9,10,13	248:14 280:2
accused 4:9 89:24	227:24	agreement 10:24	239:13 240:18	297:12 300:10
222:5 225:15	admitted 175:5	16:17 45:14,16	250:22 251:15	302:20 304:14
226:22 228:3	admittedly 26:10	304:18	252:2 256:4	306:3 307:1,6,14
240:6,16 244:23	admitting 175:2	<b>Agurs</b> 86:16	258:12 262:16,22	308:7,12
286:13	<b>Adonis</b> 178:16	<b>AG's</b> 68:17	263:10 265:24	Anderson's 74:7
acknowledge 82:19	179:5 184:11	<b>ah</b> 152:15 153:20	267:4 270:20	303:24
acknowledge 02.17				
	I	l	I	l

and/or 14:17 15:1	134:17 135:6,18	appears 13:2,21	226:17 227:12	290:21 293:4,6
15:21 174:13	136:8 138:15	36:10 77:22	argued 23:5 130:24	294:4
anew 107:3	139:4 188:11,15	115:11 117:15	arguing 225:11	asking 25:6 27:24
anger 152:21	191:22 192:14	118:25 161:10	argument 9:7,9,9	27:25 57:13 58:1
announce 142:19	194:4 206:6 237:2	180:10 181:8	9:10,14 210:23	74:22 98:8 101:4
279:24	anybody 21:11	251:11 292:24	212:11 225:15	101:6,12 104:12
announced 281:1	26:18 29:4 63:23	appellant 11:11	281:21,25 282:5	109:5 135:14
answer 16:21 19:3	79:6 90:15 107:4	273:1,3,7 277:7	282:19,20 283:22	136:25 176:1
22:12,14 24:5	108:12 112:2	286:11,16 294:1	284:1,11	200:24 204:15
26:20 27:8,22	128:7 156:5,6	appellant's 4:14	argumentative	216:12 225:25
31:9 35:21,21	177:7	293:24,25	304:10	226:1,8 227:4,5
37:11 64:12 73:8	anymore 25:19	appellate 16:15	arguments 9:10	228:6,7 230:25
73:15,19,25 74:17	anymore 23:19 anyway 45:16	21:24 212:1,4	212:12	233:3 234:12,12
74:19,23 78:4,9	122:4 145:4	242:22 243:23	arisen 101:14	249:19 264:25,25
78:15,18,22 87:7	210:13 227:18	248:24 258:17	108:16	270:3,13 271:4,21
87:16 104:11	apart 11:14 304:15	271:5 276:8 279:3	arises 210:18	274:8,23 275:13
132:13,15,21,22	<b>APD</b> 111:6	279:4,6 288:18	arising 26:14	275:15 276:17
135:3,24 136:6,17	apologies 297:10	289:2	Arnold 21:12,13,16	284:18 285:19
137:9,10,12	apparently 167:18	appellee's 4:15	21:21 22:6	287:4 303:5,6
145:20,25 146:4,7	273:11 293:8	11:11	arose 139:23	asks 26:19 207:24
146:11,14 147:12	appeal 18:21 19:7,9	application 225:4	140:12 165:20	214:13
147:15,19 148:19	22:25 23:6 24:2	297:6	175:4 248:19	assailant 182:21
152:3,5 155:1	29:12 32:10 51:8	applications 21:1	arrive 207:25	assaulted 182:7
157:25 158:6	79:8 95:6 96:21	25:11	arrive 207.29	183:22,23
159:13 172:10	96:25 151:18	appointed 19:14,15	article 170:16,19	<b>Asserting</b> 83:24
175:14 176:23	212:8 248:20	19:18 68:16	articles 49:2 51:1	assertion 289:5
190:10 192:10,11	253:15 265:14	Appointment 3:20	aside 8:11 257:10	assigned 20:19
208:1 209:4,12,15	273:16 275:9	appreciate 15:6	asked 22:10,12	21:21
209:21 214:17,24	276:25 284:17	26:1 32:21 288:20	25:7 27:18,19,20	assist 55:4 305:2
226:24 229:21,24	288:7 290:8,25	apprised 128:24	45:4 51:4,14 73:2	assistance 187:19
230:1 231:2	appeals 22:24 23:1	approached 156:11	74:8 80:22 88:19	assistant 2:4,7
261:13 274:16,19	23:2 78:11 79:9	appropriate 26:3	89:16 105:12,13	140:9 290:4
274:20 282:11	79:11,13,14	256:12,18	113:15,23 114:9	assistants 27:13
283:3,7,11 286:5	106:21,25 107:23	approximate 5:3	114:10,16 117:4	associated 24:3
301:2	115:18 116:3	Approximately	147:8 162:20	182:20 304:18
answered 25:8	118:11 265:20	153:12	173:20 203:3	assume 10:25
27:19 274:17	267:6 279:9	area 53:17,19 83:2	207:14,19,20	21:24 36:19 37:1
answering 19:6	Appeal's 115:22	145:9 165:14	209:1 213:11	59:12 94:21
301:3	118:15	179:1,17 180:16	220:6 223:6 228:2	109:16 131:18,25
answers 25:14,16	appear 13:10 164:4	181:6,10,18 183:6	229:18 230:20	136:20 143:11
27:12 209:24	181:9	183:20 184:16,21	254:9 256:23	172:2,17 199:5
anticipating 170:6	Appearances 2:1	206:18,19 237:18	257:6,7,8,13,14	208:21 209:22
295:6,8	3:2	238:5 255:17	257:20 258:12	220:10 256:10
<b>Antonio</b> 131:6,23	appeared 71:11	areas 180:5	260:25 264:15	258:24 259:2
132:8 133:14	307:14	argue 223:1 226:17	285:14 286:13	271:13
152.0 155.17	507.11		200.11200.13	2,1.13
		_		

assumed 51:6	140:12 143:17	210:19,19 212:20	254:8 258:12,20	187:9,24
109:13 259:10	146:1 147:14,16	212:20 216:17,17	258:23 265:16	Bagley 86:14,16
assuming 34:13	162:2,4 172:19,24	223:24,24 232:23	296:11	Baker 65:24 66:13
55:10 58:3 136:5	173:6 212:5	232:25 233:1,4	awareness 62:2,11	67:3 110:25
137:1 138:3	228:10 231:2	239:19,19 249:6	62:12	<b>bandana</b> 23:3,7
167:13 172:1,3,4	279:2 308:18	249:12 250:5	<b>A.D</b> 307:21	32:2 33:23 34:6,9
172:14 293:17	309:2,3,4 310:8	266:12,23 268:11	<b>a.m</b> 1:19 3:4,4,4	34:21 35:16 43:1
assumption 136:23	attorneys 54:16	268:11 269:18	5:3 83:11,11	43:5 53:18 61:19
136:24,25 172:15	56:18 85:5 111:13	270:6,17 288:24	130:11 153:12	62:5 63:8,9,11
assumptions 199:3	170:7,11 228:16	304:1,13,13 305:6	184:1 280:4	64:15 109:22,24
231:8,11	236:14 284:11	305:10		110:10 111:2
assurances 100:11	309:8	Augusta 2:11	B	181:23 182:19
119:14	attorney's 6:18 9:2	<b>Austin</b> 68:4 110:25	<b>B</b> 4:7 108:2	183:7
assure 73:16 91:9	11:9 12:17 17:8	124:10 127:1	back 6:20,22 9:18	<b>bank</b> 194:4
103:1	21:6,17 25:4,12	131:11 309:21	22:13 23:16 24:23	<b>Barry</b> 2:12 106:11
assured 102:16	34:13 36:7 39:9	310:24	30:1,2,11,19,24	129:22 308:22
assuring 103:11	45:24 47:5,10	authored 18:8	31:25 39:16 40:7	309:4
228:21	51:15 88:9 99:20	automatically	41:20 43:13 44:11	based 16:21 19:3
asterisk 293:25	102:7 103:12	36:24	44:13 46:4 49:12	30:3 31:10 63:15
ate 124:13 149:9	105:18 110:14	autopsy 146:22	49:17 51:19 52:5	94:20 96:20
attached 1:25 14:6	111:24 115:2	201:19,22 202:20	52:5 55:13,23,24	132:21 166:5
48:5 59:20 60:13	188:5 260:17,20	262:24	59:7 60:12 75:20	195:17 205:14
151:6 187:12	279:7 303:25	available 104:7	79:10 80:2,8,20	261:2 269:5 282:8
189:20 310:3	304:16	120:13 126:23	82:14 89:8 94:13	298:25
attachments 32:11	attributed 154:5,6	146:3 147:13,16	95:5,17 96:6	basic 174:24
92:10	<b>August</b> 3:21 28:10	220:16 228:16	100:11 102:3	basically 96:14
attacked 207:7	33:11,12,20 37:13	236:14 253:14	103:8 104:9	189:4 268:23
attacking 169:20	37:21 40:12 48:18	Avenue 2:17	105:14 114:2	304:12
attention 20:4	48:23 49:1 55:7	124:11 127:1	117:5 119:1,2	basis 35:22 139:5
50:15,20 52:1,22	56:18 57:14,22	avoid 86:24 263:5	122:12 125:20	166:4 275:8 281:5
70:1 108:1 109:18	58:19 60:20 62:10	aware 20:9,10,11	140:14 149:8,21	<b>basket</b> 158:10,11
133:11 134:15	64:21 66:1 69:11	20:25 22:21,24	160:9 174:24	batch 15:21
160:8 178:7 184:8	69:11 70:3,7	24:12 29:3,17,22	183:5,22 188:5,25	Bates 11:21 12:11
186:5 187:5 188:6	77:12 79:1 80:23	30:2,10 31:12	198:23 199:1	12:12,23,23 15:11
189:9,15 200:5	84:6 90:17 91:20	42:22,25 50:12	213:19 232:23,25	15:15,19 36:8
205:22 206:4,22	91:21 93:1,1	53:23,24 58:19	236:9,12 239:11	bathroom 153:16
221:8 222:9	94:18,25 95:3	64:9 65:8,11 74:8	248:25 257:23	Bayardo 4:19
246:21 248:6	101:9,9 105:15,15	75:23 76:12 88:8	260:6,19 262:12	281:9,16
276:7	106:1 109:7	89:16 92:3,5,7	264:4 270:14	<b>Bayardo's</b> 282:14
attic 245:14	112:16,17 114:22	93:22,23,24 94:1	273:25 274:18	283:17 284:2
attorney 2:4,7 3:19	116:17 118:19	100:17 101:13	285:14,15 286:2	beat 205:23
20:1 28:12 46:17	120:10 131:1	115:2 129:15	287:18 289:12	beaten 130:22
66:13 67:24 68:23	151:14,22,25	130:17 136:11	290:10,23 300:16	134:22
69:2,5,7,14 107:2	153:12 179:11	138:2,2,8 192:9	backing 127:23	beautiful 141:12
111:12 140:1,10	183:3,3 188:8	201:24 206:2	bad 152:24 163:24	becoming 18:18
			167:16 186:22	
			1	·

39:9 57:17	95:21 97:2,8	<b>blown-up</b> 181:4	237:3	224:13 225:2,7,12
bed 154:14,16,19	99:18,25 101:8	blue 154:18 157:5	<b>Boy</b> 254:11	225:18,19,21
157:6,10,12,21	111:23 112:16	157:10,14,16	Bradley 16:5,6	226:2,2,9,13,15
<b>bedroom</b> 152:15	113:9,13 114:17	blur 32:4	17:11 18:6,17,22	227:1,6,10,11,16
153:17	124:17 127:2	book 74:10 90:3	19:25 20:12,15,18	227:16,21,24
began 54:20 56:5,5	148:18 150:5,6	96:23 104:13,17	21:12 22:7 26:5	228:4,8,8,15,18
67:7 127:10	167:4 185:3,5	124:3 125:13,25	26:22 28:11 29:6	228:22,24,25
152:16	237:8 248:2 258:8	126:6,15,25	30:12 31:3,11,16	229:3,11,21 230:1
beginning 5:3	258:10 260:1	127:16 128:11	33:8 34:16,22	230:9,19,21,22
35:10 67:18 83:12	290:22 299:17	133:21,22 141:3	36:9,13,15 38:19	231:4,6,14,24
107:20 166:12	better 15:5 35:25	148:7,17,22 149:2	39:8,24 41:19	232:1,4,9,12
171:14 177:14	36:3 186:22	149:15,18 205:6	42:16 43:22 46:7	233:9 234:7,14
182:5 215:24	219:18 245:20	205:12 219:6	50:24 52:17 53:2	236:6,14,16,22
229:23 259:4	beyond 200:18	259:20 261:3	54:14 55:5,6,23	238:18 239:3,3,8
275:21	bias 164:16 165:2	books 10:3 18:8,9	56:5 57:16,22	239:9 240:11,19
begins 183:25	166:20,24	33:14 141:9	63:24 68:22 69:12	240:21,23 241:1,5
belief 87:18	biased 54:15	203:15	82:10,11 93:11	241:6,10,11,22
believe 16:16 18:11	165:25	<b>bother</b> 290:23	96:2 99:19 102:7	242:10 243:16
26:3 45:5 86:11	big 12:13 37:17	<b>bottom</b> 13:17,20	107:7,9 111:11	246:10 247:2,17
88:2 102:1 111:1	150:11 158:5	69:19 88:18	112:4 188:18	249:18,21,22
122:3,20 149:15	250:20	246:22,25 282:22	Bradley's 25:23	250:12 273:15
168:4 172:7,22	bill 29:17 85:4,4	<b>Boutwell</b> 122:1,21	27:13 36:14 42:22	276:20 286:21,24
177:17 185:9	89:12 104:5,9	123:22,25 124:10	67:20 103:2	286:25 287:5,6,22
204:18 213:12	114:3,3 131:6	125:8,22 126:16	<b>Brady</b> 17:3 38:23	288:4 303:11
214:1 220:8 222:2	195:5 207:13	126:18 127:21	44:1 53:6 56:23	<b>bragging</b> 149:19
225:16 242:17,19	211:25 214:12	128:9,23 129:2,11	60:4,7,8,17,22	brain 25:19 43:18
270:15 271:24	250:22 251:15	131:1,20 132:5	62:22,24 76:2	break 38:7 69:21
284:7 295:13	252:2 256:4	133:17 134:1,11	79:11,24 82:19	69:23 71:25 83:8
300:3 305:9	258:12 262:22	143:3,4 146:25	83:3,25 84:12,21	116:10 129:24
believed 29:20	265:16,24 270:20	198:2,14,18,24	85:14,15,24 86:4	139:8,9 141:13
43:11 63:13 86:2	271:5 272:6,25	199:7 203:3 205:8	86:6,11,22,24	145:1 165:19
87:23 172:5 235:3	273:21 275:1	205:16,20 206:21	87:6,10,22 88:24	177:9 194:15
252:25 255:7	285:13 289:4	207:2 218:11	89:13,16,21 90:5	Breaking 109:12
271:24 280:2,9	290:25 291:6	223:11 238:23	90:7,14 91:18	brief 4:14,15 30:25
281:2,5	292:7,13 296:11	239:10 241:9,21	100:20,22 101:19	248:20,24 265:25
believes 179:6	bit 36:3 59:25 89:9	242:19 243:3,6	103:18,21 104:1	266:4 271:5 273:1
bell 202:23	133:22 191:5	261:16 272:2	104:16 107:13	276:8,13 277:17
bells 61:13 165:25	biting 152:24	295:1 296:24	112:7 115:16,20	278:25 279:1
166:2	black 12:13	297:16 301:22	116:2 118:9,13,23	285:23,25 288:15
bench 82:13 156:11	blanket 153:16,20	302:4	136:10 138:6	288:20 289:10
benefit 11:4	blocks 124:1	Boutwell's 128:7	164:14 165:14	292:12 293:14,24
best 21:4 25:8,14	181:15	249:8 295:5,14	166:5 172:13	293:25
25:16 26:20 31:17	<b>blood</b> 14:1 35:16	Bowick 2:10	195:21 196:3	briefing 16:15
54:22 55:19 77:12	43:5 61:19 62:6	<b>Bowman</b> 131:18	201:15 207:19	212:11 251:20
80:11 81:10,25	63:7 167:11	<b>Box</b> 131:9 133:14	223:2,4,7,12,16	briefly 32:11
00.11 01.10,23	05.7 107.11	DUA 131.7 133.17	223.2,7,1,12,10	Differ 52.11
	l		l	<u> </u>

				_
<b>briefs</b> 11:11 94:14	151:19 170:4	273:6 277:4	70:17,18,21,25	302:10,14,25
265:14	176:21 177:5	cameras 140:19	75:9,18 85:6,25	cases 86:16,22
brilliance 142:7,10	178:7 188:6	canceled 187:13	89:13,18 96:15,22	124:20 125:2,15
brilliant 141:14	197:17 200:5	canvassing 179:12	110:25 111:12,14	125:17,18 127:4
<b>bring</b> 291:9 297:24	206:4 207:11	capable 219:7	111:16,21 115:5	129:6 133:19
298:5,8	209:14 215:25	220:1 260:14	115:11 117:15,20	140:4 147:5
brings 299:20,22	218:4,9,10,11,11	263:6	125:9,10,22 126:2	164:20 165:5,9,13
broached 115:14	221:8 222:9	capacity 5:22	126:6,10,13,17,19	174:22 300:6
118:7	235:19,21,23	card 53:10 107:5	126:20,23 127:19	<b>cashed</b> 187:18
<b>broad</b> 226:13 227:9	236:4,5 246:21	108:8,11,12,19	127:20,25 128:25	189:8,16 190:4,12
227:10 229:3	248:6 259:5	129:19,20 131:9	129:5,12 130:22	193:12 206:7
<b>broke</b> 154:13	262:18 296:2	131:22 132:7,11	132:2,4 134:2,5	236:20 238:12
<b>broken</b> 182:6 281:3	301:10 303:7,22	132:11 133:1,3,4	134:12 136:4,10	288:1 289:18
<b>brought</b> 20:4 189:9	<b>called</b> 18:14 29:6	133:13 134:16	138:16 139:17,20	290:14
205:21 206:21	30:13 31:3,21,24	135:5,10,17 136:7	139:22,25 140:6	cashing 194:3
298:16 299:24	34:16 35:4,5,8	136:12,21,22	140:12,13,15,23	<b>casing</b> 183:19
building 25:22	37:21,24 42:7,20	137:1,2,5 138:4,5	141:2 142:19,21	184:22
<b>bunch</b> 55:11	64:10 90:7 121:13	138:14,14 139:3	142:22,25 144:2,7	cause 1:18 7:17
burglar 183:4	122:14 123:2,10	145:7 191:20,22	146:16,19,20,25	10:25 11:10,20
burglarized 183:22	133:14 143:25	192:14,23,25	148:9,11,18 149:4	154:11 284:8
183:24	168:21,24,24	193:4 206:5 237:2	149:8 150:8,12	causing 84:14
burglars 127:6	196:11,13 198:15	237:11 238:12	164:18 165:2,20	caveat 229:2
burglary 130:23	203:4 207:14	287:12,25 289:17	166:6 168:3,17,19	240:18
134:23 184:22	222:23 252:10	290:14	172:19 173:10,12	caveats 287:10
205:23,24 280:12	256:13 264:3	cards 7:14 78:19	175:25 176:2,11	Cavitt 13:18
281:4	281:18 295:13	care 40:18 117:6	178:12 179:15	<b>CC'd</b> 49:20 88:17
business 22:17	<b>calling</b> 5:16 29:4	129:10 150:25	180:11 190:15	117:22 160:23
25:24 299:23	32:17 42:11 45:25	151:14 199:17,24	192:12,12 197:22	cell 29:6 42:7
busy 55:13 95:19	108:1 117:18	200:2	198:1,9,21,22	cells 43:18 63:9,11
	156:15 169:24	careful 95:8,10	201:7,12,13 203:4	cemetary 153:19
	197:7,22 198:18	260:1	203:16,20,23,23	certain 16:10 38:24
C 48:10,21 151:7	198:19 261:4	carefully 84:25	204:12,19 205:8	41:20 44:2 51:18
Cafe 124:10 127:1	288:15 295:4,6,8	92:12 188:10	205:20 206:23	91:17 112:8
127:12 205:7 calculated 252:7	calls 38:1,13 39:24	carried 283:15	212:2 215:12,17	165:22 180:5
calendar 35:6 38:7	55:22 57:14 96:1	284:8	216:2 217:24	certainly 43:1
95:17	114:18 117:12	carry 158:9	218:10,21,22	50:15 52:23 93:14
calendars 7:14	call-in 116:16	case 9:2,18 10:3	219:3,15 222:23	111:19 125:4,24
California 33:25	camera 29:22	12:3,19 18:21	224:11 243:18	126:2,13 140:17
34:1	66:14 79:22 87:22	19:9 20:19,21	252:10,18 254:22	141:22 197:6
call 5:18,24 31:16	100:2,16 102:9,11	21:2 22:8 24:2,12	259:4,21,23 260:3	204:10 206:3
33:7 35:1 39:7	106:20,22,24	25:4 26:14 27:18	260:9,10,11 263:1	233:6 234:4
42:4 45:16 61:9	211:16 212:6	28:23 29:9,11	263:7,10 279:8	249:15 251:11
66:19 85:17	216:20 220:6,23	31:21 34:19 35:5	281:10,22 282:1	257:14 260:20
102:23 109:18	242:14,21 246:9	65:24 66:13,24	282:20 290:4	287:12,13 299:3
129:25 148:13	247:7 267:14	67:3,3 69:14	300:7,22 301:7,19	303:8
127.23 170.13				

	_	_		_
certificate 310:15	252:10 266:7,15	147:5 205:8	clock 275:25	231:9
certification 3:8,9	291:8 293:2 301:7	243:20 280:21	close 17:10 18:6,7	comes 26:19 36:22
308:7 309:11,24	301:19 302:5	cite 222:22	123:22 124:2	90:4 104:9 163:8
certified 308:9	chiefs 123:25 128:9	City 149:7,12	243:21	276:20 289:4
309:12,14 310:17	child 152:20	civil 1:24 7:20	closed 152:16	<b>coming</b> 34:18
certify 308:10	163:25 174:22	300:7	closely 256:6	40:24 75:3 92:1
309:6	children 174:23	claim 23:22,23	closer 149:16	114:2 195:9 296:8
chain 109:22,24	child's 78:17,21	38:23 43:25 112:6	closing 9:14 281:21	commencement
110:6,9	Chris 153:10	claims 17:3 23:23	281:25 282:4,19	252:14
chalked 9:4	187:13	25:1 28:15 189:12	282:20 284:1	commission 227:15
change 274:11	Chrissy 187:15	clarification 88:22	closure 263:19	commit 98:4 253:8
275:16 306:5	Christine 35:17	220:12	coauthors 18:8	committed 38:23
changes 3:7 222:15	43:4 47:24 63:7	clarify 32:1	CODIS 33:23	44:1 53:3 112:7
306:1 310:3,4	108:19 115:10	clarity 11:18 39:2	35:19 43:4 62:17	134:23 163:4
chapter 10:5,11,13	117:14 130:18	39:11 40:4 46:8	64:14	164:6 234:2,23
115:25 124:6	131:22 133:4,12	46:17 56:21 57:8	coffee 205:18	252:6 253:5
141:4,5,6,7 150:3	134:16 135:4,7,16	57:18 112:10	coffee-stained	255:12 280:6,10
chapters 150:4	136:7,11,22 137:2	classifications	127:11	281:3
characterization	137:4 138:4,14	18:15	cold 122:24	committing 167:22
71:5	139:3 149:9	clause 276:19	colleagues 18:7	common 166:11
characterize 42:18	150:22 154:15	clean 116:9,11,12	111:16	communicate
254:7	169:20 171:8	clear 11:4 38:8,16	collect 197:15	234:8
charge 128:6	174:15 178:22	54:22 57:15,21	colloquy 246:13	communicated
charged 137:23	183:23 189:8	108:4 118:18	269:13	21:6
138:2	190:4,13 191:21	126:24 162:23	color 130:9,10	
= = :	T		,	communicating 82:25
<b>charges</b> 136:5 137:14 310:10	192:13 206:6	192:10,10 202:18	186:13,15 187:23 188:6	
	236:21 237:1,12	202:23 215:5,15		<b>communication</b> 7:22 45:19 189:1
<b>charts</b> 7:14 <b>cheat</b> 18:14	281:4 298:4,10 <b>Christine's</b> 61:19	215:16 220:22 223:20 225:25	Colorado 29:7	
			30:13 31:2,17,24	communications
check 13:18 95:17	62:6 162:24 171:7	227:13 229:14	33:8,10 34:15	55:9 304:14
186:13,21 187:13	172:9 173:18	240:9 241:3,19	35:1,5,7 37:19	competence 156:11
187:14,18,25	175:17	242:7 258:19	38:10 41:20 52:6	169:23
188:3,5,18 189:8	chronology 32:24	277:16 287:3,5	55:23 62:18,19	Complaint 3:17
189:16 190:3,12	34:7	291:5	96:1	complete 76:13
193:9,12,21 194:3	circumstances	cleared 115:24	column 247:1,6	80:7,8 100:21
206:6 236:20	16:14 39:3,13	clearly 71:15 92:9	come 8:1 22:22	101:15 102:18
238:12 243:4	46:20 54:24 112:1	98:5 134:19	38:9 45:5,7 52:5	121:7,16 123:5
244:16 288:1	112:12,18	210:15 239:14	69:14 80:15 82:10	146:3,23 159:25
289:18 290:15	circumstantial	242:3 271:20	87:3 95:3,17	160:4,5 185:11
checked 10:2 52:13	124:20 125:1,2,10	280:20	125:23 128:18	209:23 238:23,24
136:13 243:9	125:14,19,20,21	clerk 246:5 310:16	131:11 133:11	241:9,21 242:9
checking 214:25	126:9,9 127:3,20	client 36:2 194:12	134:15 146:7	249:8,19 250:14
checks 78:19 187:1	127:24 129:5	211:3,5 223:23	153:5 173:15	259:6 271:9 276:2
187:3	132:4 133:19	227:24	183:21 186:5	291:15 297:4
<b>chief</b> 198:15 199:9	134:2 146:24	clinic 219:14	205:25 229:11	completed 217:21
<u> </u>				

	1	1	1	1
completely 15:6	230:24	183:17 191:15	75:14,16 79:4	176:14 181:4
completes 210:4	conclusions 87:3	228:8 289:7	80:12,17 81:12	186:16,22 187:9
complicated	conduct 246:8	consists 8:3 12:10	82:2,6,7,8,18	187:23,24 188:6
146:20	265:20	constitute 224:13	83:18 91:10,24	189:14 193:21
complicit 107:12	conducted 229:16	225:17 299:2	93:6 96:6,7,11,19	201:22 211:15,20
comply 295:1	259:8,13 260:4	consult 20:20	101:25 102:12	212:5,17 242:16
comprehensive	290:2 292:3,9	contact 38:20	103:3,15 105:21	243:14 251:8
69:18	302:1	43:23 47:5 56:8	110:12 112:21,23	281:7,8 304:23,24
conceal 107:12	conference 1:22	56:19	113:1,2,6,12	310:14
conceivably 168:22	116:14 303:22	<b>contacted</b> 33:21,21	114:13 115:9	<b>copying</b> 297:11
concept 76:20	confession 211:9	70:16	117:1,11,19	corner 251:3,10
276:19	211:18	contain 253:1	151:21 152:6	correct 6:24,25
concern 41:16	confidential 115:3	264:12 277:7	207:3,5 213:15	7:25 8:4 10:15,20
42:16 52:14,19,21	confirmed 34:1	292:24	234:9,21 251:24	13:11 14:25 19:17
62:23 65:15,19	136:14	contained 30:8	252:18 256:3	20:2 21:10,17,20
84:15 174:17	confronted 77:13	31:5 83:25 98:12	conversations 7:9	23:13 26:11,12
175:10 211:14	confuse 202:15	115:17 118:10	35:12 37:9 41:18	29:2 33:5,6 43:12
215:23 262:19	confused 82:9	136:17 137:3	41:19 42:13 52:7	49:17 50:2 61:4
278:6	200:9	257:18 258:1	56:6,11 59:5,8	70:4 76:8 79:24
concerned 38:3	<b>connect</b> 167:12	272:7 303:11	81:22 92:25 93:9	84:23 87:13 88:7
64:17 65:17 74:21	connected 138:16	contains 84:11	93:11,25 95:14	89:4 90:11 93:4
78:20 84:11 89:22	178:12 255:25	211:17 255:8	113:8 171:11	94:23 99:9 111:4
91:3 94:11,17	connection 100:20	273:5 310:4	189:6 207:2	111:17 125:16
101:1 110:4	100:22 101:19	<b>content</b> 7:21 145:3	298:11 303:25	126:6,22 130:15
113:25 127:19	103:18,20 104:15	contents 149:21	<b>convicted</b> 27:2 63:3	130:19 138:20
147:19 224:6	117:3 118:23	212:11 273:3,8	63:12	140:2,18 141:16
233:9 260:13	207:15 224:23	280:2 282:9	conviction 63:14	142:4 150:23
263:22 264:21	246:10 249:17	contested 243:19	166:5	154:17 155:11,12
279:16 280:13	298:3,10	context 17:13	convinced 170:17	156:1 157:10
284:5	consent 121:5	167:3 226:2 241:7	235:25 280:24	160:5 161:2 162:6
concerning 10:18	267:2	continuation	<b>cool</b> 194:13	166:18 169:2
22:9 66:23 145:8	consider 225:7	304:21	coordinate 148:13	172:16 173:7
213:10,25 243:16	230:14	continue 18:3	copied 11:15	178:23 180:11,12
253:1 255:8	considerable	157:4 304:6	145:24	181:7,10,23
257:18 266:22	262:14	<b>continued</b> 4:1 51:8	copies 7:8,14 8:8	182:16 189:10,13
272:21 273:2	considerably 60:9	continuing 28:11	8:13 41:5 265:15	190:1,21 194:5
293:5	consideration	control 7:4,10,16	297:21 310:11	196:1,2,5,17,22
concerns 110:20	304:25 307:17	7:23	copy 36:10 38:21	196:25 197:3,19
174:16 175:9	considered 83:3	convenience 13:14	39:16,18 40:14	199:22 200:15
262:14,17 266:5	227:14	conversation 7:21	43:23 44:22 48:11	208:17 210:6,20
concise 51:10	considering 197:7	34:22 39:12 48:22	72:12,14,16,22	210:21 214:23
conclude 161:20,21	197:22 218:3	49:10 50:1 59:15	74:9 105:10 112:5	221:25 222:7,21
concluded 252:19	225:19	60:2,16 66:21	116:10,11,12	223:13 224:15
conclusion 3:15	<b>consistent</b> 134:20	70:22 71:2,17,22	130:9,10 146:4,13	226:4,23,25 227:3
47:13 229:11	134:25 135:6	72:1 73:14,24	151:4,5,8 171:5	227:7,8,25 228:1

			_	_
232:17 233:11	11:13 17:1 22:24	202:5	criminal 4:17	dates 32:4
236:18 237:7,14	23:1,2 32:17	Courts 286:24	24:15 127:9 201:7	daughter 152:10
240:13,24 241:2	40:14 55:13 59:1	288:14	202:5 219:13	<b>Davis</b> 30:4 70:17
243:7 244:20	59:3,10,10 60:10	court's 16:12,21	245:23 300:6	70:19 71:4 75:5,6
248:3,20 249:13	66:2 70:14 78:11	17:21 19:3,5	critical 84:10	77:14 79:2 80:10
249:14 250:9	78:11 79:9,10,13	23:22 24:5 222:9	critically 270:2	81:6,22 82:11,17
263:10 266:23	79:14,14 80:13	273:2 277:5	cross 4:7 141:14	82:18,25 91:8
267:7 268:25	90:7,8 93:17	294:14 304:18,19	189:15 190:3	96:6 98:25 119:24
269:8,19 277:23	95:17,19 99:22	cover 18:10	284:21,21 301:3	120:9 168:20
283:11 285:25	106:21,24,25	<b>coverage</b> 50:15,19	Crosses 193:20	251:14 252:20
289:1 292:6	107:23,24 108:2	covered 213:23	cross-examining	253:19 256:4,13
294:22 295:1,7	109:19 111:11	238:11	294:8	256:22 257:11
297:19 307:3	115:16,18,22	covering 18:12	crying 154:9,10,25	264:5,9 270:21
correctly 53:7	116:3,14 118:9,11	covers 244:17,25	CSR 1:20 309:18	272:5 277:18,25
68:13 174:25	118:15 141:12	co-counsel 70:17	310:21	278:15 286:3
correspondence	165:14,22 169:6,8	crates 149:6	cultivate 123:24	287:14,19 288:11
11:12	201:5 207:11	crazy 297:11	curious 273:7	289:8 290:10
counsel 6:24 8:1	211:15,16,19,24	credit 53:10 78:19	current 35:13	299:4
11:12 12:8 26:25	211:25 212:3,10	107:4 108:8,11,12	270:14	day 9:5 17:20 37:3
31:5 33:21 61:20	212:13 213:18,22	108:19 129:18,20	cursory 92:15,16	40:7,10 45:6
66:6 76:25 83:23	220:15,18 223:14	131:9,22 132:7,11	<b>Curtis</b> 165:21	47:14 59:1,3
84:25 116:19	223:18 226:14,19	132:11 133:1,3,4	Custodial 310:7	71:13 83:6 86:23
119:7 132:18	228:17 229:15	133:12 134:16	<b>custody</b> 109:22,24	96:6 100:9 119:25
222:9 286:4 299:1	236:15 241:24	135:5,10,17 136:7	110:6,9	124:2,2 131:2
308:25 309:6	242:2,14,20,21	136:11,21,22		137:17 152:21,22
counsel's 85:1	243:1,8,10,14,25	137:1,2,5 138:4,5	D	152:24 179:10
counties 127:9	244:4,7,14,21	138:13,14 139:3	<b>D</b> 83:20 84:8	180:7 181:5
<b>county</b> 1:4,21 3:19	246:8 248:7,9,13	145:7 191:20,22	<b>DA</b> 68:4,5 117:22	185:12 196:20
4:18 9:1 24:11	252:6,12 253:12	192:13,23,24	139:22	213:16 239:24
65:24 66:13,24	258:17 259:7	193:4 206:5 237:1	dad 153:18,18	246:15 287:3,5
68:4,5 111:6	265:19 266:13,14	237:1,11 238:11	<b>Daddy</b> 71:20 97:22	307:13,21 309:14
228:10 279:3,7	267:6 269:13	287:12,25 289:17	98:11 159:9,12	310:17
307:11 308:4	273:5,7,12,16,17	290:14	160:17,18 161:24	days 15:16 37:14
couple 124:1	274:9 276:18,18	credited 295:19	161:24 162:20	38:9 60:21 95:18
168:15	276:23 277:1,3	crime 10:4,4,5	171:9 173:20,21	130:14 131:23
course 5:19 6:23	284:15 288:19	18:14 90:3 98:4	174:15 229:19,19	132:8 133:13
28:13 129:5	291:9 292:18	124:4 126:25	Daddy's 158:13,20	134:17 135:5,17
144:23 161:13	294:2,4,9 297:20	127:8 138:15	darn 97:13 289:24	136:8,12 137:5,13
168:19 197:6	297:25 298:16	141:3 142:13	data 34:2	138:15 156:13
201:4 205:19	300:24 301:6	148:7,24 163:4	database 35:19	183:2 189:8,16
260:15 261:8,13	302:8 304:20,22	167:12,22 170:5	date 7:21 33:13	190:5,13 191:21
263:24 265:12	304:24 308:2	180:5 181:10	34:16 45:2 120:19	192:14 193:5,9,13
281:4,22 299:23	Courthouse 1:21	227:15,24 234:2	129:3,13,14 306:2	194:4 206:7
300:11 301:9	courtroom 82:10	234:23 253:5	309:19 310:22	236:21 237:3,19
<b>court</b> 1:2 3:15 4:17	141:13 142:10	255:12 280:6,10	dated 3:21 4:3,6	day-by-day 128:25
			40:11 177:20	
		•		

<b>DA's</b> 9:4 11:1	259:5 299:11	171:2 172:6,19,24	265:17	describes 171:7
49:13,14,16,19	300:25 301:10	173:6,14,16 174:2	denying 77:11	describing 7:7
51:5,6,8 54:8	decipher 104:21	174:7 175:5,9,18	Department 33:22	234:16
84:18 88:19,20	105:10,11	175:19 176:5,13	147:4 201:10	description 3:12
102:25 111:6	decision 23:6 49:11	176:19 177:1	217:23 301:17	4:2 160:12 234:20
116:15 117:24	106:21 279:23	178:3 182:15,18	depend 131:24	descriptions
138:19 157:1	decisions 198:19	182:23 183:18	depends 132:1	285:18
171:25 185:8	199:7	185:18,19 186:7	218:25	designated 198:21
<b>De</b> 131:6	deck 159:7 160:15	186:10 190:6,15	deposed 71:24	199:8 204:12
dead 53:11 108:19	<b>deduction</b> 272:24	190:25 191:14,17	144:17 147:23	desk 96:22 173:8,9
189:17 190:13	284:13	191:25 192:18	254:21 284:23	176:12 217:6
192:15	<b>defendant</b> 1:6,17	193:6 194:8	deposit 188:21	destroyed 253:13
deal 21:21 148:14	2:9 63:4 90:9	195:20 196:14	deposition 1:9,15	detail 27:7 31:20
148:14 170:23	98:4 116:1 142:15	197:12 208:16	3:16 5:16 8:9	102:1 133:25
175:25	148:25 210:19	219:3,13 220:1	12:7,9 14:22	151:15 170:13
dealers 127:6				
	222:11,19 223:11	221:25 222:10,18	16:13 17:2,17	173:23 189:5
dealing 146:15	224:6 226:18	223:23 226:12	24:16 26:17 32:14	205:9
<b>Dear</b> 187:11	227:14,18 247:10	228:16 231:2,7	44:20,24 72:6	detailed 142:11
death 130:22	247:12,14,18	233:10,19 235:4	75:7,11 94:15	220:7 226:6
131:23 132:8	252:7 253:5,7	236:4,14 237:6	95:4 96:24 109:17	291:10
133:13 134:17,23	255:12 281:8	249:19 252:23	116:19 119:25	details 23:12 96:10
135:5,18 136:8,12	308:6 309:3,4	253:3 254:14	144:19,20,22	110:9 111:5
137:5 153:15	defendant's 49:22	255:10 256:15	147:8 148:4	133:18 142:16,22
190:5 191:21	76:2 85:5 223:3	257:5,16,20	150:18 170:14	142:22 148:8,18
193:5,13 194:4	224:13 239:1,8	263:25 264:21	177:25 229:16	149:2,20 150:8
205:23 206:7	240:5,21 241:4,11	265:10 266:6	232:8 255:3 276:2	203:14 259:22
236:21 237:3	242:9 243:16	270:22 272:8,11	276:4 281:23	260:3 261:6
280:4,10,18	247:8 249:12,18	272:20 274:4	284:25 295:14,18	291:11 302:11
281:19,20 282:8	defense 33:21	275:5 277:20	295:23 304:6,20	Detective 115:10
282:24 283:10,18	46:19 54:16 60:15	278:23 280:11,14	304:21 306:2	115:13 117:11,14
debate 162:7	66:16 67:2 76:18	284:11 285:7	307:2 308:7,14,16	118:6
224:12,14	76:24 83:23 87:12	286:4 290:1,6	308:21,24 310:1,6	determination
<b>debated</b> 127:4,25	87:21 88:25 90:5	294:6 300:10	310:9,11,13	190:9 230:1
128:11	90:9 91:5,22	302:24	deposition/errata	235:22 239:1
<b>Debra</b> 66:12	93:18 97:4,17	<b>definition</b> 86:5,6,8	309:25	249:21,23
deceased 53:11	98:19 100:3,19,23	233:12	deputy 178:13	determinations
150:21	108:21 120:2	delays 294:7	describe 8:10,18	35:23 231:9
deceased's 132:7	121:15,16 123:6,8	deleted 273:9	41:22,24 71:12	determine 16:13
<b>decide</b> 288:14	123:8 134:20	Deliberately 83:22	133:25 141:7	58:18 211:16
decided 51:2 54:7	135:6,12,15,21	delicate 26:11	148:7 163:25	231:3 233:20
86:17 132:25	136:1,10 137:7,15	<b>delivered</b> 310:7,13	described 11:20	247:8 278:10
133:6 165:14,16	138:7 143:15,17	demeanor 71:12	104:23 115:9	determined 138:10
241:15 246:6	143:21 162:2,4	demonstrates	117:10 286:3	289:22
296:2	165:23 169:23	237:9	298:23 299:5	determines 276:24
deciding 236:4	170:4,7,11,15,23	denied 243:19	301:7,18	277:3 304:20
8 -2 0	, , , , , ,			
	l			I

development 29:9	197:11 216:2	238:8 253:3	116:22 188:17,19	22:9 23:12,21
31:21 35:4,9	218:21 282:13	254:13 255:10	188:20 205:25	31:23 32:2,25
developments	284:20 294:8,22	265:8,9 278:2	207:3 213:4	33:20,23 34:6,9
43:16 128:25	directed 137:4	290:18	223:22 229:7	34:20 35:15,18
diagram 181:5,21	directing 24:4	disclosing 38:24	236:13 294:5	38:2 42:14,23
diaries 7:13 10:12	50:20 184:8	44:1 92:2 112:7	303:19 304:22	52:24 61:4,6,18
died 159:11	direction 56:19	disclosure 18:24	discussions 63:24	61:18 62:3,5,7,17
<b>Dietz</b> 2:16,16 5:11	136:15	24:18 91:19,19	205:20	63:2,4,8,10,15,18
6:9,24 8:17 11:3,6	directions 269:12	95:4 115:6 262:15	disk 11:15	63:19 64:2,8,10
16:7,9,24 17:15	directly 18:19 24:9	263:18	dismissal 193:8	64:13,15,17 65:1
17:19,23 19:2,21	34:22 100:3	disclosures 59:23	dispute 116:18	65:2,17 66:23,25
23:18 31:8 32:6	145:24 226:20	165:15	210:18	67:2 74:1 109:25
32:17,19 33:17	285:5 302:19	discover 167:11	disputed 150:14	110:5,15,18 111:1
35:20 37:10 41:5	disagree 86:23	202:7,8,10,12	disputing 202:19	docket 4:17 55:13
41:7 46:21 50:8	155:23 190:16	discovered 80:23	distinct 96:5	104:18,24 245:23
61:23,24 69:22	240:19,20,21	191:19	distinction 87:8,9	246:3,24,25 268:5
70:2 72:8,11	disagreed 229:10	discovery 4:10	87:14 96:3	dockets 24:15
114:18 129:22	disagreeing 228:17	23:23 38:20 39:2	distract 194:25	<b>document</b> 10:23,24
132:9,20 139:8	236:15	39:4,11,14 40:5	district 1:2,6 2:4,7	12:3 13:15,23
145:12,14 181:1	disagreements	46:9,18,20 47:7	3:19 4:17 6:18	36:6 84:6 106:24
189:6 194:22	17:21	47:12 51:23 54:23	9:2 11:9 12:16	130:6 138:3,22
200:7 221:6 274:9	disclose 86:1,10	56:22 57:9,19	17:7 20:1 21:6,17	139:1 160:23
274:13,15,20	87:12,21 90:4	59:11,22 85:10	25:3,12 28:11	161:1,5 178:3
275:23 276:6	102:5 137:15	111:25 112:11,12	34:13 36:7 39:9	185:3 193:18
303:16,20 304:9	164:16 165:3	112:19 119:6	45:23,24 46:17	245:11 296:16
305:7,11	232:1,4,10 233:9	171:11 173:13	47:5,10 51:15	documents 3:14
difference 175:20	234:15 268:10	191:11 195:6	56:17 66:13 88:8	7:3,19 8:19,20
175:24 243:23	288:13 302:23	201:11,14 202:1,4	99:20 102:7	11:6,8,9 12:9 14:6
different 27:5 64:6	303:10	202:9,10,15 211:8	103:12 105:17	14:17 24:10 28:13
65:8 85:16 86:6	disclosed 29:22	223:7 226:18	107:2 110:13	30:8 47:20 49:18
87:3,25 111:8	46:11,20 50:13	263:1,9,12 300:17	111:11,13,24	58:16 103:19
120:19 156:2	60:5,18,23 62:16	304:18	115:2 140:1,10,11	106:19 115:17,20
184:2,5,9 187:1	82:22 83:1 88:24	discuss 10:9 73:13	146:1 147:13,16	116:25 118:10,13
216:12 221:24	89:25 91:4,11,22	80:16 272:1	188:5 212:5	118:21 145:18
239:24 251:7	93:21 97:3 113:22	299:17 303:21	228:10 260:17,19	186:12,18 192:25
271:21	116:15 121:14,15	discussed 38:15	279:2,7 303:25	197:9 275:5
differentiated 96:9	123:6,7,14 136:9	40:5 110:2 112:3	304:16 308:2,6	298:16,21 299:9
difficult 25:21	137:6 138:6	133:17 237:15	disturbed 71:3,10	300:21
137:11 276:16	165:23 171:3	238:2 245:24	71:20	<b>Doe</b> 61:1,10 65:9
diligence 266:9	178:4 185:19,23	278:9 287:22	diving 163:11,18	65:12,19,21,23
dire 9:7,9,11,13	194:8 195:20	discussing 75:3	163:23 164:7	doing 24:13 46:16
direct 18:21 19:7,9	194.8 193.20	168:19 206:22	167:6,8,10,16,20	47:4 104:5 112:16
24:2 29:12 32:10	229:8 230:19	289:17	168:3,9,16	127:9 146:25
51:8 84:9 95:5	231:23 233:17	discussion 17:24	<b>DNA</b> 18:23 20:5,17	153:1 154:12
96:21 196:16	236:23,24 237:5	28:8 94:7 107:22	20:25 21:22 22:1	167:4 173:2
70.21 170.10	230.23,24 237.3	20.0 34.1 101.22	20.23 21.22 22.1	107.41/3.4

174:22 179:23	downfall 127:9	eight 12:11 201:9	121:1,3,8,11	error 252:6 266:5
203:16,23 204:3	downtown 124:11	209:1	265:19 266:21	especially 115:4
209:10 218:17	127:2	eighty 174:22	267:4,20 268:1,18	essence 74:12
234:5 248:2	<b>DPS</b> 63:22	either 15:11 22:6	271:10,12,14	essentially 25:10
256:22 285:4	<b>Dr</b> 281:16	32:18,19 40:13	272:17,20 274:1,7	establish 17:12
302:15	drawn 181:5	55:5 77:8 92:15	274:23,25 275:4,6	24:7 269:23
domestic 162:12	drive 2:11 35:10	93:11,19 94:4	275:7 278:11	established 229:4,5
163:4	309:20 310:23	101:16 103:2,13	equal 247:7	<b>estimate</b> 283:9,19
<b>Don</b> 4:3,6 11:21	drives 297:11	114:2,3,8 120:14	equally 182:19	284:3
48:23 94:8 117:14	driving 184:20	131:20 136:3	equals 247:7	estimating 282:8
121:4,13 130:11	290:16	156:12 171:4	Eric 47:25 48:2	ethical 257:1,14
150:20 152:13,23	drop 5:10	183:5 214:10	50:7,13 59:15	evening 52:9,11
187:5 207:13	drug 127:6	237:12 243:9	60:4,17 71:18	294:6
210:3,9 223:10	dry 150:4	269:18	74:16 76:18 80:25	event 144:8 250:20
229:7 237:1	duces 3:13 7:2 8:4	Elizabeth 155:14	81:20 85:23 87:24	events 105:14
242:24 243:2	9:3,21 300:6	213:8,9 214:1,8	91:25 97:14,20	249:6 251:14
244:23 246:9	due 201:21 266:8	216:24	98:5,9 100:4,5	291:11
247:6 249:11,20	276:19,19	embraced 17:3	102:5 114:4	eventually 281:6
252:9 260:22	duly 1:17 5:6	255:18	152:10 153:12,16	everybody 8:5
266:16,22 269:17	308:12	employed 309:7	153:21,23,24	135:13 170:17
271:10 290:17	duplicates 171:17	encountered	154:5,8,11,13	214:20
297:3	duty 115:3	178:15	155:10,22,25	<b>evidence</b> 4:8 21:1
door 152:16 159:2		endeavored 260:1	156:3,4,7,17	28:16 29:20 30:5
159:3,4,5,6,6,7	E	endorsed 190:14	157:5 158:3,19	30:15 31:6 33:5
160:14,14 183:5	<b>eager</b> 99:12	enforcement	159:3,6,9,13,18	52:19 53:4,21
<b>dotted</b> 181:9	earlier 28:14 62:9	191:16	160:14,16,17,19	54:13,20 63:3,14
double-check 41:5	106:23 110:16	engage 150:2	160:19 161:9,17	64:6 80:18 85:15
double-sided 41:8	287:24 288:2	engaged 279:21	161:23 162:20,25	85:17 86:3,12
41:8,11,12 49:8	early 17:16 23:16	284:6	163:11 164:5	87:11 89:2,3,16
297:11	50:11 60:21 68:8	entered 134:22	166:14,22 167:7	89:18,24 90:1,4,9
doubt 15:23 27:23	68:13,24 90:25	135:7	168:20,23,23	106:21 107:11,13
78:14 93:10	110:21 127:6	entering 280:12	169:19 170:4,12	115:7 126:20
117:25 120:7	131:1 155:25	<b>entire</b> 51:25 198:13	171:7 172:5,9	129:7 132:4 133:2
123:9,14 138:5	183:2,19	232:7 249:10	173:18,19,21	134:1 135:11,15
147:8 193:17	earth 77:8 81:19	entirety 54:4,6	174:7,14 175:6,15	142:15 148:14,15
252:24 254:15	97:17 98:22	entitled 124:6	187:15 207:4,6	149:1 150:16
256:16 257:6,17	easiest 151:10	176:20 191:17	229:17 232:21	161:25 167:12
257:25 264:11	easily 115:23	193:6 196:14	233:25 234:1,10	171:15,16 176:8
277:21	East 2:17	211:4,7 236:6	234:22,22 235:4,9	178:4 186:6 190:6
doubts 110:14	edition 18:12	entry 245:25 268:6	235:18,21,23	190:8,14,24 191:5
264:21 270:23	effect 40:2 70:23	envelope 79:15	236:4	191:10,14,24
272:9,21 274:5	86:18 99:2	80:19 103:11,12	Eric's 83:22 85:17	192:18,23 193:6
278:16 285:17	effort 54:22 115:6	103:16 105:19	168:10 231:22	194:7 195:6,14,19
290:6 302:25	203:13	109:9 118:24	235:25	196:4 199:21
<b>Doug</b> 21:12,13	efforts 167:19	119:15 120:22	erred 266:6	218:13 222:5
	168:8			

225:14 226:8	exception 134:7	171:21,23 172:16	133:1,7 168:10	192:16 193:25
233:13 238:7	excitable 71:7,8	173:15 176:6,14	227:8	194:2 201:12
240:4,5 244:22	excited 176:8	177:2,17 180:19	explained 14:19	205:14 206:5
253:7 255:20	exciting 10:7	180:19 181:4	205:11 206:13	207:6 218:19
258:1,7 262:20	exclamation	183:12 186:12,17	explanation 120:7	219:18 225:1
277:7 278:23	292:22	193:18,18,19,19	237:13	235:3 236:25
279:23 280:3,21	excluded 61:18	193:22,25 195:3,4	explanations 17:7	271:14 279:20
284:13 286:12,14	exculpatory 28:15	195:6,7 196:9	explicitly 277:24	283:8 288:10,18
286:22 298:1	29:19 30:5,15	230:15,16,18	expose 141:15	300:19 302:5,20
evidentiary 107:7	31:6 32:3 33:4	231:6,20 232:11	exposed 142:11	factor 231:18
109:10 291:7	43:1 52:18,24	232:16 233:10,19	express 26:4	280:20
evidently 23:15	53:4,21 54:13,20	234:20 237:10,15	expressed 65:5	factors 90:2
45:16 51:8 79:8	66:23 80:18 85:17	237:20 245:6,7,21	307:18	facts 11:13 16:13
102:25 104:3,4	87:11 97:22,25	245:22,25 246:19	expression 111:2	84:17 94:6 112:18
111:22 121:19	98:3,14 99:1	250:23 255:21	121:22	157:9 168:6,8
122:1 144:7	133:2 135:11,15	266:20 276:10,10	extensive 161:15	198:13 230:2,4
206:25 208:11	135:20,25 137:7	281:15 296:19,21	214:18 215:7,18	263:12
230:12 255:23	150:16 161:25	exhibits 14:5 41:2	217:15 259:8,13	factual 19:23 20:20
269:19 270:9	178:3 186:6 190:5	49:1,4 51:1 54:11	260:4 261:10	22:7,10 26:19
exact 101:2 230:15	190:14,24 191:4	195:3 263:13	262:15 265:7	281:5
280:7	191:10,13,24	297:22 310:12	290:3 292:3 302:1	factually 27:12
exactly 6:2 9:22	192:18 193:5	exist 51:11	302:9,22	failed 266:5,8
29:8 33:14 74:11	194:7 223:4 231:5	existed 51:15 239:4	extensively 261:22	failing 128:20
83:5 97:1 112:18	233:13 238:7	241:6,12 242:11	extensively 201:22 extent 16:18 102:3	266:6
125:16 155:21	240:4 255:20	exists 239:9	extract 63:18	<b>failure</b> 191:23
161:16 164:21	258:1 266:7 277:7	exonerate 63:5	extracted 34:9	fair 8:15,17 26:24
180:9 197:5 199:1	278:22 288:13	exonerated 43:7	extremely 89:21	27:16,22 37:5
200:3 205:3 230:4	289:13,19	64:18	95:19	41:13,14 42:5,15
236:5 260:7	Excuse 220:12	exonerating 107:12	eyes 107:3 108:11	47:21 54:10,16,17
264:10	executed 307:16	exotic 64:9	Eyewitness 83:22	55:19,21 56:24
examination 3:3	exercise 266:8	expanded 119:12	e-mail 3:21 36:10	58:11,12 65:16
5:7 133:18 141:14	exhibit 3:11 4:1 5:1	162:9	36:18,23 37:2,4,7	71:5,12 76:4 84:7
196:16 236:10	7:1 8:9 11:19	expansive 89:21	38:18 39:15 43:19	92:16,20,22 95:12
284:21,22 301:4	12:6,9,14 13:3	expect 95:8 131:19	46:6 55:1,3 56:16	95:22,24 97:23
305:3 308:18	28:6,7 36:4,5,6	132:5 134:15	57:5 112:3	98:14 110:11
examine 142:15	39:19 43:20 48:5	206:24 210:8,12	e-mails 7:6,15 8:25	111:18 112:15
244:16	48:10,11,15,20,21	210:13	9:20 10:18 36:16	113:7,13 117:20
examined 13:19	49:24 50:22 90:23	expected 206:4,21	36:17	126:11,17 128:21
79:9 245:1	106:8,10 130:2,4	207:1	50.17	129:10 161:13
examiner 262:24	130:5 136:18	expedite 305:1	F	166:16 172:15
281:10	137:2 138:3 139:5	expedition 211:2	face 190:10,11	175:10 191:7
examining 148:25	139:13 150:19,19	experience 174:23	facsimiles 7:6	194:8 195:17
244:23	151:6,7 157:2	Expiration 309:19	fact 9:8 25:10 26:4	205:9 214:13
example 13:15	159:23 160:9	310:22	60:7 67:2 119:10	234:20 255:4
exceedance 276:3	170:23,24 171:5	<b>explain</b> 90:14	132:7 135:16	259:3 260:12
CACCUAIRCE 270.3	110.23,27111.3	CAPIGIII 70.17	136:14 167:7	237.3 200.12
	l		]	

262:13 280:16	221:3,24 228:11	figuring 68:2	filed 20:18 23:15	194:13,20 195:1
286:20	230:20 239:25	203:22	23:15 24:10 28:10	220:19 274:20
<b>fairly</b> 91:16	246:3,6,14,17,18	file 9:8 12:18,18	28:19,20 29:4	<b>fingers</b> 252:22
fairness 56:17	250:12 252:14,19	14:10 24:11 39:1	31:4,12,22 37:15	finished 196:16
165:1 280:1	267:24 269:13,14	39:10 40:3 46:7	37:18 49:8 51:9	197:11 218:21
fallen 83:2	296:24	49:14,14,16,19	52:17 58:2,3 62:4	236:12 293:1
Falsely 83:24	feel 234:7 260:16	51:5,6,8,10,15	62:10,16 68:14	finishes 216:2
familiar 10:10 39:1	feeling 63:21	54:9 57:17 73:18	69:10 73:3,5	223:18
39:9 46:7 57:17	fellow 279:8	74:12 81:19 84:18	89:13 98:6 116:1	<b>fireplace</b> 158:22,22
64:2,4,4 76:7,9	felt 58:13 62:23	85:1,3,9 88:9,19	136:5 137:14	firm 128:3,8
112:9 165:6 166:6	68:15 84:20 94:12	88:20 90:5 98:21	169:22 170:1	309:20 310:23
195:4 258:5,6	286:1 288:22	102:25 106:23	195:21 196:1	<b>first</b> 2:4 5:6 7:1
265:5	ferret 104:6	112:9 115:11,22	232:19 250:22	10:6,24 11:7
familiarize 56:20	fewest 262:19	116:3,15,16	252:17 250:22	12:13,22 16:6
168:2 203:8,19	264:3	117:16,20,24	266:13 269:2	18:4 23:8 29:9,13
Fannin 2:17	<b>field</b> 14:14,17 30:6	118:1,3,15 120:5	273:21 275:14	30:13 32:1 33:3
far 27:4 50:5 64:10	76:1 79:16,18,23	129:16 138:13,17	285:25 286:11	42:10 47:16,23
64:17 65:16 83:15	80:5 94:3,9	138:19 143:6,8,10	288:6,7,15,20	51:12 60:12,20
89:22 94:11	100:21 101:15	143:12,12 145:19	289:10,11 290:25	65:25 70:24 75:8
115:25 127:19	118:21 121:4,10	145:21 146:23	296:11 310:16	85:13 103:20
147:19 198:14	121:16,18,22	147:10,11 156:8	files 80:24 85:6	108:25 120:21
200:18 201:8	121:10,18,22	156:18 157:1	103:24 146:18	131:3 142:12
220:23 224:5	130:10 137:3	160:25 161:2	202:13 203:19	144:25 148:23
227:25 233:8	138:21,24 143:25	171:20,24,25		150:18 152:9,20
258:5 279:15	*	, ,	<b>filing</b> 100:14 227:2 285:23	150.18 152.9,20
280:13 284:5	144:3,5 209:14,16 209:19 210:4,5	172:1,2,4,14,20 172:25 176:7	fill 32:24	174:16 188:3
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		final 9:7 283:22	
<b>fashion</b> 91:14,15 107:10 179:16	216:4,10 217:18	177:3,4 185:8,11	284:10	190:7,17 227:21
	217:23,25 218:22	185:14 193:23		253:25 257:3
229:9	220:13,15,25	194:1,3 195:19	finally 26:2	276:21 277:11
fast 112:17	242:23,24 244:8,9	197:13 202:9,10	financially 309:9	304:11
father 71:20	248:16,17 249:9	202:12 203:9,11	financing 128:17	Fisher 1:20 308:9
159:18,22 164:5,6	249:20 250:8,9,15	204:5 206:25	find 48:9 49:13	309:18 310:21
166:15,23 167:8,8	259:6,14,15	207:8 208:21,22	51:22 54:6 58:7	fishing 211:2
168:12 169:21	267:13 268:10,19	210:5,9 217:23	61:10 68:6 99:12	fitting 55:16
170:5 175:7 207:7	291:13 293:1	219:19 228:11,25	120:21 135:2	five 12:10 15:20
232:22 234:3,24	300:22 301:8	229:7 230:11	149:8 163:13,23	163:22 174:25
<b>favorable</b> 4:8 86:10	figure 35:6 45:4	231:21 237:9,21	167:10,16,20	275:24
89:18,24 195:5,14	49:12 55:2 57:2	237:22,24 238:1	187:12 210:10,10	five-page 121:5
196:4 199:20	57:12 67:25	251:5 256:14	236:2 260:7 261:6	216:8 266:24
222:5 225:14	110:17 113:25	258:13,16 260:18	261:14,17 265:15	floor 153:16
226:22 228:3	114:1 119:22	260:20,21 261:1	275:3 278:22	flowers 153:17
240:6,16 244:22	200:3 206:12	264:7 278:19	285:16 291:21	focus 21:15 52:1,22
247:17 286:12,21	218:12 235:19,20	289:13 290:24	finding 167:6	54:11 57:24 69:25
feared 253:12	figured 27:3	296:5,7 298:20,25	fine 16:24 70:2	100:6
February 199:19	262:19	299:22 301:8	72:11 104:8	focused 24:18

50:15 102:1,2,3,4	138:15 147:10	173:19 234:1	127:2	51:2 52:5 59:25
110:6,7 113:24	154:16 155:10,22	furnish 212:5	<b>Gerskey</b> 298:12	64:9 70:4 72:25
focusing 33:2 54:14	163:18 181:23	furnished 242:16	getting 22:10 25:1	74:20 76:6 77:25
54:19 64:12 76:17	182:19 202:11	244:24 245:1	33:7 52:25 57:6	78:1 79:10,18
82:16	244:24 245:1	furnishing 294:5	68:3 102:3 134:12	80:8,20 82:14
folded 292:25	289:16,22 295:15	further 3:9 35:9	140:1 194:12	95:16,21 103:21
folder 8:22 9:17	295:19	78:2 172:4 229:2	203:21 269:20	106:1 116:2 117:5
10:16	four 12:10 15:20	252:25 253:6,11	296:18 301:3	122:12 125:20
<b>follow</b> 12:19 136:4	101:21 121:4	255:7 264:17	gift 187:15,16	129:11 140:14
173:12 199:25	129:23 130:2	265:3 275:9 298:8	gist 71:2 149:23	141:25 143:9
237:3 246:2	145:6,6 163:9,16	309:6,9,11,24	give 13:22 26:20	145:14 146:17
265:23	163:17 211:13		35:21 54:22 72:5	149:7,21 154:9
followed 14:2	220:5 273:10	G	72:12,13 73:1	155:13 157:25
115:5 190:20	292:21	G 153:21,23,24	91:17 143:17	159:7 160:15
192:16 194:6	four-page 216:9	154:2	160:2 186:9	162:8 163:5,7
following 101:10	266:24	gag 139:20 140:18	192:10,10 197:2	165:18 172:20,25
154:1 308:11,25	frame 17:16 70:10	game 295:20	197:18 203:5,6	173:3 179:16
<b>follows</b> 5:6 154:4	70:15 184:6	garage 158:4	211:19 224:10	180:3 189:5
308:21	304:12	<b>Gaskin</b> 123:17	229:12 231:20	197:14 199:1,16
<b>follow-up</b> 139:2,6	frankly 290:17	196:13 203:5	300:4	202:6 203:18
192:24 193:4	fraud 131:10	216:1 218:20	given 5:21 14:14	205:7,9 211:2
194:1 236:22	free 25:12 285:2	294:6,19 295:1	27:22 31:9 85:21	213:2,25 221:9,12
237:4,11	frequently 70:20	gather 154:20	85:22 100:10	227:12,22 228:14
<b>food</b> 124:13 149:9	205:17	197:16	113:9,12 114:3	236:1,9 240:22
149:21	fresh 107:3 108:11	gathered 42:15	121:17 122:9	248:18,25 260:6
<b>footnote</b> 7:7 248:22	Friday 37:13 40:12	115:14 116:24	131:19 133:18	260:19 262:12
foregoing 85:8	56:18 268:2	118:7 199:12	177:2,4 193:11,14	264:4 273:25
307:1,15	friends 18:6,7	294:25 296:3	220:6 223:5 231:1	276:13 285:14,15
<b>forgot</b> 75:17 79:18	73:20	gathering 218:18	236:7 243:17	286:2,10 287:18
<b>forgotten</b> 30:21,22	front 8:2 22:2,5	gazillions 203:23	267:20 269:13	290:23 291:5
244:10 248:17	45:8 48:12 58:24	gear 25:18	288:3 293:10	293:19
form 29:19 93:19	70:8,12 93:2	gee 155:14 213:9	302:17 307:20	God 60:21
94:5,5 114:8	159:4,5,6,7,8	214:1,8 216:24	308:14,23	God's 77:8 81:19
159:24 161:18	160:14,15,16	217:10 235:7	gives 222:23	97:17 98:22
195:20 229:9	186:21 188:3	<b>general</b> 60:1 64:19	<b>giving</b> 41:25	goes 75:10 83:20
formal 269:17	211:25 251:15	67:24 68:23 69:2	121:18 171:5	96:10 153:2 179:4
forms 64:9	256:3 257:8,12	69:5,7,14 75:19	231:25	222:22 223:1
formulate 22:8	262:20 272:6	98:2 155:16,17	<b>glad</b> 254:12	225:18 238:10
<b>forth</b> 19:5	286:3,4 287:1,15	197:8	glamorous 10:8,9	241:23 255:6
forward 26:10	288:12 299:5	generally 20:9 21:3	gleaned 84:15	276:8 286:10
<b>found</b> 10:5 16:16	full 120:7 273:17	42:24 81:5 110:19	263:11	287:25 292:13
61:1,3,5 64:15	277:2 285:8	146:16,19	<b>gloves</b> 158:7,9	going 10:9,21 16:10
65:19 66:25 68:7	<b>fully</b> 26:1 37:11	gentlemen 8:16	<b>go</b> 9:18 15:24 24:22	18:3,4,16 19:2
70:20 79:24	funeral 152:22	233:23	25:12 29:14 30:11	20:5 22:25 24:3
110:10 131:3	170:12 171:8	Georgetown 1:23	34:15 46:3 49:11	26:21 27:4,6,7,14
		2:5,8 124:11		
		•	•	•

29:14 31:8 32:6	220:2 226:11	growing 141:7	245:20 246:4	183:13 184:15
35:20 40:24 43:6	260:16	guess 28:10 55:3	251:2,9	237:17,19
45:7 49:13 56:9	good-guy 141:11	111:15 123:17	handwritten 3:18	head 164:23 165:17
62:22 63:1,5,13	<b>gotten</b> 50:5 115:25	126:24 127:15	4:3,16 14:8,10	health 71:9
64:16 67:25 69:13	135:22 252:23	153:22 179:21	105:3 122:2,5,20	hear 5:14 42:1
69:13 80:9 85:18	Government	189:19 195:16	136:22 161:16	72:22 74:2 107:14
93:1,16,16 96:12	238:21 241:7	209:20 239:12	245:15 291:13	211:4 217:14
98:5 101:4 103:7	<b>Governor</b> 19:16,18	251:19	298:2,6	269:25
106:14,24,25	Grand 1:22	guesses 175:12,13	hang 72:19	heard 15:14 29:10
107:6,6,24 108:4	grandmother	guessing 179:19	happen 27:25	32:1 34:5,19,20
108:20 109:9,13	38:25 39:12 47:25	<b>guide</b> 149:24	74:24 192:2,20	35:15 43:3 121:18
111:3 120:20	50:17 112:9,20	guidelines 18:10	284:6	122:19 144:3
128:7 129:23	153:21,21,23,24	guilt 280:25	happened 20:23	165:4,7 179:12,13
133:3,5 143:5	154:2,6,10,12,24	<b>guilty</b> 43:11 90:10	27:5,25 32:13,25	217:21 251:23
144:20,22 146:20	155:4 157:23	279:24	34:7 38:12 56:22	259:7,12,17 260:3
148:13 149:12	158:1 159:10	gun 158:13 182:11	58:17 67:16 75:20	261:9 263:1
156:14 160:2	161:8,17 166:13	182:11	81:25 95:15 109:3	301:24 302:6
163:1,21 167:2	166:21 175:16	guy 71:7,8 292:8	113:10 119:23	hearing 3:24 45:5
168:23,25 172:17	233:24 235:11,24	guys 8:21	123:25 136:3	58:24 64:13 66:12
174:19 175:25	granted 23:2		155:2 192:17,19	70:8,12 93:2,12
176:3 183:9	265:18	H	206:12 225:9	93:23 95:16 99:7
184:20 187:24	gray 206:16 287:16	hair 14:1 35:17	241:18 249:4,16	99:13,17 100:15
194:21 197:17	<b>great</b> 71:9 84:15	half 69:23 253:25	256:3 269:5 284:5	106:1,5,16 107:7
199:9,25 202:24	105:10 123:23	292:21 304:11	285:11 286:7	107:21 108:20,21
203:22 211:24	217:7	hammer 147:7	302:25 303:12	109:3,8,10 114:22
216:2 218:4,9,10	greater 175:17	hand 11:8 15:19	happening 52:3	115:12 118:5
218:10,11,13	189:5	98:22,22 161:5	75:19 189:4	120:10 143:18
220:6 221:24	green 8:22 10:16	176:25 252:22	happenings 253:2	162:24 210:3
226:16,17 227:25	53:16 77:8 81:19	307:20	255:9 257:18	214:6 218:2,17
235:14,19,21,23	97:17 98:22 145:9	Handbook 10:4	258:2 264:13,22	223:25 224:2,3
238:21 239:11	178:17 179:7	handed 14:19	270:23 272:10,22	225:4 239:13,15
243:4,19,20,21,22	180:14,14 183:13	177:16 196:4	274:3 277:21	239:17 243:5
255:23 258:21	183:20 184:14,20	handkerchief 62:7	278:17 285:18	246:6 251:14
260:15 261:16	206:17 237:16	66:25	happens 270:25	258:13 259:18
262:15 263:5	238:4,9,12 255:16	<b>handle</b> 20:19	284:9	262:2,23 265:18
267:24 276:13	287:16 290:16	172:18,18	happy 211:14,19	273:17 275:8
281:13 283:21	Gregory 141:9	<b>handled</b> 19:8 128:4	hard 15:13 22:23	277:2 291:7 293:5
290:7 295:2,16,21	<b>grief</b> 67:14	128:4 129:2	84:4 96:22 124:12	hearings 74:2 94:7
296:1,8,16 302:18	<b>Grill</b> 149:7,12	handling 26:10	142:7,8 205:3	109:14 199:18,23
302:19 303:21	ground 138:9	128:10	<b>Harle</b> 17:25 45:8	208:15 300:12
304:5 305:2,5	190:8 194:2	handsome 141:11	66:6,14,15,18,22	heart 155:4
<b>good</b> 5:9 67:14	289:21 293:23	handwrite 15:11,18	hashed 68:11	heart's 145:2
75:15,16 104:5	grounding 145:3	handwriting 12:21	Hazelhurst 53:17	heavily 166:22
179:24,25 180:8	<b>groups</b> 69:18	12:24 13:2,5,6,7	178:18,21 180:15	heck 27:4 67:25
205:16 214:14,22	<b>grow</b> 51:9	13:11,17 14:16	181:6,19,20	held 90:8 252:21
		104:21 105:11		
	1		·	·

				_
286:24 291:7	horrible 74:24	302:15	275:4 277:19	194:17 215:6
Hello 152:2	hospital 157:25	ideas 141:8	285:16 299:4	indictment 219:20
help 8:11 14:23	hotly 243:19	identification	incident 53:19	individual 61:9
23:17 25:13 32:24	hour 69:22 304:11	195:3	175:7 180:4	66:25 67:4 75:10
33:9 34:4 38:11	hours 183:19	identified 132:12	include 94:4	180:13 184:2,5,10
51:3 66:16,19	185:12 258:22	180:13	118:21 143:2,5	184:19 237:16
127:8 231:13	260:8 284:22	imagine 26:8 41:21	included 53:21	238:4 255:16
233:22	295:15,20 308:22	56:14 99:10	90:20 208:22,24	individuals 197:25
helps 8:23 33:16	house 53:17 153:13	105:24 112:2	212:7	304:15
36:1 37:8 57:5	155:14,23 181:18	113:2 118:2 120:5	includes 49:4 210:5	individual's 61:10
70:1 83:17 165:19	183:21 206:18	131:25 147:23	308:25	61:14
hereto 1:25	209:11 237:19	167:4 185:20,21	including 7:5,9,11	inference 166:17
herrings 280:14	238:6	204:14 206:8	11:7 24:14 39:12	information 16:19
Hey 102:8 205:22	Houston 2:11	231:25 301:11	91:4 99:19 101:16	25:3 34:8 42:1,2
he'll 131:11 211:4	huge 148:15,15	immediate 253:12	116:25 151:17	50:13 52:25 53:10
274:19	223:25 224:2	immediately 25:18	212:12 297:3	61:2 64:23 66:23
high 111:14 140:4	huh 170:18	137:20 187:14,16	298:1,7	76:21 79:12 82:21
higher 60:9	human 302:17	impeach 164:25	incompetent 262:7	82:23,25 85:21
highest 140:13,15	humanly 25:24	impeaches 164:19	inconsistent 89:17	86:1,3,10,11 87:3
highlighted 107:17	hundred 49:3	impeachment	135:1 226:7	89:25 97:14 98:9
245:25	201:8	286:13	247:18 286:14	98:11,18 99:4
highly 292:25	hundreds 142:16	implication 167:6	incredibly 226:13	111:5,24 114:4
hiring 202:11	142:22 148:8,18	293:25	incumbent 260:6	115:3 119:13
history 16:5 24:21	149:1,20 203:14	implies 294:1	302:12	133:16 134:18,19
hit 33:23 34:1,6,20	259:22 260:3	importance 145:23	independent 3:20	135:20,25 136:9
43:4 61:4,6 62:17	302:11	important 133:16	161:3 247:23	136:17 137:3,6,8
63:11 64:14 66:24	hung 133:20	134:18,19 135:2	268:23	138:9 139:4
154:13	hurt 98:10 157:23	135:11,13 139:25	INDEX 3:1,11 4:1	147:10 164:16,18
hold 180:19 181:2	166:23 167:9	140:3,17 142:21	indicate 159:17	164:25 165:23
186:25 245:16	173:20 174:15	153:6 190:5,7	213:15 253:7	167:23,24 215:14
277:2	229:18	201:12 236:3	indicated 35:16	232:2,5,10 233:14
holding 39:19	hurting 168:11	270:2 280:20	37:25 61:20 62:1	236:6,25 253:6
180:20	husband 149:9	impression 60:1	116:23 119:3	272:8 277:20,25
Holly 298:11	hyperbole 205:12	64:19 68:3 118:19	122:18 156:14	300:4 308:23
home 34:18 35:10	205:14 261:4	122:25 288:9	181:18 188:21	informed 33:22,24
134:22 135:7	hypothetical 87:2	impressions 75:19	213:12 222:10	70:23 85:4 131:21
147:7 178:22	192:1 194:9	inaccurate 260:23	237:11 252:13,22	132:6
182:6 255:18		inadequate 235:7	276:2 280:3	Inherit 141:10,23
280:12 281:3	I	inadmissible 63:20	indicates 36:9 90:9	141:24
290:16	<b>ID</b> 131:10	89:3,19 226:8	122:8 131:5	initial 21:16 23:20
homicide 179:15	idea 45:22 78:19	231:15,17	138:13,17 139:2	40:22 96:11
180:2 298:3,10	86:13 100:23	incapable 103:7	161:6 181:22	initially 8:22 54:7
Honor's 115:2	102:15 113:20	inch 252:22 254:13	182:3 207:11	61:16 113:25
hope 5:20 187:19	168:3 170:11,15	256:14 257:16	indicating 104:14	235:24
254:21	176:17 227:23	272:14,14 274:2	138:23 139:3	injure 252:7
23 1.21	244:12 256:21	2/2.11,112/1.2	150.25 157.5	Injuic 202.7
	l	l	l	

innocence 2:13	182:1	128:1,12,19	101:18,23 103:4	102:6 103:1
191:15 286:16	interrogated	136:15 137:7	104:15 108:5,22	105:17,23 109:19
innocent 27:2	137:17	139:2 155:25	117:2 118:20	109:19 110:13
67:15 237:13	interrupt 104:11	164:19 183:2,7	119:12 225:8	111:10,15 112:4
inquire 264:9,18	254:3	192:24 193:4	241:24 248:9	112:16 114:22
265:3	interrupted 145:16	203:10 204:21	249:6 265:9,20	116:23 118:19
inquired 107:11	interrupting 32:16	209:10 214:18	irrelevant 138:16	120:9 309:2
inquiring 101:10	153:22	215:6,7,18 217:15	issue 33:2 73:20	Jernigan's 119:9
inquiry 17:10 19:5	interview 38:25	219:25 250:16	93:22 97:10,12	Jewel 131:9 133:14
inspection 4:10	47:23 51:16 59:14	259:8,13 260:5	100:15 101:13,20	237:3
76:2 79:5 80:14	80:24 82:24 90:20	261:7,10,15,18,23	101:22 104:5	<b>Jill</b> 4:3 131:13,16
104:15 117:2	93:20 100:3,4	262:16 263:24	108:4,16 109:22	131:18
195:7 211:16	102:19 108:7,18	290:3 291:11	110:2 115:13,23	Jim 127:2 128:2,7
212:6 225:8	112:8,20 120:1	292:4,9 293:3	116:14 118:5	<b>job</b> 104:5 278:19
242:22 246:9	142:13 150:20	298:3 300:13	136:21 165:22	278:21,24 279:25
266:10 273:6	171:6 173:17	302:1	170:9 175:4 189:7	310:25
277:4,5	176:15 186:3	investigations	243:18 248:22	John 2:10 4:7 16:5
inspiration 141:21	229:16 230:10	128:3,4,6,8,22	253:4 255:11	21:12 30:12 36:9
instance 1:16	232:20 233:24	129:2,13,15 145:5	275:10 276:17	36:13,15 37:21
instruct 16:20 19:3	290:17	investigative 180:2	277:6,11 300:9	38:19 61:1,9 65:9
35:21 132:19	interviewed 186:1	202:22 210:4	issued 82:12	65:12,19,21,23
instructing 44:9	216:4 261:10	298:1	issues 75:17 93:4	102:7 112:24
instrument 307:16	286:15 298:9	investigator 178:11	94:1 95:22 108:20	189:15 309:3
integrity 164:19	interviewing	179:11 197:25	276:18 304:11	joke 156:12
<b>intent</b> 17:21	142:25 148:24	198:16,22 199:8	item 182:20	<b>Jones</b> 140:6
intentional 241:15	201:23 203:22	199:10 202:11	items 53:20 145:5,6	Joni 178:16 179:6
interactions 214:8	introduce 141:4	204:12 252:10	145:6 150:14	184:11 186:1
214:8	176:7	266:8,16 291:8	206:13 288:4	journals 7:13 10:12
interested 40:19	introduction 10:6	293:2 301:7,19	290:13	judge 5:9,11,12,16
99:16,17 126:14	150:3	302:5		5:22,23 17:7,24
126:15 132:6	intruder 135:7	investigators	J	19:12 20:8 21:9
302:10 309:10	investigate 107:3	164:17 165:24	<b>J</b> 4:19	21:16,19 22:2,5
interesting 210:25	107:10 109:24	179:16 201:23	<b>jail</b> 279:10	25:5,17,22 26:13
211:1 293:4	191:24 260:2	218:9	Jarrard 2:16	29:21 30:7 45:8
interfere 128:23	investigated 190:18	involved 50:23	<b>JB</b> 39:4	58:24 60:6,18
interpose 16:10	190:19,23,24	111:16 125:22	jbradley@wilco	64:6 66:6,14,15
32:7	191:2,2,23 192:2	132:2 258:2	36:19,21	66:18,21 68:14
interposed 304:4	192:4,5,7,16,17	261:22 277:21	<b>Jernigan</b> 2:6 6:19	70:9,13 74:7,9
interpret 269:12	192:21	involves 85:15	6:21 36:9 38:19	75:25 76:13 77:15
interpretation	investigating 165:1	<b>involving</b> 7:5,11,17	39:8 40:2 43:22	79:4,21 81:2,7,14
164:11 226:13	198:22 302:10	7:24 38:25 112:8	44:10,24 45:24	87:21 91:11,22
229:3 243:15	investigation 30:9	in-camera 30:7	51:14 52:8 55:5	92:2 93:2,13,17
268:9 286:25	68:19,24 69:18	60:6,18 76:1 79:5	56:6,19 57:7,15	93:21 94:2,12,16
interpreted 271:17	76:15 111:6 121:7	80:14 91:12,23	57:21 58:5 59:5	100:2,16 101:3,18
interpreting 86:22	121:11 127:5,10	93:21 94:3 100:20	64:1 91:20 92:25	101:24 102:9
			93:25 99:9,19	
	-		-	-

103:4,17,25 104:8	<b>July</b> 32:5 34:11	kill 50:7 141:9,22	34:14 35:14 37:17	102:20 103:7,24
104:10,13 106:4	62:14	killed 48:3 81:20	42:3,6 43:5 52:23	106:11 108:24
106:20 107:8	jumping 300:11	85:23 135:7	53:1 55:18,18	109:3 110:5,8
108:5 113:11,21	June 34:12 62:13	152:10 171:8	56:9 58:4,5,8,9	111:4,7,10,13
114:8 115:15,15	187:17 292:21	175:8 182:8 281:4	62:5 63:12 65:23	112:21,22 114:10
115:24 117:2	jurisdictions 64:11	killer 283:1,5	66:18 67:19 68:3	119:1 120:14
113.24 117.2	jurors 256:3	kind 10:6 15:23	68:4 69:10 84:15	121:2 123:16
128:21 169:14,15	jury 1:22 24:14	71:9 86:9 91:19	91:5,7 92:8 93:3	124:1 128:15
174:19,21 175:4	29:18 45:3 73:6		95:3,11,11 99:11	129:22 131:3,12
174.19,21 173.4		105:16 110:12,17 145:17 146:17	100:13,16,18,23	132:1 133:9,21
	251:16 252:18,20 252:25 253:4	143.17 146.17	100:13,16,18,23	,
181:1,3 185:11				143:7 146:5
189:6 199:22	254:11 255:7,11	158:19 161:18	110:7 121:6,20	149:13 150:15
200:4,17 201:3,21	255:22 257:12	170:13 180:9	123:2 136:2	155:9 156:7,19,21
202:6 207:18	262:21 279:12	205:24 206:20	137:19,19,21	161:20,21 162:4
211:14,23 213:21	282:7 283:9,17	222:15 236:5	143:13 155:5	162:25 163:12,24
216:15,19 217:9	284:3 286:4	238:6 240:25	156:19 164:9	164:14 166:24
220:6,11,22	287:15 288:12	251:15 280:23	170:17 182:4,8	167:2 168:13,14
222:24 228:21	299:5	284:6	191:1 216:6 219:3	168:16 169:3,6
230:9 239:12	justice 140:24	kindly 8:1	219:23 232:12	171:16 173:23
240:14,23 242:15	166:3 278:21	King 1:22 2:5,7	251:21 253:21	174:5,13 179:21
244:10 245:10,12	K	Kirkpatrick 47:24	267:8,9,9 271:9	181:11,15,16
245:13,14,16	keep 9:8,15 15:12	48:23 49:10 50:2	271:11,17 272:13	182:12 184:3
246:4 247:21,21	22:23 57:10 60:24	59:15,16 60:3,16	272:15,19,23	185:2,16,25
248:23 249:7,17		71:17 79:4 80:13	290:10,19 294:2	187:18 190:17
265:8,17,18 266:5	85:19 91:16 129:3 129:13 133:21	80:25 82:24 83:19	300:8,10	191:17 193:6,16
266:6 267:5,10,14		85:12 87:17 90:21	know 8:13 9:15	198:6 205:5 211:2
267:19,23 268:15	144:13 148:12	91:11,25 93:20	11:25 15:11,13,14	214:19 216:9,10
268:20,21 269:15	153:7 262:4 285:7 299:6	101:17 102:19	17:19 18:20 20:24	216:24 217:4
269:19,21 270:2,9		103:3 108:7 120:2	23:5,8 24:15 27:1	219:15 227:1,6
270:11 271:15,16	keeping 70:15	123:11,13 145:7	27:4,18 28:20	228:15,18 229:22
271:17,20,23	83:23 129:14	150:20 151:21	31:23 38:6 41:23	230:4 234:13
272:2,15 276:23	286:24	152:1,2 162:11	41:25 42:6,17,21	235:7,10 236:13
277:13 278:2	Ken 1:10,15 5:5	164:5 171:6 172:8	45:6,7,15 46:1	236:16 240:22,25
285:7 287:1	38:21 43:23 73:6	173:18 174:3	49:14 54:3 55:16	246:5 250:12
288:17,17 289:1,6	102:8 112:5 228:9	175:3 176:18	59:21 60:8,24	251:22 254:6
293:4,8 300:3	306:3 307:1,6,14	177:6 207:4,5	61:24 63:17,19	258:4,5 260:8
303:22 304:5	308:7,12	229:8,17 232:21	64:11 65:7,11,14	263:15 264:24
<b>judges</b> 124:7 289:2	kept 7:15 9:14	233:25 234:1,10	65:21 67:19 70:18	265:21 266:19
judge's 4:17 242:4	10:12 62:4 64:8	234:21 236:5	71:9 73:19 74:5	267:4 268:20
242:5 245:23	120:19 282:11	290:17	75:17 78:4 84:14	275:6 281:7,11
246:3	key 199:20	Kirkpatrick-Wood	85:15,20 87:5	283:21,22 284:21
judgment 88:25	kick 153:24	103:15 105:20	88:4,6,16 90:23	285:4,5 286:7
89:1 230:3	kicked 153:20	113:12 176:15	92:1 93:8 95:15	289:15 290:9,22
JUDICIAL 1:6	kicking 152:25	195:18 230:10	96:12,14 99:13,25	294:9 295:8 297:8
308:6	<b>kid</b> 74:3,4,6 141:7	knew 30:19 32:4	100:24 101:2,22	298:20 305:4
	175:19			
	1		ı	

knowing 185:18	169:1 191:16	253:6	150:14 154:15	145:4 163:15
218:6 283:20	203:23 219:11	<b>left</b> 9:1 34:15 51:7	157:4 161:22	181:9 183:7
298:20	224:9 230:2	77:25 128:22	162:8 170:23	194:23 200:17
knowledge 15:3	276:19	134:21 182:6	177:9 186:11	207:24 208:25
35:24 37:1 97:20	lawsuit 222:11,19	183:6 239:14	187:4 190:22	210:25 211:13
108:25 170:3	lawyer 10:8 21:24	253:21 276:16	191:4 194:10	213:7 214:13
198:8 237:8 258:8	90:15 141:11	280:13	195:13 196:14	220:5 243:12
258:10 269:6	142:18 149:19	left-hand 247:1,6	199:5,16,16 201:1	247:2 248:7 282:5
278:1 287:21	180:20 203:15	legal 15:24 26:6,21	202:15 207:10	303:23 304:2
291:1	279:4,6 285:1	27:14,20,23 86:5	214:12 221:12,20	305:3 306:5
knowledgeable	290:2	86:7 89:9 230:24	227:12 229:13	lined 163:15
119:4	lawyering 10:10	231:9 269:6	230:13,14 231:11	lines 18:25 235:1
known 42:9 98:19	lawyers 18:14	276:16	236:9,9 238:15	288:11 304:7
107:4 120:14	46:10 81:23 141:8	legally 87:9,20	243:11 245:5	linked 65:20,21,24
156:17 170:8	150:15 171:4	208:15	248:25 249:1,24	list 148:10 197:23
174:2 192:19	172:6 173:17	Lehmberg 66:21	250:19,20 257:1	295:10,11
235:4 236:20	174:14 176:13	length 60:1 203:24	257:10 259:2,2	listen 64:6 145:15
238:7 265:6,10	219:4,7 220:1	268:4	262:12 263:18	listening 215:20
272:17 287:11	224:6 233:19	lengthy 53:1 244:1	264:4 265:13	217:13
288:5 307:14	260:14 263:6	248:8,10,23 292:9	275:16 286:9	literally 49:20
knows 179:6	279:5 283:23	293:6,8 294:2,10	288:13 291:5	75:17 197:15
223:23 227:18	284:6,10	294:12,15 298:21	296:9,10,19	300:11
<b>Kristen</b> 2:6 6:19,21	layed 153:16	<b>letter</b> 4:6 15:19	level 65:8 129:10	literary 129:9
36:9 38:19 51:4	layman 85:16	193:20,21	145:23 189:1	litigation 18:23
57:25 93:6 102:6	layman's 149:24	lettering 12:13	<b>liberty</b> 133:23	20:5,17,17 21:22
112:23 118:18	laypeople 90:13	<b>letters</b> 7:6 12:2	library 96:23	22:1 23:12 26:6
188:19 309:2	126:12	let's 12:21 15:6	license 129:9	26:14,24 27:11,16
<b>Kyles</b> 164:18 165:8	lead 119:5,7 167:25	21:15 28:5 31:1	life 127:10 279:11	115:4 120:20
165:12,21 166:3	168:1 186:6 194:2	31:15,25 32:15	279:11	256:1 309:19
	197:25 198:21	36:1,3 37:7 38:18	<b>light</b> 43:18	310:22
L	199:8 204:12	47:1,19,19 48:14	likelihood 208:20	little 5:10 29:15
La 131:6 309:20	237:5 255:19	52:5 57:6 58:4	limine 98:6 156:10	36:3 59:25 89:9
310:23	leading 18:24	59:6 60:12 61:8,8	169:23 170:2	133:22 139:24
lab 34:1	165:13 242:23	61:8,9 69:23	limit 263:23	191:5 264:17
<b>laid</b> 161:18	264:13	72:25 76:19 77:25	<b>limited</b> 7:6,12	lives 179:7
land 63:20	leads 191:15 253:1	78:1 82:14 84:5	16:13 92:19,22,24	locate 168:9
<b>Larry</b> 131:10	255:8 257:18	86:7 87:5,5,7 88:1	99:21 109:7	location 7:21
late 30:19 67:16	264:13	90:16 95:7 100:13	241:18 304:19	183:20
174:22 187:16	<b>learned</b> 30:14 33:3	105:14,25 106:1,1	<b>Lindsey</b> 2:4 6:16	<b>log</b> 104:13,17,18
295:20 304:12,13	62:18,19 156:9	106:9 109:21	6:17 309:2	logical 272:24
304:13	192:12	115:1 117:17	line 17:5 26:2 72:15	long 30:9 46:14
lately 32:13	leave 158:24 159:1	123:19,19 126:24	72:21,25 73:3	47:14 50:22 54:3
latitude 23:19 32:8	<b>leaving</b> 253:19	129:16,18 130:2	78:1 106:19	67:23 68:10 91:24
laughed 156:14	led 44:19 136:15	134:6 136:20	114:25 115:1	93:19 94:5 101:16
law 6:11 60:7 86:13	149:6,20 155:13	141:5 145:3	117:11 144:18	102:22 103:14
89:1,3,6 162:7				
	•	•	•	•

	1		1	1
105:5 114:8	72:16 84:6 149:13	118:8,20 119:13	257:7,9 271:19	matches 97:1
123:11 139:22	161:11 163:2	169:15 174:19	283:9 286:16	material 60:5,17,22
244:3,5 248:12,14	168:13 183:12	175:4 199:22	male 33:23 35:17	79:24 82:20 83:25
293:9	186:25 194:17	216:15 220:6,11	63:11 64:14	87:10,22 88:24
longer 39:21 40:20	198:12 203:23	228:21 239:12	178:17 180:14	89:13 115:6,17,20
41:1,3 105:22	204:5 208:8 209:4	245:13,14,17	183:16 184:14	118:10,13 136:10
151:2 170:14	214:5,6 216:25	248:23 249:7,17	man 53:18 145:9	138:6 164:15
187:17	217:3 228:10	265:8,17,18 267:5	159:21 165:21	166:5 195:22
longhand 223:9	236:1 237:10	267:10,14,20,24	206:19 279:10	223:4,8,16 224:14
look 14:9 37:14	242:21 251:7,8	268:15,21 269:15	<b>manual</b> 18:11	225:21 226:3
40:2,3,3 41:3 49:9	258:14 271:5	269:19,21 270:2,9	<b>Marilee</b> 153:4,9,10	227:6,16,17,22
52:6 57:7 79:10	282:4 291:25	270:12 271:15,16	mark 2:16 11:19	228:4,8,8,15,18
80:20 84:17 87:2	looks 9:10 41:13	271:17,20,24	12:4 14:3 28:5	228:24,25 229:11
90:2 92:9 96:25	71:9 161:7 204:1	272:3,15 276:23	36:3 48:11,14	229:21 230:10,19
117:5 122:12	250:7 297:1	277:13 278:2	49:21,22 106:1,8	230:21,22 231:7
130:23 140:14	loop 20:24 28:4	285:8 287:2	106:9,12 130:2	231:14 236:14,16
141:5,6 148:1,2,2	62:4	288:17 289:7	245:5 266:19	236:22,24 238:19
148:4 160:11	lose 140:20	293:4 300:3	281:8,13 296:19	239:3,3,8,9
161:14 162:8,13	lost 154:2 195:23	<b>Lott's</b> 200:4 245:10	marked 3:12 4:2	240:11,23 241:1,5
163:5 164:20	<b>lot</b> 20:22 22:10	245:12 247:21,22	5:1 6:3 7:1 11:18	241:6,10,12,23
181:21 187:22	24:13 49:2 50:15	loud 61:11 65:23	12:6,9 28:7 36:5	242:10 243:16
188:10 196:22	50:19,23 71:10	83:17 135:15	43:19 48:15,20	250:12 252:6
199:1 205:24	84:11 139:18	<b>Luther</b> 1:22 2:5,7	49:24 106:10	273:9,12 287:5,6
208:1 210:8	140:1 150:10	201:13	130:4 139:14	287:22 288:5
213:19 248:24	184:24,25 198:4	lying 141:13 262:1	150:19 177:17	303:11
260:7,19 267:25	200:2 215:11,13	<b>L&amp;M</b> 124:10 127:1	186:11,13 245:7,9	materials 112:19
269:3,4 273:25	215:14,16,17	127:11 205:7,17	250:23 265:13	113:10 277:4
274:1 290:23	217:14,15 263:13		281:15 296:21	maternal 175:16
292:7,7 296:22	263:14 292:10	<u>M</u>	markings 267:21	233:24 235:23
297:12	lots 214:18 215:7	machine 1:21	<b>Martin</b> 1:22 2:5,7	matter 6:12 10:18
looked 39:18 40:17	259:14 260:5,5	mad 157:6	178:16 179:6	14:8 17:2 19:24
49:18 50:25 52:9	261:11,23 292:4,4	Madam 274:9	184:11 186:2	20:6,13 21:23
59:7,21 75:22	301:25 302:1	mail 7:12 131:12	martybradley@	23:22 28:12 30:16
79:15 89:14,15	Lott 29:21 30:7	mailed 187:14	36:14	37:4 41:16 42:4
90:17 94:13 96:23	60:6,18 75:25	main 223:6	Maryland 90:7	42:16,23 47:21
151:16 189:18	76:13 77:15 79:4	major 201:6,7	107:13 273:15	52:14,16,19,21
195:10,11 198:14	79:21 81:14 87:22	make-up 153:15	276:20	53:8 56:13 65:15
217:10 257:23	91:11,22 92:2	making 10:7 52:17	master 142:16,21	65:18 67:12 68:24
267:10 268:3,5,6	93:21 94:2,13,16	53:2 76:22 87:8	148:8,17 149:1,20	107:10 134:25
269:3 270:14	100:2,16 101:3,5	87:14 91:18	150:8 185:10	166:11 172:14
273:8 289:12	101:19,24 102:9	106:18 176:25	203:14 259:22	204:18,21 205:21
300:24	103:4,17,25 104:8	196:11,18 198:19	302:11	211:7 212:13
looking 33:13 48:6	104:13 108:5	199:3,7 202:18	match 63:10	215:23 218:16
48:8 54:8,20	113:11,21 114:8	212:11 215:16	matched 35:18	227:17 238:1
58:15,16 60:20	115:15 117:2	220:22 225:15	66:24 160:6	247:17 260:13
		249:21 250:3		

261:2 262:13	memo 56:25	mid 33:11,12 37:21	30:15 53:3	167:9 168:11
264:2 268:15	memo 30:23	304:13,13	misinterpreting	173:20,21 175:8
272:1 273:18	memory 29:13	middle 45:10	185:24	229:18
277:3 291:3	30:17,18 39:1,10	128:20 152:2	misleading 31:9	Monday 40:10,13
matters 18:24	46:8 47:18 51:4	178:7	35:22 132:10,22	47:14 58:20 247:5
19:22 20:17,20	56:21 57:12 75:21	Mike 70:19 71:6	misrepresenting	monster 48:3 50:6
23:21 26:18 32:9	88:14 112:10	80:10 82:2 83:6	242:3	71:19 81:20 85:23
38:15,24 39:3,12	126:21 146:12	91:8 96:6 111:22	missing 152:21	97:14,21,21 98:10
40:5 44:2 46:19	214:14,16,22,22	162:14 163:11	182:10	102:5 114:5 157:5
57:19 112:8,11	217:7 244:3,3	251:14 252:19	misspoke 125:23	157:23 158:1,24
200:1 290:18	248:12 264:1	253:19 254:9	misstated 283:16	157.23 138.1,24
ma'am 221:10	291:10	256:4,13,13 257:4	284:1	161:24 162:13
mean 14:18,25 16:2	mentioned 171:12	264:5,15 270:21	misstatement	163:5,25 164:8
16:3 22:11,19	171:13 227:13	272:5 277:18	241:14,17	167:9 168:11
23:2 27:17 41:2	231:12,13 288:12	278:15 287:14,18	misstatements	
	mentions 214:2	288:11 289:8	288:16	171:8 173:19,22 229:18 232:22
52:11,16 54:3 62:25 68:3,21	merit 138:10	290:9 299:4		236:2
69:16 87:1 95:3	message 45:12,13	290.9 299.4 Miller 131:10	mistaken 243:5 244:6 248:15	monster's 154:11
102:24 104:10	45:15 57:21	million-dollar	288:9	month 24:13
102.24 104.10	messages 7:9,12,14	141:24	Mister 67:20 98:25	months 138:8
122:24 140:19	7:15	mind 22:22 63:6	118:4	273:10 292:21
	met 71:13			300:17
156:4 167:3		64:20 70:9,16	misunderstand 229:13	
168:13 174:1	Michael 1:5 2:19	77:12 78:13 81:24		morning 5:9 147:1
202:6,14,15	7:5,11,17,18,24	82:25 84:20 86:8	Miswrote 126:1	155:10 180:3
204:17 206:14	12:19 26:6,24	88:12 90:16 101:7	mixed 60:7 230:2	182:7 183:19
233:21 234:17	28:12 32:3 35:18	103:7 114:3 116:5	MM 12:11	184:7
237:7 254:3,10	62:7 63:10,14	117:25 123:9,15	MM10131 13:4,16	<b>Morton</b> 1:5 2:19
265:1 278:7	70:17 94:18	125:21 126:2	MM10156 12:22	6:13 7:5,11,17,18
296:25 299:13	130:22 134:21	136:23 152:17	13:25	7:24 12:19 20:5
300:5,5,9 301:2	142:21 163:2	162:14 194:11	<b>Mockingbird</b> 141:9 141:22	20:16,21 21:2
305:8	167:21 174:13	195:25 230:8	model 127:8	26:6,24 27:11
meaning 98:3	178:22 183:4	272:12 284:19		28:12 30:16 32:3
111:15 163:10	212:20 214:9	299:6	molesting 174:22	35:17,18 43:1,6
202:6 222:16	216:17 224:5	mind-set 123:21	mom 48:3	50:7 56:12 61:18
228:25 250:13	225:5,16 226:1	280:15	moment 6:7 8:12	63:10 64:17 67:3
means 86:22 168:8	227:4 228:7,23	mine 13:8 200:13	99:20 258:25	74:9,16 80:25
202:7 240:21	235:5 239:19	minute 6:22 103:22	Mommie 152:25	94:18 108:19
meant 9:22 86:4	240:9 246:24	296:2	153:17,20,24	126:2,6,19 127:19
media 141:11	250:5 268:12	minutes 69:23	154:9,13,24 155:1	128:25 129:5
medical 262:24	269:17 270:6	99:14 119:20	157:24,25 159:13	130:15,18,22
281:10	280:5 282:25	129:23 130:2	162:20	131:22 133:4
meet 16:6	283:5 287:4	274:12 275:24	Mommie's 158:3	134:21 135:8
member 117:12	288:24 298:4,6,7	276:1 308:22	158:13	137:16,22 138:1
members 20:15,18	308:5	Mirandized 137:16	Mommy 98:10	139:17 140:12
20:19 110:13	Michael's 150:21	misconduct 29:11	160:19 166:23	141:2 142:21

148:6,18 149:8,9	162:24 171:7	207:16,19,20	149:10 164:6	278:17 285:19
150:22 154:16	172:9 173:18	218:14 222:4,8	178:23 179:11	287:13 289:19
163:2 167:22	175:17 207:7	223:7 224:23	181:5,6 205:8	290:15
168:19 169:20	mother's 153:14	225:14,19 226:2,5	206:18 235:6	neighbors 179:17
170:20 174:13	mother-in-law	226:6,9,11,12,15	237:19 293:2	neither 45:4 85:5
178:22 182:5	150:21 170:13	226:18 227:2	murdered 154:16	309:6
183:4,23,23,24	motion 3:19 4:8,10	228:9 232:19	189:9	never 27:17,18
184:21 187:13	4:11,13 17:8 24:8	240:5 244:17,21	mystery 271:11	39:15 56:25 62:23
189:9 190:4,13	24:10 28:6,10,13	246:10 247:2		66:20 73:21
194:13,18,19,23	28:19,20 29:4,19	249:18 250:22	N	105:16 114:9
205:20 206:6	30:4 31:4,5 32:12	251:13 252:1,5	name 61:10,14 90:6	121:18,22 144:2
207:4 210:24	33:4 35:3 37:15	254:5,6 255:14	155:17,18 206:7	164:9 165:4,4,7
213:12 214:9	37:17 38:3,21	256:2,9 257:2	214:2 306:2	175:4 176:10
216:17 224:5	39:20 40:3,6,22	258:15,18 264:4	307:15	187:18 205:1
226:1 228:7 235:5	41:1,6,15 42:14	265:17 269:2	named 75:10	206:13 217:20
238:6 246:24	43:14,24 44:22	270:11,20 271:23	155:14 165:21	256:20 257:11
255:18 259:21	46:5 47:22 48:1,8	273:22,24 275:3,8	names 295:13	278:2 283:4 289:6
261:7,15 268:12	48:10,19,21 50:5	275:14 277:1	napkin 127:11	289:12,14
269:17 270:6	50:22 51:13 52:2	278:9,13 285:13	nature 18:5 223:4	new 2:14,14 4:13
280:5,13 281:5	52:6,16 53:1,12	285:15 286:12,21	288:4 289:23	165:21 177:10
282:25 288:24	53:22 54:3,11	288:6 289:5,9,11	necessarily 52:3	250:22 252:2,5
298:4,5,6,10	55:7 56:1,15	motions 40:24 90:4	69:2 90:1 96:20	254:6 265:17
308:5	57:20 58:6,25	195:11 196:1	241:14 300:2	news 37:17
<b>Mortons</b> 188:22	59:7,14,20 60:14	199:20,21 201:4	necessary 8:12	newspaper 22:21
<b>Morton's</b> 24:2 31:4	61:17 62:4,10,16	201:14,15,15	203:15 259:22	37:16 49:2 68:7
43:5 46:9 47:24	64:22,24 65:17	219:20 221:25	necessitated 140:18	170:16,19 201:25
54:15 62:7 63:7	68:14 69:10,17	251:7 269:3	need 8:13 107:2,7	newspapers 28:22
63:14 96:22	70:4,5 73:2,5,6,10	motivated 294:14	163:23 194:14	28:24,25
115:10 117:14	75:24 76:3,17	move 24:3 31:25	201:5 220:12	nice 51:10
133:12 134:16	77:13 83:15,20	83:8 88:21 194:10	244:10 248:18	<b>night</b> 56:3 89:14,15
135:4,17 136:7,11	89:12,16,19 90:5	201:1	297:20 303:3,7,8	106:6 149:10
136:22 137:2,4	90:6,17 92:5,7,8	moved 23:25	needed 25:13 49:11	195:10
138:5,14 139:3	92:12,17,25 93:12	movement 127:7	51:2 54:8 58:7	nightmare 27:1
150:15 191:21	94:1 98:6 99:21	movie 141:24	84:16 264:3 303:5	nine 114:25 117:11
192:13 212:20	100:14,20 101:19	movies 141:8 142:3	needs 38:22,25	156:24 189:8,16
225:5,16 227:5	103:18,21 104:1,4	moving 26:10 53:2	43:24 112:5,9 116:15 201:13	190:5,13 193:9,13
228:23 236:21	104:16 109:8	multiple 79:20		194:4 206:7
237:1,12 239:19	112:5 118:23	154:21,22	negatives 171:17	236:20
240:10 241:22	120:10 151:8,12	murder 124:20	neighbor 155:11,13	nine-month 100:7
250:5 280:25	151:17 156:10	125:2,10,14,18,21	neighborhood 53:16 179:13	nine-week 100:7
287:4 298:7	169:22 170:1	126:10 127:4,20	238:4 253:3 255:9	103:9
mother 47:25 50:7	195:5,6,7,13,21	127:24 129:5	255:19 257:19	nondisclosure
81:21 85:23	196:3,10,11,18,24	130:15 131:2,4	258:3 264:14,23	24:18 95:5
115:10 117:15	200:18,23 202:9	133:19 134:2	270:24 272:11,22	Nonresponsive
150:21 152:21	202:10,12 207:15	137:13,17 146:24	270.24 272.11,22 274:4 277:22	301:13
			214.4211.22	

<b>nonstop</b> 302:14	267:13 268:6,10	43:25 284:14	offender 63:12	304:16 307:20
normal 180:1	268:19 291:13,15	objected 213:6	offender's 33:24	officer 197:17,18
204:6,7 295:24,25	291:25 292:5,10	objecting 18:25	offense 3:22,23 4:4	205:4 217:21
Nos 5:1 139:13	293:1,1 298:2,2,5	19:2 61:21 104:7	4:5 14:7,13,17	238:22 246:9
245:7	298:8,11 299:24	objection 16:11	15:1,9,21 46:13	301:6 308:13,24
<b>Notary</b> 307:23	300:23 301:8	19:6 32:7 104:8	47:3,4 48:19	310:1
notation 103:24	302:2,9,13,22	132:9 213:13	54:21 102:23	officers 179:20
104:13,22 108:18	303:10	239:21 304:4	117:19 121:17	198:4,23 202:22
242:16 243:1,2	<b>nother</b> 136:15	objections 132:19	122:16 123:5,7	211:6 295:3
247:1	<b>notice</b> 89:20 196:21	obligated 87:10,21	144:13,15 145:8	officer's 310:9
note 4:3 129:15	210:2,7 215:10	94:12,16 165:3	146:19,21 160:5	official 36:17
130:11 131:5	226:10 261:22,24	208:14 268:9	161:6 177:20	<b>Oh</b> 61:7 79:18
133:2 136:22	290:1 291:6	288:22	197:9,13 202:21	96:18 100:12
137:4 145:7,7	<b>noticed</b> 87:6 89:20	obligation 58:13	202:24 203:2,8,19	151:25 163:15
187:17,25 189:14	104:20 159:24	172:7 241:19	210:9,10 217:22	166:13 175:4
190:2,11 209:9	228:17 236:15	286:2	219:24 223:10	219:18 247:13
246:8 249:1	245:24	obligations 228:22	252:8,9 253:8	282:16
<b>noted</b> 307:3	notified 34:3	269:6	259:15 266:15,22	okay 6:15 7:1 8:7
<b>notes</b> 3:18 4:16 7:6	November 195:22	observations	271:10 279:4	8:23 10:16,21,22
7:13 9:6,12 10:13	199:18,19 200:6,6	174:10 237:16	294:6 297:25	11:17,24 12:5,12
14:8,10,14,18	200:9 202:22	observed 53:18	300:22 301:8	13:3,13 16:4 18:1
15:1,21 30:6 76:1	203:7 218:3,8	178:17 184:14	offer 27:14 175:6	19:8 23:17 26:9
76:14 79:16,18,19	239:13,15,17	observing 180:6	offered 104:7	26:17 27:24 28:5
79:23,23 80:6	263:11 291:7	obtained 11:8,16	216:22,23 283:17	29:3,14 30:11,20
81:3 91:3 94:4,9	300:16 302:6	56:1 111:2	offhand 209:5	31:1,24 33:12,16
100:22 101:15	309:14	obvious 201:6	<b>office</b> 6:18 9:2,5	34:2,13,25 37:12
115:13 116:4	<b>number</b> 3:12 4:2	obviously 30:19	11:1,9 12:17 17:8	38:11,18 39:22,23
118:6,21 121:4,10	12:12 15:11 24:21	45:10 60:22 64:2	20:12,16 21:7,17	41:15 42:8 44:4,6
121:16,18,22	43:20 116:16	135:9 160:6 166:8	25:4,12 26:5,23	44:9,21 47:19
122:2,5,17,20	125:6,6 131:13	169:22 178:8	27:13 34:13 36:7	48:13,18 50:4
123:5 138:22,24	170:24 193:9,18	202:12 244:11	39:9 40:7 42:22	53:14 54:18 57:13
143:20,25 144:3,5	195:3 230:15	252:4 300:3 303:2	45:13,24 47:6,10	58:19,21,22 61:13
144:9,13 161:16	231:8 246:19	occasion 44:18	52:12 55:25 63:25	61:16 62:9,19
199:12 209:10,14	262:20 263:23	Occasionally 22:20	68:17 70:19 74:8	65:10 66:10,16
209:17,19 210:5,5	276:9	occasions 178:17	75:3 77:14 82:14	67:5 69:8,16,20
210:11 216:4,11	numbered 1:18	184:12,12 238:5	96:7 99:20 102:8	70:9,11 71:11
217:18,23,25	14:14	occurred 14:12	103:2,12 105:18	72:5,24 73:3,11
218:22 220:13,15	numbers 12:12	109:6 176:10	107:9 110:14	73:13 75:2 76:11
220:25 223:10	14:15,16,24 15:2	178:23 273:16	111:24 115:3	77:21 78:1 79:1
242:23,24 243:9	15:4 16:1,2	309:13	131:17 147:2	80:10,22 81:24
244:8,9 245:9,13	nurse 140:5,6	October 1:11,18	171:25 188:5,18	82:15 83:7 84:2
245:15 246:24	0	5:2 44:17 45:1,3	199:2 202:8	84:13,24 85:13
248:16,17 249:9		45:13 56:11 81:24	251:25 253:19	86:7 87:8,16
249:20 250:8,9,15	oath 301:24	189:1,2 281:11	260:9 268:17	90:19,22 91:8,15
259:7,15 260:5	<b>object</b> 16:20 18:4 31:8 33:18 38:23	306:3 308:8	279:7 303:25	91:20 92:21,23
	31.0 33.10 38.23			

93:15 94:25 96:4	212:15 213:2,3,17	open 24:10 28:14	271:17	214:12 220:4
96:18 99:24	214:12 215:4	36:22,24 108:2	ordinarily 87:12	221:9,20 222:4
101:12 103:1	216:14 218:1	116:3 172:20,25	ordinary 172:23	224:19,20 225:13
104:22 105:7,25	219:1 221:3,4,18	259:7	180:4 196:12	225:20,20 226:21
106:17 107:14	221:22 222:3,13	opened 96:21	218:16 261:5	236:13 238:15
108:13 109:15	222:16,17 224:18	103:11,13 118:24	299:8,18,19,23	240:2,10 241:3
110:1,23 112:25	226:14 230:1	120:23 268:2	organize 15:24	243:12 245:25
114:21,23,24	232:3 234:17,18	opening 9:7,9	orient 181:22	246:22,25 247:16
116:21 118:4,17	235:2,16 236:9,11	open-ended 35:25	original 28:16	248:6 266:1,2
121:24 123:19	237:8 238:10,15	operations 127:5	111:16,21 309:25	276:7,15,15 282:6
125:18 126:14	238:15,16 240:8	128:17	310:6,11	282:22,23 286:11
127:15 129:14	242:13 243:8,11	opinion 22:24 23:2	Originally 224:21	291:6,19,19,20
131:5,19 133:8	244:19 245:2,3	23:9 26:4 27:21	273:1	292:2,14 293:17
134:9 135:19	246:1,13 247:18	27:23 30:25 47:16	<b>Orleans</b> 165:21	293:20,21,22
140:11,20 141:2,6	247:19,23 249:2	74:9 79:7,11	other's 128:5	294:1,13 306:5
141:17 144:8,17	250:19,25 251:12	80:15,16,17,20	ought 191:14	310:4
144:17 145:3	251:12 252:5,5,17	82:12 107:23	outcome 309:10	pages 10:6 12:11
150:1,17,22 151:4	253:18 255:2,3	151:18 231:10	outer 70:19	13:7,10 14:11
151:8,10,11,19	256:6,11 259:1	251:20 283:18	outlining 28:15	40:21 41:12 49:3
152:1,6,7,13,14	262:12 263:8,20	284:4	288:20	49:4 87:4 90:14
152:16,17,23	264:4 265:16,24	opportunity 223:2	outside 16:19 17:16	102:25 156:24
153:3,4,21,23,25	266:11 269:1,10	244:15	17:17 24:5	221:5 240:7 244:3
154:8,24 155:6	271:1,4,6,19	opposed 42:23	overstated 284:2	244:5 248:12,14
156:2,20 157:3,4	272:4,12,25 274:6	196:24 289:8		266:21 269:16
157:11 160:3,8,10	275:11 276:12	<b>option</b> 177:5	P	292:2 293:3
160:21 162:9,10	278:3,12 280:22	oral 1:9,15 85:22	pad 15:24	painfully 29:15
162:18,22 163:10	281:11 282:15	211:2 223:9	page 3:1,12 4:2	painstaking 132:4
163:15,18 166:7	283:13 284:18,20	224:10 226:17	11:19 12:22,24,25	133:18,25 142:11
166:10 172:22	286:8,10 287:25	249:12 308:13	13:1,4,5,19 14:1,2	148:22
175:21,22 176:10	288:3 291:3,20,21	order 16:12,12,21	14:11,14,16,24	painstakingly
176:23 177:9	292:13,15 293:23	17:1 19:3,6 23:23	15:2,3,11 16:16	124:19 125:14,17
178:7 181:21	293:25 294:17	24:5 28:6 36:4	50:6 72:4,6,24,25	126:10,19 127:3
183:15,16 186:11	296:17 297:9,15	48:12 49:23 68:21	78:1 83:15 84:8	127:24 129:7
186:16 187:2,22	299:6,7	75:24 106:9	90:3,25 106:15	205:9 260:2
188:2,6,10,16	old 15:16 147:24	139:20 140:18	109:20,20,21	302:10
192:8 193:9,10,19	301:14	211:25 245:6	111:9,10 114:25	panning 167:25
193:20,25 194:10	old-fashioned	273:13 281:8	115:1 116:8	168:1
195:2,13,14,15	169:15	292:18 294:7	144:18 145:4,12	paper 292:25
197:24 198:17	once 35:5 219:2	296:20 304:18,19	145:13 147:8	papers 272:15
199:15,16 200:5	260:3 261:9	ordered 104:14	152:2 162:16,17	277:19 299:2
200:11,12,14	279:23	118:20 271:15,16	163:9,9,16,17,22	paragraph 84:8
201:18 202:4	ones 252:12 267:12	273:5,13 276:25	163:22 188:7	142:8 149:5
205:15 206:3,16	one-half 273:10	277:2,4 288:17	200:10,14 207:10	228:15
207:11,23 208:22	one-inch 270:22	300:4	207:23 208:25	parentheses 14:2
210:16,17 211:23	272:7	ordering 249:17	210:16,22 211:12	155:3 158:15
			211:13 213:2	
		•		•

159:10 247:17	pending 115:4	38:13 42:7 116:14	62:21 67:15,21	46:12 47:2 51:3
park 178:17 184:14	273:17	187:12	75:7 79:21 83:20	54:6 92:18 266:7
parked 180:14	people 148:12	photographs 201:9	89:7 100:17	Posada 309:20
206:17	149:24 180:4	202:20 262:24	103:10 108:2	310:23
part 13:3 15:5	190:19 199:2,4	300:14	110:4 111:4	position 26:22
17:10 21:25 23:8	204:9 214:18	phrase 128:11	131:21 135:22	27:15 28:2 47:6
23:8 63:16,17,23	215:8,17 216:3	129:10	137:22 138:1	69:12 88:23 92:11
65:1,2 67:18 81:2	217:14 259:14	physical 142:15	142:2,5,20 147:7	92:13 100:18
81:12 96:11	260:6 261:11,23	148:14 149:1	155:10 156:9,12	133:5 182:5
103:17 109:12	262:20 272:10,22	pick 34:16 45:2	163:8 175:3,14,23	205:13 224:3,8
112:21 125:20	274:3 277:22	picked 45:3 183:7	182:20 201:19	231:24 232:5,9
160:13 166:20	278:17 285:19	piece 87:2 124:19	211:24 212:24	236:19 249:3
180:1,4 183:2	292:4 297:10	126:11,19 129:7	218:1,15 219:16	250:6 270:15
187:4 223:7	301:25	171:10 190:7	219:23 225:3	288:21 290:12
224:14 234:20	perceived 230:5	pieced 125:14	227:1 261:4 264:6	positions 26:6
235:22 261:5	perception 304:10	127:3,24	265:6,24 266:4,5	possesses 85:6
263:4 269:10,11	period 16:20 20:3,7	pieces 292:24	271:5 278:25	possession 7:4,10
276:10 278:24	21:16,22 24:1,19	pistol 130:18	281:6 292:22	7:16,23 86:1 88:5
284:3	70:16 100:6	place 16:19 17:15	294:24 301:12	88:13,15 97:16
particular 18:10,18	101:13 102:13,17	19:20 23:12 36:2	pointed 91:1	227:19
22:22 50:16 71:17	103:5,8 111:19	133:13 181:7	294:11	possibility 143:20
100:9 128:15,20	112:24,24 114:14	184:22 206:19	<b>pointing</b> 189:16	169:18 170:4
202:6 205:4 222:8	257:9	places 37:12 79:20	224:25 261:20	175:2 276:22,24
248:22 286:2	permits 189:6	plain 257:12	283:24 288:16	277:25 280:11
302:16	perpetrator 183:21	plainly 82:19 98:13	points 243:21	possible 15:23
particularly 89:20	Perry 19:16,18	98:15 161:7	police 68:4 123:25	25:25 108:4 133:2
101:1 112:20	person 7:22 68:6	246:13	124:7 128:9	134:7 234:4
126:16	119:4 166:23	Plaintiff 1:3 2:3	143:14 144:9	262:18 263:25
particulars 111:25	168:11 198:3	308:3 309:2	147:3 162:25	273:15 286:9
parties 33:25	234:2,23 257:19	planned 127:5	164:17,19 165:1	possibly 38:17 68:6
308:25 309:7	259:23 262:6,7	planning 5:16	167:23 179:20	119:16,19,23
310:15	280:5,9 287:13,16	169:18,24	180:8,9 196:15	263:23 268:19
parts 79:17	289:18 290:15	played 18:17	197:7 205:4	post 16:15
party 280:12	297:17 307:15	pleading 31:12,13	217:21 218:19	post 10.13 posture 26:18 27:9
308:20	personal 8:25	pleadings 5:12	224:7 260:14	post-conviction
passages 282:18	personally 55:6	24:20	262:14,25 263:6	20:5,16,25 21:22
passages 202.10 passing 120:18	307:14	please 38:20 40:2	263:18,24 278:14	25:11 73:9
	persons 253:2	43:23 152:19	285:8,16 288:25	
<b>patio</b> 159:5,6 160:14	255:9,19 258:3	187:12 271:22	289:6 294:20	post-judgment 24:1 32:10
	,			
<b>Pearland</b> 153:13 <b>Peck</b> 141:9	264:14,22 270:23 persuade 166:21	plural 213:15 215:3 247:6	295:3 301:6	potential 43:6,8 87:22 135:11
	_ <b>_</b>		policies 87:11	
Pecker 2:19 6:11	phases 24:2 32:10	point 10:7 13:15	polished 209:20	148:10 174:11
72:12 106:3,6,13	Phillips 70:24	24:9 42:17 43:2	291:14	potentially 66:23
116:9 129:25	75:10 96:14	43:17 47:9 50:11	popular 141:10	110:14 288:13
230:16 245:18	<b>phone</b> 7:9,15 29:6	55:3,8 56:8 57:4	portions 11:13	practical 227:17

264:2	178:24 184:13	15:5,17 22:16	8:19,21 10:14	140:13,15,16
practice 15:9,13	294:14	34:14 44:12,20	28:14 29:21 30:9	prosecution 174:13
115:5 145:18	<b>presume</b> 93:14	45:13 46:13 47:3	73:17 77:15 79:3	201:7,8 252:11
197:8	251:2 288:3	49:8 52:12 54:7	79:22 80:13 81:2	294:3 298:4
practicing 15:17	pretrial 16:14 24:1	64:1 67:15 68:7	81:7 94:12 97:5	prosecutions 128:4
precise 66:11 161:8	32:9 94:7 115:12	70:6,14,19 82:6	99:1 100:2,12,16	128:10
282:10	118:5 143:18,22	82:12 86:17 91:18	101:23 102:11,17	prosecution's
precisely 222:17	143:23 156:10	92:18 140:8	106:20 108:5,8,22	89:17 226:7
precision 161:19	195:11 199:17,23	141:21 142:24	112:1 113:21	294:21
predecessor 25:22	203:5,6 208:15	149:16 153:5	119:14,25 188:4	prosecutor 3:20
predicate 16:23	218:2 219:17	187:16 203:25	225:6 245:21	25:18 64:5 68:16
18:10 31:10	221:4 225:4	218:12 257:11	267:12 269:4,25	70:21 86:2 89:25
prefer 5:18	238:20 241:16,18	260:23 262:17	272:15 273:5	136:2 144:14
preference 5:24	241:19 243:5	267:21 281:7	producing 239:18	146:2,17 149:3
preliminary 212:12	249:17 259:17	297:7 303:2 304:7	product 12:17	161:12 164:15
premimary 212.12 premarked 195:2	262:2,23 291:7	problem 37:25	product 12.17 production 4:8,11	165:3 176:17
preparation 10:13	293:5 300:12	62:24 109:23	8:3,10 30:7 103:4	192:12 230:5,6
59:9 94:14 142:12	pretty 20:24 28:4	problems 174:11	103:17 112:1,19	254:11 257:1,14
148:23 149:6	45:19 54:5 64:2	191:6	113:10 119:12	259:23 279:13
177:24 204:4	84:8 128:3 162:23	Procedure 1:24	195:5,7,14,21	282:24 290:4
prepare 94:21	201:6 254:4,17	7:20	195.5,7,14,21	293:7
150:11 197:10	279:21 289:24	proceed 128:18	199:21 222:5	prosecutors 34:2
203:15		194:21	225:14 237:23	54:23 66:16 67:2
	prevent 115:6		240:5 244:22	83:21 90:8 101:7
prepared 24:16	previous 87:7	proceeded 128:1	267:13,23 268:14	124:7 189:7
148:6,20,21 197:18 298:2	<b>previously</b> 303:21 <b>prime</b> 174:14 175:9	<b>proceeding</b> 6:7 115:25 213:3	269:16 285:7	252:20
	1 -	221:23 258:17,18		
<b>preparing</b> 56:14 64:7 150:6 197:6	print 40:15 printed 39:17 41:8	284:10 309:8	286:12,21	prosecutor's 202:8 237:24 293:9
206:22 281:22	49:6		<b>productions</b> 12:16 303:23	
310:10	printers 49:7	<b>proceedings</b> 11:15 199:20 200:6		protect 115:3 protected 6:7
	printers 49.7	219:17 305:13	<b>professional</b> 16:5 18:7 123:23 230:3	protected 6.7 proved 283:1,5
prepping 156:13 presence 30:4	prior 170:22	process 59:23	professor 219:11	provide 25:14,16
present 2:18 104:3	184:12 191:19	60:21 119:6	professor 219.11 proffer 299:1	26:21 51:14 54:24
116:17 222:2	195:9 204:4,18	126:16 276:19,19	profile 35:18 63:10	100:11 211:15
256:4	211:4 216:5 218:8	284:7	140:4,13,15	222:10,18 233:13
presentation	223:5,12 225:17	Processed 188:8	profiles 67:3	238:22 250:7
106:18 267:19	227:5 242:4,4,5	processing 64:23	progeny 90:14	provided 3:15 39:2
preserve 212:1	259:3 278:8 294:2	produce 75:24	progress 35:13	39:11,17 40:5
253:13	296:14	76:13 94:12,16	Project 2:13	46:9,18 54:2
preserved 212:4	prison 127:10	102:9 114:7 149:6	prompted 61:17	57:18 66:22 85:2
presiding 115:15	private 36:14,23	149:13 203:3,4	prompted 61.17 proper 8:8 86:24	85:9 88:19 101:14
118:8	privileged 7:19	242:7,8 252:8	264:8 265:1	101:18 112:11
press 50:14,19 51:1	probable 10:25	266:6 270:5	prose 84:11 150:2	117:1 236:25
press 30.14,19 31.1 presumably 30:1	11:10,20	296:13	prose 84.11 130.2 prosecuted 111:12	241:24 242:14
40:10 155:3	probably 6:5 9:6	produced 1:16 3:14	111:14 125:3	providing 25:3
40.10 133.3	probably 0.3 9.0	produced 1.10 3.14	111.14 123.3	providing 23.3
	l		l	

provisions 1:24	127:7,11 134:6,14	147:13,17 148:16	215:6	reactions 73:2
psychology 152:20	142:25 143:13,16	155:24 156:2,3	quitting 304:8	read 16:25 28:23
public 11:10 33:22	143:18,23 144:11	158:5 161:1 162:4	quotation 73:7	28:25 30:24 37:16
36:18,21,24 37:2	145:18 157:12,21	162:5 165:7	quota 64:16 74:11	40:16,18 44:23
37:4 279:14	174:6,7 175:18,19	170:10 171:23	106:19 111:3	46:5 48:5,18 50:4
307:23	180:23,25 183:1	174:12 175:14	117:9 127:1	50:19 51:3,13,18
publications 10:2	199:10 201:5,13	176:24 190:11	133:20 142:9	51:20 54:4,5,6,9
publicity 139:18	203:23 257:10	191:9,12,13	154:8 282:24	54:10 56:1 60:2
140:1	259:2 267:1	192:11 193:11,22	quoted 200:16	60:12,14 69:11
pull 51:2 106:12,13	268:18 279:10	195:23 204:16	quoting 46:6	70:3 71:25 72:9
129:18 165:9	280:3,24 285:5	208:3 209:6,9	quoting 10.0	75:11,20 77:2,24
pulled 8:21 173:15	286:9 290:13	213:5,5,20 214:21	R	77:25 83:16 84:16
pulling 103:8	293:24 299:11	214:21 216:13	R 2:16	91:7 92:9,18 94:6
151:18	300:25 302:18,19	220:5,10 223:21	Rachel 2:19 6:11	94:10 99:6 106:15
punishment 279:15	302:21	229:21,25 230:2,5	raise 252:24 254:15	107:16 114:21
pure 85:16	putting 69:17	230:8 235:2	256:16 257:6,17	116:6 117:4 120:9
purely 243:20	153:15 203:25	256:17 257:21	257:25 264:11,21	124:18 144:16,25
purple 84:11	puzzled 13:14	271:21 274:13,17	270:22 272:8,21	151:14 163:6
purported 105:19	<b>p.m</b> 1:19 3:4,5,5,5	274:21,22 282:6	274:4 277:21	170:16,19 187:21
purportedly 79:16	3:5,6,6,6,6 139:12	282:17 283:4,8	290:6 302:25	198:12 210:25
purporting 190:4	139:12 177:13,13	285:12,20 293:5	raised 79:8 174:12	211:18 214:10
purports 48:21	221:15,15 275:20	294:4,15 299:18	278:16 285:17	217:17 222:17
266:15	275:20 305:13	questioned 148:3	raises 109:21	243:11 248:20
purpose 16:13		156:5,6	raising 110:21	249:16 250:16
17:17 32:13 275:2	Q	questioning 17:6	277:12 280:11,15	251:1 254:8 256:6
304:19	qualifies 287:14	303:23	Raley 2:10,10 6:11	256:9,10 264:6
purposes 5:15 6:4	quarrel 254:22,23	questions 18:2,11	66:1 72:4,19	268:13 274:18
11:25 17:12 25:1	255:5 305:4	19:1,7 22:7,7,11	106:11,18 107:20	277:17,17 279:17
26:9,17 57:25	quash 201:15	23:20 26:2 27:12	108:9,24 115:9,11	289:3 307:1
60:6 165:24 199:6	207:20 219:20	35:24,25 37:11	116:13 117:10	reader 142:3
201:14 212:10	<b>question</b> 14:2 19:4	46:23 55:10 58:1	118:4 129:17,20	reading 9:3,21 23:9
249:20 307:17	22:12 25:7,13	61:21 85:14 87:25	132:13,18 185:10	28:21 48:1 50:25
purse 130:17	26:19 27:8 28:17	91:21 101:21	194:20,25 221:10	64:21,24 72:17
134:23 135:8	28:18 60:7 61:25	200:24 207:18,19	245:16 247:10,12	74:10 75:23 77:19
158:14 182:10	62:1 70:1 73:4,13	285:1 290:20	274:16 275:16	84:24 101:3 114:2
pursuant 1:23 8:3	73:16,23 74:14,18	301:4 304:2	297:20 301:13	116:13 117:10
183:6 226:9 277:1	74:20 78:2,7,13	question-and-ans	309:3	144:18 154:20
292:18 308:23	78:17,20,23 80:3	161:18	rang 61:13	157:4 159:20
309:11	80:22 87:7 88:12	quickly 45:20	Rangers 67:24	164:3 166:11
pursue 17:6 135:12	104:12 105:12,13	191:3	68:18 69:6	171:4 182:12
pursued 191:16	114:16 128:18	quiet 251:24	rash 140:4	184:24,25 213:7
pursuing 131:1	132:10,15,16	Quinones 222:23	reach 47:13 263:19	227:10,11 249:10
183:3	135:3,24 136:6,16	224:11	reached 45:14,17	249:13,24 250:1,3
put 6:5 8:8,11 37:2	136:21 137:9,12	quite 9:21 30:10	47:16	250:10 251:19
37:4 84:5 125:17	145:4,15,22 146:6	206:15 214:17	reaction 35:19	256:11 270:15
	146:9,12 147:9,9		170:7	
	I	I	I	I

292:12	70:22 73:8,15	113:9,13,17,19	305:11 308:14	56:15 58:25 59:8
reads 38:22 43:24	74:4,6 75:2,15	119:8 120:4,12	309:1	68:14 69:11,13
112:5	81:12 83:5,5 92:8	134:4 145:1,10	recorded 7:8	232:19 269:2
ready 12:5 45:4	93:5,9 96:10,11	150:6,9,10 155:16	212:19 224:4,8,13	recused 68:15
134:12 142:19	97:8,9,11 101:8	155:17 156:21	227:23 229:20	red 158:8,9 188:7
148:11 197:14	103:11 107:23	161:3 165:20	270:5 278:16	188:13,14 280:14
279:24 281:1	112:12,23,25	168:18 171:15	288:23	redirect 285:2
real 63:22 152:21	113:6 114:13	181:24 182:14	recording 159:18	reduce 294:7
163:24,24 167:16	127:21 128:15	185:4,5,6,17	234:9	refer 8:12 9:18
215:23 243:20	129:6 146:25	189:22 190:1	recordings 223:9	61:9 133:4
264:1	157:14,16 171:10	216:5 217:8	296:14 298:2,7	reference 50:6
reality 142:4,9	171:12 181:17	232:15,18,19	records 28:14 57:8	219:18,21 259:21
realize 23:10 84:20	200:16 204:14	233:2,4,6,16	58:16 202:13	295:12
161:12 170:2	217:24 234:11	237:20,25 247:24	225:5 244:23	references 14:15
254:21 261:3	256:22,24,25	249:1 251:18	247:6,7,20 269:4	14:24
realized 76:21	258:11 267:16	268:23 290:23	296:13	referred 13:10
realizing 170:1	273:21,23	299:13,14 300:2	recounting 83:18	137:1 138:4
really 6:14 24:25	recalling 95:2,14	303:2	recounts 291:23	<b>referring</b> 5:12 8:18
42:1 75:21 82:7	recapture 51:3	recollections 77:12	recovered 53:18	14:11,16 47:20
89:7 104:5,5,20	57:12	144:10 149:12	131:9 183:8	73:9 83:14 85:11
106:20 110:6,7	received 15:9 36:7	recommendations	245:10,14	96:25 224:11
113:24 123:23	44:22,23 56:17	19:19	recusal 3:24 17:8	239:7 264:10,12
166:13 167:16	90:6 96:1 201:8	reconstruct 248:2	28:13,18,20 29:4	refers 246:13
192:2 205:5	201:11 298:15	269:5	31:4,5 33:3 35:3	reflect 194:16,22
214:24 217:7	receiving 57:14	reconstructing	40:6,22 41:1,6,15	305:5
227:9 254:12,25	257:2 299:20	249:4	42:14 43:14 44:22	reflects 305:8
265:23 274:2	Recess 83:11	reconstruction	46:5 47:22 48:1,8	refresh 33:13 34:4
275:4 278:22	139:12 177:13	269:23	48:10,19,21 50:4	37:8 38:11 39:1
279:22 280:1	221:15 275:20	record 1:25 5:4 6:6	50:21 51:13 52:2	40:3 46:8 47:17
284:4,18 302:9	recessed 305:13	11:4,10,25 17:13	52:6 53:12,21	55:4,17 56:21
305:6	recognize 6:13,14	24:10 28:8 36:22	54:2,11 55:7 56:1	57:16 75:21
rear 255:17	17:19 98:13,15	36:25 56:20 83:10	57:20 58:6 59:14	108:15 112:10
reason 16:11 26:2	164:5,7 166:15	83:13 114:1 122:8	59:20 60:14 61:17	144:10 165:19
115:24 122:20	167:7 245:20	122:12 139:11,16	62:3,10,15 64:22	216:5 249:1
143:9 186:1 306:5	recollection 21:4	144:5,6 177:12,15	64:24 65:17 69:17	refreshed 145:10
reasonable 164:10	23:11,14 31:18,20	194:16,22 201:5	70:4 73:2 75:23	refreshes 33:1
164:11 276:21	33:1,13 34:5 37:8	212:1,4,8 217:11	76:17 77:13 83:15	108:3 145:1
284:13	38:12 40:4 47:11	217:12 221:12,14	83:19 90:17 92:5	refreshing 39:10
reasons 231:5,6	55:5,18 57:17	221:17 242:22	92:7,8,12,17,24	58:15
310:4	58:15 59:22 60:10	243:23 244:16	93:12,25 99:21	regard 26:3 65:18
rebuttal 282:19	72:2 75:14,18	249:25 269:22	100:14 109:8	234:14 250:13
recall 22:2,10 28:1	76:24 77:6 80:11	270:16 271:25	120:9 151:7,12,16	regarded 25:2
28:9,18 29:4 33:7	81:11,18,25 96:3	275:19,22,24	recuse 3:19 28:6,11	219:6
35:11 39:8 42:12	96:5,8 97:2 99:18	295:12 301:20,23	37:15,18 38:3	regarding 7:4,10
47:25 53:22 57:24	100:1 108:3,15	303:18,19 305:5,7	39:20 52:17 53:2	7:16,23 66:12

	l I		1	I
304:14,21	29:8 30:23 34:21	80:7,7,8 81:3 85:3	295:5	262:15,25 263:6
regardless 249:16	37:16,18 39:16	85:22 88:11 91:3	reported 1:20	263:18,24 264:10
Registration	40:1,20 43:18	94:3,8,17 100:21	130:19	264:12,19,20
309:20 310:23	44:10,18 49:2	101:15 102:18,24	reporter 3:15	265:3,5,7 266:7,9
regretting 127:15	51:20 53:7,24	102:24 103:25	211:25 274:9,22	266:15 267:12
127:17,18	55:2 57:3,9,10,11	104:7,15 105:19	297:21 308:10	268:15 271:10
relate 10:19 14:7	59:17 62:14 70:12	107:1 115:13	Reporter's 3:8,9	272:9,14 274:2
16:14 35:24 211:5	71:14,22 73:12	117:19,21,22	308:7	276:22,24 277:13
related 7:4,10,16	75:8 84:3,19 85:7	118:21 121:5,7,16	reporting 198:4,23	278:15 285:8,17
7:23 9:2 51:22	90:22 95:22 97:13	121:17 122:3,4,5	309:19 310:22	286:3 288:10,11
84:7 309:7	99:4 102:12 105:9	122:16 123:5,7	reports 4:12 14:7	289:6,13,16,23
relates 19:7 83:21	110:9 113:16	133:12 134:16	14:13,17 15:1,9	290:3,5,24 291:9
<b>relating</b> 24:1 32:9	116:22 147:4,6	135:16 136:7	15:21 29:21 46:13	291:12,14 292:5
187:13	149:14 153:9	138:13 139:6	47:3,4 54:21	292:17 293:6,9
relation 74:14	155:17,18,19,21	143:17 144:14,15	75:25 79:23 80:5	294:6,10,11,20,25
relationship 17:11	155:21 157:18,19	145:8 146:19,21	83:24 84:18	295:4 296:4,5,9
18:5,20 123:22,24	161:12 165:17	160:5 161:6	102:10 103:2	296:13 297:25
131:20,24 205:17	174:24 188:22	172:20,20,25	108:6 115:14	298:1,8 299:9,21
213:11	200:19,25 205:3	173:1,3,4 177:20	116:24 118:6,7	299:24 300:13,14
relationships 20:14	208:2,8 209:2,5	177:23 178:8	123:17 143:15,19	300:22 301:8,22
relatively 51:10	214:19 219:14	179:10 183:12,17	143:25 144:9	302:2,13,23
150:3	234:13 251:13,14	186:2 192:13	145:5 146:3,22	303:10
released 33:25	253:20,21 256:10	193:10,11 198:24	147:15 195:8	represent 12:15
relevant 17:10	264:5 280:7	199:14 201:19,22	196:10,15,19,21	75:6 188:2 245:9
18:19 19:5 58:16	281:16 285:19	202:20 203:19	197:2,9,13,16	298:24
211:8,17 253:4	291:24	206:16,24 207:8	198:5,6,16 199:9	representation
255:11 257:20	remembered 9:5	208:2,3,9 209:5,6	199:11 202:21,22	76:4 105:17
270:7	85:8 105:8 110:8	209:20,22 211:15	202:25 203:2,2,8	representations
reliability 110:5,15	180:6	211:19 212:5,10	204:8,11,20 206:5	59:10 99:23
175:8,10,18	remembering	212:18 213:23,24	206:10,11 208:14	119:10
reliable 109:25	23:20 153:7 262:9	216:9,9,25 217:4	208:19,23,24	represented 118:25
reluctant 128:24	remembers 295:19	217:8,10 220:5,7	210:4,9,10 213:4	256:1,2
relying 166:22	remind 111:10	220:13 223:10	213:14 214:4,5,25	request 7:2 28:14
304:17	removed 253:14	236:20 238:3	215:3 216:3,16	36:22,25 68:23
remain 11:6	reopen 61:22	239:18 242:16	217:16,22 218:18	187:12 275:2
remaining 85:1	repeat 117:8 152:5	246:9 249:5,8,9	218:21 219:24,25	276:1 286:16
275:24	repeating 57:10	249:11,20 250:4	221:1 238:22,22	requested 122:17
remains 86:9	282:11	250:14 255:8,15	238:23,24 239:10	requests 57:6
remarkable 254:17	reply 238:17	257:16 259:6	241:8,8,9,21,25	286:23
254:19	285:23,25 288:15	262:25 265:19	242:9,14 245:10	require 232:1,4,10
remarks 252:25	289:10 293:14	266:22,24 268:10	252:8,9,9,11,12	232:12 233:9
255:7	replying 285:22	268:19 269:17	252:21 253:1,13	required 148:22
remember 20:22	report 3:22,23 4:4	270:5,17,22 272:7	254:12 257:5,24	250:4 269:25
21:5,11 22:15	4:5 30:6 48:20	273:3 287:12,16	258:7 259:9,10,14	270:16
23:9 24:25 28:21	58:13 76:13 79:16	287:21 294:2,12	259:15 260:14,17	requirement
				_
	<u> </u>		I	1

	1			
294:19	results 14:1 31:22	150:24 151:1	67:4,10 70:7,15	153:3,8 154:6,16
requirements	32:2 33:20 38:2	157:2 169:25	73:4 76:6 78:1	154:19,22 155:9
286:17 309:11	42:14,25 52:24	186:17 187:8	79:13,19 80:19,25	155:14,20 156:4
requires 60:10	62:3,5 64:14	195:8,16,17	81:25 82:15 83:7	156:16,23,25
230:2,3	65:18 110:15,24	199:14,17,24	84:21 86:19,25	157:5,9 159:17,25
requiring 252:8	111:1	217:1 225:10	88:1,3,12,17	160:4,9,24 161:9
research 89:9	retained 209:16	228:24 240:8	89:22 90:18 91:6	161:9,23,24 162:3
researched 87:4	retrace 142:14	246:14 252:13	92:11,15,19 93:8	162:5,19,19,25
residence 183:23	retracing 148:25	255:16 259:6,9,11	94:25 95:7,21	163:7,9,19,21
183:24 184:21	retrying 176:11	259:16 262:22	96:8,16 97:22	164:1,12 166:2,16
respect 5:17,20	return 308:19	268:4 271:2,3	98:1,3,11,17,24	166:19 167:5,9,12
12:17 17:8 18:9	returned 45:15	281:21,25 284:17	99:7,11,16 101:8	167:17,25 168:7
18:20,23 20:16,20	251:16 310:1,3,6	285:9,13 287:6,8	101:22 102:16,22	170:20,22 171:2
24:11 25:3,11	reus 243:19	287:11,17 291:23	104:1,23 107:22	171:24 172:3,6,15
26:5,23 47:7	revealed 65:25	292:8 299:11	108:8,14 109:6,15	174:4,9,16,16
51:15 53:15 54:23	66:2,6,15	300:14 302:2,8	109:25 110:11,21	175:8,17 176:11
58:24 60:3 71:18	review 37:7 38:18	reviewing 47:1	110:22 111:18,21	176:18 177:18,23
76:2,14 86:10	47:19 60:6,19	94:22 103:25	111:23 112:3	178:2,9,14,15
87:17 102:9 104:1	87:22 91:12,23	199:25 200:1	113:4,7 116:20	179:4,10,13,18
108:6 119:4	92:12,16,19,22,24	234:16 248:5	117:5,17,17,23	180:7,20,23,25
121:10 126:17	93:12,21 94:3,20	272:4 287:2	118:16 119:6,18	181:22 182:2,2,11
129:12 148:9	99:21 100:20	302:22	121:1,6,11,20,25	183:6,16,25 184:8
201:22 203:9	101:5,18,23 108:6	<b>right</b> 7:3,24 8:2,9	122:7 123:3,19	184:12,23 185:2,7
212:19 220:24	108:22 109:7	8:24 9:15 10:17	124:3,14,17,20,23	185:15 186:4,8,24
225:8 232:21	115:19,19 116:6	10:19 11:19,22	125:7,15 126:5	187:10,11,20,23
240:21 241:10	117:6 118:11,12	12:21 13:6,9,16	127:1,13,21	189:4,15,17,21
275:9 303:24	118:20 129:11	13:22,23 14:5,7	128:25 129:4	190:3,10,11,14,15
respected 220:3	132:4 133:10	14:21 15:16 19:11	130:1,8,14,17,21	190:20,22,25
respond 58:6 72:23	134:1 143:19,24	19:12 20:3,10	130:23,25 131:7	191:4,5,20,25
278:25	143:24 144:9	23:19 25:9,20	131:17 132:17	192:5,19,21 193:7
responded 293:7	145:2 150:14	28:15 29:18,25	133:11,14,15,20	193:12,23 194:2,4
294:11	151:6,9 187:10	30:2,3,16 31:7	133:24 134:2,18	194:11 195:8
responding 133:21	197:9 199:12	32:24 34:7,18	134:24 135:12	196:7,12,18,24
response 22:8	204:20 212:10	36:10,18,20,25	137:17 138:3	197:8,12,15,20
56:15 58:1,2,3	240:25 260:2	37:5,23 38:15	139:7 140:5,6,7	198:5 199:9,13
132:10 278:19	265:9,13,20	39:7,20 40:9 43:5	140:23,24,24,25	200:16,21 201:6
289:11 293:9	276:18,23 277:13	45:11 46:25 47:22	140:25 141:3,5,15	201:16,21 202:18
responsibility	299:23 301:9	48:4,5 50:18	141:25 142:1,6,17	203:1,4 204:5,13
234:7	302:13	51:12 52:7,23,24	142:20,23 143:15	205:5,25 206:7
responsive 10:18	reviewed 46:12	53:5 55:3,15,25	143:16,21 145:14	207:10,23,25
104:12 299:2,10	78:12 79:21 80:5	56:4,10,16 57:7	145:20,25 146:11	208:10,16,19
299:25 300:21	85:1 89:12 103:23	58:4,9,10 59:4	147:12,12,21	209:13 210:18
rest 116:15 279:10	116:21 118:22	60:13,19,24 61:16	148:6 149:2,10,22	211:12,12,21
280:21	120:25 121:2	62:15,17 63:8,15	150:9 151:15,16	212:9,17,21,25,25
result 35:15 243:22	122:18 144:6	64:15,18 65:4,13	151:24 152:1,13	213:2,9,16 214:2

	_	_	_	
215:12,15,18,21	273:19 274:8,24	Rolling 117:13	242:5 273:2	207:6 216:21
216:13,18,21,22	275:4 277:11,14	Ron 117:12	284:15,16	217:16 226:11
217:2,11,13,16,18	277:19,22 278:4,6	room 1:22 6:6	run 107:4 108:11	229:18 232:22
218:5,15 219:4,7	278:8,11 279:4,11	194:18 253:1	108:12 138:9,15	234:1,23 265:22
219:16 220:22	279:20 280:6,15	254:11 255:7,22	190:8 237:4	290:5
221:1,20 222:6,12	280:17 281:5,19	301:12	275:17 289:21	saying 34:23 39:23
222:20,22,24	282:5,17,17,20	rose 145:22	running 155:10	45:14 48:2 49:9
223:1,17,20,23,24	283:14 284:8	rough 15:1,21 76:1	194:1	54:1 56:10 60:15
224:8,14,17,19,19	285:12,14,23	76:14 209:14,16		61:11 68:13 91:8
224:19,25 225:3,6	286:1,18,22 287:6	210:11 216:4	S	93:9 98:9,10,17
225:7,9,17,20	287:9,15,17,22	217:23 218:22	<b>Safety</b> 33:22	98:24 102:5 119:9
226:3,6,10,14,19	288:8,25 289:4,4	259:6 291:13	sake 10:21 11:17	126:25 128:12,14
226:21,22 227:13	289:7,8,20 290:8	298:1	47:20 136:20	128:22 129:1,1
227:19,20 228:2,6	290:9,11,11,14	roughly 148:10,11	199:5	144:13 150:15
228:12 229:4,14	291:22 292:1,5,11	253:24	sample 33:24	152:25 154:2
229:20 230:18,23	292:16 293:13	Round 2:17 147:3	<b>San</b> 131:6,23 132:8	155:4 156:16
231:19 232:6,16	294:16,18 295:21	routine 15:7 115:5	133:14 134:17	159:18 161:23
233:5 235:6,11,20	296:3,24,24 297:4	126:16 145:18	135:6,18 136:8	163:3 173:11,21
236:17,23 237:6	297:11,16,18	161:13 172:14,22	138:14 139:4	175:16,22,23
237:13,15,20	298:17,24 299:6	172:23 173:12	188:11,14 191:22	185:22,23 192:3,4
238:10,13 239:20	299:17 300:1,23	180:2 204:6,7,18	192:14 194:4	192:6,9 200:17
239:21 240:6,12	301:9,19 303:8,15	205:6,21 218:16	206:6 237:2	210:14,15 211:1
240:15,17,20,22	rights 127:7 252:7	233:16 234:13	sandbagging	229:20 231:3
241:1,9,23,25	<b>right-hand</b> 251:3,9	261:2,5 263:15	219:20	232:7,21 233:3
242:5,11 243:6,11	ring 165:25 166:2	265:1 268:15	Santman 1:19	235:4,9 239:11
244:12,14,19	Rita 48:23 50:2	269:7 291:3	308:9 309:18	241:6,13,20
245:4,17,23	71:17 80:13 82:24	295:25,25 299:8	310:21	242:11 250:11
246:16,22,23	150:20 151:21	299:18,19	sat 27:3 68:10	252:6 254:9
247:3,20 248:5,6	160:13,17 164:4	routinely 186:9	204:10,19	260:24 262:4,7
248:8,23 249:9,15	171:6 172:8	233:14 236:7	satisfied 288:18,19	263:21 264:5
250:6,17,21	173:17 174:3	routines 180:5	save 17:23 196:20	270:21 271:8
251:10 252:3,15	176:18 177:5	routing 198:5	saw 24:19 48:19	272:5,25 277:18
253:11,19 255:13	207:3,5 229:7	rule 128:3,8 174:24	50:7,18 56:25	277:24 278:9
256:4 257:2,12	233:24 236:5	196:12,13 216:1	59:13 60:17,19	284:4 285:4
258:8,9,24 259:14	road 179:1 180:17	218:20 247:5	76:18 77:13 80:25	288:15 289:3
259:15,18,23,24	184:17	294:19 309:12,24	89:19 91:25 104:2	290:2,11 292:7
260:10,11,16	<b>Robert</b> 281:9	310:14	114:4 121:24	says 11:23 13:18,20
261:23 263:2,3,21	Roberto 4:19	ruled 79:13,14	124:2 139:21	38:20 43:22 74:10
265:3,11,23,25	<b>Roberts</b> 2:4 6:16	115:16 118:9	151:1 156:1,3,5,7	75:7 79:25 80:18
266:12,17 267:1,3	6:16,17,19 309:2	199:22	156:17 166:23	84:24,25 86:17
267:6,24,25 268:4	<b>Robinson</b> 184:1,9	rules 1:23 7:20	168:5,10 169:19	88:18 106:19
268:6,7,12,24	Rock 2:17 147:3	122:13 123:1	169:20 170:5	111:10 117:7,9
269:7,18,25 270:1	Rodriguez 2:20	294:14	173:19 174:14	151:20 152:1,7,8
270:18,24 271:2,8	role 18:17 25:2	ruling 115:19	175:7 180:14	152:8,13,15,17,19
271:16 272:11	26:3 27:11	118:12 200:4	186:23 187:24	152:23,24 153:4,8
			189:14,18,19	

153:11 154:8	36:1,6 37:10,13	sealed 74:12 79:9	142:9 144:25	293:11 294:16
157:5 158:15	41:4,9,11 46:21	79:15 80:19	145:23 146:18	296:23 297:13,14
159:10,10 160:12	46:25 48:14,16	103:11,16 105:18	151:19,22 152:11	297:18 298:13
160:13,19 162:12	49:21,25 50:10,14	106:23 115:17	153:25 155:7	303:11 304:6
162:20,21 163:10	61:22 62:1 66:3,5	118:10,24 119:15	157:7 159:15	seeing 55:6 85:8
163:12,23 164:2	69:21,25 70:3	120:22,25 121:3	160:21 162:15,19	97:14 105:8,9
166:22 171:17,25	72:5,7,9,13,15,21	212:7 242:22	162:22 163:5,8,14	108:25 182:1
173:19 178:14	83:7,14 106:4,7	265:19 266:14,21	163:19 164:20	237:16
184:3,6 187:4,11	106:14 114:19,21	267:4,5,20 271:10	165:18 167:5	seeking 16:18 18:2
188:7,11 194:18	116:11,21 129:18	271:12 273:14	170:13 175:13	24:10
200:14 201:3	129:21 130:1,5	278:11 292:17	176:20 177:21	seen 12:2,4 15:4
202:4 207:13	132:14,23,25	sealing 273:14	178:18 179:2,8	39:15 50:13 55:1
211:23 212:3,9,14	139:9,17 141:1	seals 268:20	180:4,6,17,21	58:2 59:17 66:20
213:22 215:9,19	145:13,17 177:9	search 267:2	181:19,20,22	71:19 74:4 79:17
218:23 220:8,15	177:16 180:21,25	second 9:6 16:16	182:24 184:17	79:19 97:21 98:10
220:17,18 222:3	181:2 185:13	23:8 31:25 37:24	187:5,20 188:7,11	104:1,13 106:23
223:14,15 225:21	194:16,21 195:2	40:23 42:9 53:9	188:14 196:14,19	130:6 138:12,22
225:24 226:14,16	200:8,11 221:7,8	72:19 80:2 114:6	198:6 200:21,25	139:1,6 163:11
226:19,20 228:4	221:12,18 230:17	154:15 161:6	201:16 202:2,16	168:14 177:23
229:17,19 234:1	230:18 245:5,8,19	166:17 186:25	204:10 206:9,11	179:18,19 183:16
234:22 238:17	247:11,13,15	224:21 232:14	207:21 208:6	185:16,17,22
240:14 242:2,13	270:11,13 271:23	259:11 266:13,20	209:25 211:10,21	186:2,5,21 187:25
242:15,18,20,21	271:25 274:11,14	267:11 273:4,8	213:19 215:1,1	192:25 201:25
243:1,4,8,13,25	274:18 275:2	277:3 288:8	220:20 223:17	206:17,19 219:17
244:4,7,14,21	276:5,7,9 281:14	292:20,23 293:5	225:23 228:19,25	244:11 266:20
247:1,5,6,15,16	281:16 296:19,22	293:21	229:15,24 231:12	278:15 290:13,16
248:7,9 251:5	297:23,24 301:14	secret 33:1	233:22 236:17	295:11,22
252:17 253:11	303:14,16,20	secretary 131:16	238:24 239:5	segued 249:22
256:5 266:12	305:1,9 308:22	secure 84:21	241:10,12,25	sense 73:1 114:15
268:2 291:6	309:4	see 13:19 14:3,18	243:5,9 244:17	149:19 151:2
292:16 297:7,24	Scheck's 275:25	16:22 22:20 31:25	246:2,6,11,23	166:11 190:17
scene 130:23	scheme 107:12	32:15 33:1 36:1,8	248:25 249:15,18	192:1
142:13 148:24	school 111:14	36:11 37:7,12	249:21,22 250:24	sent 115:18 118:11
180:5 181:10	219:11	39:5 41:5 44:3	251:6 252:15,16	265:18
207:25 208:9	scientific 148:15	48:11,24,25 49:12	253:9,16 255:13	sentence 77:22
Scheck 2:12 3:3 5:8	263:14,15,17	51:18,18 52:15	255:14 256:15	167:2 223:19
5:14,15 6:5,10,13	282:10 283:10,18	56:18,20 57:1,5	257:5,15,23	261:12 279:11
6:17,21,23 8:15	283:19 284:3,4	59:19 70:1 74:25	260:25 263:18	sentencing 18:9
8:20 9:12 11:5,17	300:14	79:7 83:17 84:1	265:14 266:9,10	separate 11:14
12:7 16:7,8,22,25	scientifically	84:18 95:7 97:1	266:17 273:19	48:11 76:22
17:18,22 18:1	282:25 283:5	104:19 106:15	274:1,1 277:9	197:20 304:15
19:8,23,25 23:18	scope 116:19	107:1,3 108:3,8,9	278:2,4,14 282:16	September 11:22
24:6,8 28:5,9	200:18	109:5,25 116:3	283:1,3,12 286:2	66:4,5,8,9,9,11,15
31:15 32:6,15,21	seal 268:21 273:11	118:16 130:12	288:14 289:12	66:17 67:1,7,16
32:22 33:17,19	307:20	131:6,13 140:14	291:16 292:11	67:18 68:8,13,24

69:8 83:16 100:14	297:14 298:15	223:11 238:23	300:24	208:2,5 209:8,12
304:14	299:8 301:16,18	241:9 244:18,25	showing 109:2	209:15,18,21,24
sergeant 29:20	302:21 303:3,9	249:8 260:25	112:13 151:7	211:22 212:14,22
30:6 47:24 48:23	series 11:11 43:16	272:2 293:2	165:2,24 173:2	213:1 214:17,24
50:1 59:16 60:3	196:1 303:23	301:22 302:4	245:8	218:1 219:22
71:18 75:25 76:1	serious 42:4,16	sheriff's 80:24	shown 56:16	220:8 226:24
76:13 79:16,23	52:19,21 60:25	131:17 138:25	115:15 118:8	230:7 234:6,19
80:12 81:2 83:19	61:1 65:4,15,18	143:5,7,10,11	310:15	240:16 259:19
83:23 85:2,12	seriously 43:15,17	145:19 147:2,11	shows 137:18	282:18 283:2,6,25
87:18 91:2 94:3	44:5 62:20 65:2,3	185:11,14 193:23	164:16 166:20	285:6 286:8
94:17 100:21	65:14 67:8,9	194:1 199:2 201:9	167:23 279:23	303:13
101:15 116:24	serve 127:8	203:9,18 210:9	301:6 305:12	sister 153:10
123:13 131:21	served 296:12,23	217:22 237:22	sick 26:25	sit 23:10 76:23 88:2
137:4 138:21,24	296:25 297:1	247:7 260:21	side 45:4 173:6	88:4,23 89:23
144:17,18 146:16	310:15	298:25 301:16	176:12 186:21	105:15 164:24
146:25 147:23	Services 309:19	<b>Sherri</b> 1:19 308:9	297:9,12 301:3	170:2 171:3
151:21 152:4	310:22	309:18 310:21	sides 66:7 297:21	172:19,24 173:3,5
161:17 162:12,21	set 12:8 14:13,16	<b>She'll</b> 6:19	297:23	173:13,14 204:7
163:10,22 164:4,9	15:1 19:5 264:19	shocked 74:2	sight 194:23	205:7 214:15
166:12,20 167:4	265:7 271:9 285:8	279:17	sighting 53:16	215:25 232:15
171:6 174:3 187:5	291:23 298:21	<b>short</b> 82:7 91:24	sightings 277:22	260:16 283:14
189:15 190:2	299:1	93:19 94:5 101:16	<b>sign</b> 247:8	<b>sitting</b> 25:5 26:13
193:12,14 197:21	sets 8:25	102:21 103:14	signals 176:25	176:12 203:1
198:3 204:11,15	seven 12:11 156:24	114:8 117:18	<b>signature</b> 3:7 306:1	204:15 208:13,18
204:20 207:5,24	211:1 213:7	119:11 123:11	307:2 308:18	210:2 215:10,20
209:1 210:3 211:3	seventh 18:11	151:1 159:24	310:4	216:6,25 217:3
211:15 213:16,25	seventy-some	170:24 176:14	<b>signed</b> 131:13	218:2,7 235:3
214:13 215:5,11	147:24	195:19 229:6	significance 180:7	284:11 301:5,11
215:16,25 216:16	shaken 71:14	<b>shortened</b> 88:10,16	significant 243:23	situation 26:11
218:4,22 248:18	share 15:25	<b>shorter</b> 49:15,19,25	silly 202:14	six 12:10 23:12
249:5 250:15	<b>sheet</b> 18:14 104:18	50:3 105:21 160:7	similar 172:6	42:23 243:12
252:21 254:12	104:24 309:25	shorthand 1:21	similarly 34:3	282:6 284:22
256:15 257:24	sheets 149:13	308:9	simple 57:4	293:2
258:20 259:5	sheriff 15:10,22	show 7:20 45:7	<b>simply</b> 116:2	sixty 291:19
261:9,13,18,19,20	122:1,4,21 123:13	50:8 57:5 98:4	175:14 218:15	<b>six-hour</b> 109:16
261:21,24 262:3,3	123:22,25 124:9	117:7 130:5	280:24 299:19	275:25
262:6,10 264:11	125:8,22 126:16	167:21 245:4	single 53:6	sizeable 252:21
264:20 265:7	126:18 128:9	246:18 282:3,18	single-sided 49:6,7	254:13 256:14
266:8 268:10	131:20 132:5	286:15 296:16	sir 6:1 8:6 74:19	264:19 272:6
270:5 272:7 273:3	133:17 134:1,11	301:21 305:11	77:1 78:18,25	299:3 300:17
274:2 276:22	143:2,4 145:24	showed 12:8 49:5	95:7 125:12 126:7	skeptical 273:2,4
277:12 278:15	147:2 198:2,14,18	61:19 62:3,6 74:9	146:6 151:23	skin 63:9,11 163:11
288:10,23 289:7	204:5,22,24,25	75:22 77:3 90:24	164:13 175:15	163:18,23 164:7
289:13 290:2,5,24	205:8,16,20	142:1 261:1	187:11 196:23	167:6,8,10,16,20
291:8,9 296:12	206:21 207:2	289:24 299:9	197:1 202:17	168:3,9,15

sleeping 153:17	233:14	spotting 145:8	state 1:2,20 7:18	220:24 222:11,19
small 30:7 52:16	sound 147:21	squeeze 24:16	39:2,11 46:8	223:3,3,8,9,10,11
125:6 260:9	sounded 46:22	St 178:16 179:6	56:21 57:8,18	223:23 224:4,10
snapped 10:1	sounds 265:23	184:11 186:2	64:20 77:11 81:24	224:13 225:5,16
social 18:6	287:14	stack 8:2 11:7	86:8 90:16 101:7	225:17 226:1,17
solely 282:9	source 109:6	stage 218:17	112:10 127:10	227:5,18 228:7,23
solid 70:14	111:23 112:2	staged 130:23	169:4,6,14 174:21	239:2,8,19,23
somebody 20:12	119:16	205:24	176:3 222:23	240:10 241:5,11
27:1,12 43:4 47:9	sources 146:10	stages 155:25	223:16 225:21	242:10 247:8,18
61:4 62:17 64:14	<b>Souter</b> 166:3,8	stamp 11:21 12:11	228:5 238:21	249:12 250:5
67:25 68:18 69:3	so-called 87:10	12:12,23,23 15:11	240:11 241:24	257:8,9 268:12
69:7 100:8 120:23	speak 36:15 255:3	15:15	242:13 252:8	286:14,14 287:4
134:22 135:23	speaking 29:5	stamps 15:19 36:8	282:19,23 284:19	288:4,23,25 298:6
163:2,3 169:20	44:10 107:19	stand 93:16 99:22	286:15 294:5,10	298:8,9
174:15 182:6	speaks 269:22	143:14 144:11	294:10 307:10,24	States 90:6 165:13
183:18 184:20	271:25 278:7	168:21 169:19	308:2,10	state's 222:9 294:8
186:1 190:12	special 68:15	174:8 196:12	stated 1:24 73:5,5	status 239:14
194:3 204:8	specific 23:11	199:10 201:14	<b>statement</b> 4:19 9:7	stay 22:16 28:3
259:25 267:9,20	35:24 49:10 52:18	216:1 259:12	11:13 27:22 46:17	35:8
268:18 279:24	56:23 93:5,9	282:8 284:14	54:22 57:15,15	<b>stayed</b> 25:23
281:3 301:21	104:12 112:23	297:10 299:12	74:15,15 77:17	stealing 134:23
son 74:16 187:15	113:1 171:14	301:1 302:6,21	78:6,17,21 84:17	step 31:2,2,15,15
235:5	196:6 233:15	standard 60:8	94:6 112:15	60:12 127:4,25
son-in-law 163:1	268:23 286:16,23	89:11 162:6 166:4	118:18 127:23	128:12
235:25 236:1	specifically 13:10	standpoint 226:12	161:23 162:24	<b>stepped</b> 194:23
soon 34:1 43:3,13	16:6 23:22 30:12	star 141:12	168:6,7,10 170:12	steps 142:14
136:1 170:12	71:19 114:7 273:8	stars 141:12	172:8 198:13	253:12
171:7 173:18	283:19 286:13	start 24:4 45:8 47:1	211:3,9 214:23	<b>stick</b> 9:17
233:25 253:23	289:11 297:18	57:6 59:6 72:21	225:9 227:14,23	stolen 130:19 135:8
sorry 13:24 43:21	specifics 171:10	72:25 86:7 100:13	235:10,25 238:13	stomach 155:5
46:21 48:7 75:6	speculating 175:12	109:21 115:1,1	238:14 240:22	280:2 282:9
80:4 81:14 144:21	175:13	187:2 230:13	241:22 243:16	<b>Stones</b> 117:13
145:12,15 157:15	speculation 114:18	275:23	248:23 251:15	stood 69:8 287:1
169:9 186:14	speed 112:17	started 12:8 47:17	254:17,19,24	stop 23:18 44:19
195:23 204:23	<b>Spencer</b> 141:10,25	50:21 60:20,25	255:18 259:3	117:17 154:9,15
207:4 222:14	spend 119:20	62:20 117:10	260:12,23 263:12	236:1 250:19
224:18 251:4	spending 76:9	151:17 154:2	270:7,18 273:24	258:17,18 261:12
254:2 269:10,14	156:13	174:21	279:14 281:9	303:14
291:17 295:16	spent 142:24	starting 54:18 56:4	282:14 285:24	stopped 25:17
296:25 297:9	304:11	57:14,22 72:6,15	299:5	44:13,15 45:1,18
sort 9:4 24:21	<b>spoke</b> 79:2 91:9	73:3 74:1 106:19	statements 4:12 7:8	45:24 46:2 50:25
29:11 63:19 146:2	215:17	114:25 116:8	30:3 75:11 94:17	155:1
158:15,23 178:13	<b>spoken</b> 217:14	210:25 240:2	195:8 196:10,19	stored 217:22
181:9 186:9	spontaneously	247:1 282:5,22	199:21 210:19,23	<b>story</b> 74:3
188:15 229:12	175:16	starts 109:19 221:9	212:20,23 216:16	straight 15:12

22:23 80:10	297:14 298:15	62:13	72:18 89:7,8	table 173:6 284:12
strange 253:2	299:3,10,14,20,25	<b>Sunbelt</b> 309:19	95:10 110:4,7	tack 111:8
255:9,18 257:19	300:5,6,21	310:22	112:4 117:8 122:8	take 10:13 19:19
258:2 264:13,22	subpoenaed 300:20	Sunday 56:3	125:8 126:4	26:7,7,22 27:16
274:3	subscribed 11:22	supervisor 219:13	130:20 137:21	28:2 31:1,15
strategic 260:13	307:15	219:14	139:19 143:4	40:25,25 43:15
262:13	subsequent 65:9	supplemental	144:4 146:22	46:14 47:6 60:25
strategy 215:24	170:19 253:15	48:19 102:24	147:9 151:13	61:13 66:20 67:6
263:4 264:2	substance 35:2,11	115:13 117:21,22	182:17 187:25	67:7 68:23 69:14
street 1:23 2:5,7,13	38:13 42:13 79:3	118:6 123:16	192:4,6 197:13	69:23 71:25 83:8
178:18 180:15	97:3,4 100:4	177:20 252:9,12	203:24 206:1	87:8,19 88:1 89:8
183:13 184:15	120:17 174:2	266:13 273:4,9	210:12 235:18	105:25 111:7
202:7 237:17	233:10,13 234:15	292:20,23	238:9 254:7	133:1,5 139:9
stretch 100:7	234:20	Supplementary	260:10 261:1	141:5,6 145:1
strike 60:4 270:11	substantive 82:6	3:22,23 4:4,5	273:23 274:14	150:11 160:11
271:23	substitute 116:9,12	supplements	275:14 279:19	161:14 167:2
stroke 147:25	186:15	216:10	282:21 284:24	175:3,22 177:9
Stubblefield 22:3,5	succeeded 19:25	supported 280:23	286:5 291:18	183:9 186:13
58:24 68:15 70:9	successive 51:9	suppose 22:4	302:7	189:22 194:15
70:13 93:2,13,17	successor 18:18	298:19	surely 85:7 99:4	209:9,10 249:3
106:5	25:21	supposed 32:8	surgery 187:15	253:12 256:7
student 6:11	sudden 174:7	94:21 100:19	surprised 23:9	270:15 290:12
studied 177:24	sufficient 63:13	241:7 242:6,8	59:19 179:23	296:22 304:5
stuff 9:22 24:22	217:8 279:15	249:11 250:7	surprising 43:10	taken 1:17 33:24
49:2 55:11 84:19	suggest 115:21	273:11	surrounding 39:3	201:9 288:21
105:3 186:9 225:2	118:14 166:13	suppress 104:4	39:13 46:20 54:24	296:5 308:25
229:12 236:7	262:5	200:19,24 207:17	112:12,19	309:9
265:22 290:20	suggested 68:25	218:14 224:1,23	suspect 95:18	takes 16:19 17:15
subject 14:8 17:2	217:6	244:17	137:20	talk 15:7 78:24
38:20 47:21	suggesting 28:1	suppressed 28:16	suspicions 162:14	82:13 95:4 103:21
178:15,25 179:4,7	68:22 164:4 250:2	30:15 31:6 33:4	suspicious 235:24	103:23 123:19
184:1,7,10,16	275:7	53:20 54:20 57:20	272:10,22 278:17	149:7 152:16
237:18 244:23	suggestion 174:11	166:5	285:18 287:13,16	174:10 191:4
subjective 35:23	281:2	suppressing 52:18	289:18 290:15	195:13 202:8
submission 220:23	suit 163:11,18,23	53:4 54:13	sustained 104:8	216:24 217:9
249:6	164:7 166:16	<b>Supreme</b> 60:9 90:7	239:22	231:11 236:9
submissions 248:9	167:6,9,10,16,20	90:8 165:14,22	switch 25:18	257:1 263:8
submit 85:7 286:8	168:3,9,16	sure 9:21 12:3	sworn 1:17 4:19	295:24
submitted 94:2	suitcase 154:18	17:22 18:1 24:6,6	5:6 11:21 75:13	talked 44:12 73:21
106:22 243:10,13	157:6,10,14,16	30:10 32:17 33:15	142:12 148:23	83:6 98:7 124:9
308:16	Suite 2:11,13	37:20,22 38:1,21	281:9 282:14	125:8 128:2
subpoena 4:20 7:2	309:20 310:23	40:17,19 41:17	301:24 308:12	168:23 204:10
8:4 9:3 44:20,24	summary 85:23	43:23 46:24 50:10	system 62:17 124:6	214:18 215:7
296:10,10,12,13	246:5 255:4	54:5 55:8 58:2	149:25	217:25 224:22,22
296:23 297:4,6,7	summer 34:15	59:1 63:4 72:18		234:25 257:22
		27.1 03.1 /2.10	T	
	l		l	<u> </u>

259:14,21 260:5	technique 63:18	tending 253:7	174:18 175:1	thin 269:16
261:23 289:8	110:18	tends 98:3	176:3,19 208:18	thing 5:10 26:25
292:4 301:25	tecum 3:13 7:3 8:4	tenure 140:11	testimony 75:13	65:3 68:11 81:13
303:21	9:3,21	term 86:24 87:6	85:17 87:24	81:15 84:10 92:9
talking 10:2 12:1	tecums 300:6	92:20 133:3,24	101:17 216:6	94:11,15 97:13,24
13:9 21:8,11	telephone 45:13	134:3 164:17	231:16,22 238:9	97:25 99:3 120:3
44:14,15,19,24	96:1 131:13	179:12 238:24	281:22 282:1,4	120:8,11 124:12
45:18 50:17 55:6	telephonic 66:12	termed 16:12	283:17 284:2	128:15 129:24
55:19 56:5 60:24	tell 5:15 16:4 18:2	terminate 276:4	291:23 292:8	151:10 153:6
61:3 67:11 77:9	18:3 20:10 21:4	terms 10:18 17:5	294:22 295:14,18	162:1,11,13 163:5
78:8,9,14,15	24:24 27:15 33:17	20:14 50:14 54:12	301:24 308:14,24	171:11 190:17
85:12,19 87:18	33:19 40:4 45:7	65:15 68:2 69:17	testing 23:3 24:22	205:25 206:20
88:25 97:18 99:8	73:24 76:17 77:9	77:11 102:4	42:23 61:18 64:3	212:23 216:15
99:14 102:2 106:4	79:2 80:10,14	113:10 140:11	65:17 74:1 110:5	223:6 251:23
108:9 109:19	81:7 82:8,11,17	146:15 166:20	263:14,15,17	254:8 256:12
120:6,15 123:1,20	96:8 98:23 100:5	198:20 233:17	tests 109:25	257:3 264:9 265:2
126:13,23 165:5	100:8 119:2	299:8,19	Texas 1:2,4,20,23	269:15 270:4,16
167:5,15 168:15	120:11 133:9	test 21:1 31:23 32:2	1:23 2:5,8,11,17	270:24 284:6
171:21 172:15	142:3 152:19	33:20 42:14 62:3	4:18 7:18,20 10:4	285:3 297:13
178:21 183:25	162:2 165:10	62:5 64:13 110:15	10:5 18:9 67:24	305:3
184:19 200:19	171:3 186:14	111:1 169:23	68:17 69:6 90:3	things 22:13 47:21
212:22,24 216:12	188:20 197:5	tested 23:7	124:4 127:1 141:3	50:24 51:13,18,20
220:10,12 228:23	206:9 217:5 231:5	testified 5:6 31:11	148:7 169:4,6	54:8 59:23 65:9
228:24 235:17,18	231:5 233:8,15,16	96:9 119:24 122:1	176:4 196:13	65:12,22 68:4,5
239:22 240:2,3,4	240:23 261:16	144:1 196:16	219:12 224:9	69:8 75:19 78:10
240:9,10 241:4,5	264:10 282:7	197:18 199:13	307:10,24 308:2,4	84:7 85:13 86:6
248:8 251:6	297:1	202:25 217:24	308:10 309:18,21	107:1 108:22
256:14 257:4,15	telling 35:1 38:2,3	258:20 261:19,19	310:21,24	120:18,19 128:16
263:17 267:3,19	38:5 67:6 82:16	261:21 281:10,20	text 7:9	134:10 145:22
267:22,23 278:1	84:22 94:10 98:13	282:3 283:4	thank 10:11 41:9	134.10 143.22
287:15 294:18,19	102:6 105:16	291:10,12,14	146:6 276:5	156:9 161:14
		291:10,12,14		
299:21,22	112:22 113:22	302:4	303:16	164:14,15 169:16
talks 179:6 291:24	114:6 127:18 150:5 151:13	testify 45:5 91:2	theory 89:17 130:21,25 134:21	182:13 203:24 204:3 206:14
tampered 273:13 tape 5:3 83:12	162:25 175:4	108:23 109:11	135:6 167:24	204.3 200.14
152:9,18 159:18	204:17 217:20	143:17 169:2,5,7	182:15,18,22	239:7 258:14
161:15 176:22	218:7 229:14,15	169:10 170:4	183:18 185:18	263:8 299:15
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	174:20 175:6		think 5:20 6:3,6,18
177:10,14 180:22 223:9 274:11	231:19 253:18		205:22,23 226:7 thereabouts 276:1	
	256:8 268:22	197:10 258:21	therefor 310:5	11:1 12:2,5 13:5 15:17 16:10 18:13
275:16,21 296:14	283:17 284:2 286:1 294:3	260:4 281:18 294:21 296:8		
298:2,7			thick 254:13 256:14 257:16	18:19 19:4 20:22
taped 48:22,22	300:18 301:6	303:3,4,6,9		24:9,20 31:12
151:20	tells 112:4	testifying 45:8	270:21	34:17 38:16 44:25
taping 152:14	tend 167:23	83:24 109:13	thicker 49:5	48:25 57:23 62:8
tasked 58:5	tended 286:15	122:6,22 168:25	thickness 107:24	62:18,21 63:1
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

64:16 66:6 68:25	303:14 304:1	153:7 169:10	197:3 198:10	99:18 100:1,8
69:4,6 71:5,24	305:2,5	throat 155:5	199:2 207:25	101:7 109:6
76:4,16 77:7	thinking 47:17	throw 157:5	208:9 211:7 212:7	110:16,24 113:15
79:11 82:3 86:15	51:19 63:3 69:1	thrown 154:18	215:13 218:17	113:18 120:3,4,6
86:20 87:9 89:5	100:11 102:4	157:10	221:10 223:5	120:8,16,23
90:2 97:24,25	128:19 164:9	thrust 273:24	224:9 228:5 230:5	132:21 147:1
104:11 107:25	167:13 197:4	274:7,23 275:1	239:17 256:8	151:16 153:4,18
110:17,23 114:11	218:9,18 251:20	<b>Thursday</b> 34:17	258:4 260:9 261:4	153:19 171:7
114:15 115:24	264:16 295:3	tie 69:16	262:8,10 263:22	173:24 174:3
119:3 124:12	thinks 117:12	ties 119:1,2	265:4,10 271:13	175:6 188:24
125:2,21 126:13	227:15 295:20	tight 243:21	273:14 274:10,15	206:12 209:2
130:16 131:5	thinner 41:13	time 5:3 8:7 9:13	279:20 280:4,7,10	211:6,8 213:8,9
132:9,11 134:11	106:22	14:13 15:4,8 16:9	280:18 281:18,20	224:6 229:17
140:9 147:1	third 106:24 147:4	16:19 17:16,20,20	282:1,8,24 283:10	234:22 235:15
150:13 153:5	280:12 297:17	19:11 20:3,7 21:8	283:16,18 285:9	252:20 258:21
161:14 163:1,13	thorough 68:18	21:22 22:1,1,6,6	287:1 292:17	269:11 283:9
164:24 169:13,17	209:23 291:15	23:25 24:19,22	294:24 302:17	287:20 290:10
170:10,14,18,21	thought 39:21 45:9	29:9,13 30:13	304:12,25 308:20	295:22
170:22 171:2	49:3,5,15 51:11	31:7 32:1,16 33:3	308:24	tone 41:21
172:18 174:20	66:22 68:9,17	34:3,15,19 35:8	times 33:5 44:12,25	tool 158:21
176:5,16,19 177:1	70:24 75:9 86:4	36:3 37:24 42:9	142:1	top 8:8 12:14,24,25
177:7 184:25	86:13 96:14 111:7	42:10 44:13,13,15	today 8:1 23:11	13:1,5,25 68:20
188:23 192:22	164:8 165:4 177:8	44:21,23 47:16	45:17 76:23 86:9	151:20 154:18
193:24 195:4	228:11 262:5	49:1 53:3,19	86:15,20 87:19	163:22 164:23
196:13 197:4	269:14,20,24	62:15 67:23 68:9	88:3,4,23 89:23	165:17 188:11,12
199:3 200:8 201:5	270:4,8,9,12	68:10 70:10,15	105:15 110:24	200:13 221:20
201:12 202:24	271:13 278:21	71:10 78:18 82:3	126:21 164:24	222:3 225:20,20
203:7 205:19	284:12 293:8	85:25 88:1 95:16	170:2 171:3	246:22 264:7
212:3 215:25	302:24	96:22 98:19 99:22	176:11,17 212:13	282:23
222:12,20 227:10	thoughts 67:19,20	101:13 105:24	214:15,22,22	totally 46:1 75:17
227:16,17 228:18	67:22,23 128:5	106:20 111:7,19	232:15 236:19	138:10
229:4,10 230:17	262:10 304:9	112:24,24 116:18	244:11 245:14	touch 63:19 64:10
231:4,6,12,13,15	thousand 19:13	118:1,3 120:9	248:18 269:11	110:18
231:21 235:21	three 9:10 12:10	121:20 122:15,19	283:14 287:20,23	touched 32:10
236:12,16 238:11	15:20 18:9 53:20	130:20 136:17	288:21 289:17	trace 167:11
243:13,22 245:11	78:1 87:25 95:18	137:22 138:1	301:5 304:7	tracking 291:17
247:14,15 248:19	115:2 147:5	140:12 145:2	toes 155:5	<b>Tracy</b> 141:10,25
250:23,23 255:4	162:17 187:3	147:17 148:13	told 5:11 20:12	traditional 63:21
255:15 256:19	274:12 284:11	149:16 152:9,21	21:5 29:8,8 31:3	63:22
259:25 260:1	289:1 297:2,3	153:14 167:9	31:11 34:14 44:25	tran 91:23
262:1 264:8 265:4	three-and-a-half	170:18 172:23	47:9 60:10 63:7	transaction 103:9
268:8 269:5,11,24	50:17 74:16 169:2	174:18 178:5	75:2 76:24 77:14	transcript 11:14
276:10 280:16	169:7 174:18,20	180:3 182:17	78:2 79:6 81:6,9	46:12 47:2,23
283:15 285:6,11	176:2 231:16	184:6 185:3,17	81:20 86:5 95:3	48:1,4,22 49:10
287:11,20 289:10	three-year-old	189:22 196:20	95:25 97:17 99:8	49:12 50:1,16
	-	-		

51:2,16,18,21,24	243:5 249:10	211:4,5 218:8	truthful 262:6	214:12 216:3,8,19
51:25 53:9 54:9	250:17 259:12	220:2 223:6,12	295:15	216:19 218:20
54:21 59:14,17,20	266:14,14 268:5	230:6 237:24	try 36:2 51:3 58:4	221:3,5,20 224:3
60:2,13,15 66:20	269:3 273:4,9	250:0 237:24	90:13 105:9,11	224:7 226:9
71:16 74:3,15	287:2 292:20,24	252:2,3,5,11,14	140:8 146:22	228:11 238:15
75:21,22 76:5,7	304:23,24 305:2	252:2,5,5,11,14	176:20 190:22	249:7,11 250:4,20
76:20 77:2,15	304.23,24 303.2	252:18 253:15,19	243:24 259:23	263:5 270:8,17
78:5,5 79:3,17,20	transcripts 12:2	255:24,25 258:6		276:7 288:22
79:25 80:9,11,12	85:8 88:6 94:8,13	258:22 259:4	260:10,11,11 261:6 285:16	292:13 297:8
80:17,23 82:19,23	101:5 102:21	260:15 262:8,11	286:6	turned 47:7 67:1
83:18 84:17 85:11	101.3 102.21 103:21 150:25	,		
	199:17	263:7,22 265:11 265:17 266:13	trying 9:17 20:14	74:11,13 78:2,11
85:20,22 87:17,20			22:16 24:15,25 27:8 32:22 43:18	89:4 98:16,18
87:23 88:5,10,16 88:23 90:20 91:2	trap 32:23 Travis 65:24 66:13	273:2,5,7,12,17	43:18 45:3 48:9	99:5 100:2,19 120:12 122:21
		277:1,5,8 279:21		120.12 122.21
91:4,10,16,17,23 92:2 93:19 94:4	66:24 68:4 111:6 307:11	283:16,22 285:9	52:1,22 54:21	136:1 138:11
94:20 96:23 97:4		289:1,23 292:22	55:1,4,17 57:2,11	143:21 167:19
94.20 96.23 97.4 97:6 98:8,12	<b>Traylor</b> 178:8,11 180:10 238:3	294:2,24 296:14 <b>trials</b> 24:14 141:8	57:23,24 58:18 65:7 82:3 86:23	171:16 186:7
,	287:17			
100:3 101:3,17,24	TRCP 309:12,24	142:4,10 <b>tried</b> 13:13 24:14	95:8,20 104:6	190:6,15,25 191:25 193:3,10
102:18,20 104:2 105:20 108:7,17	treated 25:9	25:4,18 26:15	110:17,17 113:25 117:3 119:20,22	219:25 220:25
103.20 108.7,17	treatise 87:1	28:3,25 47:17	125:1,20 132:24	231:7 247:9,9,10
113:23,24 114:9	trial 4:13 9:13,16	70:18,24,25 75:9	133:6,9 137:9	247:11,13,24,25
115:23,24 114.9	10:8,10 11:12	85:25 96:15,17	142:5,25 148:8,12	247.11,13,24,23
116:25 117:9,15	12:18,19 14:10,13	111:20 123:24	148:17 149:23	269:15 273:12
118:14,22 119:11	15:8 16:15,15	168:2 174:7	150:8 151:2	276:23,25 277:5
120:1 121:24	24:1 28:16 30:3	175:18 176:7	166:21 168:19	277:13 287:21
120:1 121:24	31:7 32:9 38:24	203:8,18 204:20	169:8 172:12	288:10 289:6,20
134:10 137:18	44:1 45:10 49:12	209:22 219:15	177:5 200:3	294:13
139:21 145:7	51:24 53:4 64:7	269:4 279:8 280:3	210:23 218:12	turning 171:15
150:12 151:8,20	75:18 79:14 85:1	trodding 19:21	234:6,19 235:19	216:15 241:8
154:5,20 159:25	85:5 98:7,20	trouble 95:2,13,14	235:20 262:4	250:14 294:20
160:5 161:8 162:9	112:7 118:1,3	112:22 262:9	269:22 282:7	turns 283:25,25
163:8 164:3,12	121:14,21 122:15	true 27:10 55:23	284:19 285:6	288:8
166:12 168:5	123:20 134:8,13	93:18 124:14,25	299:16 302:11	TV 140:19 141:9
170:1 171:5,12,13	137:24 143:14	128:13 129:8	<b>Tuesday</b> 252:19	179:19
171:17 172:8	148:6,12,20,21	141:19,20,22	turn 47:8 83:4	twenty 15:5 66:9
173:16 175:15	149:17 150:7,8,11	175:23 198:7	87:10 90:8 91:1	95:18
176:6,20 182:12	156:10 165:21	258:25 264:23	120:17 122:14,16	twice 35:5
182:24 183:10	166:9 168:5,6,8	270:4 288:1 289:9	143:15 159:23	two 8:24 9:5 10:3,6
195:10,18 204:2	170:19,20,22	302:20 307:3	160:8 163:9 172:7	12:10 13:9 15:20
225:10 229:6	178:5 185:3,18	308:14	176:14 186:11	19:13 24:14 37:14
231:20 232:1,20	191:20 196:22	trust 235:14	187:4 198:23	38:1 39:25 46:22
233:23 234:8	197:7 203:15,24	truth 141:15	199:11 207:10,23	47:14 55:22 67:2
236:10 241:20	204:4,19 206:1	142:11	208:15,25 210:16	76:21 82:5 85:13
	Í			
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

		1	1	
86:6 87:3 88:6	ultimately 63:5	148:15	220:2 253:14	victim 142:14
96:1 102:21,25	107:25 130:24	under-five-year	256:16 302:24	148:25
126:10,19,22	231:22	169:5	usually 143:22	victims 127:7,8
129:6 130:14	Umm 153:2	under-four-year	258:16 268:20	Victim's 10:4
131:23 132:8	<b>unable</b> 125:23	169:4	utterance 176:8	Videographer 2:20
133:13 134:7,12	291:24	undoubtedly 129:3		5:2 83:9,12
134:17 135:5,17	<b>unbiased</b> 107:2,10	198:3	V	139:10,15 177:11
136:8,12 137:5,13	undercover 127:5	unit 127:7	v 164:18 165:8,12	177:14 180:23
138:8,15 142:24	128:16,19	<b>United</b> 90:6 165:13	166:3	221:13,16 275:18
148:11 150:7,7,13	Undersigned 84:25	University 219:12	vacate 63:14	275:21 303:17
150:24 151:3	85:3	unknown 33:23	vacating 166:4	videotape 229:15
156:13 181:15	understand 16:11	unprecedented	vacation 29:7 31:2	VIDEOTAPED
191:21 192:14	17:13 20:14 24:17	263:9	31:17 33:8,9 42:2	1:9,15
193:5,9 203:17,18	24:24 25:5 26:9	unprompted 293:4	42:5,6,10 95:18	view 89:21 142:20
203:21 204:2	27:9 31:10 38:22	unquote 64:16	vague 158:16,23	172:13 219:23
206:1 237:3 239:7	43:24 49:18 55:17	111:3 283:1	vaguely 64:9	violated 84:12,21
244:3,5 245:25	55:22 57:1 68:12	unseal 106:25	van 53:16 145:9	violation 38:24
248:12,14 253:24	92:15 95:20,25	107:24	178:17 179:7	44:1 107:13 112:7
258:22 260:14	109:4,4 112:6,25	unsealing 109:9	180:14,15 183:13	116:2 273:15
263:8 276:18	132:23 135:14	unsupervised	183:20 184:14,20	<b>virtue</b> 32:11
294:11,25 295:15	149:24 166:10	155:11	206:17,17 237:17	visit 142:13
295:20 300:16	172:12 173:11	<b>unsure</b> 89:23	238:4,9,12 255:16	visiting 143:2,4
302:15	178:2 181:25	unusual 42:3 45:9	287:16 290:16	148:24
two-and-a-half-d	182:18 203:6	253:2 254:5,7,10	<b>various</b> 15:9 17:9	<b>voice</b> 7:12
100:6 102:13,17	208:12 228:22	254:10 255:8	120:19 198:22	<b>voir</b> 9:7,9,11,13
103:5,8 114:14	256:25 268:22	257:18 258:2	199:8 304:15	volume 200:7,9
two-block 181:13	275:12,24 278:6	264:13,22 270:23	verbally 76:24	221:6,7
two-page 88:11	283:24 284:24	270:23 272:10,21	verdict 29:18	volumes 200:9
156:22 207:9	290:7 299:16	274:3 277:21,22	251:16	voluntariness
230:12,13,14	understanding 6:8	278:16 285:18	<b>Verne</b> 131:6	104:4 211:9,18
type 63:17 243:18	6:9 31:14 37:6	300:20	version 49:15,19,25	220:24 224:24
253:6	85:24 94:15 95:2	updates 56:12	50:3 72:1 101:16	225:8 270:7
typed 188:15 298:5	95:13 121:3,9,12	updating 22:15,15	101:16 103:13,14	volunteer 211:13
typical 300:5	191:6,7 198:25	22:18	103:14 105:20,21	<b>vs</b> 1:4 107:13 308:4
typically 82:22	221:2 230:25	upper 251:3,9	105:22 117:18	
150:10 162:2	238:20 239:12,17	<b>upset</b> 71:16 194:12	119:10,11,12	W
179:15 229:12	242:6,8 245:19	194:19 289:24	123:11 156:22	<b>W</b> 2:10 309:3
	understandings	upsetting 43:9	159:24 170:14,24	wait 9:5 80:2 114:6
U	239:16	urgency 41:21 65:5	176:14 186:14	186:25 232:14
Uh 153:24	understood 27:11	<b>urgent</b> 41:23 67:11	195:19 207:9	259:11 267:11
<b>Uh-huh</b> 9:19 12:20	59:4 81:1,3 87:16	67:12,12	211:6 230:12,13	288:7
131:8 153:11	93:15 122:13	use 87:6 111:2	230:14	waiting 40:14
155:8 163:20	182:15 212:18	135:4,10 144:14	versions 291:14	walk 178:25 180:16
179:3 188:9 215:2	228:5 277:16	166:25 167:1	versus 7:18 76:20	184:16
228:20 247:4	undertaking	193:4 202:5 220:1	87:11 90:7 222:23	walking 53:18
266:1,18	<b></b>		273:15 276:20	145:9 183:20
				l

1				
206:19 237:18	118:14 120:24	301:2	80:8 82:15 83:8	271:2 278:8
238:4 255:17	128:7,13,14	wearer 63:9,11	103:21,23 116:12	287:11,17,22
want 5:10,11,17	137:20 138:19	wearing 166:15	117:6 139:9 145:1	288:12 290:20
32:16 41:4 46:23	140:3 159:19	167:8,22	176:23 186:15	whatsoever 15:3
50:8 65:13 66:11	161:24,24 163:3	Wednesday 34:17	189:5,5 248:25	White 27:2 60:11
69:21 72:22 77:24	168:11,25 169:21	58:23 70:8	267:1 274:18	68:9 85:4 104:3
82:13 84:10 87:6	170:5 171:9	week 44:12,25	281:7 297:20	114:3 156:12
88:22 99:25	173:21 174:15	55:14 56:12 70:14	299:1 305:8	196:1 219:4,8,19
106:11 107:16	175:7 190:23	137:24 142:1	we're 10:9,10 11:25	222:1,3,4 223:15
109:18,23,24	194:6 198:1 207:7	150:11 152:22	12:5 15:17 16:18	224:11 225:1
116:5 117:5	229:19 232:22	153:18,19 170:21	27:6 29:14 32:8	226:16 227:9
123:21 132:14	258:21,21 260:6	204:1 260:8 269:9	33:2 39:19 45:16	228:4 238:17
140:20,22 143:19	263:4,10 271:11	293:2	83:9 85:14 101:4	239:11 240:1
143:24 144:8	272:14 279:15	weekend 76:10	107:6 111:15	241:3,20 242:18
152:9,25 175:6	281:1 284:12	244:16 247:2	114:25 123:20	243:4,13
190:16 194:25	295:16,21 301:14	252:13 267:25	129:23 139:10	White's 225:11
197:2 221:4 223:5	was/was 310:1	268:3	163:16 171:21	242:7,11
223:12 225:2,16	watch 13:19 219:18	weeks 126:22 134:8	172:15,17 175:12	Whitley 164:18
241:21 243:24	watching 176:24	134:12 142:24	175:13,25 177:11	165:8,12 166:3
248:6 282:18	watching 170.24 way 7:5,11,17,24	148:11 150:7,7,13	178:21 186:4	whosever 246:3
284:24 302:23	17:1 20:11 23:25	168:15 203:17,18	194:21 200:18,19	wife 130:22 134:22
wanted 17:12 18:18	32:23 41:20 45:19	203:21,25 204:2	220:10 221:24	178:16 179:5
22:16,19 42:20	46:1 47:1 55:23	206:1 302:15	226:16,17 228:22	182:8 184:11
51:17,20 52:15	55:24 57:11 58:5	went 18:22 22:2	228:23 234:12,12	205:23 283:1,6
57:16 82:11 88:21	63:16 64:6 68:9	27:14 30:24 43:14	234:15,16 236:12	<b>Williamson</b> 1:4,21
101:3 108:21	68:25 71:12 77:8	45:19 46:1 48:25	239:24,24 240:2,3	3:19 4:17 24:11
128:5 140:22,25	77:16,19 81:9,18	74:7 75:20 78:23	240:4 243:12	68:5 228:10 279:3
142:3 146:2,4,12	84:5 91:13 97:16	84:16 143:7	251:8 264:25	279:6 308:4
142.3 140.2,4,12	98:21 100:13	145:20 149:5,8	267:3 271:5,6	willing 304:22
152:5 206:3	114:1 126:18	155:22 188:22	275:18 276:13,15	win 279:22
239:21 261:14,17	128:8 132:25	205:17 206:13	294:18,19 299:16	Wind 141:10,23,24
•			299:21,22 303:17	
262:20 264:18 279:22 294:9	133:11,25 134:6 134:14 138:11	210:8 214:10,14 214:22 216:23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	window 183:22
	148:5 154:7 165:1	227:8 267:13	304:5,7	wish 222:10,18 withdraw 114:19
wanting 42:1 wants 116:19 211:1	167:20 169:18	280:8 289:12	we've 7:1 12:2,9	withdrawn 29:16
249:18 275:6	171:16 172:10,18	292:1	13:13 14:5,6 23:25 32:10 43:19	46:15 69:9 82:17
285:1	174:6 179:5 183:1	weren't 65:1,1	48:20 69:22 87:4	100:12 114:19
wasn't 9:21 22:21		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	99:14 100:7	117:13 286:9
35:2 41:16,22	190:22 195:24 199:6 208:12	93:22,24 94:1	115:25 116:13	291:4 295:17
_		156:14 174:25,25 206:25 218:8		
42:1 52:3,14,19 62:6 63:21 67:7	210:25 211:1 218:6 233:20	267:11 279:3,21	129:23 144:17 150:19 195:17	300:18 withheld 83:22
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
71:20 84:11,14,14	234:4 258:11,15	wet 166:15	229:4 237:15	278:23
98:5 99:10 101:1	258:19 259:2	we'll 6:2 8:11 30:11	238:2,11 240:8	witness 1:16 2:15
103:20 105:10	261:21 269:12	31:1 46:3 59:25	246:14 248:5	3:14 8:24 16:20
111:11 115:21	283:20 300:23	68:12 72:20 76:6	268:5,6 269:4	25:10 50:11 98:6
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

107:8,9 116:20	93:20 94:3,17	297:14,17,18	101:25 108:18	135:22 136:4
121:13 122:14	100:21 101:15,24	299:9 300:19,19	117:1,19	137:14 138:11
123:10 142:12	102:10,19 103:3	303:3,9	word 15:14 65:4	143:9,24 146:8
143:13,14,16,19	103:18,25 104:15	wooded 53:17	80:7 85:14 125:1	161:13 165:12
144:11 148:23	106:23 107:1	145:9 179:1	144:3,5 166:24	166:10,19 174:17
168:21 169:19	115:10 117:12,14	180:16 181:17	167:1 183:9 256:7	176:15 186:1
194:14 196:12,15	118:20 120:1	183:6 184:16,21	wording 101:2	193:24 206:9
196:16 197:21,23	123:2,10,13 137:4	206:18 237:18	words 25:9 36:18	218:16 235:8,13
207:12 216:1,1	144:22 146:16	238:5 255:17	45:23 61:7 63:19	236:3 237:25
218:4 220:17	147:24 150:20	Woods 73:17 108:6	64:25 65:5,14	251:24 254:2,4
223:2 259:12	151:21 152:4,7,13	121:13 131:21	68:1 69:4 99:2	256:11,17 257:6
274:25 282:8	152:17,23 153:8	143:25 144:17,18	104:22 193:17	257:20 259:3
294:7,20 295:2,10	158:12,17,19	153:2 164:4	214:4 255:20	260:12 261:5
295:11,21 296:1,3	161:17 162:12,21	197:21 198:3,21	260:2 291:22	265:1 281:1
296:8 298:9	163:10,22 164:9	199:7,9,10 205:1	work 12:17 16:22	283:20 299:22
299:12 301:10,15	166:12,20 167:4	212:18 237:1	102:3 111:13	writ 17:4 51:9
302:16 306:2	171:6 174:3 187:5	246:9 250:15	124:17 127:3	116:1 189:12,20
308:12,15,17,18	190:3 193:12,14	257:4 259:5 260:3	134:21 142:7,8	write 87:1 90:5
witnesses 141:14	198:15 203:2	264:20 265:19	151:17 180:8,9	125:13 133:22
142:14,25 144:9	204:11,15,20	272:7 278:15	182:7 250:15	149:24 153:5
148:10,24 174:24	205:2 207:5,13,24	290:17 291:24	269:8 280:8,13	198:16 276:17
179:17 197:8	209:1 210:3 211:3	298:16 299:20,20	worked 18:13	writes 105:5
201:24 202:25	212:18 213:9,16	302:6,21	126:18 131:16	276:15
203:4,22 218:19	213:25 214:13	Wood's 29:21	199:6	writing 90:13
262:18 264:3	215:5,11,16,25	79:16,23 81:3	working 6:12 14:12	126:12 149:18
286:15 294:9,9	216:16 217:14	94:8 102:18	21:17 60:8 86:5	writings 9:24
301:11 303:7	218:4,10 220:8	105:19 115:13	123:23 128:16	writs 23:15,15
woman 131:10	223:10 224:4	116:24 118:6	134:11 205:16	24:21
132:8 134:18	229:7,16 230:9	121:4 138:21,24	208:13 233:12	<b>written</b> 141:18
135:5,18 155:14	232:21 234:10,22	147:8 189:15	260:8 302:14	187:14 203:14
191:22 192:14	238:22 239:10,18	210:9 211:15	304:12	223:9
237:2	241:8,8,21 242:17	214:7,8 217:7	works 149:25	wrong 166:14
won 142:10	242:25 243:2	218:22 225:5	world 10:10 194:9	167:24 291:20
wonder 251:20,21	244:11 248:18	244:23 247:6	300:23	wrote 122:4 124:3
wondering 10:12	252:9 257:24	249:5,11,20 250:4	worry 73:17	124:22,23,25
14:9,23	258:20 260:22	252:21 254:12	worst 27:1	125:18,19,24
wood 4:7 11:21	261:9,13,18,19,21	256:15 257:16	worth 2:13 86:21	126:3,5,15,25
30:6 47:24 48:23	261:24 262:3,3,6	264:11 266:22	275:4 277:19	127:13,16 141:2
50:2 59:16 60:3	262:10,16 265:7	268:10 269:17	wouldn't 22:11	141:18 142:17
60:16 71:18 75:25	266:8,16 267:12	270:17 273:3	81:19 90:13 91:17	148:17,22 149:2
76:1,14 79:4	270:5 271:10	276:22 277:12	97:17 111:18	149:14,16,22
80:12,24 81:2,7	274:2 288:23	285:8 288:10	112:15 114:16	166:3,8 205:6,10
82:24 83:19,23	289:7 290:2,5	289:13 290:24	118:2 120:5	213:25 219:6
85:3,12 87:18	291:8,9 295:16	295:6,18 299:24	128:23 131:19	259:20 261:3
90:21 91:2,11,25	296:12,25 297:4	Wood-Kirkpatrick	132:1,5 134:14,25	264:7 279:9

	•	•	i	1
301:22	283:21 286:7	144:18 145:4	17th 28:10 62:10	<b>20-page</b> 87:1
	287:18 290:10,13	186:12,17 193:19	69:11 252:19	<b>2001</b> 19:13,14
X	291:1	193:19,22,25	<b>18</b> 4:15 109:21	<b>2002</b> 85:2
<b>X</b> 181:22 182:3,19	yellow 246:1	<b>12-31-11</b> 309:19	111:10 139:14	<b>2010</b> 18:12
Xerox 105:10	yesterday 152:20	310:22	265:14 276:11	<b>2011</b> 1:11,18 3:21
	187:12 198:12	<b>13</b> 4:8 106:15	282:5 295:13	5:2 33:20 281:11
Y	York 2:14,14	139:13 195:4,5	<b>1800</b> 2:11	306:3 307:21
yeah 8:20,21 11:1,5		<b>13th</b> 94:18 131:2	<b>19</b> 3:21 4:16 51:7	308:8 309:15
13:7 27:17 33:16	Z	183:3 209:3	245:7,9,21 246:20	<b>2012</b> 18:12
34:12 38:14 39:21	zeal 283:15	210:19 212:20	246:21 248:7	<b>203</b> 309:12,24
48:9 50:23 56:3	zero 119:7 161:22	213:25 214:15,23	<b>19th</b> 37:14 40:11	<b>203.3</b> 310:14
62:12 64:19 74:23		216:17 223:24	40:12 56:18	<b>21</b> 4:19 207:24
82:5 87:15 90:24	<b>\$</b>	239:19 249:6,12	116:17	281:15
90:25 98:21	<b>\$1,000</b> 131:10	250:5 266:23	<b>1986</b> 11:22 48:24	21st 40:13 43:13
100:17 103:6,17		268:11 269:18	85:24 86:8 88:5	44:11,21 46:4
107:18 110:16	#	270:6,18 288:24	88:13,15 89:8	47:15 48:18 52:2
116:7 117:8,21	# <b>96675</b> 307:8	<b>130</b> 4:3	151:22 179:11	52:4,5,23 54:19
119:22 122:17	310:25	<b>13202</b> 179:5 184:7	183:3 195:22	55:7,11 56:4
124:15 129:21		<b>13204</b> 178:16	291:8	57:15,22 58:20
130:10,13,16	1	184:11	<b>1987</b> 115:12 118:5	59:6 60:20 64:21
140:19 144:25	1 2:5,7 3:13 5:1,4	<b>139</b> 4:4,5,6,8,10,11	169:3 176:4	69:11 70:3,7,19
146:14 147:2,15	7:2 11:21 23:22	4:13,14,15	252:14,19 292:21	76:11 77:12 79:1
149:11 152:5	23:24	<b>14</b> 4:10 139:13	296:24	80:23 81:25 82:16
154:23 158:6,8,16	<b>1:07</b> 3:4 139:12	142:1 195:6	1990's 23:16	83:16 84:6 90:17
158:18 172:17	<b>1:08</b> 139:10	142.1 193.0 14th 94:18 179:11	1990 \$ 25.10	91:9,20 93:1,6
173:8 185:1 189:3	<b>1:15</b> 129:25	183:3 210:20	2	94:25 95:3,15
189:11 206:8	<b>1:30</b> 116:14 280:4	212:21 216:17	<b>2</b> 3:2,14 5:1 7:8 8:9	99:6 101:9 105:15
219:10 223:18	10 4:4 15:15 25:24	223:24 239:20	10:6 11:19 17:4	108:16 109:7
229:10 242:1,12	64:8 69:23 139:13	268:11 269:18	23:21,23 25:1	110:3 111:19
245:18 246:15,17	176:16 177:17	288:24	83:13 141:6,7	112:16 113:8,18
248:24 254:16	183:12 237:15,20	<b>15</b> 4:11 27:3 72:21	189:12 200:9	114:12 151:14,22
255:1 264:7 266:4	10013 2:14	73:1,4 83:15 84:8	221:6,7	<b>212/364-5357</b> 309:4
266:24 272:4	<b>1016</b> 309:20 310:23	90:25 106:19	<b>2-A</b> 3:17 11:24 12:4	<b>22</b> 4:20 214:13
281:14 289:21	<b>106</b> 2:17 3:24	109:20 139:13	12:6	296:21 297:12
290:19	<b>108</b> 202:19 262:23	195:7 196:9	<b>2-6-87</b> 246:23,24	290.21 297.12 22nd 40:13 95:15
year 15:19 139:24	<b>11</b> 4:5 50:6 139:13	15th 132:2,3	<b>2:20</b> 3:5 139:12	188:8
139:25 165:16,18	180:19,19 181:4	137:21	<b>2:22</b> 139:15	<b>23</b> 72:15
266:13	<b>11:00</b> 153:12	<b>157</b> .21 <b>152</b> 14:2,11	<b>20</b> 4:17 40:13 87:4	<b>23</b> 72.13 <b>23rd</b> 58:20,23 59:8
years 15:5,14,15	<b>11:30</b> 130:11	<b>16</b> 4:13 139:13	90:14 99:14	66:1 70:8 91:21
23:13 25:24 27:3	<b>11:40</b> 3:4 83:11	250:23	109:20 111:9	
42:23 47:11 59:24	<b>11:41</b> 83:9	16th 66:9,12	114:25 116:8	93:1,7 95:16 99:7 100:14 101:9
64:8 86:21 144:2	<b>11:51</b> 3:4 83:11	<b>168</b> 144:18 145:4	119:20 245:7,22	105:15 106:2
147:24 176:16	<b>11:53</b> 83:12	145:13,14	245:25 301:11	103.13 106.2
189:24 218:6	<b>110</b> 14:1	<b>17</b> 4:14 139:14	<b>20th</b> 52:10,11,23	110:3 111:20
234:11 253:24	1144 282:22	265:13	56:1 70:6,7 83:16	110.5 111.20
256:24 264:1	<b>12</b> 3:17 4:6 139:13	203.13	95:15	114.1/113.7,17
			73.13	

114:12,22 118:19	276:1	<b>5:29</b> 3:6 275:20	<b>713/429-8050</b> 309:3	
120:10 304:1	<b>30th</b> 292:21	<b>5:30</b> 182:7 275:21	<b>73</b> 208:25	
305:6,10	<b>300</b> 2:11	<b>50</b> 86:21	<b>75</b> 148:10 295:12	
<b>2336</b> 309:18 310:21	<b>306</b> 3:7	<b>512</b> 309:21 310:24	<b>77057</b> 2:11	
<b>24</b> 185:12	<b>308</b> 3:8	<b>512/943-1234</b> 309:2	<b>78626</b> 2:5,8	
<b>24th</b> 48:23 151:25	<b>309</b> 3:9		<b>78664</b> 2:17	
153:12	<b>31</b> 1:11,18 243:12	6	<b>78752</b> 309:21	
<b>245</b> 4:16,17	248:6 306:3 308:8	6 3:22 48:15,20	310:24	
<b>25</b> 15:5,13 47:11	308:22	150:19 170:14		
59:23 189:24	31st 5:2	228:11 265:24	8	
218:6 234:11	<b>3341</b> 13:4,16	266:4 271:6	8 3:24 106:9,9,10	
256:24 264:1	<b>3366</b> 12:13,23	293:23 308:22	<b>8-13</b> 181:5	
283:21 286:7	13:25	<b>6th</b> 199:19 221:3	<b>8-14</b> 238:3	
287:18 290:10,13	<b>35</b> 144:2	221:24 230:20	<b>8-14-86</b> 177:20	
291:1	<b>36</b> 3:21	239:25 246:3,6,14	<b>8-15-86</b> 4:3 130:11	
<b>25th</b> 11:22 199:18	<b>362</b> 187:13	246:17,18 250:12	<b>80's</b> 30:19	
199:19 200:6,10		267:24 269:14	<b>82</b> 13:19 210:16,22	
202:23 203:7	4	296:24	<b>83</b> 211:12	
218:3,8 291:7	<b>4</b> 3:19 7:19 28:7	<b>6:00</b> 184:1	<b>84</b> 174:22	
<b>26</b> 234:11	39:19 48:5 50:22	<b>6:05</b> 3:6	<b>85</b> 174:23	
<b>26th</b> 1:6 22:4 66:15	275:22	<b>6:06</b> 303:17	<b>86</b> 86:14,17 95:5	
66:17 67:1,7	<b>4th</b> 45:1,13 56:11	<b>6:07</b> 1:19 305:13	123:17 177:7	
199:19 263:11	189:2	<b>60</b> 200:10 260:8	205:4	
269:13 291:8	<b>4:09</b> 3:5 221:15	<b>60-hour</b> 203:25	<b>86-452-K26</b> 1:1	
308:6	<b>4:10</b> 221:13	<b>63</b> 207:10	7:17 308:1	
<b>28</b> 3:19 221:9,21	<b>4:12</b> 3:6 221:15	<b>64</b> 115:25 266:2,3	<b>87</b> 123:17 254:1	
222:4 224:19,20	<b>4:14</b> 221:16	<b>65</b> 207:23 276:7,15	309:20 310:23	
225:13 240:3	<b>40</b> 2:13	276:16 286:12	<b>88</b> 17:16	
<b>281</b> 4:19	<b>405</b> 1:22 2:5,7	291:6		
<b>29</b> 40:20 41:12	<b>42</b> 90:4	<b>66</b> 291:19	9	
225:20 226:21	<b>43</b> 72:6,25	<b>67</b> 292:14 294:1	<b>9</b> 4:3 130:3,4,5	
236:13 240:11	<b>44-caliber</b> 130:18		136:19,20 137:2	
<b>294</b> 309:20 310:23	182:11	7	138:3 139:5	
<b>296</b> 4:20	<b>45</b> 78:1	7 3:23 17:4 23:21	237:10	
	<b>45-caliber</b> 182:11	23:23 25:2 49:24	<b>9th</b> 33:20 252:14	
3	<b>465-9100</b> 309:21	49:25 150:19	<b>9-27-86</b> 187:5	
<b>3</b> 3:18 5:1 7:13 12:9	310:24	157:2 159:23	<b>9/27/86</b> 4:6	
177:15	<b>48</b> 3:22	160:1 170:25	<b>9:49</b> 1:19 3:4	
<b>3rd</b> 45:3 281:10	<b>49</b> 3:23	171:1,5,21,22,23	<b>9:50</b> 5:3	
309:14		172:16 173:15	<b>90</b> 49:3 213:2	
<b>3:08</b> 3:5 177:13	5	176:6,14 177:2	<b>91</b> 17:17 214:12	
<b>3:09</b> 177:11	<b>5</b> 3:3,13,14,18,21	189:12 230:16,17	<b>9114</b> 181:6,18,20	
<b>3:15</b> 282:25	36:4,5,6 43:20,21	230:18 231:6,20	<b>92</b> 147:8	
<b>3:17</b> 3:5 177:13	43:22	232:11,16 233:10	<b>95</b> 149:16	
<b>3:19</b> 177:14	<b>5:20</b> 3:6 275:20	233:19 234:21	<b>96</b> 220:4	
<b>30</b> 238:15 241:3	<b>5:22</b> 275:18	<b>701</b> 2:13	<b>97</b> 149:15	
	l		l l	