

THE STATE OF TEXAS)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
)	
VS,)	COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS
)	
WARREN KENNETH PAXTON, JR.)	416 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ON FIRST APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
AS THE STATUTE IS NOT ONE UNDER WHICH
PAXTON CAN BE CHARGED**

On the 1st day of December, 2015, the Court heard the Defendant's First Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus as the Statute Is Not One Under Which Paxton Can Be Charged.

The Court, having considered the evidence, arguments of counsel, and applicable case law, finds the allegations in the Defendant's First Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus as the Statute Is Not One Under Which Paxton Can Be Charged to be without merit. It is thereby ORDERED that the Defendant's First Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus as the Statute Is Not One Under Which Paxton Can Be Charged be DENIED.

SIGNED this 12th day of December, 2015.


JUDGE PRESIDING

THE STATE OF TEXAS)(IN THE DISTRICT COURT
)(
VS,)(COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS
)(
WARREN KENNETH PAXTON, JR.)(416TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ON SECOND APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
BASED ON OFFENSE CHARGE BEING
UNCONSTITUTIONALLY VAGUE**

On the 1st day of December, 2015, the Court heard the Defendant's Second Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus Based on Offense Charge Being Unconstitutionally Vague.

The Court, having considered the evidence, arguments of counsel, and applicable case law, finds the allegations in the Defendant's Second Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus Based on Offense Charge Being Unconstitutionally Vague to be without merit. It is thereby ORDERED that the Defendant's Second Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus Based on Offense Charge Being Unconstitutionally Vague be DENIED.

SIGNED this 12th day of December, 2015.


JUDGE PRESIDING

THE STATE OF TEXAS)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
)	
VS,)	COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS
)	
WARREN KENNETH PAXTON, JR.)	416 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ON FOURTH APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
BASED UPON THE LANGUAGE IN THE INDICTMENT RELATING TO
THE OFFENSE IS UNCONSTITUTIONALLY
OVERBROAD AND VAGUE**

On the 1st day of December, 2015, the Court heard the Defendant's Fourth Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus Based Upon the Language in the Indictment Relating to the Offense is Unconstitutionally Overbroad and Vague.

The Court, having considered the evidence, arguments of counsel, and applicable case law, finds the allegations in the Defendant's Fourth Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus Based Upon the Language in the Indictment Relating to the Offense is Unconstitutionally Overbroad and Vague to be without merit. It is thereby ORDERED that the Defendant's Fourth Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus Based Upon the Language in the Indictment Relating to the Offense is Unconstitutionally Overbroad and Vague be DENIED.

SIGNED this 12th day of December, 2015.


JUDGE PRESIDING

NO. 416-81913-2015

THE STATE OF TEXAS)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
)	
VS,)	COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS
)	
WARREN KENNETH PAXTON, JR.)	416 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ON MOTION TO QUASH INDICTMENT
FOR FAILURE TO COMMENCE PROSECUTION
WITHIN THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS**

On the 1st day of December, 2015, the Court heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictment for Failure to Commence Prosecution Within the Statute of Limitations.

The Court, having considered the evidence, arguments of counsel, and applicable case law, finds the allegations in the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictment for Failure to Commence Prosecution Within the Statute of Limitations to be without merit. It is thereby ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictment for Failure to Commence Prosecution Within the Statute of Limitations be DENIED.

SIGNED this 12th day of December, 2015.


JUDGE PRESIDING

NO. 416-81913-2015

THE STATE OF TEXAS)	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
)	
VS,)	COLLIN COUNTY, TEXAS
)	
WARREN KENNETH PAXTON, JR.)	416 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ORDER ON MOTION TO QUASH INDICTMENT
NO. 416-81913-2015 FOR FAILURE TO GIVE ADEQUATE NOTICE
OF SPECIFIC CHARGES AND FOR FAILURE TO
STATE AN OFFENSE

On the 1st day of December, 2015, the Court heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictment No. 416-81913-2015 for Failure to Give Adequate Notice of Specific Charges and for Failure to State an Offense.

The Court, having considered the evidence, arguments of counsel, and applicable case law, finds the allegations in the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictment No. 416-81913-2015 for Failure to Give Adequate Notice of Specific Charges and for Failure to State an Offense to be without merit. It is thereby ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictment No. 416-81913-2015 for Failure to Give Adequate Notice of Specific Charges and for Failure to State an Offense be DENIED.

SIGNED this 12th day of December, 2015.



JUDGE PRESIDING

NO. 416-81913-2015
NO. 416-82148-2015
NO. 416-82149-2015

THE STATE OF TEXAS)
)
VS,)
)
WARREN KENNETH PAXTON, JR.)
) 416TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ON MOTION TO QUASH INDICTMENTS BECAUSE
JUDGE OLDNER'S CUMULATIVE ACTIONS COMPROMISED
THE INTEGRITY OF THE INDICTMENT PROCESS**

On the 1st day of December, 2015, the Court heard the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictments Because Judge Oldner's Cumulative Actions Compromised the Integrity of the Indictment Process.

The Court, having considered the evidence, arguments of counsel, and applicable case law, finds the allegations in the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictments Because Judge Oldner's Cumulative Actions Compromised the Integrity of the Indictment Process to be without merit. It is thereby ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion to Quash Indictments Because Judge Oldner's Cumulative Actions Compromised the Integrity of the Indictment Process be DENIED.

SIGNED this 12th day of December, 2015.



JUDGE PRESIDING

NO. 416-81913-2015
NO. 416-82148-2015
NO. 416-82149-2015

THE STATE OF TEXAS)
)
VS,)
)
WARREN KENNETH PAXTON, JR.)
) 416TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

**ORDER ON PETITION TO DISCLOSE
GRAND JURY PROCEEDINGS**

On the 1st day of December, 2015, the Court heard the Defendant's
Petition to Disclose Grand Jury Proceedings.

The Court, having considered the evidence, arguments of counsel, and
applicable case law, finds the requested discovery of the testimony of any
witnesses who testified before the Grand Jury, if any, should be GRANTED. IT
IS ORDERED that the State of Texas shall have any and all testimony of any
witness who testified in person before the Grand Jury be transcribed. The State
of Texas shall not release this testimony to Counsel for the Defendant until the
Court finalizes further discovery releases in a subsequent order of the Court.

Any further relief requested in this Motion is hereby DENIED.

SIGNED this 12th day of December, 2015.


JUDGE PRESIDING

