Page 311

CAUSE NO. 86-452-K26

THE STATE OF TEXAS) IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF

Plaintiff(s)

VS.

) WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS

MICHAEL MORTON

Defendant(s).) 26TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

ANDERSON, produced as a witness at the instance of the Defendant, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 11th of November, 2011, from 9:18 a.m. to 11:45 a.m., before Glenda Fuller, CSR in and for the State of Texas, reported by machine shorthand, at the offices of Dietz & Jarrard, 106 Fannin Avenue East, Round Rock, Texas, pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

t

ORAL AND VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of KEN

		1	
Pa	age 312		Page 314
1 APPEARANCES		1	(Anderson Exhibits Nos. 23-28 marked for
FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S):		2	identification.)
3 Mr. Lindsey Roberts First Assistant District Attorney		3	VIDEO TECHNICIAN: It's November 11th,
4 405 Martin Luther King Street, No. I		4	2011; the approximate time is 9:18. We are on the
Georgetown, Texas 78626		5	record beginning tape number one.
FOR THE DEFENDANT(S):		6	(Witness sworn.)
6 Mr. John W. Raley (via telephone) RALEY & BOWICK		7	KEN ANDERSON,
7 I800 Augusta Drive, Suite 300 Houston, Texas 77057		8	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
8		9	EXAMINATION
Mr. Barry Scheck (via internet) 9 Ms. Nina Morrison (via internet)		10	BY MR. SCHECK:
INNOCENCE PROJECT		11	Q. Mr. Anderson, we have marked the transcript of
40 Worth Street, Suite 701 New York, New York 10013		12	the deposition so far as Exhibit 23. Have you reviewed
FOR THE WITNESS:		13	that?
12 Mr. R. Mark Dietz		14	A. I have.
DIETZ & JARRARD 13 106 Fannin Avenue East		15	Q. Do you have any changes that you would like to
Round Rock, Texas 78664		16	make?
ALSO PRESENT:		17	A. I'm in the process of reviewing it. I have
15 Mr. Clinton Knorrp Mr. Jason Lantz (via internet)		18	read through it a couple of times. Are you talking
16 Ms. Rachel Peck (via internet)		19	about my prior I mean the beginning of this
Mr. AI Rodriguez, Videographer		20	deposition two weeks ago?
18		21	Q. That is correct.
19 20		22	A. I have got a couple of pages of notes, but I
21 22		23	didn't bring them with me. The one thing that I
23		24	didn't doesn't come through necessarily is the
24 25		25	overall context. I mean my testimony is and truthfully
Pa	ige 313		Page 315
1 INDEX		1	is that I have no recollection of the events that
2 PAGE		2	happened back in '86, '87 having to do with the trial,
3 Appearance 312		3	the investigation, the appeal motion for a new trial;
Examination by Mr. Scheck 314 4 9:18 a.m 11:45 a.m.		4	and I said that numerous times throughout the
9:45 - 9:47 recess		5	deposition. What I want to make clear is that you had
5 10:53 - 11:12 recess		6	showed me a bunch of documents, and I had reviewed some
11:43 - off record		7	of the trial transcript. And I did the best I could
6 Changes and Signature 394 Reporter's Certification 396		8	based upon that information to give the answers to
7 Reporter's Further Certification 397		9	questions that you were asking. But the answer to any
8 EXHIBITS		10	of those questions is that I have no recollection
9 PAGE MARKED		11	specific recollection of things that happened, 25, 24,
10 Exhibit 23 Volume 1 of Ken Anderson Deposition 314 11 Exhibit 24 Morton Chronology 314		12	23 years ago.
12 Exhibit 25 Court of Appeals Decision 314		13	Q. Just two points that I wanted to ask you about
13 Exhibit 26 9/6/2006 Letter from Mr. Bradley to		14	from the deposition. The first one is on page 67, line
Parole Board 314		15	22, but you may recall it.
Exhibit 27 10/19/2009 Letter from Mr. Bradley to		16	A. Before we get there we also I mean the dates
15 Parole Board 314		17	were wrong that we were talking about for the day the
16 Exhibit 28 Mr. Bradley's Press Release 314		18	I don't know if the hearing day was right, but the
17 18		19	day I think you kept talking about the 21st, as if it
19		20	was a Monday; and when I looked at a calendar, the 22nd
20		21	was the Monday of that week.
21	and the consequence of the conse	22	Q. Okay. What you are talking about now is August
22 23	www.	23	when you came back from Colorado, correct?
24	anneas ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann a	24	A. Right. Monday, August 22nd, would have been
25		25	the day. So I came back that weekend, would have been

2 (Pages 312 to 315)

6

7

8

10

Page 316

in my office probably Sunday night. And then you had asked a bunch of questions about -- it looked to me like 3 you and I both were assuming that the 21st was actually 4 the Monday.

- 5 Q. Then whatever it is I think we can mutually 6 agree that we will correct the record as to the date, 7 but the substance of it is -- the sequence is that there was a Sunday that you came back in August and reviewed 9 the motion to recuse --
- 10 A. It probably was a Saturday that I got back. I 11 don't know if I came to the office that evening or the 12 following Sunday, probably the following -- I mean that 13
- 14 Q. And then there's a Monday when you had a 15 meeting with Mike Davis, correct?
- 16 A. If it was that day, it likely was. But it was 17 Monday, and I believe that was August 22nd. Now I also 18 said in the deposition that I was busy in court that 19 week. I have looked back, and I didn't have a lot of 20 court that week. I just assumed that I had. I probably 21 was busy, but that was a jury week, and I had civil and 22 criminal cases set, and none of them went to trial. We were busy that morning, Monday morning, but I was not 24 busy in court that whole week. 25 Q. Any other corrections that you can think of?

Page 317

A. The main thing was just to make sure that everybody understood. And I think when you and I were talking, we understood the context was that I have no recall of what I did during the trial, trial strategy, any of those type of questions. And I was doing the best I could, I guess, to speculate or whatever based upon my review of the trial transcript and whatever documents you were asking me. A couple of times you asked me to assume certain things about those documents, which I did; and I was doing the best I could given those facts.

12 Q. Okay. We appreciate that. Now, at page 67 at 13 one point you were -- you said something -- well, I will 14 read it to you.

15 It really is page 68, where you said so I asked -- "So in other words --" 16

17 And your answer was: "-- in terms of 18 figuring out everything, I mean, I was getting the 19 impression that you knew things, the Travis County DA or the Austin Police knew things." 20

- 21 A. I'm sorry, where are you?
- 22 Q. Page 68, line 1.

3

6

7

8

10

11

- 23 A. Okay. I'm sorry.
- 24 Q. "The Williamson County DA was maybe the last
- 25 person to find out. Well, except possibly for me. And

probably it was in the newspaper before I found out

Page 318

- 1 2 about it, but whatever. But early on in September I
- thought it was way past time. Like I said, White,
- 4 Allison, and I should have sat down a long time ago and
- 5 hashed this thing out."
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you mean by that when you said, "White, Allison, and I should have sat down a long time ago and hashed this thing out." What did you mean?

A. Well, this has been going on for 25 years, and

11 obviously something very wrong happened. And I don't 12 know how we could have gotten to this point where it 13 took 25 years to figure this out. And, you know, we 14 have an adversarial system, and I understand that. But 15 at some point, you know, lawyers just need to say, hey, 16 let's quit being lawyers for a second, and let's see if 17 we can't figure out. I mean, they were being pretty 18 darn persistent in pursuing these claims. And I don't 19 know that I have seen Bill Allison in 25 years. I may 20 have, but I see White occasionally. I don't even know 21 if it's annually, but I have certainly seen him. And at 22 some point I think it might have been just a really good 23 idea for the three of us to sit down and let's just talk 24 about it and see if there wasn't some way we could have

25

5

6

Page 319

1 years of litigation. 2 3

Q. Well -- but, let me call your -- the "this" is the issue is whether or not the complete Wood report and field notes were produced for Judge Lott?

come to some resolution of this rather than having 25

A. No, I'm talking about the overall issue of guilt/innocence here.

7 Q. Okay. The next question I had for you was: --8 you had said in your deposition that there was something 9 in the transcript that you had seen -- the trial 10 transcript -- this is actually on page 203 or 204 --11 that made you believe that your concentrated work in 12 this case in terms of interviewing witnesses and 13 mastering details occurred in the two weeks prior to the 14 trial. Do you recall that?

A. Yes, sir.

15 16 Q. Thank you. What was that in the transcript 17 that made you believe that you were doing the most 18 intensive work where you were interviewing witnesses and reviewing documents and mastering the details of the 19 20 case in the two weeks prior to the trial? 21

A. I looked at that when I reread it and, you know, my standard practice would be to work the week before a trial. There was something in the transcript, and I really don't recall now and -- what it was that made me think that I had started that process earlier.

3 (Pages 316 to 319)

22

23

24

Page 320 Page 322 1 O. Okay. produced to Judge Lott for in camera inspection; that 2 A. I mean it wouldn't be unusual given the number is, the complete report and a complete set of his field 3 notes, because of statements Mike Davis made that those of witnesses to have spent a full week getting ready, but it could possibly have been started two weeks documents were sizeable, about an inch thick. Do you 5 before. 5 remember that? 6 Q. Now, I would like to -- we have marked as 6 A. What am I being asked to remember? Because you 7 Exhibit 24 a chronology of events to try to assist us as 7 represented that Mr. Davis said he didn't have any 8 we go through this. And we have also marked as Exhibit quarrel with that -- making that statement in the 9 25 the December 14th, 1998, decision of the Court of deposition. And when I read the deposition, it was 10 Appeals. Okay. Do you have those documents before you? 10 quite different. 11 A. This is the original direct appeal, is Exhibit 11 Q. Okay. Why don't we just deal with it this way, 12 **25?** 12 okay? You read Bill Allison's motion? 13 Q. That's correct? 13 A. Motion for a new trial back in 1987, 14 A. All right. 14 presumably. 15 Q. Now, you recall the -- in our prior deposition 15 Q. Right. Right after the verdict, correct? that we reviewed together Bill Allison's motion for a 16 16 A. I have no knowledge, but I had marked it, and I new trial based on the statements that Mike Davis made 17 17 can't imagine that I didn't see a motion for new trial 18 before the jury after the verdict, right? 18 when it came into my office. 19 19 A. Mr. Scheck, you are a still image now on the Q. In other words, you must have -- in accordance 20 computer screen so that kind of distracted me, and you 20 with routine you must have seen that motion for a new 21 are still a still image. Now we are back to me. 21 trial, right? 22 Q. Well, why don't we just continue with you for a 22 A. Correct. 23 Q. And in that motion for a new trial he says that 23 minute, if that's okay. 24 A. I would kind of like to look at you. 24 Davis said that the Wood report -- the complete Wood 25 MR. SCHECK: Why don't we just stop the reports and field notes were sizeable, about an inch Page 323 Page 321 time then for a second and try to fix these difficulties 1 thick, right? 2 if that's okay. Are we back now? 2 A. I believe so. 3 THE WITNESS: Well, we may have swapped 3 Q. And he was asking for a hearing to see if all 4 places. of those Wood reports had been produced to Judge Lott, 4 5 MR. DIETZ: Swap screens again if you can. 5 correct? 6 6 MR. SCHECK: It seems the only way to do A. I believe that's correct. 7 that --7 I have lost the screen again. Can you see 8 THE WITNESS: I will do it here. Let me 8 me? 9 swap the low tech solution here. There we go. 9 MR. SCHECK: I can see you. I mean, if 10 MR. DIETZ: There we go. 10 it's okay, we will just proceed with you hearing me, if 11 MR. SCHECK: Okay. You are covering up 11 not seeing me. Is that all right by you? 12 the web cam it looks like. 12 THE WITNESS: Well, I would rather see you 13 MR. DIETZ: If you pull down that upper 13 because it's a lot easier to hear your -- understand 14 right. 14 your questions when I can actually see you. But right 15 THE WITNESS: I will let you-all do this. 15 now I'm looking at what looks like a screen saver. 16 MR. SCHECK: Okav. 16 MR. SCHECK: We see him. 17 THE WITNESS: All right. Now you are live 17 MR. DIETZ: Can you see him? Just hold on 18 18 again. a second. That's what happened. It went from --19 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Now, Mr. Anderson, we reviewed 19 THE WITNESS: It minimized, I think is together the motion for a new trial that Mr. Allison 20 what it is called. 21 filed after hearing statements made by Mike Davis in 21 MR. DIETZ: There you go. We are back 22 front of the jury after the verdict, right? 22 going. 23 A. Correct. 23 THE WITNESS: All right. 24 Q. And you recall that Mr. Allison's motion was 24 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) All right. So now that you -that the complete Wood documents may not have been 25 so you were aware of the allegation that Bill Allison

4 (Pages 320 to 323)

1

2

Page 324

was making that the complete set of field notes and Wood report had not been disclosed to Judge Lott?

- A. Evidently I would have been aware of whatever was in the motion for new trial. If that was in there, evidently I was.
- Q. All right. Now, why -- withdrawn. In reviewing the transcript and the proceedings now, you are taking the position that you were only obligated to turn over reports by Wood that related to the statements that Michael Morton made on 11 August 13th and 14th?
- 12 A. That and his field notes appears -- is my 13 understanding based upon reviewing the transcript today 14 of what I was required to turn over -- what I told Judge 15 Lott I would turn over.
- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't quite hear that. You are 16 17 saying both the -- a written -- a formal offense report and field notes by Sergeant Wood relating just to the 19 statements of Michael Morton is what you were obligated 20 to turn over, that's how you read the transcript?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

3

4

6

7

8

9

15

18

23

- 22 Q. Okay. And so if you had followed your 23 understanding of Judge Lott's order, then wouldn't it be
- fair to say that you knew at the end of this trial when
- Bill Allison made his motion that you had only turned

Page 325

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- over the report and field notes of Wood concerning 2 Michael Morton's statements?
 - A. Well, I don't know what I would have known at the end of the trial, but reviewing the transcript my thoughts are that I was supposed to turn over the offense report Wood wrote relating to the August 13 conversation -- or whatever day it was -- and the field notes.
- Q. Right. And so all of Wood's reports concerning other parts of the investigation, other witnesses he interviewed, other investigations he did, you, looking at this record, do not believe you are obligated to turn 13 over to Judge Lott for in camera inspection; is that 14 correct?
- A. I don't know what I would have thought back in 16 1986 or '87, but reviewing the transcript you came up with a different conclusion than I did, but that appears to me to be what Judge Lott wanted. And, of course, Judge Lott looked at whatever I did turn over and didn't 20 say anything -- at least not on the record -- didn't say anything other than he evidently was satisfied. And 22 then when you get to the Court of Appeals' opinion, you look at it, and they refer to it as field notes.
- 24 Q. Mr. Anderson -- Mr. Anderson --
- 25 A. I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you.

- Q. Let's just focus on this issue. You read the record now --
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. -- that you were only obligated to turn over Wood's report and field notes with respect to Michael
- Morton's statement, that's correct?
- 7 A. In the November hearing I think anybody who 8 reads that would think that. And then in the February hearing --
- 10 Q. Can you answer my question? Just try to be 11 responsive.
- 12 A. I'm trying to, Mr. Scheck, but I can't hear 13 vour words.
- 14 Q. My question to you is: Is it not your 15 understanding of the record now that you have reviewed 16 it that you are only obligated to turn over to Judge 17 Lott the Wood report and field notes about Michael 18 Morton's statement; is that correct?
- A. Sitting here today by reviewing the transcript 19 20 that's my thoughts.
- 21 Q. Now, based on that understanding the way you 22 read the record is that you are not obligated to turn 23 over to Judge Wood (sic) the complete report of Sergeant
- 24 Wood and field notes concerning all of his other
- investigative activity, correct?

Page 327

Page 326

- A. Correct. It appears we were talking about the statements --
 - Q. The answer to my question is yes?
- A. Okay. You are getting upset, and I'm not quite sure what you expect out of me at this point.
- Q. What I am -- what I am trying -- what I expect of you, Mr. Anderson, is to be responsive. I'm asking you a simple question. I can have the court reporter read it back to you if you want. Can you answer the question I asked you, yes or no?
- A. The best I can tell you is what I just said, which is reviewing the transcript today, trying to figure out what Judge Lott wanted me to do, it begins obviously with me making the offer to Judge Lott to provide the offense report.
- Q. We are not going to review all of that. I'm going to ask you this question, and let's see if you can answer it yes or no. All right? Listen carefully please.

Mr. Anderson, based on your review of the record you do not believe that you were obligated to produce for Judge Lott the offense -- complete report of Sergeant Wood and his field notes concerning all of his other investigative activity; the only thing you were supposed to turn over had to do with Michael Morton's

5 (Pages 324 to 327)

Page 328 Page 330 statement, right? report that covered a lot of investigative activities 1 2 A. Yeah, it would be his report and field notes. 2 that was about an inch thick and was alleging that that 3 Q. His report and field notes concerning the 3 had not been turned over to Judge Lott. All right? Do 4 statement were the only thing you felt -- the only thing you have that in mind? That's what happened, right? 5 in reading this record you believe you were obligated to 5 A. That's the third thing I have in mind. 6 turn over; is that right, can you answer that yes or no? 6 Q. -- happened? We know that happened, right? 7 A. That would be correct based upon what I know --7 A. We know what happened? 8 Q. Question -- next question. Based on your 8 Q. We know he filed that motion, right? 9 understanding of the record, you did not believe you 9 A. Yes. were obligated to turn over Sergeant Wood's report and 10 Q. Now, if in fact you have only turned over field notes concerning all of his other investigative 11 11 Wood's report about Michael Morton's statement, why 12 activities in this case, yes or no? 12 didn't you then say to Judge Lott, oh, yes, Wood has a A. I don't see any place where we talked about 13 13 report that contains many more things about his 14 that. 14 investigative activities, both the report and field 15 Q. I'm asking you now, based on your review of 15 notes? 16 this record. 16 A. If I make all of those assumptions -- I don't 17 A. Yes, sir. 17 know what I did 25 years ago. I know how I handle --18 Q. I'm asking you now --Q. Isn't it your view that you were not obligated 18 19 to produce for Judge Lott for in camera inspection 19 A. I know how people handle motions for new trial, Sergeant Wood's reports -- complete reports and field 20 20 which basically is the prosecutor would read it. But 21 notes about all of his other investigative activities? 21 it's between the judge and the defense attorney until 22 A. That's the best of my understanding from the the judge orders a hearing. 22 23 23 transcript, yes, sir. Q. Well, is it your position then based on the 24 Q. So if that is your understanding today, would answer that you just gave, you did not have any kind of 24 it not be a fair assumption that that was your 25 obligation to tell Judge Lott, oh, no, I only turned Page 329 Page 331 understanding at the time of this trial? over Wood's reports about Michael Morton's statements. 2 A. I -- there's no way I can figure out what my 2 I didn't turn over his complete report and field notes 3 understanding was 25 years ago. 3 about all of his other investigative activities? 4 Q. All right. Then let me just ask you -- well, A. I don't know what I thought my obligations were 25 years ago. wait a second. You have reviewed the transcript, 5 6 correct? 6 Q. I'm asking you now. 7 7 A. Okay. A. Correct. 8 8 Q. And you are now telling us about your Q. Okay. I'm asking you now, assuming that you interpretation about your interpretation about what you 9 acted based on your current understanding of the record 9 and only turned over Wood's report about Michael were obligated to produce and not obligated to produce. 10 Okay? Is that right? 11 Morton's statements and field notes, and you didn't turn 11 over the rest of his report about other investigative 12 A. I'm telling you what I read in the transcript. 12 activities. Do you understand -- you understand that, 13 Q. Let us assume for these purposes that you acted 13 at the time of the trial, based on what you now believe 14 14 right? 15 15 is your understanding of what you were obligated to do. A. I do. I maybe need to go back and reread his 16 Do you have that in mind? 16 motion for new trial because -- was all of that in there 17 A. All right. 17 that you the are talking about? 18 Q. Yeah, we will read it now. Let's take a break 18 Q. And that would mean that at the time of the 19 for a second, and we will go get that. Okay? 19 trial all that you did was produce for Judge Lott the report and field notes of Sergeant Wood concerning 20 A. Okav. 20 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: It's 9:45. We are off Michael Morton's statement. Do you have that in mind? 21 21 22 22 A. Okay. I will assume that, too. the record. 23 23 Q. All right. Now, if that is in fact what (Recess from 9:45 to 9:47) happened, when Bill Allison made this motion after the 24 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: It's 9:48. We are on trial alleging that Sergeant Wood had an extensive 25 the record.

6 (Pages 328 to 331)

5

13

14

15

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 332

1 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Now, you have reviewed the 2 motion; have you not?

A. Yes, sir, I just read it.

- Q. Okay. Now, does it refresh your recollection that Bill Allison recited the conversation that he said Mike Davis had before the jury?
- A. I don't know that it refreshes my memory, but it certainly -- I can read what it says and it talks about Mike Davis's --
- 10 Q. It says -- it says that the "reports were sizeable," and "he held up his hand and indicated one 11 12 inch between his fingers" --
 - A. Right.
- 14 Q. -- right?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

19

1

2

3

5

6

12

17

- 15 A. That's what this motion for new trial says.
- 16 Q. And he said that if the defense got -- had 17 gotten them, they would have been able to raise even 18 more doubts than they did, right?
 - A. That's what the motion for new trial says.
- 20 Q. And he says, "that further remarks made in the jury room that the reports contain leads concerning 21
- other unusual happenings or strange persons in the 22
- neighborhood," right? 23
- 24 A. Right, I'm reading along with you.
- 25 Q. You see that, right?

agree that you were obligated to tell Judge Lott that in 1

- fact the complete report and field notes of Sergeant
- 3 Wood concerning his investigative activities had not 4
 - been turned over to him for in camera review?

A. Based upon this motion for new trial?

- 6 Q. Yes. Based on the allegations here, do you 7 believe that an attorney in -- who knew that the
- complete reports had not been turned over would have an
- obligation to tell Judge Lott right then and there, no,
- I did not produce a full set of Sergeant Wood's reports, 10
- I only produced his reports and field notes with respect 12 to the Morton statement?
 - A. If you want to ask that hypothetically about what an attorney has an obligation to do --
- 16 A. -- I might can answer that. But I have no idea 17 how I would have reacted to this motion for new trial.
- 18 Q. I'm not asking you how you would have 19 reacted ---
- 20 A. But if I had known what I think I know or at 21
- least what the transcript shows, I would have thought, well, you know -- I probably would have thought that
- 23 there's a lot in this motion for a new trial that's just 24
- not accurate. 25
 - Q. Let me ask it to you again. If, in fact, you

knew at the time of this trial that you had only

Page 333

Page 335

Page 334

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And it says, if disclosed to the defense, they would have been relevant to the jury on the issue of whether or not the Defendant committed the crime; it says that, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Okay. Now, he then goes on to say that if the 8 Court does not take immediate steps to preserve these 9 reports, they may be destroyed or removed, then they would not be available for use in the appeal or 11 subsequent trial. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. Now, assuming that action at the time of the 14 trial, based on your current understanding, and only had produced for Judge Lott Wood's report and field notes concerning Michael Morton's statements, why wouldn't you, when you saw this motion for a new trial, say to Judge Lott that in fact you had not turned over the 18 19 complete set of documents by Sergeant Wood?
- 20 A. Even making all of those assumptions there's no 21 way I can answer that, what I did 20-some years ago, 25 22 years ago, I guess.
- 23 Q. Well, let me ask you this: Assuming that your 24 understanding at the time of the trial was, as you have told us today and at the last deposition; do you not

- produced Sergeant Wood's offense report and field notes with respect to the Morton statement and this motion for a new trial is filed saying that the complete report of Wood's field notes had not been produced for in camera review. Did you not have an obligation to disclose to
- 6 7 the judge that the full set of reports had not been 8 turned over?
- 9 A. And I tried to explain that. If my 10 understanding was what it is -- what I think it is from 11 the transcript, when I saw this, I would have thought 12 this was a gross misrepresentation of what Judge Lott 13 had ordered me to do.
 - Q. Well, even if it is a gross misrepresentation of what Judge Lott ordered you to do, didn't you have an obligation to say, Judge, I was never asked by you to turn over the complete set of Wood reports about his -all of his other investigate activities, I only gave you the Wood reports about the statements. Didn't you have an obligation to make that clear?
 - A. There's no mechanism to do that. You know, a motion for new trial is filed, a prosecutor looks at it, it goes to the judge for him. The judge knowing whatever he knew at the time is either going to order a hearing or not order a hearing.

7 (Pages 332 to 335)

Page 336 Page 338 1 Q. Wait a second. 1 A. Again, I have no idea what I thought 25 years 2 A. There's no input from the prosecutor in this --2 ago. 3 Q. Wait a second. 3 Q. I'm not asking what you thought, I'm asking you 4 A. And I'm sorry, I can't hear you. 4 now. Do you not believe you had an obligation to clear 5 Q. My question -- you said there was no mechanism 5 up Bill Allison's misunderstanding? 6 to you to make this clear that the full report hadn't 6 A. In a hypothetical case does a prosecutor have 7 7 been turned over; is that what you just said? an obligation to clear up a misunderstanding when a 8 A. I am saying that when a motion for a new 8 defense attorney files something that evidently is not 9 trial -correct to a trial judge who would know what the correct Q. I --10 10 facts were, I can't say that. 11 A. You are talking, and I can't hear you. Q. Well, wait a second. When you say what was 11 12 Q. All right. Let me ask it to you very simply. 12 incorrect about the motion is that he was incorrect in 13 THE WITNESS: Can the court reporter hear 13 saying that you were obligated to turn over the complete 14 you? Wood report and field notes, that's what was wrong with 14 15 15 it, correct? THE REPORTER: Not when he does that. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. She's not getting 16 A. That is my understanding of one of the things 17 this either because we can't hear what you are saying. 17 that's wrong with it, yes. 18 18 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. Let me -- let's --Q. Okay. So why could you not -- withdrawn. 19 let's just speak more slowly and see if we can make it 19 You could have filed a response that said 20 20 Allison is wrong about his claim that I was supposed to happen. 21 After this motion for a new trial was 21 turn all of this over. I didn't turn it all over. You 22 filed, you could have filed a response, correct? 22 could have just said that, right? 23 A. I don't know that I ever have filed a response 23 A. In theory I could have filed a response. Like 24 to a motion for a new trial. I may have, but it's not 24 I said, I don't recall that I have ever filed a response something that would normally be something I would do. to a motion for a new trial. And Judge Lott would have Page 339 Page 337 Q. But whatever you would normally do or not, the 1 had at least as good a knowledge of the facts as I did 1 mechanism was available for you to file a response to 2 at this point. 3 the motion for a new trial, correct? Q. Well, Judge Lott would not have had knowledge that there was in fact an inch worth of reports from 4 A. I don't know if there was a mechanism. 4 5 5 Sergeant Wood's field notes and offense report that Obviously I could have filed a response. 6 Q. Thank you. And if you had filed a response, 6 talked about suspicious people being in the neighborhood you could have said, Judge, you did not ask me to turn 7 7 at or around the time of the crime? over the complete Wood report and field notes about all 8 A. I don't know what he would have had knowledge of his other investigative activities, you only asked me 9 of. But obviously he wouldn't -- a judge doesn't to produce the documents concerning the Morton 10 usually have knowledge of everything in everybody's 10 statements. You could have said that in a response, 11 file. 11 12 Q. Right, but you had knowledge of the report from 12 correct? 13 Traylor that a witness had seen a man in a green van 13 A. I could have. Presumably he would have known 14 driving behind the Morton residence and getting out and 14 what he had ordered without me telling him what he had 15 walking in the wooded area? 15 ordered. Q. Well, Bill Allison obviously had a different 16 A. I don't know that I had knowledge of that. 16 understanding of what he had ordered, correct? 17 I -- you had indicated that wasn't in the DA's file if I 17 A. If he filed this in good faith, and I assume he 18 remember correctly. It may very well have been in the 18 19 DA's file. So I presumably would have knowledge of it, 19 did, then he must have. Q. All right. And did you feel any obligation to 20 but I don't remember. 20 go on the record and correct Bill Allison's 21 Q. Okay. Well, all right. We reviewed last time misunderstanding and say, oh, no, Mr. Allison, you are 22 that Bill Allison had corresponded with the court as the under a wrong impression. The complete set of reports, 23 case was going up on appeal and expressed a concern in field notes and offense reports of Sergeant Wood, were 24 the correspondence with the court that the full and 24 complete Wood report had not been disclosed to the judge never turned over?

8 (Pages 336 to 339)

8

18

19

20

23

5

10

Page 340

Page 342

Page 343

in camera. We reviewed that last time, right? 2

- A. Okay. I don't have a clear recollection of that, but I -- whatever the transcript shows obviously
- Q. Now, let's go to the appeal in this case and the decision that is Exhibit 25. Okay?
 - A. Okay.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. Now, last time you recall in our deposition we reviewed Bill Allison's brief and your brief.
 - A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. And in Bill Allison's brief he was, 12 again, alleging based on motion for new trial and the 13 statements he said that Davis had made that the complete 14 report and field notes from Sergeant Wood had not been 15 disclosed to the judge for in camera review, correct?
 - A. It was in his appeal brief, yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. And in your appeal brief you never 18 explicitly -- withdrawn.

19 And in your appeal brief you never said to 20 the court, no, the complete report and field notes of 21 Sergeant Wood's investigative activities was not produced for Judge Lott because I believed I was only 22 23 obligated to turn over Wood's report and field notes with respect to the Morton statement. You never said 24 25 that, did you?

been permitted to make that response clearly in your 2 brief, true?

3 A. I have -- I don't think you can go outside the record in your brief. As a matter of fact, I know you 5 can't go outside the record in your brief.

6 Q. Well, but the motion for a new trial is in the 7 record?

A. Well, I can't reconstruct what I was thinking back in 1988 when I prepared an appellate brief.

- 10 Q. Then the Court of Appeals decided this case, 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And in the part of its opinion that I'm calling 14 your attention to, page 11, the very end of the decision, it says: Morton complains there is a 15 16 possibility that Sergeant Wood did not turn over -- turn 17 over all of his notes, right? Do you see that?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And then, at the end they say, however, there is no evidence to support this contention. Because we have nothing more to consider than a mere possibility raised by Morton. We reject this complaint. Do you see that?
- A. Okay. 24
- 25 Q. So if in fact you had only turned over Wood's

Page 341

- A. I would have to go back and look exactly what I put in the brief, but a brief is limited to the record so you just can't add, you know, your personal recollections.
- Q. Are you actually saying that you felt that you were limited by the rules, and you could not respond to Bill Allison's brief and tell the court that the full records of Sergeant Wood's report and his field notes concerning his other investigative activities had not been produced? You feel you could not have said that in your response brief?
- A. I have no idea what I would have thought back in 1988. But as a general rule on a brief you are limited to the record.
- 15 Q. Well, the record included the motion for a new trial that Bill Allison filed based on what Mike Davis 17 told him; did it not?
- 18 A. Presumably it was in the transcript portion of 19 the record, yes.
- 20 Q. So if that's part of the record, would you not 21 have been permitted to tell the court, oh, no, the full 22 set of Wood's reports and field notes concerning his 23 investigative activities was not produced to Judge Lott.
- 24 I only produced Wood's offense report and field notes with respect to the Morton statements? You would have

report and field notes concerning the Morton statements,

2 you knew that there was more than just a possibility 3

that all of Wood's notes and reports had not been 4

produced for Judge Lott, right?

A. I have no idea what I knew back in 1988.

- 6 Q. Well, again, let us just continue with your 7 current understanding of the record. If in fact you had 8 fully produced Sergeant Wood's report and field notes 9 concerning Morton's statements --
 - A. Okay. We will make that assumption.
- Q. And had not turned over all of Sergeant Wood's 11 12 field notes and reports concerning his investigative 13 activity, assume that to be the case. Are you with me? 14
 - A. I can assume that, but I really doubt --
- 15 Q. When the court -- when the court wrote here 16 that its merely a possibility that all of Wood's notes 17 had not been turned over, you knew that it was more -it was not just a mere possibility, it was, in fact, the 18 19 truth that all of Wood's field notes and the reports 20 about his investigative activities had not been turned over to Judge Lott? 21
- 22 A. Even making all of those assumptions there's no 23 way I can agree with that.
- 24 Q. No way you can agree with -- assuming that you knew at the time of this appeal that you had only

9 (Pages 340 to 343)

produced Wood's report about the -- Morton's statements and not all his other field notes and reports about his 3 investigative activity, assuming you knew that, you did not feel you had any obligation to inform the court that 5 the full set of reports had not been produced?

A. I -- I don't know how I am supposed to respond to those sorts of questions. I mean, I have no idea what I thought back in 1988. I'm assuming that I thought I was in full compliance with Judge Lott's request. Judge Lott evidently was satisfied. Now, I 11 was as shocked as anybody when those field notes weren't 12 in the unsealed report when it came out the other day. 13 But, you know, I don't know what I would have thought 14 over the last 25 years.

Now, in the opinion it says that they have 16 reviewed his field notes. So I don't know what they were talking about if, in fact, the field notes weren't there.

19 Q. Now, you just said that you were as shocked as 20 anybody --

21 A. I don't know if I was as shocked as anybody, 22 but I was surprised obviously when it was unsealed and 23 the field notes weren't in there.

24 O. Well, when the motion to recuse was filed in 25 August --

1

2

3

4

5

6

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

what I would have concluded. Q. And so did you express that belief to Ms. Jernigan?

A. I -- when? I mean, she probably had read the opinion before I had read it.

Q. Well, after the motion to recuse was filed --

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. - and you came back from your trip to 9 Colorado -

10 A. Yes, sir.

11 Q. -- Ms. Jernigan spoke to you about the 12 allegations that the full Wood report had not been 13 turned over?

A. We would have had conversations, yes.

15 Q. She was very interested in getting your complete and clear recollection about whether the full 16 17 set of reports had been turned over, correct?

18 A. You would have to ask her what she was very 19 interested in. If we talked about it, I would have told her what I'm saying now, which is I don't have any recollection of things that happened 25 years ago.

22 Probably at that time I was hoping by reading parts of

23 the statement of fact or something I could -- I could

24 refresh my memory, but that just doesn't happen when 25 it's 25 years old evidently.

Page 345

A. Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

15

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

Q. - and Mike Davis came into your office that Monday --

A. Yes, sir.

Q. -- you had this opinion on your desk; did you not?

A. That's my recollection, yes, sir.

Q. You took out this opinion and you looked at it, correct?

10 A. I would have had a hard book copy, but, yes, 11 sir.

Q. And when Kristen Jernigan came and spoke to you right after the motion to recuse was filed in August, you referred her to this opinion as well, correct?

15 A. I don't have a clear recollection of that, but 16 I could well have.

17 Q. All right. And you told everybody that you had no recollection of what happened 25 years ago, correct? 18

19 A. I can't imagine I could tell anybody anything 20 else.

Q. Well, but from looking at this opinion did you not convey the belief that you must have turned over the complete set of reports from Sergeant Wood to Judge Lott and eventually to the appeals court?

A. Based upon reading this opinion that would be

Page 347

Page 346

Q. Was -- you had conversations with John Bradley after the motion to recuse was filed, correct?

A. I had a series of conversations after the motion to recuse was filed. I guess it was filed when I was still on vacation. So --

Q. Yes, we have reviewed that. But you had two conversations with John Bradley while you were either in Colorado or coming back, correct?

A. Correct, yes.

10 Q. And in these conversations did he not convey to 11 you that he thought this was a very serious matter?

A. I don't know that he used those words. He, at some point, either in one of the conversations or maybe both, indicated that there were Brady allegations, which was the first time in recent memory evidently I had thought or heard of Brady allegations in this case.

Q. All right. And then when you got back and read 18 the -- read through the recusal motion, did not John 19 Bradley begin to have conversations with you saying to the effect, Ken, this is very serious. You clearly have to look through the record and tell us as clearly as you can whether or not these documents from Sergeant Wood were actually disclosed?

24 A. I don't recall a specific conversation with 25 John to that effect, and I really don't recall any

10 (Pages 344 to 347)

5

6

7

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

Page 348

Page 350

specific conversation with John at that point. Kristen and I would have talked many times over the next weeks.

Q. Now, are you saying -- you just told us that you were shocked when the complete set of Wood reports were not in the sealed envelope, correct?

3

4

5

6

7

8

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

- A. No, I was surprised that the field notes weren't in there, that there wasn't some handwritten notes in there.
- Q. Oh, so I maybe misunderstood what you were just telling us. I thought -- withdrawn.

You know in the motion to recuse there was handwritten field notes about the credit card being used two days after Christine Morton's death?

- 14 A. I can go back and look at it, but I'm sure that 15 was one of the allegations.
- Q. That there was documents produced about Don Wood's interview with Rita Kirkpatrick, correct?
- 18 A. We can go back and look at it, but I suspect 19 that was in there.
- Q. That there was the police report from Traylor about seeing a green van pulling behind the Morton home, and a man getting out and walking around the wooded area several weeks before the crime?
- 24 A. That was -- that was somewhere in there, yes.
- Q. Now, are you telling us that you were surprised

or the Traylor document concerning the green van or the

- 2 Kirkpatrick transcript concerning the interview with Don Wood where she describes Eric's statements about a
- Wood where she describes Eric's statements about a monster, right?
 - A. Right, whenever I read the transcript, I would have thought that the only thing that would be in there would be the report and the field notes.
- Q. Did you ever tell John Bradley or Kristen
 Jernigan prior to the time that the envelope was
 unsealed by Judge Stubblefield that based on your
 reading of the transcript you only expected to see an
 offense report about Morton's statements and field notes
 and not these other documents that had been attached to
 the motion to recuse?
 - A. I can't say whether I ever told him that or not.
- Q. Well, if that was your understanding of the record before the envelope was opened, why wouldn't you tell them that?
- 20 A. I don't know.
 - Q. Didn't you feel when the motion to recuse was filed and you got back to court that John Bradley very much wanted you to give him your best understanding of what would have been disclosed in camera to Judge Lott?
 - A. That was the e-mail you showed me from Bradley

Page 349

Page 351

or shocked, to use the words you just said here today, that those reports were not in the sealed envelope?

- A. Whenever it was unsealed, by that point I was under the impression that what was going to be in there was Wood's report and field notes. And I was told that just his report, which was a four or five pages, plus the consent form, but no field notes were in that when it was opened earlier -- yeah, earlier this year.
- Q. But let's be specific. Were you shocked that there were no reports or field notes about investigative activities other than records of Michael Morton's statements?
- A. I think by that time I was aware that -- or I had read enough of the transcript to think that what likely was going to be in there would have been the report relating to the conversations with Morton and field notes.
- Q. Right. But the only thing you expected then by the time the sealed envelope was opened, based on your understanding of the transcript, was that there would be a report and field notes about Michael Morton's statements, correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. You did not expect to see in that sealed
- envelope the Wood's reports concerning the credit card

- to Kristen. Evidently that's what he said he wanted. Now, how they followed up on that, I don't know.
- Q. Wait a second. I showed you that e-mail. I'm now asking you --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- from the time that the motion to recuse was filed and you had these conversations with John Bradley in Colorado, by the time that you got back and started looking through the motion to recuse through the date that the envelope was opened, right, are you telling us that John Bradley did not have conversations with you to the effect of, Ken, you have got to take this seriously and give me your best understanding of what happened
- A. Okay. I have a recollection of -- I believe it's four conversation was Bradley; two of which were on the phone and two of which occurred later. I may have had additional conversations with Bradley, but most of my contacts were with Kristen.
- 20 Q. And the two conversations -- the four 21 conversations then are the two from --

here in terms of these Brady allegations?

- 22 **A. The two --**
- 23 Q. -- Colorado conversations?
 - A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And what are the other two?

11 (Pages 348 to 351)

Page 352 Page 354 1 Q. Okay. So why didn't you tell Ms. Jernigan or A. When we had the meeting in his office where he 2 explained the -- the deposition. John Bradley that that's what you would expect to see in 3 3 O. That's on October 10th? the envelope? 4 A. It's on whatever that Monday was. 4 A. I don't know if I ever told them that or not. 5 5 Q. Right, that's one where Shawn Dick was there Q. Were they not -- either Jernigan or Bradley --6 representing Mike Davis -- I'm sorry, October 3rd in saying to you both before the envelope was opened and 7 John Bradley's office, right? 7 after, Ken, we need for you to tell us to the best of 8 A. I believe it was the 10th. your recollection what you think happened here with 9 9 Q. All right. So on the 10th, that's the one respect to the discovery process? 10 where Shawn Dick was there for Mike Davis, correct? 10 A. They never -- to my knowledge they never 11 A. Yes, sir. 11 phrased any questions like that because I never 12 Q. Okay. And Don Wood was there, correct? 12 indicated that I had any knowledge going back 25 years 13 13 A. Yes, sir. 14 Q. And this would be the meeting where John 14 Q. Weren't they pressing you that you had to look 15 Bradley laid out exactly what our agreement was, 15 at the record and tell them as best you could what you correct? 16 think happened because you were going to have to be a 17 A. Yes, sir. 17 witness at a hearing on the due process Brady 18 O. So that's the third conversation? 18 allegation? 19 19 A. Yes, sir. A. They never pressed me about anything. I don't 20 20 Q. What's the fourth conversation? recall having conversations with Bradley. Ms. Jernigan 21 A. When he came to my court and Davis was there 21 would have been, you know, deferential. And I thought I 22 22 and we went back into my chambers. was going to be a witness. I thought this was going to 23 23 O. And what did you say to him and what did he say proceed like an 1107 proceeding. I can't recall that 24 to you? 24 Ms. Jernigan was prepping me to be a witness at any 25 A. During that conversation? 25 time. Page 353 Page 355 1 Q. Yeah. Q. Now, was she not asking you for your best 2 2 recollection so you can produce an affidavit? A. I don't recall the specifics. I think what he 3 was doing was telling us that the Court of Appeals --A. You had mentioned that before, and I have been 4 the Court of Criminal Appeals had ruled it. searching my mind trying to think if we ever had a 5 Q. Did you not turn to Mr. Bradley at this point conversation about having an affidavit. We may have, 6 and say, do you think I did something wrong? 6 but it didn't go very far, and I have never indicated to 7 7 A. I don't recall ever saying that. her that I had any recollections of what happened and so 8 O. You are absolutely sure of that, huh? 8 there weren't going to be a lot of conversations. Most 9 9 A. I can't recall the specifics of that of my contacts with Ms. Jernigan were either her 10 conversation, but I don't think that was said. 10 updating me sort of on what was going on or me asking to 11 Q. All right. And you were upset with Mr. Bradley 11 see a document or the DA's file or something of that 12 at that time? 12 13 A. I don't know that I have ever been upset with 13 Q. Now so is it -- are you telling us that -- that 14 Mr. Bradley over this. 14 John Bradley never conveyed to you from the moment this Q. Did you -- all right. So we have reviewed the 15 recusal motion was filed through the time that the deal 15 four conversations. And let's be clear, you are saying was made to have these depositions and you heard about 16 17 it on October 10th, he never conveyed to you frustration 17 that sometime before the envelope was opened you had reached the conclusion, based on your review of the 18 that you were not giving him an explanation for why the 18 record, that you had probably just produced for Judge 19 complete set of Wood reports had not been disclosed to

12 (Pages 352 to 355)

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Lott?

were with Kristen.

A. I don't recall that he's ever expressed

frustration with me, and I don't know that we had a lot

of conversations. I -- as I said, I think most of them

Q. Was there not during this period when the

20 Lott Sergeant Wood's record about Morton's statements

and field notes and none of the other documents that

22 Wood produced, field notes and reports, would be in that

A. That's to the best of my recollection, and

sealed envelope; is that correct?

that's how I read the transcript today.

23

24

Page 356

A. I don't recall having a list of items. I can 2 recall having conversations with Kristen before I

concluded that Morton likely was innocent, wondering about the chain of custody, wondering about the validity

of the DNA. If John was part of one of those

6 conversations, I can't say one way or the other. But 7 there was none of this level of frustration you are

talking about.

9 Q. Okay. Let's -- and you have no recollection --10 let's take a look at Exhibit 28 premarked. You have no 11 recollection of a press release John issued on October

12 30th where he encouraged you to provide an explanation 13 about what happened 25 years ago during this discovery

14 process? 15

8

A. That's Exhibit 28?

16 Q. Yeah.

17 A. Where are we talking about exactly? I don't 18 know that I have seen this press release before.

19 Q. The very end, page 2. Quote, "I encourage"

20 Mr. Brad -- "Mr. Anderson and Mr. Davis to provide

21 Mr. Morton with an explanation of what happened 25 years

ago during the discovery process for his trial, said

23 Bradley. The sheriff at the time of the original

24 investigation is dead. The judge at the time of the

trial is dead. The original lead investigator has

Page 357

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

21

22

23

25

Page 359

Page 358

could have gotten on the bandana, but it was not part of the crime?

during this period with Bradley with -- you were coming

motion to recuse was filed and the litigation was then

moving forward prior to the time that we reached an

you were saying to him there's -- that bandana --

about the bandana, the chain of custody of it --

agreement and you were told about it on October 10th,

did you not have conversations with John Bradley where

there's a problem with the chain of custody. You should

A. We talked about this before. You know, I was

Q. I'm asking you about did you have conversations

with the DNA, there may be problems with the bandana or

with John Bradley after the motion to recuse was filed

10th, where you did say to him there may be problems

A. I can't recall a conversation with Bradley. I

can recall that I talked about those things in a

A. And maybe a series of conversations.

Q. Let's just -- let's just be clear. Are you

telling us you never said -- never had conversations

up with theories about how Christine Morton's blood

conversation most likely with Kristen.

and prior to him telling you about a deal on October

wondering about the validity of the DNA, I was wondering

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

24

25

be looking into that.

things to that affect?

Q. Okay.

A. That sounds like something Kristen and I may have discussed.

Q. Not John Bradley?

A. From the time I got back from Colorado until the October 10th meeting or the meeting in my court, whichever occurred first, I don't recall -- I'm not saying that I didn't have conversations with Bradley, it's inconceivable that I didn't. But I don't recall them, and I don't recall that we had any -- the real conversations occurred between me and Kristen.

Q. Let me see if I can refresh your recollection. Are you sure that you had no conversations during this period with John Bradley where he said things to you like, Ken, you are in denial about this. You have got to take this seriously and -- anything like that?

A. I don't recall anything even remotely like that.

20 Q. Okay. Do you recall anything remotely like you 21 telling John Bradley you are -- running through a list of items about what could be wrong with this DNA 22 23 evidence; that is, the testing on the bandana?

A. With John?

With John. Q.

problems that interfere with his memory. That leaves only Mr. Anderson, who was the District Attorney, and Mr. Davis, who was an assistant district attorney, to provide some explanation of the discovery process."

A. I don't recall having a conversation where he did that. It appears to me that's present tense so maybe by way of this press release he was encouraging me to do it, but I don't know.

Q. Let's go back to the court of appeals opinion 10 in December 14th, 1988. All right? I take it --11

A. Okay. 1988.

12 Q. Yes. We are going back to Exhibit 25.

A. All right.

14 Q. Now, after that opinion was issued indicating 15 that there was a possibility that all of Wood's field 16 notes and reports were not produced to Judge Lott or the 17 appeals court, you did not do anything to inform the 18 appeals court or Bill Allison or anyone that a full set 19 of notes and reports from Sergeant Wood had never been 20

produced to Judge Lott. You did not do that, did you? A. I don't have any recollection of doing that.

Q. All right. Isn't it true that you knowingly refrained from disclosing this fact that the full set of

24 Wood's notes and reports had not been disclosed?

A. Since I have no recollection I can't respond to

13 (Pages 356 to 359)

Page 360 Page 362 1 that. 1 A. No. 2 Q. Okay. Now, on September 27th, 1989 -- you can 2 Q. But you assigned it to him, right? 3 look at the chronology if this helps -- a petition for 3 A. I don't know that I assigned it to anybody or discretionary review was denied? 4 who handled it. 5 A. Just a second. Let me get to the right page. Q. All right. Now, then after that motion you 5 All right. That's what it says in here. 6 became a judge, correct? 6 7 Q. Is that generally in accord with your 7 A. 11 or so years later, yes. 8 recollection? 8 Q. Okay. And when were you appointed as a judge? 9 A. I don't remember them filing a PDR. I presume 9 A. Late December 2001. 10 they did, and that would be about the right time length 10 Q. Okay. Now, after you were appointed as a 11 between December '88 and September '89. 11 judge, there was additional postconviction litigation in Q. All right. Now -- then there was a motion 12 12 the Morton case, correct? 13 filed on March 22nd, 1990, for habeas relief, DNA 13 A. That's my understanding, yes. 14 testing of the bedsheet. Do you remember that? 14 Q. And that John Bradley was then the district 15 A. I remember that there was litigation about the 15 attorney. 16 bedsheet, but I don't remember that it was in 1990. A. He became district attorney the same day I 16 17 Q. Well, you were still district attorney then; 17 became a judge I presume. 18 Q. Now, after you were appointed as a judge, John were you not? 18 19 A. Yes, sir. 19 Bradley would still consult with you about new 20 Q. And you assigned this case to John Bradley; did developments in the Morton case; would he not? 21 you not? 21 A. I think we talked about this two weeks ago 22 A. I don't recall that. 22 that --23 Q. All right. And do you recall anything that you 23 Q. I know. We got a little cutoff, and I'm going might have said to John Bradley about the Morton case 24 to ask you some very specific questions, and let's see when you assigned it to him? 25 if you can answer them as I ask them. Okay? Page 361 Page 363 1 A. In 1990? 1 A. That's what I have been trying to do all 2 O. Yes. 2 morning. 3 3 A. No. Q. Okay. So after you were appointed as a judge, 4 Q. This would have been an unusual motion; would 4 John Bradley would still consult with you about new 5 it not? 5 developments in the Morton case; would he not? 6 A. What, a writ? 6 A. He gave me some updates and probably not as Q. Well, no, the motion for DNA testing in 1990. 7 many as I would have liked, but probably plenty 8 There was not yet a post conviction DNA statute in 8 considering my position. 9 Texas, was there? 9 Q. And just as a general matter when motions were 10 A. I'm sorry, I misunderstood you. I thought that 10 filed or -- he would ask for your feedback? was a writ that was filed, but -- but you're correct. I 11 11 A. I would assume he did, yes. 12 don't remember exactly the month and year that DNA 12 Q. He would use you as a sounding board about became known or popularly used. But it was about this 13 deciding what to do? 14 time. I mean, I think by '87 we -- I don't know that 14 A. I don't think John has ever used me as a 15 people actually used it, but it was -- somewhere in that 15 sounding board about deciding what to do. time period was when DNA started coming onto the seen. 16 Q. Well, he would ask you about the facts of the 17 So by 1990 there would have been no procedure for doing 17 case? 18 this. 18 A. He -- he could. I don't think I would have had 19 Q. Right. So this would have been an unusual 19 any recall five years ago any better than I do now. 20 motion, correct? 20 Q. Well, I'm asking, do you recall him asking you 21 A. I would think these were -- that was the time 21 about the facts of the case? 22 these sorts of things started to come into play. 22 A. I have no specific recollection of that 23 Q. All right. Now, did you -- so you have no 23 happening. 24 recollection of what, if anything, you said to Bradley 24 Q. Well, you were the one that did the trial, about handling this case back then? 25 correct?

14 (Pages 360 to 363)

Page 364 Page 366 A. Correct. painstaking attention to details in the same way that 2 Q. You did the appeal, correct? you wrote about it in "Crime in Texas". Did you do 3 A. Correct. 3 that? Q. It would be fair to say you had the best 4 A. I can't say I did that. firsthand knowledge of the record facts? 5 Q. All right. 6 A. Yeah, after Boutwell died, I would have the 6 A. You know, I told you about "Crime in Texas" 7 best firsthand knowledge. 7 before. 8 Q. And you never said to him, John Bradley, I'm a 8 Q. Okay. Now, when you would have these 9 judge now, I can't advise you about the Morton case? conversations with John Bradley about the Morton case, 10 A. I have no specific recollection of that, but you knew he was relying on your to give him truthful 10 11 I -- if he had asked me a question, I would have answers to the questions he was asking? 12 answered. 12 A. I don't recall those conversations. 13 Q. You were more than willing to help? 13 Q. Well, would you not without -- are you telling 14 A. What limited help I would be -- have -- you 14 us that in -- you recall generally that you had 15 know, I wouldn't have had any better memory five years conversations with him about the Morton case after you 15 16 ago than I do now. became a judge, correct? 16 17 Q. You always expressed a high degree of 17 A. After I became a judge? 18 confidence to John Bradley that Michael Morton was 18 Q. Yes. 19 guilty? 19 A. He updated me on what was going on. 20 Q. Right. And when you had these conversations A. If he had asked me that, I'm sure I did. 20 21 Q. Now, when you assigned the Morton case to John with him, when he updated you on what was going on, and 21 22 Bradley and in the years after the trial would you not 22 he asked you questions about the case, you knew he was 23 regale prosecutors in your office about stories of the 23 relying on you to give him truthful answers to the 24 Morton case and what a good thorough job you did when 24 questions that he might ask you? 25 trying it? 25 A. All I could tell him was, you know, my general Page 365 Page 367 A. I don't have any specific recollection of that. thoughts about the case, which was I was convinced that 2 Do you mean when I was a prosecutor or when I was a 2 the defendant was guilty. 3 3 judge? Q. Right. But when he asked you questions, was it 4 Q. Did -- I'm sorry? 4 not clear to you that when you gave answers that he was A. When I was a prosecutor or when I was a judge? 5 5 relying on you to give truthful answers to the best of 6 O. When you were the prosector, right after the 6 your ability? case was tried in the appeal, right? Did you not have a 7 A. I don't recall those conversations, and from 8 8 habit of regaling people in your office with stories what I have seen I can't imagine why he would be asking 9 about the Morton case and what a good thorough job you 9 me specific factual questions. And the only answer I 10 did? 10 could give him is we would have to look at the statement 11 A. I don't recall that I had that habit. Nor do I 11 of facts. recall -- you know, lawyers tell war stories. I mean 12 Q. Well, during all of these conversations during I'm not saying I didn't ever talk about that or any 13 the course of the Morton litigation while you were a 14 judge and he was the district attorney you never told 14 other case I tried, but I don't have any recollection of 15 it. 15 him that based on your understanding of the record that you did not turn over a complete set of reports to Judge 16 Q. Weren't you telling war stories about the 16 17 Lott from Sergeant Wood, right? 17 Morton case and your careful attention to detail in 18 18 reconstructing the last meal? A. I don't recall that that was ever an issue. I 19 19 A. I don't have any recall of talking about that. don't recall that ever being an issue in recent memory 20 20 until I heard about the recusal motion in August. Q. Well, you wrote about it in your book --21 21 Q. When the DNA motions were filed to do testing A. Yes. Q. -- "Crime in Texas"? 22 22 of the hair, vaginal swabs, the victim's nightgown and 23 A. Right. So --23 the bandana, did not John Bradley come to you and ask 24 Q. And weren't you regaling your staff over the 24 you questions about the relevant importance of these years with a war story about the Morton case and your items in the case?

15 (Pages 364 to 367)

Page 368 Page 370 1 A. He may well have. though. 2 Q. Didn't you have extensive conversations with 2 Q. Do you have any recollection of John Bradley him during this period about the relevant portions of 3 going to you and having a conversation about the case in the items, in particular the bandana? an effort to familiarize himself with details that he 5 A. We likely had conversations about the bandana, 5 could put in a letter protesting parole? 6 but I don't know how much I would have remembered about 6 A. I can't say that he didn't do that. It could 7 the bandana. 7 well have been something he did. 8 Q. Okay. Now, John Bradley -- and let's take a 8 Q. And do you have any doubt that you were 9 look at these exhibits -- wrote letters protesting 9 communicating at or around the time that Exhibit 26 was 10 Michael Morton's parole, you are aware of that? 10 written, this parole letter protesting Michael Morton's 11 A. What are the exhibit numbers? 11 parole, that you conveyed a high degree of confidence to 12 Q. Let's take a look at Exhibit 26. Now, as you 12 John Bradley that Michael Morton must be guilty, and he 13 are getting Exhibit 26, the practice of a district 13 should write a strong letter? attorney writing protest letters to the parole board to 14 A. If he had asked me any time, I would have 15 try to prevent people from being released on parole, 15 expressed a high degree of confidence -- well, I don't 16 that was a practice that you helped initiate, correct? 16 know about any time, but anyway I would have told him I 17 A. Just a second. I have lost your picture again. 17 thought he was guilty. Now --18 MR SCHECK: Let's go off the record if he 18 Q. And you would have told him that you were --19 can't hear me. 19 I'm sorry? 20 THE WITNESS: I can hear you. Now I can 20 A. There was another part to that question. 21 see you again. 21 Q. Yes. Would you have also told him that you 22 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Okay. The practice of writing 22 thought that the crime was a particularly vicious one 23 protest letters to the parole board, that's something 23 and that he should write a strong letter protesting 24 that you helped initiate, correct? 24 Morton's efforts to get early release by way of parole? 25 A. I was very involved with writing protest 25 A. I'm trying to think what my thoughts would have Page 369 Page 371 letters. I don't know where I got the idea. I don't been back in 2006. And I could be totally wrong, but I 2 know if I copied it from somebody else; but, yeah, the 2 believe I considered and decided not to file my own Texas Criminal Justice System was a mess. It wasn't 3 protest letter. Now, you might have access to the 4 functioning very well and we -- I worked hard on coming 4 parole board file and like I said, I might just be wrong 5 5 up with a procedure where -- this was before '93 -- to about that. 6 protest certain paroles. Q. Well, wasn't there in fact a conversation where 7 Q. Now -- and before you wrote a letter protesting it was decided that it would not be appropriate for you, 8 parole, was it your practice, when you were the district 8 as a sitting judge, to write the letter, but instead 9 attorney, to go back and talk to the trial prosecutor 9 John Bradley would write a strong letter? 10 10 who actually tried the case? A. I'm not sure that I haven't written some A. The DA gets notice every time somebody comes up 11 11 protest letters since I was a judge. So I don't know 12 12 for parole. I probably had, you know, some level of about that. 13 personal knowledge about all the parole protests -- I 13 Q. Now during the time after the verdict and all 14 mean, about all the cases. 14 the way through 2006, when this parole protest letter 15 15 was written, did you stay in touch with the Kirkpatrick Q. Okay. Let's turn to --16 16 A. People were coming up for parole relatively family? 17 quick. 17 A. The only communication I had with the 18 Q. Now --18 Kirkpatrick family after, you know -- I can't say back 19 A. Now, which one are we turning to. 19 in '88, '89, was Marilee communicated with me -- I want Q. Were you aware that in September of 2006 or 20 to say it was with an annual Christmas card. 20 21 21 sometime during this period that John Bradley was going Q. That's it? 22 to write a letter to the parole board protesting Michael A. That's all I can remember. You know, 23 Morton's efforts to get parole? 23 Marilee -- and she hasn't sent one recently, but she did 24 A. I can't say that I was or I wasn't. I have 24 send me an annual Christmas card, and I don't remember some recollection that I was aware he was up for parole 25 when they stopped. So that -- that way I kept up with

16 (Pages 368 to 371)

2

5

6

7

10

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 372

her. I don't remember that we actually had a 2 conversation. We could have, but I don't remember one. 3

- Q. Now, turning your attention to Exhibit 27, and this is the second parole letter Bradley wrote on October 19th, 2009. Okay?
- A. Yes.

5

6

- 7 Q. Have you got that one?
- 8 A. I see it.
- 9 Q. And now, you were informed, were you not, over 10 the years every time there was a new DNA result?
- 11 A. I -- I assume I was, yes.
- 12 Q. All right. And you can see in this letter that
- 13 reference is made to DNA testing that had determined
- 14 that the seamen stain on the bed actually came from
- 15 Mr. Morton. Do you see that paragraph?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. And you see here that Mr. Bradley says, "Ask
- 18 Michael Morton if he has accepted responsibility for the
- 19 murder of his wife by mercilessly beating her to death.
- 20 If he tells you that he now acknowledges he committed
- 21 that crime, please notify me and I will reconsider my
- 22 opposition to parole." Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. Do you recall ever discussing any of that with

A. At some point -- it doesn't really make sense,

but this is my memory. At some point he indicated that

he wanted the defendant to admit his guilt, and I think

he wanted -- I can't say that. I just think he wanted

have a clear enough recollection of that.

him to enter some agreement, but I - I may - I don't

out? Mark, this might be a good point to take a break

MR. SCHECK: Okay. Could we have a time

25 Mr. Bradley?

1

3

6 7

8

9

- statements. You recall saying that, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. All right. What else was wrong in this motion for a new trial?
 - A. I think what I was referring to was what he represented about Mr. Davis, and -- you know, I can only base that being wrong on Davis's deposition.

Page 374

Page 375

- 8 Q. Well, did you ever ask Mike Davis about what 9 Allison said in this motion for a new trial?
- A. I have no idea if I asked him that. When we 11 were talking about that two weeks ago in the deposition you were talking about walking down the hall or, you
- 12 13 know, going into his office and asking him that. And my
- 14 recollection was that he had left my office sometime in
- 15 the first half of -- of 1987. In his deposition
- 16 evidently, if his memory is correct -- and I would think
- 17 he would know a whole lot better than I would -- he
- 18 evidently left that Friday. So that would have been
- 19 before the motion for new trial was filed.
- 20 Q. All right. But after the motion for new trial 21 was filed, even if Mr. Davis had left the office, there
- 22 was nothing to prevent you from calling him up and
- 23 saying, hey, Mike, what are you talking about?
- 24 A. I am sure I could have called him up and asked 25 him that, yes, sir.

Page 373

Q. But you didn't, did you?

A. I have no idea.

2 3 Q. Well, would you not agree that when this motion 4 for a new trial was filed, you had an obligation to see 5 if there were reports from Sergeant Wood, either field 6 notes or offense reports, that could be used by the 7 defense to raise even more doubt than they did?

A. 25 years ago I don't know what I would have thought my obligation was.

Q. Well, as you sit here today, do you not agree that the prosecutor, in this case you, had an obligation to find out from Mike Davis or anyone else if in fact there were a sizeable number of documents from Sergeant Wood about his investigation that could have been used to raise more doubt than the defense did at the trial?

A. I would have to do some legal research to see what kind of obligation that is. You know, sitting here 25 years later as a judge I would view anything differently.

Q. Well, are you telling us that you do not believe, as you sit here today, that back in 1987 a prosecutor, who is informed by his co-counsel, right, that there is a sizeable number of documents that could have been used by the defense to raise more doubt than

they did that were never disclosed, that you had an

before we finish, and I would just like to know how much 10 time we have left. 11 MR. DIETZ: Okay. Let's go off, and then 12 we can figure that out. 13 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: 1:33 -- I'm sorry. 1 4 It's 10:53. We are off the record. 15 (Recess from 10:53 to 11:12.)

16 VIDEO TECHNICIAN: It's 11:13. We are on the record.

17 Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) I would like to go back for a 18

19 second to the motion for new trial, Mr. Anderson. I think you said that there were misstatements or things 20

that were wrong in it, and I think we reviewed one of

- them which was your contention that the motion was wrong 22
- insofar as it said that Judge Lott had ordered you to 23 turn over reports from -- and field notes from Sergeant
- Wood more than those just those about Michael Morton's

17 (Pages 372 to 375)

2

3

5

7

8

17

18

19

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

Page 376

obligation to find out what those documents were assuming that you didn't know about them?

- A. Well, Davis's deposition never says that he said this. He says he doesn't recall and says if he did recall, it would -- he might -- he doesn't say anything like this.
- Q. Let me -- I'm not asking you about what Davis remembers or doesn't remember or said or didn't say in his deposition. Do you have that in mind?

A. All right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

21

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

- 11 Q. I'm asking you about the obligation of you, as 12 a prosecutor in 1987, upon receiving a motion like this, 13 one that Bill Allison filed, does this not create an 14 obligation for a prosecutor to go to Mike Davis or 15 Sergeant Wood or look at the file to find out if in fact 16 there were a number of reports that could have been used 17 by the defense to raise even more doubt than they did 18 that were not turned over?
- A. I'm going to try to answer that. You know, in 20 a perfect world that's what lawyers would do. Your question is, is there an obligation. I would have to 22 look up some law and be directed in that area.
- 23 Q. So you're -- you're -- even now, it's hard for 24 you to agree that a prosecutor who is told in a motion for a new trial that there are a sizable number of

A. It appears to be my handwriting on the top

so -- and regardless I would assume I saw it. Q. Right. So I am asking you about your understanding of what the obligation of a prosecutor in your position in 1987 would be after receiving this

Page 378

6 motion. Do you have that in mind?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And my question to you is: Upon receiving this motion where there is an allegation that there were a 10 sizeable number of documents compiled by the chief 11 investigator, Sergeant Wood, that were not disclosed to 12 the defense that could have been used to raise doubt; 13 did you not have an obligation then to conduct some kind 14 of investigation to see if the allegations in this 15 motion for a new trial were true? 16

A. If I gave this some credibility, I would think that would be something that I should have done.

Q. Well, Bill Allison you believed in 1987 a credible man?

20 A. I never doubted Bill's credibility, although I 21 clearly had some disagreements with him about the law 22 and the expansiveness of Brady and --

Q. We are not talking about the law, and we are not talking about interpretations of Brady. We are talking about a factual statement that he made

Page 377

documents that could have been used by the defense to 2 create doubt in the case, that prosecutor does not have 3 an obligation to see whether or not this allegation that 4 Bill Allison made in a motion for new trial is true?

- A. You go from the hypothetical, and then you tie it back into here. You know, a prosecutor could easily have read this --
 - O. Well, I --
 - A. I can't hear you.
- 10 Q. I take it -- you have told us that you have 11 absolutely no memory of anything that happened 25 years 12 ago; is that correct?
 - A. Any specific memory. I obviously have general memories of the trial and certain things. But in terms of back and forth, what I gave, what I didn't give, why I made a trial strategy decision, why I called a witness, there's absolutely no way I could recall that.
 - Q. You have no recollection. I take that as a given. Now, I am asking you a different question.
 - A. Well, I have some recollections of the trial.
- 21 Q. I'm asking you a -- we are looking at this 22 motion for a new trial that was filed.
- 23 A. All right.
- 24

Q. And this was one that you acknowledged you must have received and read at the time, correct?

Page 379

concerning what was said in the jury room about the 2 existence of an inch worth of documents from Sergeant 3 Wood that could have been used to create doubts. Now, 4 you have no doubt that Bill Allison is a credible

person?

5 6

A. I don't have an ability to respond to that. But I mean Bill and I knew each other -- I don't know that I have seen him for 25 years -- you know, he was a law professor when I was in law school. He and I tried a case together.

Q. Would you not agree that in 1987 you had an obligation to conduct an investigation based on Bill Allison's statements that Mike Davis had said that there was an inch worth of documents from Sergeant Wood's reports that could have been used to raise even more doubts than the defense did?

A. I can't imagine getting this motion for new trial and thinking that Davis had actually said something like that.

20 Q. I didn't ask you that question. I asked you 21 whether or not getting this motion from somebody that 22 you acknowledge is credible, you had an obligation in 23 1987 to conduct some kind of investigation as to whether 24 or not the allegation here was true?

A. If Davis had come to me and said this --

18 (Pages 376 to 379)

Page 380

Page 382

- Q. I'm asking you -- you got this motion, you have acknowledged you got this motion, the question I'm asking you, see if you can answer it: Did you not have an obligation to conduct an investigation as to whether or not Allison's allegation here was true and that such documents existed?
- A. In general, if a prosecutor got credible information of something like that they should check it
- 10 Q. And Bill Allison was a credible person as far as you knew in 1987? 11
 - A. I hope he still is, but --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

20

21

22

23

9

10

13

14

17

22

allegation?

- 13 Q. And so wouldn't it be fair to say that just 14 based on receipt of this motion you had an obligation to 15 conduct an investigation to see if it was true, yes or 16 no?
- 17 A. I don't know the answer to that question.
- 18 Q. As you sit here today you still don't know the 19 answer to that question?
 - A. As I sit here today I would think it would depend upon how the prosecutor perceived this. If he thought it was -- if he thought there was some chance that it was true, he should go talk to the prosecutor who allegedly said that. If he didn't think there was anything to it, I don't know that there's an obligation.

got this motion for a new trial where it is alleged that

- there were more documents from Sergeant Wood, field
- 3 notes and offense report that could have been used to
- 4 raise doubt, knowing you had not turned over all of 5
- Sergeant Wood's report couldn't this be sufficient reason for you to conduct an investigation, yes or no?
- 7 A. I don't know. It depends on how I perceived 8 it.
- 9 Q. Now, one reason -- one reason, of course, that 10 you might not conduct an investigation of all of these -- after receiving the motion to dismiss is that 11 12 you knew exactly what Mike Davis was talking about.
 - A. Is that a question?
- 14 O. Yes.

13

15

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

- A. I don't know how I could respond to that.
- 16 Q. Well, there would be no need to conduct an 17 investigation about whether or not there were 18 significant numbers of reports from Sergeant Wood that 19 could have been used by the defense to create even more 20 doubt if you knew that in fact those reports existed, 21 and you had never disclosed them to Judge Lott, and you had never disclosed them to the defense, right? 22
 - A. Well, that assumes a whole bunch of things. I mean, there's no way based upon my reading of the transcript that I would have thought that I was supposed

25

Page 383

- 1 Q. Well, assuming now that in 1987 you read Judge Lott's order to you, you interpreted it the same way 3 that you do today; that is to say that you were only obligated to turn over to Judge Lott Sergeants Wood's report and field notes about Morton's statements, right? 6 Assuming that would be true, then wasn't there a reason 7 for you to believe that you might not have seen all of 8 Wood's reports and there was a need to investigate this
- A. You know, this happened in the last deposition 11 when you got accusatory like that. It's really hard for 12 me to track exactly what you are asking.
 - Q. You don't -- you don't understand my question?
- A. You know, if a prosecutor thinks -- has some 15 reason to believe something is out of whack, then they 16 ought to go and do some level of investigation. I agree with that part.
- 18 O. All right. Well, let's be more specific. You 19 are telling us, as you sit here today, that you believe you were only obligated to turn over Wood's reports 20 21 about the Morton statement, yes?
 - A. From reading the transcript, yes.
- 23 Q. All right. Let's assume that was true, and 24
- let's assume that you only turned over knowingly at that time Wood's reports about Morton's statements. When you

- to give Judge Lott anything other than that one report and the field notes.
- Q. Okay. Let's go on. In this motion for a new trial there was discussion about strange persons in the neighborhood, right, which if disclosed to the defense would have been relevant on the issue of guilt or innocence? Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, I want to be very clear with you because I 10 think I might have been under a misimpression. But turn 11 to, I guess what was Exhibit 10 in the deposition last 12 time, and that is the report about the existence of a 13 green van and a man that got out of it and walked around 14 the wooded area behind the Morton home on several 15 occasions prior to the murder. Do you remember 16 reviewing that report? 17
 - A. Yes, sir, and I remember reading the transcript, and you had represented that it wasn't in the DA's file, and it's not cc'd on the bottom, but my recollection -- go ahead.
- Q. I did say that, and I want to be clear about 21 22 something so that we can review it and correct the record if necessary. There is a Bates stamp here that 23 24 indicates that this document was in fact in the district attorney's file. Okay? Do you have that in mind?

19 (Pages 380 to 383)

7

Page 384

Page 386

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

5

6

7

13

14

15

17

1

2

13

21

22

25

- Q. All right. So my assumption about that question last time may well be wrong. So let us assume now that this document about the green van and the man in the wooded area behind the Morton home -- let us assume that was in fact in your file at the time of trial.
- 8 A. Okay. We will make that assumption.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry?
- 10 A. We will make that assumption.
- Q. All right. Is this not Brady material that you 11 12 should have disclosed to the defense?
- A. You know, I have already gone into why I can't respond to a question about Brady. I think I have already testified -- well, I'd have to go back and look 16 at the transcript. If -- I'd have to know what I knew back in 1986.
- 18 Q. Let me just ask you this: I think in the last 19 deposition when we reviewed this document, you agreed 20 that the observations recorded here about what a
- neighbor saw several weeks before the crime were
- 22 consistent with someone casing the Morton residence for 23 a burglary.
- 24 A. Okay. I had never heard that several weeks before the crime. Is that in here?

- Q. So having seen that there was an individual who 2 reported on several occasions observing a male park a 3 green van on the street behind the Hazelhurst address and then get out and walk into the wooded area behind 5 the Morton home, would you not agree that this report is 6 consistent with the defense theory of someone committing
- 8 A. I'm not -- I'm not trying to skirt your 9 question. You know, this report is either very 10 unimportant or very important, and it would -- you know, it needed -- it cries out to be investigated. 11
- 12 O. Right.

a burglary?

- 13 A. I can't believe there's not a supplemental 14 report in the sheriff's department file or my file or 15 both where somebody talked to Joni St. Martin. You 16 know, I just -- I'm trying to go back and figure out --
- 17 Q. Let's -- because we went through this the last time, let us do it again. 18
- 19 Would you not agree that this report 20 concerning the observations of the green van being 21 behind the Morton residence prior to the murder on 22
- several occasions and an individual walking around that 23 wooded area off the road, that this report, especially
- 24 if there was no followup done on this report, would be
 - information that should have been disclosed to the

Page 385

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Page 387

- Q. Yes.
- A. Where?
- 3 Q. We can go back over it again. But the -- if you look, the -- Traylor reports that he stopped a subject, that is, a person who was observed walking down the street, and the subject advised that he and his wife 6 7 Joni St. Martin, from 13204 Adonis had on several 8 occasions observed a male park a green van on the street 9 behind the Hazelhurst address, that's the Morton 10 residence, and then the subject would get out and walk 11 into the wooded area off the road. Do you see that? 12
 - A. Well, you kind of misread it. I guess there's he, his wife and Joni St. Martin.
- 14 Q. Right, we have been through this, but he --15 Traylor is saying that he spoke to an individual that 16 said that he had on several occasions observed a male 17 park a green van on the street behind the Hazelhurst residence, the Morton address, and then the subject 18 19 would get out and walk into the wooded area off the 20 road.
 - A. All right. But I didn't -- you said several weeks before and I'd never --
- Q. Let's -- let me amend that to not several weeks 23 24 but several occasions.
 - A. Okay.

defense pursuant to the Brady obligation?

- A. I don't know how -- you know, of all the reports this is the one that bugs me the most. There should have been -- I can't imagine there wasn't a follow-up on this, and it should have been a written report that followed. So I don't know if Boutwell, who wasn't really good about writing reports, did it on his own and didn't write a report or what, but --
- Q. Let's put it -- let us make this assumption. Let's assume it was in your file, and let's assume that you had nothing in your possession that indicated there was a follow-up.
 - A. Okav.
- 14 Q. Would this report, and frankly the lack of 15 follow-up, have to be the disclosed to the defense 16 pursuant to the Brady obligation?
- 17 A. Well, I don't know about all the Brady aspects, 18 but it's the sort of stuff that I routinely would give 19 to defense attorneys, but I would be -- and I'm 20 trying -- I can't remember 25 years ago, but I mean, 21 this is the sort of report that --
- 22 Q. We are not -- let's --
- 23 A. But this is the sort of report that is 24 troublesome to me right now. It hopefully was really 25 troublesome to me 25 years ago and just screams out for

20 (Pages 384 to 387)

3

5

6

7

15

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

21

Page 388

Page 390

1 somebody to follow up on. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

4

7

8

11

12

15

18

- Q. Right. But just dealing with the evidence that we have, let us assume that this was indeed in your file, and let us further assume that there is no record of any follow-up with respect to this report. Would you not agree that when Judge Lott asked you, Mr. Anderson, do you have any Brady material to disclose at the pretrial hearing, you should have said, Judge, yes, here's this report by Traylor. I have to disclose this to the defense about the green van and the man walking behind the Morton residence.
- A. And I think I already testified to that at the first deposition that this is the sort of stuff that you would typically turn over.
- 15 Q. And when you disclosed this, would you disclose 16 the actual document in terms of your typical routine, or 17 would you just say to the defense attorneys sitting 18 across the table, I have a report here. Let me tell you 19 what the information is, but you wouldn't show them the 20 document?
- 21 A. You know, my general practice was not to 22 actually hand a physical document to somebody. You 23 know, I -- well, I am guessing I would have summarized 24
- 25 Q. Okay. Now --

It's -- it's the most unprofessional statement I can 2 recall ever seeing in a police report.

- Q. Now, you are -- I know you have described yourself now as being just sick about all of this, correct?
- A. How could anybody not be, but yes, I'm sick about it.
- 8 Q. Now, could part of your bad feelings about what 9 happened in this case arise from the fact that looking 10 at this record you now realize that you did not turn 11 over to Judge Lott or the defense a whole series of 12 reports from Sergeant Wood that were exculpatory and 13 might have led to Michael Morton's acquittal? 14
 - A. I can't imagine I did that. But I can't -- I can't without recollection say that --
- 16 Q. I understand you are saying you don't have 17 specific recollection. But looking at the cold record, 18 Mr. Anderson, it's clear, isn't it, that you did not 19 turn over to the defense or to Judge Lott the complete 20 report and field notes of Sergeant Wood. That's clear; 21 isn't it?
 - A. From looking at the record?
- 23 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. It is not clear. I mean, I -- from my looking 25 at the record today I -- I don't think I was supposed to

Page 389

- A. You know, Mr. Scheck, you make these statements and these accusations, and you are acting like I have done something wrong, and it just -- you know, I am upset about an innocent man being convicted. I don't know how to convey that in the form of a deposition, but it is -- it is highly troublesome to me. It is -- I don't have the language to express my feelings about this. I think earlier I had said I was sick. I'm sure -- well, and this particular report, there not 10 being a follow-up, upsets me a lot. I mean there's one thing in this whole case file that upsets me a little more than this, but --
- 13 Q. What's the other thing that upsets you a little 14 more?
- A. When I was reviewing the documents, there's a 16 statement in one of the reports from Sergeant Wood, and I don't know how to characterize it, but it says something about but we know better.
- 19 Q. That was concerning the check that was cashed 20 nine days after Christine Morton's death, correct?
- 21 A. I think that was the report it was. I 22 wasn't -- that check, you know, may well have been for 23 deposit only put into her account. I -- that's -- you 24 may have more information than I do about that. But it was that statement that just drove me absolutely crazy.

turn anything over other than the report relating to the August 13th conversation and the field notes.

Q. I understand that that is your reading of the record.

MR. DIETZ: Mr. Scheck, at this time I think I'm going to have to interpose a time objection. Madam court reporter, would you tell us the time.

MR. SCHECK: Okay. Are we waiting for a time statement?

10 THE REPORTER: Yes, you are. 11 MR. DIETZ: Yes, we are waiting for a time

12 statement. 13 THE REPORTER: It's about three minutes 14 over.

MR. DIETZ: We are two hours and three over. Okay. I'm going to interpose a time objection and ask that the deposition be completed at this time.

MR. SCHECK: I appreciate it. I just want 18 19 to ask him one more question, clarify this one and see 20 if he can answer it.

THE REPORTER: Wait a minute. We are out of video.

22 23 MR. SCHECK: I'm sorry? 24 MR. DIETZ: We are out of video. 25 MR. SCHECK: If that's okay with the

21 (Pages 388 to 391)

	Page 392		Page 394
1	Judge.	1	CHANGES AND SIGNATURE
2	MR. DIETZ: Well, we are off under all	2	WITNESS NAME: KEN ANDERSON
3	circumstances at this time. We are out of video. Hold	3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: November 11, 2011
4	on a second.	4	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON
5	(Discussion off the record)	5	THOS SING CHARGE READON
6	MR. DIETZ: Are you ready to go? You have	6	
7	two minutes?	7	
8	VIDEO TECHNICIAN: About that.	8	
9	MR. DIETZ: Okay. All right. You can ask	9	
10	one question. You have got two minutes.	10	
11	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) Yeah. My question to you,	11	
12	Mr. Anderson, looking at the cold record now, are you	12	
13	upset about the fact that you never investigated after	13	
14	the motion for a new trial and discovered the field	14	
15	notes and reports from Sergeant Wood with respect to the	15	
16	credit card, the check, the interview with Rita	16	
17	Kirkpatrick and the report about the green van and	17	
18	suspicious man behind the house?	18	
19	A. I don't know that those weren't investigated.	19	
20	I don't know if they were. I hope they were, and I hope	20	
21	I had knowledge back in '86. That would make all of	21	
22	this understandable.	22	
23	Q. But as you look at it today based on the cold	23	
24	record	24	
25	MR. DIETZ: That's the second question,	25	
	Page 393		Page 395
1	Mr. Scheck	1	I, KEN ANDERSON, have read the foregoing
2	MR. SCHECK: Understandable.	2	deposition and hereby affix my signature that same is
3	Q. (BY MR. SCHECK) The last question: As you	3	true and correct, except as noted above.
4	look at the cold record today, do you have	4 5	
5	MR. DIETZ: We are done, we are done.	6	
6	He's lost he doesn't have any more tape.	Ŭ	
7	VIDEO TECHNICIAN: It's 11:46. We are off	7	KEN ANDERSON
8	the record.	8	THE STATE OF)
9	MR. SCHECK: Okay. That's enough.	9	COUNTY OF)
10		10	Before me,, on this day
11			personally appeared KEN ANDERSON, known to me or proved
12		12	
13		13	person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing
14		14 15	instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same for the purposes and consideration therein
15		16	expressed.
16		17	Given under my hand and seal of office this
17		18	day of,
18		19 20	
19		21	
20		_ *	
21 22		22	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
23		0.0	THE STATE OF
24		23 24	Job No. 97064
25		25	

22 (Pages 392 to 395)

Г					
	Page 39	6		Page	398
1	CAUSE NO. 86-452-K26	1	to, Custodial Attorney;		
1	THE STATE OF TEXAS) IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF	2	, : :::::::::::::::::::::;;		
2)	3			
١	Plaintiff(s)	4			
3	VS.) WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS	5			
4	VS.) WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TEXAS	6			
1	MICHAEL MORTON)	7	served on all parties shown herein on		
5)	8	and filed with the Clerk.		
	Defendant(s).) 26TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	9	Certified to by me this day of,		
6	DEDODEDIC CEDEVECA TOO	10			
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION DEPOSITION OF KEN ANDERSON	11			
′	November 11, 2011	12			
8	Volume 2 of 2	1,	Glenda Fuller, Texas CSR 1042		
9	I, Glenda Fuller, Certified Shorthand Reporter in	13			
10	and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the	14	Firm Registration No. 87		
11	following:	1 4	1016 La Posada Drive, Suite 294 Austin, Texas 78752		
12 13	That the witness, KEN ANDERSON, was duly sworn by the officer and that the transcript of the oral	15			
14	deposition is a true record of the testimony given by	1 - 3	Job No. 97064		
15	the witness;	16			İ
16	That the deposition transcript was submitted on	17			
17	November 14, 2011 to the witness or to the attorney for	18	}		
18 19	the witness for examination, signature and return to me by December 5, 2011;	19)		
20	That the amount of time used by each party at the	20			
21	deposition is as follows:	21			
22	Mr. Barry Scheck - 02:06	22			- 1
23	That pursuant to information given to the	23			l
24 25	deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken,	24			-
	the following includes counsel for all parties of	25			
	Page 39	7			
1	record:				
2	Mr. Lindsey Roberts, Attorney for Plaintiff(s)				l
_	Mr. John W. Raley, Attorney for Defendant(s)				I
3	Mr. Barry Scheck, Attorney for Defendant(s)				
4	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,				- 1
5	related to, nor employed by any of the parties or				ľ
6	attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was				
7	taken, and further that I am not financially or				ŀ
8	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.				
9	Further certification requirements pursuant to				
10	Rule 203 of TRCP will be certified to after they have				
12	Certified to by me this 14th day of November, 2011.				- 1
13	Common to by the this I thin day of Hovelhoot, 2011.	***************************************			
14					ļ
15		about the same of			1
	Glenda Fuller, Texas CSR 1042	***************************************			
16	Expiration Date: 12-31-12	PORTAGO			
_	Firm Registration No. 87	2000			J
17	1016 La Posada Drive, Suite 294				1
1.0	Austin, Texas 78752				
18	(512) 465-9100				
19 20	FURTHER CERTIFICATION UNDER RULE 203 TRCP				- 1
21	The original deposition was/was not returned to the				
22	deposition officer on ;				- 1
23	If returned, the attached Changes and Signature				
24	page contains any changes and the reasons therefor;				İ
25	If returned, the original deposition was delivered	1			1

23 (Pages 396 to 398)

A	adversarial 318:14	374:9 376:13	342:10 345:24	329:13,22 337:18
ability 367:6 379:6	advise 364:9	377:4 378:18	353:3,4 359:9,17	343:13,14 363:11
able 332:17	advised 385:6	379:4 380:10	359:18	372:11 378:2
above-styled	affect 356:16	Allison's 320:16	Appearance 313:3	381:23,24 384:3,6
311:17	affidavit 355:2,5	321:24 322:12	appeared 395:11	387:10,10 388:3,4
absolutely 353:8	affix 395:2	337:21 338:5	appears 324:12	assumed 316:20
377:11,17 389:25	ago 314:20 315:12	340:9,11 341:7	325:17 327:1	assumes 382:23
accepted 372:18	318:4,9 329:3	379:13 380:5	359:6 378:1	assuming 316:3
access 371:3	330:17 331:5	amend 385:23	appellate 342:9	331:8 333:13,23
accord 360:7	333:21,22 338:2	amount 396:20	appointed 362:8,10	343:24 344:3,8
account 389:23	345:18 346:21	Anderson 311:9,16	362:18 363:3	376:2 381:1,6
accurate 334:24	354:13 358:13,22	313:10 314:1,7,11	appreciate 317:12	assumption 328:25
accusations 389:2	362:21 363:19	321:19 325:24,24	391:18	343:10 384:2,8,10
accusatory 381:11	364:16 374:11	327:7,20 358:20	appropriate 371:7	387:9
acknowledge	375:8 377:12	359:2 373:19	approximate 314:4	assumptions
379:22	387:20,25	388:6 390:18	area 339:15 348:22	330:16 333:20
acknowledged	agree 316:6 334:1	392:12 394:2	376:22 383:14	343:22
377:24 380:2	343:23,24 375:3	395:1,7,11 396:7	384:5 385:11,19	attached 350:13
395:14	375:10 376:24	396:12	386:4,23	397:23
acknowledges	379:11 381:16	annual 371:20,24	asked 316:2 317:9	attention 342:14
372:20	386:5,19 388:6	annually 318:21	317:16 322:6	365:17 366:1
acquittal 390:13	agreed 384:19	answer 315:9	327:10 335:16	372:3
acted 329:13 331:9	agreement 352:15	317:17 326:10	337:9 364:11,20	attorney 312:3
acting 389:2	356:3 373:5	327:3,9,18 328:6	366:22 367:3	330:21 334:7,14
action 333:13 397:6	ahead 383:20	330:24 333:21	370:14 374:10,24	338:8 359:2,3
397:8	AI 312:16	334:16 362:25	379:20 388:6	360:17 362:15,16
activities 328:12,21	allegation 323:25	367:9 376:19	asking 315:9 317:8	367:14 368:14
330:1,14 331:3,13	354:18 377:3	380:3,17,19	323:3 327:7	369:9 396:17
334:3 335:18	378:9 379:24	391:20	328:15 330:18	397:2,2,3 398:1
337:9 340:21	380:5 381:9	answered 364:12	331:6,8 334:18	attorneys 387:19
341:9,23 343:20	allegations 334:6	answers 315:8	338:3,3 351:4	388:17 397:6
349:11	346:12 347:14,16	366:11,23 367:4,5	355:1,10 356:11	attorney's 383:25
activity 326:25	348:15 351:14	anybody 326:7	363:20,20 366:11	August 315:22,24
327:24 343:13	378:14	344:11,20,21	367:8 374:13	316:8,17 324:11
344:3	alleged 382:1	345:19 362:3	376:7,11 377:19	325:6 344:25
actual 388:16	allegedly 380:24	390:6	377:21 378:3	345:13 367:20
add 341:3	alleging 329:25	anyway 370:16	380:1,3 381:12	391:2
additional 351:18	330:2 340:12	appeal 315:3	aspects 387:17	Augusta 312:7
362:11	Allison 318:4,8,19	320:11 333:10	assigned 360:20,25	Austin 317:20
address 385:9,18	321:20 323:25	339:23 340:5,16	362:2,3 364:21	397:17 398:14
386:3	324:25 329:24	340:17,19 343:25	assist 320:7	available 333:10
admit 373:3	332:5 337:16,22	364:2 365:7	assistant 312:3	337:2
Adonis 385:7	338:20 339:22	appeals 313:12	359:3	Avenue 311:22
	341:16 359:18	320:10 325:22	assume 317:9	312:13
	The ways an index of the management of the state of the s		l	

aware 323:25 324:3	Bates 383:23	Boutwell 364:6	called 323:20	certify 396:10
349:13 368:10	beating 372:19	387:6	374:24 377:16	397:4
369:20,25	bed 372:14	BOWICK 312:6	calling 342:13	chain 356:6,10
a.m 311:19,19	bedsheet 360:14,16	Brad 358:20	374:22	358:4
313:4,4	beginning 314:5,19	Bradley 313:13,14	cam 321:12	chambers 352:22
	begins 327:13	347:1,7,19 350:8	camera 322:1	chance 380:22
<u>B</u>	belief 345:22 346:2	350:22,25 351:7	325:13 328:19	CHANGE 394:4
back 315:2,23,25	believe 316:17	351:11,16,18	334:4 335:5 340:1	changes 313:6
316:8,10,19	319:11,17 323:2,6	352:15 353:5,11	340:15 350:24	314:15 394:1
320:21 321:2	325:12 327:21	353:14 354:2,5,20	card 348:12 349:25	397:23,24
322:13 323:21	328:5,9 329:14	355:14 356:4,12	371:20,24 392:16	characterize
325:15 327:9	334:7 338:4	356:17,24 357:5,9	careful 365:17	389:17
331:15 341:1,12	351:15 352:8	357:15,21 358:23	carefully 327:18	charges 398:2
342:9 343:5 344:8	371:2 375:21	360:20,24 361:24	case 319:12,20	check 380:8 389:19
346:8 347:8,17	381:7,15,19	362:14,19 363:4	328:12 338:6	389:22 392:16
348:14,18 350:22	386:13	364:8,18,22 366:9	339:23 340:5	chief 378:10
351:8 352:22	believed 340:22	367:23 368:8	342:10 343:13	Christine 348:13
354:12 357:6	378:18	369:21 370:2,12	347:16 360:20,24	356:25 389:20
359:9,12 361:25	best 315:7 317:6,10	371:9 372:4,17,25	361:25 362:12,20	Christmas 371:20
369:9 371:1,18	327:11 328:22	Bradley's 313:16	363:5,17,21 364:9	371:24
373:18 375:21	350:23 351:13	352:7	364:21,24 365:7,9	chronology 313:11
377:6,15 384:15	353:24 354:7,15	Brady 347:14,16	365:14,17,25	320:7 360:3
384:17 385:3	355:1 364:4,7	351:14 354:17	366:9,15,22 367:1	circumstances
386:16 392:21	367:5	378:22,24 384:11	367:25 369:10	392:3
bad 390:8	better 363:19	384:14 387:1,16	370:3 375:11	civil 311:23 316:21
bandana 356:5,10	364:15 374:17	387:17 388:7	377:2 379:10	claim 338:20
356:15 357:1,23	389:18	break 331:18 373:8	389:11 390:9	claims 318:18
367:23 368:4,5,7	Bill 318:19 320:16	brief 340:9,9,11,16	cases 316:22	clarify 391:19
Barry 312:8 396:22	322:12 323:25	340:17,19 341:2,2	369:14	clear 315:5 335:20
397:3	324:25 329:24	341:7,11,13 342:2	cashed 389:19	336:6 338:4,7
base 374:7	332:5 337:16,21	342:4,5,9	casing 384:22	340:2 345:15
based 315:8 317:6	338:5 339:22	bring 314:23	cause 311:1,18	346:16 353:16
320:17 324:13	340:9,11 341:7,16	bugs 387:3	396:1	356:22 367:4
326:21 327:20	359:18 376:13	bunch 315:6 316:2	cc'd 383:19	373:6 383:9,21
328:7,8,15 329:14	377:4 378:18	382:23	certain 317:9 369:6	390:18,20,24
330:23 331:9	379:4,7,12 380:10	burglary 384:23	377:14	clearly 342:1
333:14 334:5,6	Bill's 378:20	386:7	certainly 318:21	347:20,21 378:21
340:12 341:16	blood 356:25	busy 316:18,21,23	332:8	Clerk 398:8
345:25 349:19	board 313:13,15	316:24	certificate 398:6	Clinton 312:15
350:10 353:18	363:12,15 368:14		certification 313:6	cold 390:17 392:12
367:15 379:12	368:23 369:22	C	313:7 396:6 397:9	392:23 393:4
380:14 382:24	371:4	C312:1	397:20	Colorado 315:23
392:23	book 345:10 365:20	calendar 315:20	certified 396:9	346:9 347:8 351:8
basically 330:20	bottom 383:19	call 319:2	397:10,12 398:9	351:23 357:6
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

-				-
come 314:24	386:20 389:19	351:18,20,21,23	correspondence	398:12
318:25 361:22	concluded 346:1	353:16 354:20	339:24	current 331:9
367:23 379:25	358:3	355:8,23 356:4,11	counsel 396:25	333:14 343:7
comes 369:11	conclusion 325:17	356:21,23 357:9	397:4	Custodial 398:1
coming 347:8	353:18	357:12,14 358:2,6	County 311:3	custody 356:6,10
356:24 361:16	conduct 378:13	366:9,12,15,20	317:19,24 395:8	358:4
369:4,16	379:12,23 380:4	367:7,12 368:2,5	396:3	cutoff 362:23
committed 333:4	380:15 382:6,10	convey 345:22	couple 314:18,22	
372:20	382:16	347:10 389:5	317:8	<u>D</u>
committing 386:6	confidence 364:18	conveyed 355:14	course 325:18	DA 317:19,24
communicated	370:11,15	355:17 370:11	367:13 382:9	369:11
371:19	consent 349:7	convicted 389:4	court 311:1 313:12	darn 318:18
communicating	consider 342:21	conviction 361:8	316:18,20,24	date 316:6 351:9
370:9	consideration	convinced 367:1	320:9 325:22	394:3 397:16
communication	395:15	copied 369:2	327:8 333:8	398:13
371:17	considered 371:2	copies 398:4	336:13 339:22,24	dates 315:16
compiled 378:10	considering 363:8	copy 345:10 398:6	340:20 341:7,21	Davis 316:15
complains 342:15	consistent 384:22	correct 314:21	342:10 343:15,15	320:17 321:21
complaint 342:22	386:6	315:23 316:6,15	344:4 345:24	322:3,7,24 332:6
complete 319:3	consult 362:19	320:13 321:23	350:22 352:21	340:13 341:16
321:25 322:2,2,24	363:4	322:15,22 323:5,6	353:3,4 357:7	345:2 352:6,10,21
324:1 326:23	contacts 351:19	325:14 326:3,6,18	359:9,17,18 391:7	358:20 359:3
327:22 328:20	355:9	326:25 327:1	396:1	374:6,8,21 375:12
331:2 333:19	contain 332:21	328:7 329:6,7	covered 330:1	376:7,14 379:13
334:2,8 335:4,17	contains 330:13	336:22 337:3,12	covering 321:11	379:18,25 382:12
337:8,23 338:13	397:24	337:17,21 338:9,9	co-counsel 375:22	Davis's 332:9 374:7
339:25 340:13,20	contention 342:20	338:15 340:10,15	crazy 389:25	376:3
345:23 346:16	373:22	342:11,18 345:9	create 376:13 377:2	day 315:17,18,19
348:4 355:19	context 314:25	345:14,18 346:17	379:3 382:19	315:25 316:16
367:16 390:19	317:3	347:2,8,9 348:5	credibility 378:16	325:7 344:12
completed 391:17	continue 320:22	348:17 349:22,23	378:20	362:16 395:10,18
compliance 344:9	343:6	351:24 352:10,12	credible 378:19	397:12 398:9
computer 320:20	conversation 325:7	352:16 353:23	379:4,22 380:7,10	days 348:13 389:20
concentrated	332:5 347:24	361:11,20 362:6	credit 348:12	DA's 339:17,19
319:11	348:1 351:16	362:12 363:25	349:25 392:16	355:11 383:19
concern 339:23	352:18,20,25	364:1,2,3 366:16	cries 386:11	dead 358:24,25
concerning 325:1,9	353:10 355:5	368:16,24 374:1	crime 333:4 339:7	deal 322:11 355:15
326:24 327:23	356:17,19 359:5	374:16 377:12,25	348:23 357:2	356:13
328:3,11 329:20	370:3 371:6 372:2	383:22 389:20	365:22 366:2,6	dealing 388:2
332:21 333:16	391:2	390:5 395:3	370:22 372:21	death 348:13
334:3 337:10	conversations	corrections 316:25	384:21,25	372:19 389:20
341:9,22 343:1,9	346:14 347:1,3,7	correctly 339:18	criminal 316:22	December 320:9
343:12 349:25	347:10,13,19	corresponded	353:4 369:3	359:10 360:11
350:1,2 379:1	349:16 351:7,11	339:22	CSR 311:19 397:15	362:9 396:19
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	1			
decided 342:10	396:14,16,21,24	discovered 392:14	376:17 377:2	eventually 345:24
371:2,7	397:21,22,25	discovery 354:9	378:12 379:4	everybody 317:2
deciding 363:13,15	398:2,3,5	358:13,22 359:4	382:4,20	345:17
decision 313:12	depositions 355:16	discretionary	doubted 378:20	everybody's 339:10
320:9 340:6	described 390:3	360:4	doubts 332:18	evidence 342:20
342:15 377:16	describes 350:3	discussed 357:4	379:3,16	357:23 388:2
defendant 311:17	desk 345:5	discussing 372:24	Drive 312:7 397:17	evidently 324:3,5
333:4 367:2 373:3	destroyed 333:9	discussion 383:4	398:14	325:21 338:8
398:3	detail 365:17	392:5	driving 339:14	344:10 346:25
Defendant(s) 311:5	details 319:13,19	dismiss 382:11	drove 389:25	347:15 351:1
312:5 396:5 397:2	366:1 370:4	distracted 320:20	due 354:17	374:16,18
397:3	determined 372:13	district 311:1,5	duly 311:17 314:8	exactly 341:1
defense 330:21	developments	312:3 359:2,3	396:12	352:15 358:17
332:16 333:2	362:20 363:5	360:17 362:14,16		361:12 381:12
338:8 375:7,15,24	Dick 352:5,10	367:14 368:13	<u>E</u>	382:12
376:17 377:1	died 364:6	369:8 383:24	E 312:1,1	examination 313:3
378:12 379:16	Dietz 311:21	396:1,5	earlier 319:25	314:9 396:18
382:19,22 383:5	312:12,12 321:5	DNA 356:9,15	349:8,8 389:8	exculpatory 390:12
384:12 386:6	321:10,13 323:17	357:22 358:5	early 318:2 370:24	executed 395:14
387:1,15,19	323:21 373:11	360:13 361:7,8,12	easier 323:13	exhibit 313:10,11
388:10,17 390:11	391:5,11,15,24	361:16 367:21	easily 377:6	313:12,13,14,16
390:19	392:2,6,9,25	372:10,13	East 311:22 312:13	314:12 320:7,8,11
deferential 354:21	393:5	document 350:1	effect 347:20,25	340:6 358:10,15
degree 364:17	different 322:10	355:11 383:24	351:12	359:12 368:11,12
370:11,15	325:17 337:16	384:4,19 388:16	effort 370:4	368:13 370:9
delivered 397:25	377:19	388:20,22	efforts 369:23	372:3 383:11
398:5	differently 375:19	documents 315:6	370:24	exhibits 313:8
denial 357:16	difficulties 321:1	317:8,9 319:19	either 335:24	314:1 368:9 398:4
denied 360:4	direct 320:11	320:10 321:25	336:17 347:7,13	existed 380:6
department 386:14	directed 376:22	322:4 333:19	354:5 355:9 375:5	382:20
depend 380:21	disagreements	337:10 347:22	386:9	existence 379:2
depends 382:7	378:21	348:16 350:13	employed 397:5	383:12
deposit 389:23	disclose 335:6	353:21 375:13,23	encourage 358:19	expansiveness
deposition 311:9,15	388:7,9,15	376:1 377:1	encouraged 358:12	378:22
313:10 314:12,20	disclosed 324:2	378:10 379:2,14	encouraging 359:7	expect 327:5,6
315:5,14 316:18	333:2 339:25	380:6 382:2	enter 373:5	349:24 354:2
319:8 320:15	340:15 347:23	389:15	envelope 348:5	expected 349:18
322:9,9 333:25	350:24 355:19	doing 317:5,10	349:2,19,25 350:9	350:11
340:8 352:2 374:7	359:24 375:25	319:17 353:3	350:18 351:10	Expiration 397:16
374:11,15 376:3,9	378:11 382:21,22	359:21 361:17	353:17,23 354:3,6	398:13
381:10 383:11	383:5 384:12	Don 348:16 350:2	Eric's 350:3	explain 335:9
384:19 388:13	386:25 387:15	352:12	especially 386:23	explained 352:2
389:5 391:17	388:15	doubt 343:14 370:8	evening 316:11	explanation 355:18
394:3 395:2 396:7	disclosing 359:23	375:7,15,24	events 315:1 320:7	358:12,21 359:4
l				I

explicitly 340:18	324:18 325:1,7,23	files 338:8	335:7 336:6	380:23 381:16
express 346:2	326:5,17,24	filing 360:9	339:24 341:7,21	383:3,20 384:15
389:7	327:23 328:2,3,11	financially 397:7	344:5,9 346:12,16	385:3 386:16
expressed 339:23	328:20 329:20	find 317:25 375:12	359:18,23	392:6
355:21 364:17	330:14 331:2,11	376:1,15	Fuller 311:19 396:9	goes 333:7 335:23
370:15 395:16	333:15 334:2,11	fingers 332:12	397:15 398:12	going 318:10
extensive 329:25	335:2,5 337:8,24	finish 373:9	fully 343:8	323:22 327:16,17
368:2	338:14 339:5	Firm 397:16	functioning 369:4	335:24 339:23
e-mail 350:25	340:14,20,23	398:13	further 313:7	349:4,15 354:12
351:3	341:8,22,24 343:1	first 312:3 314:8	332:20 388:4	354:16,22,22
	343:8,12,19 344:2	315:14 347:15	397:4,7,9,20	355:8,10 359:12
F	344:11,16,17,23	357:8 374:15		362:23 366:19,21
fact 329:23 330:10	348:6,12 349:5,7	388:13	G	369:21 370:3
333:18 334:2,25	349:10,17,21	firsthand 364:5,7	general 341:13	374:13 376:19
339:4 342:4,25	350:7,12 353:21	five 349:6 363:19	363:9 366:25	391:6,16
343:7,18 344:17	353:22 359:15	364:15	377:13 380:7	good 318:22 337:18
346:23 359:23	373:24 375:5	fix 321:1	388:21	339:1 364:24
371:6 375:12	381:5 382:2 383:2	focus 326:1	generally 360:7	365:9 373:8 387:7
376:15 382:20	390:20 391:2	follow 388:1	366:14	gotten 318:12
383:24 384:6	392:14	followed 324:22	Georgetown 312:4	332:17 357:1
390:9 392:13	figure 318:13,17	351:2 387:6	getting 317:18	green 339:13
facts 317:11 338:10	327:13 329:2	following 316:12	320:3 327:4	348:21 350:1
339:1 363:16,21	373:12 386:16	316:12 396:11,25	336:16 339:14	383:13 384:4
364:5 367:11	figuring 317:18	follows 314:8	346:15 348:22	385:8,17 386:3,20
factual 367:9	file 337:2 339:11,17	396:21	368:13 379:17,21	388:10 392:17
378:25	339:19 355:11	followup 386:24	give 315:8 350:23	gross 335:12,14
fair 324:24 328:25	371:2,4 376:15	follow-up 387:5,12	351:13 366:10,23	guess 317:6 333:22
364:4 380:13	383:19,25 384:6	387:15 388:5	367:5,10 377:15	347:4 383:11
faith 337:18	386:14,14 387:10	389:10	383:1 387:18	385:12
familiarize 370:4	388:4 389:11	foregoing 395:1,13	given 317:10 320:2	guessing 388:23
family 371:16,18	filed 321:21 330:8	form 349:7 389:5	377:19 395:17	guilt 373:3 383:6
Fannin 311:21	335:4,22 336:22	formal 324:17	396:14,23	guilty 364:19 367:2
312:13	336:22,23 337:5,6	forth 377:15	giving 355:18	370:12,17
far 314:12 355:6	337:18 338:19,23	forward 356:2	Glenda 311:19	guilt/innocence
380:10	338:24 341:16	found 318:1	396:9 397:15	319:6
February 326:8	344:24 345:13	four 349:6 351:16	398:12	517.0
feedback 363:10	346:6 347:2,4,4	351:20 353:16	go 320:8 321:9,10	Н
feel 337:20 341:10	350:22 351:7	fourth 352:20	323:21 331:15,19	habeas 360:13
344:4 350:21	355:15 356:1,12	frankly 387:14	337:21 340:5	habit 365:8,11
feelings 389:7	360:13 361:11	Friday 374:18	341:1 342:3,5	hair 367:22
390:8	363:10 367:21	front 321:22	348:14,18 355:6	half 374:15
felt 328:4 341:5	374:19,21 375:4	frustration 355:17	359:9 368:18	hall 374:12
field 319:4 322:2	376:13 377:22	355:22 358:7	369:9 373:11,18	hand 332:11
322:25 324:1,12	398:8	full 320:3 334:10	376:14 377:5	388:22 395:17
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	270.0	1411 520.5 55 1.10	!	

	1			
handle 330:17,19	home 348:21	354:12 355:6	investigated 386:11	357:5,15,21,24,25
handled 362:4	383:14 384:5	373:2 387:11	392:13,19	358:5,11 360:20
handling 361:25	386:5	indicates 383:24	investigation 315:3	360:24 362:14,18
handwriting 378:1	hope 380:12 392:20	indicating 359:14	325:10 358:24	363:4,14 364:8,18
handwritten 348:7	392:20	individual 385:15	375:14 378:14	364:21 366:9
348:12	hopefully 387:24	386:1,22	379:12,23 380:4	367:23 368:8
happen 336:20	hoping 346:22	inform 344:4	380:15 381:16	369:21 370:2,12
346:24	hours 391:15	359:17	382:6,10,17	371:9 397:2
happened 315:2,11	house 392:18	information 315:8	investigations	Joni 385:7,13
318:11 323:18	Houston 312:7	380:8 386:25	325:11	386:15
329:24 330:4,6,6	huh 353:8	388:19 389:24	investigative	judge 319:4 322:1
330:7 345:18	hypothetical 338:6	396:23	326:25 327:24	323:4 324:2,14,23
346:21 351:13	377:5	informed 372:9	328:11,21 330:1	325:13,18,19
354:8,16 355:7	hypothetically	375:22	330:14 331:3,12	326:16,23 327:13
358:13,21 377:11	334:13	initiate 368:16,24	334:3 337:9	327:14,22 328:19
381:10 390:9	T	innocence 312:9	340:21 341:9,23	329:19 330:3,12
happening 363:23	<u>I</u>	383:7	343:12,20 344:3	330:21,22,25
happenings 332:22	idea 318:23 334:16	innocent 358:3	349:10	333:15,18 334:1,9
hard 345:10 369:4	338:1 341:12	389:4	investigator 358:25	335:7,12,15,16,23
376:23 381:11	343:5 344:7 369:1	input 336:2	378:11	335:23 337:7
hashed 318:5,9	374:10 375:2	insofar 373:23	involved 368:25	338:9,25 339:3,9
Hazelhurst 385:9	identification	inspection 322:1	issue 319:3,5 326:1	339:25 340:15,22
385:17 386:3	314:2	325:13 328:19	333:3 367:18,19	341:23 343:4,21
hear 323:13 324:16	image 320:19,21	instance 311:16	383:6	344:9,10 345:23
325:25 326:12	imagine 322:17	instrument 395:14	issued 358:11	350:10,24 353:19
336:4,11,13,17	345:19 367:8	intensive 319:18	359:14	355:20 358:24
368:19,20 377:9	379:17 387:4	interested 346:15	items 357:22 358:1	359:16,20 362:6,8
heard 347:16	390:14	346:19 397:8	367:25 368:4	362:11,17,18
355:16 367:20	immediate 333:8	interfere 359:1	Ψ	363:3 364:9 365:3
384:24	importance 367:24	internet 312:8,9,15	J	365:5 366:16,17
hearing 315:18	important 386:10	312:16	Jarrard 311:21	367:14,16 371:8
321:21 323:3,10	impression 317:19	interpose 391:6,16	312:12	371:11 373:23
326:7,9 330:22	337:23 349:4	interpretation	Jason 312:15	375:18 381:1,4
335:25,25 354:17	inch 322:4,25 330:2	329:9,9	Jernigan 345:12	382:21 383:1
388:8	332:12 339:4	interpretations	346:3,11 350:9	388:6,8 390:11,19
held 332:11	379:2,14	378:24	354:1,5,20,24	392:1
help 364:13,14	included 341:15	interpreted 381:2	355:9	JUDICIAL 311:5
helped 368:16,24	includes 396:25	interview 348:17	job 364:24 365:9	396:5
helps 360:3	inconceivable	350:2 392:16	395:23 398:15	jury 316:21 320:18
hey 318:15 374:23	357:10	interviewed 325:11	John 312:6 347:1,7	321:22 332:6,21
high 364:17 370:11	incorrect 338:12,12	interviewing	347:18,25 348:1	333:3 379:1
370:15	INDEX 313:1	319:12,18	350:8,22 351:7,11	Justice 369:3
highly 389:6	indicated 332:11	investigate 335:18	352:7,14 354:2	K
hold 323:17 392:3	339:17 347:14	381:8	355:14 356:4,12	N
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		l	

Ken 311:9,15	371:11,18,22	led 390:13	358:10 360:3	machine 311:20
313:10 314:7	373:9 374:6,13,17	left 373:10 374:14	367:10 368:9,12	Madam 391:7
347:20 351:12	375:8,17 376:2,19	374:18,21	376:15,22 384:15	main 317:1
354:7 357:16	377:6 379:7,8	legal 375:16	385:4 392:23	making 322:8
394:2 395:1,7,11	380:17,18,25	length 360:10	393:4	324:1 327:14
396:7,12	381:10,14 382:7	letter 313:13,14	looked 315:20	333:20 343:22
kept 315:19 371:25	382:15 384:13,16	369:7,22 370:5,10	316:2,19 319:21	male 385:8,16
kind 320:20,24	386:9,10,16 387:2	370:13,23 371:3,8	325:19 345:8	386:2
330:24 375:17	387:2,6,17 388:21	371:9,14 372:4,12	looking 323:15	man 339:13 348:22
378:13 379:23	388:23 389:1,3,5	letters 368:9,14,23	325:11 345:21	378:19 383:13
385:12	389:17,18,22	369:1 371:11	351:9 356:7	384:4 388:10
King 312:4	390:3 392:19,20	let's 318:16,16,23	377:21 390:9,17	389:4 392:18
Kirkpatrick	knowing 335:23	326:1 327:17	390:22,24 392:12	March 360:13
348:17 350:2	382:4	331:18 336:18,19	looks 321:12	Marilee 371:19,23
371:15,18 392:17	knowingly 359:22	340:5 349:9	323:15 335:22	Mark 312:12 373:8
knew 317:19,20	381:24	353:16 356:22,22	lost 323:7 368:17	marked 313:9
324:24 334:7	knowledge 322:16	358:9,10 359:9	393:6	314:1,11 320:6,8
335:1,24 343:2,5	339:1,3,8,10,12	362:24 368:8,12	lot 316:19 323:13	322:16
343:17,25 344:3	339:16,19 354:10	368:18 369:15	330:1 334:23	Martin 312:4 385:7
366:10,22 379:7	354:12 364:5,7	373:11 381:18,23	355:8,22 374:17	385:13 386:15
380:11 382:12,20	369:13 392:21	381:24 383:3	389:10	mastering 319:13
384:16	known 325:3	385:23 386:17	Lott 319:4 322:1	319:19
Knorrp 312:15	334:20 337:13	387:9,10,10,22	323:4 324:2,15	material 384:11
know 315:18	361:13 395:11	level 358:7 369:12	325:13,18,19	388:7
316:11 318:12,13	Kristen 345:12	381:16	326:17 327:13,14	matter 342:4
318:15,19,20	348:1 350:8 351:1	liked 363:7	327:22 328:19	347:11 363:9
319:22 325:3,15	351:19 355:24	limited 341:2,6,14	329:19 330:3,12	meal 365:18
328:7 330:6,7,8	356:19 357:3,12	364:14	330:25 333:15,18	mean 314:19,25
330:17,17,19	358:2	Lindsey 312:3	334:1,9 335:12,15	315:16 316:12
331:4 332:7		397:2	338:25 339:3	317:18 318:7,9,17
334:20,22 335:21	<u>L</u>	line 315:14 317:22	340:22 341:23	320:2 323:9
336:23 337:4	La 397:17 398:14	394:4	343:4,21 344:10	329:18 344:7
338:9 339:8,16	lack 387:14	list 357:21 358:1	345:23 350:24	346:4 361:14
341:3 342:4 344:6	laid 352:15	Listen 327:18	353:20 355:20	365:2,12 369:14
344:13,13,16,21	language 389:7	litigation 319:1	359:16,20 367:17	379:7 382:24
347:12 348:11	Lantz 312:15	356:1 360:15	373:23 381:4	387:20 389:10
350:20 351:2	Late 362:9	362:11 367:13	382:21 383:1	390:24
353:13 354:4,21	law 376:22 378:21	little 362:23 389:11	388:6 390:11,19	mechanism 335:21
355:22 356:8	378:23 379:9,9	389:13	Lott's 324:23 344:9	336:5 337:2,4
358:18 359:8	lawyers 318:15,16	live 321:17	381:2	meeting 316:15
361:14 362:3,23	365:12 376:20	long 318:4,8	low 321:9	352:1,14 357:7,7
364:15 365:12	lead 358:25	look 320:24 325:23	Luther 312:4	memories 377:14
366:6,25 368:6	leads 332:21	341:1 347:21		memory 332:7
369:1,2,12 370:16	leaves 359:1	348:14,18 354:14	<u> </u>	346:24 347:15

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			
359:1 364:15	misunderstood	329:24 330:8	never 335:16	328:21 329:20
367:19 373:2	348:9 361:10	331:16 332:2,15	337:25 340:17,19	330:15 331:2,11
374:16 377:11,13	moment 355:14	332:19 333:17	340:24 354:10,10	333:15 334:2,11
mentioned 355:3	Monday 315:20,21	334:5,17,23 335:3	354:11,19 355:6	335:2,5 337:8,24
mercilessly 372:19	315:24 316:4,14	335:22 336:8,21	355:14,17 356:23	338:14 339:5
mere 342:21	316:17,23 345:3	336:24 337:3	356:23 359:19	340:14,20,23
343:18	352:4	338:12,25 340:12	364:8 367:14	341:8,22,24
merely 343:16	monster 350:4	341:15 342:6	375:25 376:3	342:17 343:1,3,8
mess 369:3	month 361:12	344:24 345:13	378:20 382:21,22	343:12,16,19
Michael 311:4	morning 316:23,23	346:6 347:2,4,18	384:24 385:22	344:2,11,16,17,23
324:10,19 325:2	363:2	348:11 350:14,21	392:13	348:6,8,12 349:5
326:5,17 327:25	Morrison 312:9	351:6,9 355:15	new 312:10,10	349:7,10,17,21
329:21 330:11	Morton 311:4	356:1,12 360:12	315:3 320:17	350:7,12 353:21
331:1,10 333:16	313:11 324:10,19	361:4,7,20 362:5	321:20 322:13,17	353:22 359:16,19
349:11,21 364:18	334:12 335:3	367:20 373:19,22	322:20,23 324:4	359:24 373:24
368:10 369:22	337:10 339:14	374:3,9,19,20	330:19 331:16	375:6 381:5 382:3
370:10,12 372:18	340:24 341:25	375:3 376:12,24	332:15,19 333:17	383:2 390:20
373:25 390:13	342:15,22 343:1	377:4,22 378:6,9	334:5,17,23 335:4	391:2 392:15
396:4	348:21 349:16	378:15 379:17,21	335:22 336:8,21	notice 369:11
Mike 316:15	358:3,21 360:24	380:1,2,14 382:1	336:24 337:3	notify 372:21
320:17 321:21	362:12,20 363:5	382:11 383:3	338:25 340:12	November 311:10
322:3 332:6,9	364:9,18,21,24	392:14	341:15 342:6	311:18 314:3
341:16 345:2	365:9,17,25 366:9	motions 330:19	362:19 363:4	326:7 394:3 396:7
352:6,10 374:8,23	366:15 367:13	363:9 367:21	372:10 373:19	396:17 397:12
375:12 376:14	370:12 372:15,18	moving 356:2	374:4,9,19,20	number 314:5
379:13 382:12	381:21 383:14	murder 372:19	375:4 376:25	320:2 375:13,23
mind 329:16,21	384:5,22 385:9,18	383:15 386:21	377:4,22 378:15	376:16,25 378:10
330:4,5 355:4	386:5,21 388:11	mutually 316:5	379:17 382:1	numbered 311:18
376:9 378:6	396:4	N.T.	383:3 392:14	numbers 368:11
383:25	Morton's 325:2	N	newspaper 318:1	382:18
minimized 323:19	326:6,18 327:25	N 312:1	night 316:1	numerous 315:4
minute 320:23	329:21 330:11	name 394:2 395:13	nightgown 367:22	
391:21	331:1,11 333:16	nature 355:12	Nina 312:9	0
minutes 391:13	343:9 344:1	necessarily 314:24	nine 389:20	oath 395:12
392:7,10	348:13 349:11,21	necessary 383:23	normally 336:25	objection 391:6,16
misimpression	350:12 353:20	need 318:15 331:15	337:1	obligated 324:9,19
383:10	356:25 368:10	354:7 381:8	Nos 314:1	325:12 326:4,16
misread 385:12	369:23 370:10,24	382:16	NOTARY 395:22	326:22 327:21
misrepresentation	373:25 381:5,25	needed 386:11	noted 395:3	328:5,10,18
335:12,14	389:20 390:13	neighbor 384:21	notes 314:22 319:4	329:10,10,15
misstatements	motion 315:3 316:9	neighborhood	322:3,25 324:1,12	334:1 338:13
373:20	320:16 321:20,24	332:23 339:6	324:18 325:1,8,23	340:23 381:4,20
misunderstanding	322:12,13,17,20	383:5	326:5,17,24	obligation 330:25
337:22 338:5,7	322:23 324:4,25	neither 397:4	327:23 328:2,3,11	334:9,14 335:6,16

335:20 337:20	officer's 398:2	373:23	Peck 312:16	police 317:20
338:4,7 344:4	offices 311:21	orders 330:22	people 330:19	348:20 390:2
375:4,9,11,17	oh 330:12,25	original 320:11	339:6 361:15	popularly 361:13
376:1,11,14,21	337:22 341:21	358:23,25 397:21	365:8 368:15	portion 341:18
377:3 378:4,13	348:9	397:25 398:3	369:16	portions 368:3
379:12,22 380:4	okay 315:22 317:12	ought 381:16	perceived 380:21	Posada 397:17
380:14,25 387:1	317:23 319:7	outcome 397:8	382:7	398:14
387:16	320:1,10,23 321:2	outside 342:3,5	perfect 376:20	position 324:8
obligations 331:4	321:11,16 322:11	overall 314:25	period 355:25	330:23 363:8
observations	322:12 323:10	319:5	356:24 357:15	378:5
384:20 386:20	324:22 327:4		361:16 368:3	possession 387:11
observed 385:5,8	329:11,22 331:7,8	P	369:21	possibility 342:16
385:16	331:19,20 332:4	P 312:1,1	permitted 341:21	342:21 343:2,16
observing 386:2	333:7 336:16,18	page 313:2,9	342:1	343:18 359:15
obviously 318:11	338:18 339:21	315:14 317:12,15	persistent 318:18	possibly 317:25
327:14 337:5,16	340:2,6,7,11,17	317:22 319:10	person 317:25	320:4
339:9 340:3	342:24 343:10	342:14 358:19	379:5 380:10	post 361:8
344:22 377:13	351:15 352:12	360:5 394:4	385:5 395:13	postconviction
occasionally	354:1 356:20	397:24	personal 341:3	362:11
318:20	357:20 358:9	pages 314:22 349:6	369:13	practice 319:22
occasions 383:15	359:11 360:2	painstaking 366:1	personally 395:11	368:13,16,22
385:8,16,24 386:2	362:8,10,25 363:3	paragraph 372:15	persons 332:22	369:8 388:21
386:22	366:8 368:8,22	park 385:8,17	383:4	premarked 358:10
occurred 319:13	369:15 372:5	386:2	petition 360:3	prepared 342:9
351:17 357:8,12	373:7,11 383:3,25	parole 313:13,15	phone 351:17	preparing 398:3
397:11	384:8,24 385:25	368:10,14,15,23	phrased 354:11	prepping 354:24
October 352:3,6	387:13 388:25	369:8,12,13,16,22	physical 388:22	present 312:14
355:17 356:3,13	391:8,16,25 392:9	369:23,25 370:5	picture 368:17	359:6
357:7 358:11	393:9	370:10,11,24	place 328:13	preserve 333:8
372:5	old 346:25	371:4,14 372:4,22	places 321:4	press 313:16
offense 324:17	opened 349:8,19	paroles 369:6	Plaintiff(s) 311:2	358:11,18 359:7
325:6 327:15,22	350:18 351:10	part 341:20 342:13	312:2 396:2 397:2	pressed 354:19
335:2 337:24	353:17 354:6	357:1 358:5	play 361:22	pressing 354:14
339:5 341:24	opinion 325:22	370:20 381:17	please 327:19	presumably 322:14
350:12 375:6	342:13 344:15	390:8	372:21	337:13 339:19
382:3	345:5,8,14,21,25	particular 368:4	plenty 363:7	341:18
offer 327:14	346:5 359:9,14	389:9	plus 349:6	presume 360:9
office 316:1,11	opposition 372:22	particularly 370:22	point 317:13	362:17
322:18 345:2	oral 311:9,15	parties 396:25	318:12,15,22	pretrial 388:8
352:1,7 364:23	396:13	397:5 398:7	327:5 339:2	pretty 318:17
365:8 374:13,14	order 324:23	parts 325:10	347:13 348:1	prevent 368:15
374:21 395:17	335:24,25 381:2	346:22	349:3 353:5 373:1	374:22
officer 396:13,24	ordered 335:13,15	party 396:20	373:2,8	prior 314:19
397:22	337:14,15,17	PDR 360:9	points 315:13	319:13,20 320:15
	I		l National Colombia and Colombia and Colombia	

		***		M
350:9 356:2,13	protest 368:14,23	quite 322:10	319:14,24 320:15	326:2,15,22
383:15 386:21	368:25 369:6	324:16 327:4	321:24 338:24	327:21 328:5,9,16
probably 316:1,10	371:3,11,14	Quote 358:19	340:8 347:24,25	331:9,22,25
316:12,20 318:1	protesting 368:9		353:2,7,9 354:20	337:21 341:2,14
334:22 346:4,22	369:7,22 370:5,10	R	354:23 355:21	341:15,19,20
353:19 363:6,7	370:23	R 312:1,12	356:17,18 357:8	342:4,5,7 343:7
369:12	protests 369:13	Rachel 312:16	357:10,11,18,20	347:21 350:18
problem 356:6	proved 395:11	raise 332:17 375:7	358:1,2 359:5	353:19,20 354:15
problems 356:14	provide 327:15	375:15,24 376:17	360:22,23 363:19	364:5 367:15
356:15 359:1	358:12,20 359:4	378:12 379:15	363:20 365:11,12	368:18 373:14,17
procedure 311:23	PUBLIC 395:22	382:4	365:19 366:12,14	383:23 388:4
361:17 369:5	pull 321:13	raised 342:22	367:7,18,19	390:10,17,22,25
proceed 323:10	pulling 348:21	Raley 312:6,6	372:24 374:1	391:4 392:5,12,24
354:23	purposes 329:13	397:2	376:4,5 377:17	393:4,8 396:14
proceeding 354:23	395:15	reached 353:18	390:2	397:1
397:6	pursuant 311:22	356:2	receipt 380:14	recorded 384:20
proceedings 324:8	387:1,16 396:23	reacted 334:17,19	received 377:25	records 341:8
process 314:17	397:9	read 314:18 317:14	receiving 376:12	349:11
319:25 354:9,17	pursuing 318:18	322:9,12 324:20	378:5,8 382:11	recusal 347:18
358:14,22 359:4	put 341:2 370:5	326:1,22 327:9	recess 313:4,5	355:15 367:20
produce 327:22	387:9 389:23	329:12 330:20	331:23 373:15	recuse 316:9
328:19 329:10,10		331:18 332:3,8	recited 332:5	344:24 345:13
329:19 334:10	QQ	346:4,5 347:17,18	recollection 315:1	346:6 347:2,4
337:10 355:2	quarrel 322:8	349:14 350:5	315:10,11 332:4	348:11 350:14,21
produced 311:16	question 319:7	353:25 377:7,25	340:2 345:7,15,18	351:6,9 356:1,12
319:4 322:1 323:4	326:10,14 327:3,8	381:1 395:1	346:16,21 351:15	refer 325:23
333:15 334:11	327:10,17 328:8,8	reading 328:5	353:24 354:8	reference 372:13
335:2,5 340:22	336:5 364:11	332:24 345:25	355:2 357:13	referred 345:14
341:10,23,24	370:20 376:21	346:22 350:11	358:9,11 359:21	referring 374:5
343:4,8 344:1,5	377:19 378:8	381:22 382:24	359:25 360:8	refrained 359:23
348:16 353:19,22	379:20 380:2,17	383:17 391:3	361:24 363:22	refresh 332:4
359:16,20	380:19 381:13	reads 326:8	364:10 365:1,14	346:24 357:13
professor 379:9	382:13 384:3,14	ready 320:3 392:6	369:25 370:2	refreshes 332:7
PROJECT 312:9	386:9 391:19	real 357:11	373:6 374:14	regale 364:23
prosector 365:6	392:10,11,25	realize 390:10	377:18 383:20	regaling 365:8,24
prosecutor 330:20	393:3	really 317:15	390:15,17	regardless 378:2
335:22 336:2	questions 315:9,10	318:22 319:24	recollections 341:4	Registration
338:6 365:2,5	316:2 317:5	343:14 347:25	355:7 377:20	397:16 398:13
369:9 375:11,22	323:14 344:7	373:1 381:11	reconsider 372:21	reject 342:22
376:12,14,24	354:11 362:24	387:7,24	reconstruct 342:8	related 324:10
377:2,6 378:4	366:11,22,24	reason 381:6,15	reconstructing	397:5
380:7,21,23	367:3,9,24	382:6,9,9 394:4	365:18	relating 324:18
381:14	quick 369:17	reasons 397:24	record 313:5 314:5	325:6 349:16
prosecutors 364:23	quit 318:16	recall 315:15 317:4	316:6 325:12,20	391:1
	i			

relatively 369:16	391:1 392:17	334:11 335:3	324:6 325:9	397:20 398:6
release 313:16	reported 311:20	340:24 341:25	327:18 328:1,6	ruled 353:4
358:11,18 359:7	386:2	354:9 388:5	329:4,11,17,23	rules 311:23 341:6
370:24	reporter 327:8	392:15	330:3,4,6,8	running 357:21
released 368:15	336:13,15 391:7	respond 341:6	331:14 332:13,14	
relevant 333:3	391:10,13,21	344:6 359:25	332:18,23,24,25	S
367:24 368:3	396:9	379:6 382:15	333:5 334:9	S 312:1
383:6	Reporter's 313:6,7	384:14	336:12 337:20	sat 318:4,8
relief 360:13	396:6	response 336:22,23	338:22 339:12,21	satisfied 325:21
relying 366:10,23	reports 322:25	337:2,5,6,11	340:1 342:17	344:10
367:5	323:4 324:9 325:9	338:19,23,24	343:4 345:13,17	Saturday 316:10
remarks 332:20	328:20,20 331:1	341:11 342:1	347:17 349:18	saver 323:15
remember 322:5,6	332:10,21 333:9	responsibility	350:4,5 351:10	saw 333:17 335:11
339:18,20 360:9	334:8,10,11 335:7	372:18	352:5,7,9 353:11	378:2 384:21
360:14,15,16	335:17,19 337:23	responsive 326:11	353:15 359:10,13	saying 324:17
361:12 371:22,24	337:24 339:4	327:7	359:22 360:5,6,10	335:4 336:8,17
372:1,2 376:8	341:22 343:3,12	rest 331:12	360:12,23 361:19	338:13 341:5
383:15,17 387:20	343:19 344:2,5	result 372:10	361:23 362:2,5	346:20 347:19
remembered 368:6	345:23 346:17	return 396:18	365:6,7,23 366:5	348:3 353:7,16
remembers 376:8	348:4 349:2,10,25	returned 397:21,23	366:20 367:3,17	354:6 356:5 357:9
remotely 357:18,20	353:22 355:19	397:25	372:12 374:3,20	365:13 374:1,23
removed 333:9	359:16,19,24	review 317:7	375:22 376:10	385:15 390:16
report 319:3 322:2	367:16 373:24	327:16,20 328:15	377:23 378:3	says 322:23 332:8
322:24 324:2,17	375:5,6 376:16	334:4 335:6	381:5,18,23	332:10,10,15,19
325:1,6 326:5,17	379:15 381:8,20	340:15 353:18	382:22 383:5	332:20 333:2,5
326:23 327:15,22	381:25 382:18,20	360:4 383:22	384:2,11 385:14	342:15 344:15
328:2,3,10 329:20	385:4 387:3,7	reviewed 314:12	385:21 386:12	360:6 372:17
330:1,11,13,14	389:16 390:12	315:6 316:8	387:24 388:2	376:3,4,4 389:17
331:2,10,12	392:15	320:16 321:19	392:9	Scheck 312:8 313:3
333:15 334:2	represented 322:7	326:15 329:5	Rita 348:17 392:16	314:10 320:19,25
335:2,4 336:6	374:6 383:18	332:1 339:21	road 385:11,20	321:6,11,16,19
337:8 338:14	representing 352:6	340:1,9 344:16	386:23	323:9,16,24
339:5,12,25	request 344:10	347:6 353:15	Roberts 312:3	326:12 332:1
340:14,20,23	required 324:14	373:21 384:19	397:2	336:18 368:18,22
341:8,24 343:1,8	requirements	reviewing 314:17	Rock 311:22	373:7,18 389:1
344:1,12 346:12	397:9	319:19 324:7,13	312:13	391:5,8,18,23,25
348:20 349:5,6,16	reread 319:21	325:4,16 326:19	Rodriguez 312:16	392:11 393:1,2,3
349:21 350:7,12	331:15	327:12 383:16	room 332:21 379:1	393:9 396:22
381:5 382:3,5	research 375:16	389:15	Round 311:22	397:3 school 379:9
383:1,12,16 386:5	residence 339:14	right 315:18,24	312:13	screams 387:25
386:9,14,19,23,24	384:22 385:10,18	320:14,18 321:14	routine 322:20	screams 387:25 screen 320:20
387:6,8,14,21,23	386:21 388:11	321:17,22 322:15	388:16	323:7,15
388:5,9,18 389:9	resolution 318:25	322:15,21 323:1	routinely 387:18	screens 321:5
389:21 390:2,20	respect 326:5	323:11,14,23,24	rule 341:13 397:10	5C1 CCH3 JZ1.J
Francisco de Company of Company o				

seal 395:17	334:2,10 335:2	significant 382:18	363:22 364:10	stories 364:23
sealed 348:5 349:2	337:24 339:5	simple 327:8	365:1 367:9	365:8,12,16
349:19,24 353:23	340:14,21 341:8	simply 336:12	377:13 381:18	story 365:25
seamen 372:14	342:16 343:8,11	sir 319:15 324:21	390:17	strange 332:22
searching 355:4	345:23 347:22	328:17,23 332:3	specifics 353:2,9	383:4
second 318:16	353:20 359:19	333:1,6,12 342:12	speculate 317:6	strategy 317:4
321:1 323:18	367:17 373:24	345:1,4,7,11	spent 320:3	377:16
329:5 331:19	375:5,13 376:15	346:7,10 351:5	spoke 345:12	street 312:4,10
336:1,3 338:11	378:11 379:2,14	352:11,13,17,19	346:11 385:15	385:6,8,17 386:3
351:3 360:5	382:2,5,18 389:16	360:19 372:16,23	St 385:7,13 386:15	strong 370:13,23
368:17 372:4	390:12,20 392:15	374:2,25 378:7	staff 365:24	371:9
373:19 392:4,25	Sergeants 381:4	383:8,17 384:1	stain 372:14	Stubblefield
see 318:16,20,24	series 347:3 356:21	sit 318:23 375:10	stamp 383:23	350:10
322:17 323:3,7,9	390:11	375:21 380:18,20	standard 319:22	stuff 387:18 388:13
323:12,14,16,17	serious 347:11,20	381:19	started 319:25	subject 385:5,6,10
327:17 328:13	seriously 351:12	sitting 326:19	320:4 351:8	385:18
332:25 333:11	357:17	371:8 375:17	361:16,22	submitted 396:16
336:19 342:17,22	served 398:7	388:17	State 311:1,20	subscribed 395:13
349:24 350:11	set 316:22 322:2	sizable 376:25	395:8,22 396:1,10	subsequent 333:11
354:2 355:11	324:1 333:19	sizeable 322:4,25	statement 322:8	substance 316:7
357:13 362:24	334:10 335:7,17	332:11 375:13,23	326:6,18 328:1,4	sufficient 382:5
368:21 372:8,12	337:23 341:22	378:10	329:21 330:11	Suite 312:7,10
372:15,17,22	344:5 345:23	skirt 386:8	334:12 335:3	397:17 398:14
375:4,16 377:3	346:17 348:4	slowly 336:19	340:24 346:23	summarized
378:14 380:3,15	355:19 359:18,23	solution 321:9	367:10 378:25	388:23
383:7 385:11	367:16	somebody 369:2,11	381:21 389:16,25	Sunday 316:1,8,12
391:19	Shawn 352:5,10	379:21 386:15	390:1 391:9,12	316:13
seeing 323:11	sheriff 358:23	388:1,22	statements 320:17	supplemental
348:21 390:2	sheriff's 386:14	sorry 317:21,23	321:21 322:3	386:13
seen 318:19,21	shocked 344:11,19	324:16 325:25	324:10,19 325:2	support 342:20
319:9 322:20	344:21 348:4	336:4 352:6	327:2 331:1,11	supposed 325:5
339:13 358:18	349:1,9	361:10 365:4	333:16 335:19	327:25 338:20
361:16 367:8	shorthand 311:21	370:19 373:13	337:11 340:13	344:6 382:25
379:8 381:7 386:1	396:9	384:9 391:23	341:25 343:1,9	390:25
send 371:24	show 388:19	sort 355:10 387:18	344:1 349:12,22	sure 317:1 327:5
sense 373:1	showed 315:6	387:21,23 388:13	350:3,12 353:20	348:14 353:8
sent 371:23	350:25 351:3	sorts 344:7 361:22	374:1 379:13	357:14 364:20
September 318:2	shown 398:7	sounding 363:12	381:5,25 389:1	371:10 374:24
360:2,11 369:20	shows 334:21 340:3	363:15	statute 361:8	389:9
sequence 316:7	sic 326:23	sounds 357:3	stay 371:15	surprised 344:22
Sergeant 324:18	sick 389:8 390:4,6	speak 336:19	steps 333:8	348:6,25
326:23 327:23	signature 313:6	specific 315:11	stop 320:25	suspect 348:18
328:10,20 329:20	394:1 395:2	347:24 348:1	stopped 371:25	suspicious 339:6
329:25 333:19	396:18 397:23	349:9 362:24	385:4	392:18
	I The second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se	in en en en en en in en in en in en in en	o ne e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

			A	
swabs 367:22	366:25 388:18	356:16,18 357:15	333:24 335:1,24	325:16 326:19
swap 321:5,9	391:7	361:22 373:20	339:7,21 340:1,8	327:12 328:23
swapped 321:3	telling 329:8,12	377:14 382:23	343:25 346:22	329:5,12 334:21
sworn 311:17 314:6	337:14 348:10,25	think 315:19 316:5	347:15 349:13,19	335:11 340:3
314:8 396:12	351:10 353:3	316:25 317:2	350:9 351:6,8	341:18 349:14,20
system 318:14	355:13 356:13,23	318:22 319:25	353:12 354:25	1
369:3	357:21 365:16	323:19 326:7,8	355:15 356:2	350:2,5,11 353:25 381:22 382:25
	366:13 375:20	334:20 335:10	357:6 358:23,24	383:18 384:16
T	381:19	342:3 349:13,14	360:10 361:14,16	396:13,16 398:4
table 388:18	tells 372:20	353:2,6,10 354:8	361:21 369:11	1
take 331:18 333:8	tense 359:6	354:16 355:4,23	I .	Travis 317:19
351:12 357:17	terms 317:17		370:9,14,16	Traylor 339:13
358:10 359:10	319:12 351:14	361:14,21 362:21	371:13 372:10	348:20 350:1
368:8,12 373:8	377:14 388:16	363:14,18 370:25	373:7,10 377:25	385:4,15 388:9
377:10,18		373:3,4,20,21	381:25 383:12	TRCP 397:10,20
taken 311:17	testified 314:8	374:5,16 378:16	384:3,6 386:18	trial 315:2,3,7
396:24 397:7	384:15 388:12	380:20,24 383:10	391:5,6,7,9,11,16	316:22 317:4,4,7
talk 318:23 365:13	testimony 314:25	384:14,18 388:12	391:17 392:3	319:9,14,20,23
369:9 380:23	396:14,24	389:8,21 390:25	396:20,24	320:17 321:20
talked 328:13	testing 357:23	391:6	times 314:18 315:4	322:13,17,21,23
339:6 346:19	360:14 361:7	thinking 342:8	317:8 348:2	324:4,24 325:4
	367:21 372:13	379:18	today 324:13	329:1,14,19,25
348:2 356:8,18	Texas 311:1,3,20	thinks 381:14	326:19 327:12	330:19 331:16
362:21 386:15	311:22,22 312:4,7	third 330:5 352:18	328:24 333:25	332:15,19 333:11
talking 314:18	312:13 361:9	thorough 364:24	349:1 353:25	333:14,17,24
315:17,19,22	365:22 366:2,6	365:9	375:10,21 380:18	334:5,17,23 335:1
317:3 319:5 327:1	369:3 396:1,3,10	thought 318:3	380:20 381:3,19	335:4,22 336:9,21
331:17 336:11	397:15,17 398:12	325:15 331:4	390:25 392:23	336:24 337:3
344:17 358:8,17	398:14	334:21,22 335:11	393:4	338:9,25 340:12
365:19 374:11,12	Thank 319:16	338:1,3 341:12	told 324:14 333:25	341:16 342:6
374:23 378:23,24	337:6	344:8,9,13 347:11	341:17 345:17	358:22,25 363:24
378:25 382:12	theories 356:25	347:16 348:10	346:19 348:3	364:22 369:9
talks 332:8	theory 338:23	350:6 354:21,22	349:5 350:15	373:19 374:4,9,19
tape 314:5 393:6	386:6	361:10 370:17,22	354:4 356:3 366:6	374:20 375:4,15
tech 321:9	therefor 397:24	375:9 380:22,22	367:14 370:16,18	376:25 377:4,14
TECHNICIAN	thick 322:4 323:1	382:25	370:21 376:24	377:16,20,22
314:3 331:21,24	330:2	thoughts 325:5	377:10	378:15 379:18
373:13,16 392:8	thing 314:23 317:1	326:20 367:1	top 378:1	382:1 383:4 384:7
393:7	318:5,9 327:24	370:25	totally 371:1	392:14
telephone 312:6	328:4,4 330:5	three 318:23	touch 371:15	tried 335:9 365:7
tell 327:11 330:25	349:18 350:6	391:13,15	track 381:12	365:14 369:10
334:1,9 341:7,21	389:11,13	tie 377:5	transcript 314:11	379:9
345:19 347:21	things 315:11 317:9	time 314:4 318:3,4	315:7 317:7 319:9	trip 346:8
350:8,19 354:1,7	317:19,20 330:13	318:8 321:1 329:1	319:10,16,23	troublesome
354:15 365:12	338:16 346:21	329:14,18 333:13	324:7,13,20 325:4	387:24,25 389:6
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Section and section 12.	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1			the second of th

	_			
true 342:2 359:22	351:22,25 362:21	usually 339:10	334:13 371:19	willing 364:13
377:4 378:15	374:11 391:15		383:9,21 391:18	withdrawn 324:6
379:24 380:5,15	392:7,10	V	wanted 315:13	338:18 340:18
380:23 381:6,23	type 317:5	vacation 347:5	325:18 327:13	348:10
395:3 396:14	typical 388:16	vaginal 367:22	350:23 351:1	witness 311:16
truth 343:19	typically 388:14	validity 356:9	373:3,4,4	312:11 314:6
truthful 366:10,23		358:4	war 365:12,16,25	321:3,8,15,17
367:5	<u>U</u>	van 339:13 348:21	wasn't 318:24	323:12,19,23
truthfully 314:25	understand 318:14	350:1 383:13	339:17 348:7	336:13,16 339:13
try 320:7 321:1	323:13 331:13,13	384:4 385:8,17	369:3,24 371:6	354:17,22,24
326:10 368:15	381:13 390:16	386:3,20 388:10	381:6 383:18	368:20 377:17
376:19	391:3	392:17	387:4,7 389:22	394:2 396:12,15
trying 326:12 327:6	understandable	verdict 320:18	was/was 397:21	396:17,18
327:12 355:4	392:22 393:2	321:22 322:15	way 318:3,24 321:6	witnesses 319:12
363:1 364:25	understanding	371:13	322:11 326:21	319:18 320:3
370:25 386:8,16	324:13,23 326:15	vicious 370:22	329:2 333:21	325:10
387:20	326:21 328:9,22	victim's 367:22	343:23,24 358:6	wondering 356:9,9
turn 324:9,14,15,20	328:24 329:1,3,15	video 314:3 331:21	359:7 366:1	358:3,4
325:5,12,19 326:4	331:9 333:14,24	331:24 373:13,16	370:24 371:14,25	Wood 319:3 321:25
326:16,22 327:25	335:10 337:17	391:22,24 392:3,8	377:17 381:2	322:24,24 323:4
328:6,10 331:2,11	338:16 343:7	393:7	382:24	324:1,9,18 325:1
335:17 337:7	349:20 350:17,23	Videographer	web 321:12	325:6 326:17,23
338:13,21,21	351:13 362:13	312:16	week 315:21	326:24 327:23
340:23 342:16,16	367:15 378:4	VIDEOTAPED	316:19,20,21,24	329:20,25 330:12
353:5 367:16	understood 317:2,3	311:9,15	319:22 320:3	333:19 334:3
369:15 373:24	unimportant	view 328:18 375:18	weekend 315:25	335:17,19 337:8
381:4,20 383:10	386:10	Volume 311:10	weeks 314:20	337:24 338:14
388:14 390:10,19	unprofessional	313:10 396:8	319:13,20 320:4	339:25 340:14
391:1	390:1	VS 311:3 396:3	348:2,23 362:21	342:16 345:23
turned 324:25	unsealed 344:12,22		374:11 384:21,24	346:12 347:22
330:3,10,25	349:3 350:10	W	385:22,23	348:4 350:3
331:10 333:18	unusual 320:2	W 312:6 397:2	went 316:22 323:18	352:12 353:22
334:4,8 335:8	332:22 361:4,19	wait 329:5 336:1,3	352:22 386:17	355:19 359:19
336:7 337:25	updated 366:19,21	338:11 351:3	weren't 344:11,17	367:17 373:25
342:25 343:11,17	updates 363:6	391:21	344:23 348:7	375:5,14 376:15
343:20 345:22	updating 355:10	waiting 391:8,11	354:14 355:8	378:11 379:3
346:13,17 376:18	upper 321:13	walk 385:10,19	365:16,24 392:19	382:2,18 389:16
381:24 382:4	upset 327:4 353:11	386:4	whack 381:15	390:12,20 392:15
turning 369:19	353:13 389:4	walked 383:13	whichever 357:8	wooded 339:15
372:3	392:13	walking 339:15	White 318:3,8,20	348:22 383:14
two 314:20 315:13	upsets 389:10,11	348:22 374:12	wife 372:19 385:6	384:5 385:11,19
319:13,20 320:4	389:13	385:5 386:22	385:13	386:4,23
347:6 348:13	use 333:10 349:1	388:10	Williamson 311:3	Wood's 325:9
351:16,17,20,21	363:12	want 315:5 327:9	317:24 396:3	326:5 328:10,20
				·

330:11 331:1,10	Y	11:43 313:5	22 315:15	5
333:15 334:10	yeah 328:2 331:18	11:45 311:19 313:4	22nd 315:20,24	5 396:19
335:2,5 339:5	349:8 353:1	11:46 393:7	316:17 360:13	512 397:18 398:15
340:21,23 341:8	358:16 364:6	1107 354:23	23 313:10 314:12	312 397.16 398.13
341:22,24 342:25	369:2 392:11	12-31-12 397:16	315:12	6
343:3,8,11,16,19	year 349:8 361:12	398:13	23-28 314:1	67 315:14 317:12
344:1 348:17	years 315:12	13 325:6	24 313:11 315:11	68 317:15,22
349:5,25 353:20	318:10,13,19	13th 324:11 391:2	320:7	
359:15,24 379:14	319:1 329:3	13204 385:7	25 313:12 315:11	7
381:4,8,20,25	330:17 331:5	14 396:17	318:10,13,19,25	701 312:10
382:5	333:21,22 338:1	14th 320:9 324:11	320:9,12 329:3	77057 312:7
words 317:16	344:14 345:18	359:10 397:12	330:17 331:5	78626 312:4
322:19 326:13	346:21,25 354:12	1800 312:7	333:21 338:1	78664 312:13
347:12 349:1	358:13,21 362:7	19th 372:5	340:6 344:14	78752 397:17
work 319:11,18,22	363:19 364:15,22	1986 325:16 384:17	345:18 346:21,25	398:14
worked 369:4	365:25 372:10	1987 322:13 374:15	354:12 358:13,21	
world 376:20	375:8,18 377:11	375:21 376:12	359:12 375:8,18	8
worth 312:10 339:4	379:8 387:20,25	378:5,18 379:11	377:11 379:8	86 315:2 392:21
379:2,14	York 312:10,10	379:23 380:11	387:20,25	86-452-K26 311:1
wouldn't 320:2	you-all 321:15	381:1	26 313:13 368:12	396:1
324:23 333:16		1988 341:13 342:9	368:13 370:9	87 315:2 325:16
339:9 350:18	0	343:5 344:8	26TH 311:5 396:5	361:14 397:16
364:15 380:13	02:06 396:22	359:10,11	27 313:14 372:3	398:13
388:19		1989 360:2	27th 360:2	88 360:11 371:19
writ 361:6,11		1990 360:13,16	28 313:16 358:10	89 360:11 371:19
write 369:22	1312:4313:10	361:1,7,17	358:15	9
370:13,23 371:8,9	317:22	1998 320:9	294 397:17 398:14	9/6/2006313:13
387:8	1:33 373:13	l		9:18 311:19 313:4
writing 368:14,22	10 383:11	2	3	314:4
368:25 387:7	10th 352:3,8,9	2 311:10,10 358:19	3rd 352:6	9:45 313:4 331:21
written 324:17	355:17 356:3,14	396:8,8	30th 358:12	331:23
370:10 371:10,15	357:7	20-some 333:21	300 312:7	9:47 313:4 331:23
387:5	10/19/2009 313:14	2001 362:9	312 313:3	9:48 331:24
wrong 315:17	10:53 313:5 373:14	2006 369:20 371:1	314 313:3,10,11,12	93 369:5
318:11 337:23	373:15	371:14	313:13,15,16	97064 395:23
338:14,17,20	10013 312:10	2009 372:5	394 313:6	398:15
353:6 357:22	1016 397:17 398:14	2011 311:10,18	396 313:6	
371:1,4 373:21,22	1042 397:15 398:12	314:4 394:3 396:7 396:17,19 397:12	397 313:7	
374:3,7 384:3	106 311:21 312:13	203 319:10 397:10	4	
389:3	11 311:10 342:14 362:7 394:3 396:7	397:20	40 312:10	
wrote 325:6 343:15	11th 311:18 314:3	203.3 398:6	405 312:4	
365:20 366:2	11:12 313:5 373:15	203.3 398.0 204 319:10	465-9100 397:18	
368:9 369:7 372:4	11:12 313:3 373:13 11:13 373:16	21st 315:19 316:3	398:15	
	11.133/3.10	#13t J1J.17 J1U.J		