1	BEFORE THE
2	RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	SEPTEMBER 25, 2014
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY JAMIE FOLEY, CSR
25	

1	BEFORE THE
2	RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS
3	ADDITCARION OF ARMOS ENERGY
4	APPLICATION OF ATMOS ENERGY) CORP., MID-TEX DIVISION FOR) APPROVAL OF ABANDONMENT) GAS UTILITIES
5	RELATED TO SERVICE AND) DOCKET NO.10374
6	FACILITIES; ENBRIDGE LINE OP,) PALO PINTO COUNTY TO 9) RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS)
7	RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS
8	
9	
10	****************
11	BEFORE: EXAMINER HANNA EXAMINER RUIZ
12	SEPTEMBER 25, 2014
13	***********
14	
15	
16	
17	BE IT REMEMBERED THAT THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED matter came on
18	for hearing on September 10, 2014, and was reported by
19	Jamie Foley, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the
20	State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype
21	machine on the 1st Floor Hearing Room at the William B.
22	Travis State office Building, 1701 N. Congress Avenue,
23	Austin, Texas 78701.
24	
25	

```
1
                     APPEARANCES
   FOR THE APPLICANT, ATMOS ENERGY CROP, MID-TEX DIVISION
2
   ATTORNEYS AT LAW
3
   Mr. Kodey Jolly
   Mr. Charles R. Yarbrough, II
   5420 LBJ Freeway
   Suite 1500
5
   Dallas, Texas 75240
   T 214.206.2809
 6
7
   FOR THE PROTESTANT, JOHN SALIS
8
  Ms. Michelle McFaddin
   ATTORNEY AT LAW
   6701 Silvernine
   #201
10
   Austin, Texas 78736
   T 512.461.3039
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	I N D E X
2	APPEARANCES3 PETE BROWN
3	Direct Examination by Mr. Jolly
4	Redirect Examination by Mr. Jolly67,74 JESSE GARCIA
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Jolly
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Jolly
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Jolly
8	JOHN SALIS
9	Direct Examination by Ms. McFaddin
10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE115
11	ATMOS EXHIBITS NO. DESCRIPTION ADMITTED
12	1 Map
13	4 Energy Cost Table32
14	6 Revenue Sheet44
15	7 Sample Analysis
16	PROTESTANT EXHIBITS
17	8 Election Form
18	10 Offer to Purchase
19	12 Coffected Annual Revenue
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PROCEEDINGS

SEPTEMBER 25, 2014

* * * * *

EXAMINER HANNA: On the record. Good

morning, we're on the record in Gas Utilities Docket No.

10374. This is the Application of Atmos Energy Corp

Mid-tex Division For Approval of Abandonment Related to

Service and Facilities Enbridge Line OP, Palo Pinto

County to 9 Residential Customers.

I'm Cecile Hanna the Legal Examiner in
this matter and with me today is Rose Ruiz, the
Technical Examiner. I have notice of appearance slips
but I'd like for the record for everyone to identify
themselves for the record for their appearance, please.

MR. JOLLY: My name is Kodey Jolly. I'm here representing Atmos Energy.

MS. McFADDIN: My name is Michelle McFaddin and I'm here representing two protestants: John Salis an individual protestant as well as Make a Difference Water, a non-profit organization.

EXAMINER HANNA: Now, is Make a Difference requesting party status in this case?

MS. McFADDIN: Yes, they are and I have the motion here with me today along with the notice of appearance.

```
EXAMINER HANNA: So let's move right into
1
2
   any preliminary matters which I believe that would fall
   under.
3
4
                 MS. McFADDIN: I brought two witnesses here
   to testified regarding standing. I don't know.
5
   going to do it in order of the individual first and then
6
7
   the group.
8
                  Do you we want me to go through that or
   just put on Make a Difference Water?
10
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Well, you said you had a
11
   motion--
12
                 MS. McFADDIN: Yes, I do.
13
                 EXAMINER HANNA: --for party status Make a
14
   Difference Water. That was my question. I don't have
15
   an appearance for them today. I was unaware that they
16
   were requesting party status.
17
                 MS. McFADDIN: Only this week. Here's the
18
   motion. And I don't know, does staff wish to have a
   copy too?
19
20
                 EXAMINER HANNA: This is the technical
21
   examiner.
22
                 MS. McFADDIN: And as part of this motion
23
   you'll see there is a map as well as a number of
24
   petitions attached and I'm more than happy to put on
25
   verbal testimony from a representative from Make a Water
```

```
Difference if you'd like me to do that today to support
1
2
   this motion.
                 EXAMINER HANNA: I think at this point, I'd
3
   like to go off the record and give myself, the technical
4
   examiner and it appears -- Atmos, have you seen this
   before?
6
7
                 MR. JOLLY: No, we have not.
8
                 EXAMINER HANNA: We'll just go off the
   record and quitely take a minute to review the motion.
9
10
                  (Off-the-record)
11
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Okay. Back on the record.
12
   During the break, Ms. McFaddin had an opportunity to go
13
   to Docket Services and get a copy of the motion that is
   entitled Notice of Appearance of Counsel and Request to
14
15
   Be Designated As a Party to Contested Case Proceeding
   For Make a Difference Water, has been file stamped and
16
17
   is now a document of record in this proceeding.
18
                  So, Ms. McFaddin, please -- we came to the
19
   hearing today understanding there was one protestant,
20
   Mr. Salis. Did I pronounce that correct?
21
                             Salis. Yes.
                 MR. SALIS:
22
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And now you have a motion
23
   to add a party to this proceeding. Could you very
24
   briefly -- we've read the document but very briefly
25
   explain why you think there is a connection between the
```

scope of this proceeding and your request.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. McFADDIN: The reason that there's a connection is Make a Difference Water is comprised of a group of residents that live in very close proximity to the pipeline facilities that they are proposing to abandon, specifically part of this pipeline runs under Lake Palo Pinto and the members of group are either lakefront owners or live in close proximity to the lake and are concerned about the abandonment whether it may cause pollution; whether the pipeline to be abandoned has been leaking in the past; whether there may be contamination associated with it. In addition, there are meters and other facilities, pipeline-related facilities in this community and they are worried about them being abandoned without being removed and serving as an eye sore of the community and thereby devaluing their property.

EXAMINER HANNA: I notice there was a notice of appearance slip from Enbridge. They are not a party to this case as far as I know.

MR. JOLLY: No, they are just a witness.

MS. McFADDIN: And, in fact, if I may say one of the things that I was going to ask you to take judicial notice is the copy of permit, the permit that authorizes the operation of this pipeline, the permittee

```
is Enbridge Texas LLP and it seems to me they are
1
2
   appropriate applicant and if not, they are at minimum an
   indispensable party in this proceeding as the owner and
3
   operator of the pipeline to be abandoned.
4
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Who's the lead counsel?
5
                 MR. JOLLY:
                             We're not asking to abandon the
6
7
   Enbridge Pipeline. The only thing we're asking to
   abandon is the meters and the riser so the actual line
8
   is going to stay in service.
10
                                 Do you know the status of
                 EXAMINER HANNA:
11
   the application for abandonment of the pipeline?
12
   seems that I read in the notice of application, I
13
   believe, that there is...
                 MR. JOLLY: Are you referring to the Atmos
14
15
   Pipeline Abandonment?
16
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Yeah, let me get that
   correct for the record. Yes, there is -- I took notes
17
18
   from the notice of application that there is a separate
   abandonment case from Enbridge to Atmos Pipeline Texas.
19
20
                 MR. JOLLY: Right, and that's -- we're
21
   still not asking to abandon the Enbridge Line. Atmos
22
   Pipeline and Atmos Mid-Tex only owns the meter and the
23
   riser and that's the only thing that we're asking to
```

EXAMINER HANNA: And my question to you is

take out of service today.

```
1
   do you have any information on -- there is, in paragraph
   two of the application in the middle of the paragraph a
2
   sentence that says service to Mid-tex from Atmos
3
   pipeline through the Enbridge Pipeline is the subject of
4
   a separate abandonment application filed concurrently
   with this application. So would that be Enbridge's
6
7
   application to abandon?
8
                 MR. JOLLY: No, it's Atmos Pipeline's
9
   application to abandon. It's more, I guess, how we set
10
   up our--
11
                 MR. YARBROUGH: Let me step in. Charles
12
   Yarbrough. Also represent Atmos Pipeline.
13
                  The situation here is that Atmos Pipeline
14
   has a transportation contract with Enbridge. Enbridge
15
   owns the pipelines in this case, the gathering lines.
   They transport gas on behalf of Atmos Pipeline to the
16
17
   taps and Atmos Pipeline owns from the tap to the first
18
   valve on the riser, basically the inlet to the meter.
19
   Mid-tex owns the meter and the related piping that then
   connects to the customer's piping so each of those
20
21
   entities, Atmos entities is a physical facility but none
22
   of them own the pipeline that the protestant has
23
   referred to in Make a Difference Water is concerned
24
   about contaminating the --
25
                 EXAMINER HANNA: But the question I have
```

```
preliminarily has to do with there is reference to
1
2
   another docket that might actually be the level above
   this, some type of abandonment and I'd like some
3
   clarification on what that is.
4
                 MR. JOLLY: That's being held in abeyance
5
   until this one is decided.
6
7
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Precisely what is that
   abandonment request from whom to whom and what's the
8
   status of it?
10
                 MR. JOLLY: It's a request from Atmos
11
   Pipeline to abandon their facilities and it is in
12
   abeyance right now.
13
                 EXAMINER HANNA: So Atmos Pipeline has
   already filed--
14
15
                 MR. JOLLY:
                             Correct.
16
                 EXAMINER HANNA: --an application to
   abandon.
17
18
                 MR. JOLLY:
                             Service to Atmos Mid-tex.
19
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And so does Enbridge
20
   factor into that at all? Would they be a required party
21
   or no? Could you state that for the record, please.
22
                 MR. YARBROUGH:
                                 Enbridge is not abandoning
23
   any facilities at this location at this time.
24
                 EXAMINER HANNA: So Enbridge will still
25
   operate the pipeline. It's simply this other docket
```

```
that we're referring to is an application by Atmos
1
2
   Pipeline Texas to abandon service to Atmos Mid-tex.
                 MR. JOLLY: Correct.
3
                 MR. YARBROUGH:
                                 That is correct.
 4
5
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And you're saying that
   application has been filed and it is filed with the Gas
6
   Services Division?
7
                             Correct.
8
                 MR. JOLLY:
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And who's requested that
   be in abeyance because it seems somewhat related to this
10
11
   case, and I'm...
12
                 MR. YARBROUGH:
                                 If I could, the staff is
13
   holding it in abeyance for the processing of this
14
   docket.
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Railroad Commission Gas
15
16
   Services Staff?
17
                 MR. YARBROUGH:
                                 Staff is holding that in
              It's ready to be approved because Mid-tex has
18
   abeyance.
19
   consented.
               There are no protests to that abandonment,
20
   so under the rules of the commission, that could have
21
   been granted administratively but before granting it,
22
   they wanted to see the outcome of this hearing.
23
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And in the presentation of
24
   your evidence today, did you intend to call this
25
   representative from Enbridge to have evidence in the
```

```
record of whether this pipeline is going to stay in
1
   service after -- in other words, I understand Atmos
2
   Mid-tex is going to be requesting abandon -- excuse me,
3
   Atmos Pipeline Texas is going to be requesting
4
   abandonment to Atmos Mid-tex but I'm interested to know
   if Enbridge is going to intend to continue to use this
6
7
   pipeline or is there going to be a total abandonment
8
   because it seems relevant to the request for standing
   for the public interest group if their concerns are that
   the pipeline is going to be completely abandoned.
10
11
                 MR. YARBROUGH: And I would agree with your
12
   characterization. If they were going to abandon the
13
   pipeline then it would be relevant to their party
   status. It is our understanding from Enbridge they are
14
15
   not going to abandon the pipeline. They are going to
   keep it in service gathering gas. Our reason to have
16
17
   Enbridge here today was to testify to the quality of the
18
   gas.
19
                 MS. McFADDIN:
                               And Your Honor, if I may,
20
   one of the big concerns that both--
21
                 EXAMINER HANNA:
                                 Tell you what.
                                                   You'll
22
   have an opportunity to talk.
23
                  But the representative is here if that
24
   line of questioning needed to be developed that I just
25
   referred to in terms of fleshing out some of these
```

standing issues.

2.4

MR. YARBROUGH: Mr. Montgomery could address the intent of Enbridge to keep the line in service.

EXAMINER HANNA: Before Ms. McFaddin has an opportunity to respond, do you have any other comments regarding the motion for party status or paraphrasing the title of the motion?

MR. JOLLY: Yeah, we would appose their motion to be granted party status on the basis they don't have standing. They are not an affected customer in this proceeding and then what we've just talked about that the line is not being abandoned, so their concern is not really I guess within the scope of this proceeding.

EXAMINER HANNA: Ms. Ruiz and I have spent a great deal of time reviewing this rule, but would you please for the record state why it's your position that the public interest group doesn't have standing in accordance with the applicable rule that is the scope of this case which is Rule 7.465.

MR. JOLLY: We're just dealing with service, abandoning service to affected customers, and Make a Difference Water is not a customer.

EXAMINER HANNA: Okay. And so they would

be the customers that were outlined in your notice of application for abandonment?

MR. JOLLY: Correct.

MS. McFADDIN: Yes, if I may. One of the concerns that part of my clients have today is a couple of statements that were made in the Atmos Energy's pending application for abandonment. And those--

EXAMINER HANNA: Are you talking about the -- pardon me. The one we have before us in Docket No. 10374 or another one that's being held in abeyance that I've never seen?

MS. McFADDIN: Yes, this one we're here for today, the Gas Utilities Docket 10374. In the Atmos Energy Application, not only does it indicate that it owns and operates the pipeline, it also refers to a couple of reasons why it wants to abandon these facilities and those reasons include the deteriorating quality of the natural gas and the presence of high liquids, and in addition, potential air quality issues within residences that are served by these gas utilities. And that raised a lot of flags within this entire community with respect to whether or not the gas is safe for delivery to their residences. And that includes the members of Make a Difference Water. So, they, themselves, in their own application have raised

issues relating to the quality of the natural gas being delivered to customers as well as potential air quality impacts within their own residences. And we believe that that is pertinent to this particular proceeding.

EXAMINER HANNA: Ms. McFaddin, I'm going to ask you to elaborate on something you just said, so my understanding for the application today is that Atmos Mid-tex wants to abandon their part of the line to the residential customers for precisely the reason you just stated. It has to do with the quality -- I don't -- the quality may not be right, the content of the gas and so, what I'm getting at is it's not going to be delivered anymore is my understanding, so...

MS. McFADDIN: To these particular nine customers it won't be delivered anymore and what their application says is the facilities to be abandoned include regulators, meters, farm tap, over-riders, short sections of service lines and pipings. We don't know what that means. It's kind of vague in terms of the scope of the facilities that are being abandoned and if you look at the title of the their application it says Abandonment of Service and Facilities For Enbridge Line OP.

EXAMINER HANNA: But my question is this:

That if Atmos no longer uses the pipeline facilities to

1 those customers, no longer is that gas with that content
2 in that pipeline.

MS. McFADDIN: For those nine residential customers. We don't know if there are other customers they are still delivering this natural gas to that's creating these problems and that include the member of this Palo Pinto Community.

EXAMINER HANNA: Did you have some questions?

It seems that what you're addressing in relation to the Make a Difference Water, those objections possibly are better -- or more appropriately is a more precise way to state that, that the objections you're asserting on behalf of Make a Difference Water appear to me to be more appropriately presented in the abandonment case that's in abeyance of Atmos Pipeline to Atmos Mid-tex if in deed they are even appropriate there, but it seems to me that if they are appropriate, they might -- there's greater potential for potential effect status in that proceeding than in a proceeding where the scope is limited to abandonment to nine residential customers.

MS. McFADDIN: Frankly, Your Honor, I wasn't aware that there was another pending application held in abeyance until it was brought up this morning.

EXAMINER HANNA: Well, it's in the notice of application.

MS. McFADDIN: It was. I didn't realize it was the same pipeline. I thought it was referring to some other segment of the pipeline in some other community. I didn't realize it was closely related to this proceeding itself, and my client, John Salis, only received notice of this particular limited proceeding as a residential customer. Had I been aware that there was another application out there, I certainly would have, you know, filed a notice of appearance and tried to participate in that, and I'm suggesting that if there is an application out there that's related to this, the two applications be joined and considered together.

MR. JOLLY: Can I just clarify that the only customer of Atmos Energy Mid-tex or Atmos Energy Pipeline that has signed the petition or all of these signatures behind the Make a Difference Water's motion, they are not customers of Atmos Energy Mid-tex or Atmos Energy Pipeline, and so I'm not sure that they would have standing in this proceeding or Atmos Pipeline's proceeding.

EXAMINER HANNA: And that is certainly within the scope of any argument at the next abandonment case, if, indeed there is one presented but in terms of

the arguments Ms. McFaddin is presenting, it appeared to me that they might have more relevance to that other docket if, in fact, they end up prevailing.

Okay, so, I need for the record precisely why it's your opinion that Make a Difference has party status for the a docket that relates only to the abandonment for a docket that relates only to the abandonment of service of Atmos Mid-tex to nine residential customers, one of which has protested the abandonment.

MS. McFADDIN: Your Honor, I would refer to the Railroad Commission Rule 7.465 related to abandonment as it relates and I'm looking at the back page, subsection 5. I'm looking through.

EXAMINER HANNA: Well, there's A and B.

MS. McFADDIN: This would be B5 and what that provision states is that the gas utility has the burden to prove of the proposed abandonment or permanent discontinuation of the service is reasonable, necessary and not contrary to the public interest. Specifically, whether the utility has failed to properly maintain the facility proposed for abandonment rendering them unsalvageable due to neglect and other considerations effecting potentially abandoned customers.

I believe that in this case Make a

Difference is here representing the public interest of the Palo Pinto Community and specifically they are concerned about abandonment of facilities such as meters, riser pipes and other facilities just being abandoned and in place, creating eyesores in the community that value their lakefront properties. that is specifically set forth in cursory form in my motion for party status.

And I could put on evidence today to state that there is concern throughout community about abandoned equipment and the eyesores that it is currently creating as well as abandoned facilities that are now visible at the bottom of the lakes since it's only at 14 percent capacity.

We were not sure based on our review of the application exactly what the extent of these abandonment activities were is one reason we're here today.

EXAMINER HANNA: Ms. McFaddin, I'm struggling with just the issuable interest of your client, and how much evidence do you have to present on that? Right now I'm deliberating on whether I make a decision right now on the standing or if I go ahead and take the evidence and make a decision in a proposal for decision.

```
1
                 MS. McFADDIN:
                               I have a representative here
2
   today, Mike Lewis, for Make a Difference Water and as
   you could see attached a map that shows where some of
3
   the members live immediately adjacent to parts of these
4
   pipelines' meter facilities and other facilities and
   they are specifically concerned that these facilities --
6
7
                 EXAMINER HANNA:
                                  I know what they are
   concerned about. What is your estimated time of
8
   evidence that you have to present?
10
                               Ten minutes. 15 minutes.
                 MS. McFADDIN:
11
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Okay. We're going to go
   off the record.
12
13
                  (Off-the-record)
14
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Back on the record.
15
                  Ms. McFaddin, we've heard your argument of
   why Make a Difference Water should have party status in
16
17
   this case and you've suggested that the provision in the
18
   public interest is what -- is the connection.
19
                 MS. McFADDIN: Not only that, but if you
20
   look at specifically B5D whether the utilities failed to
21
   properly maintain the facilities proposed for
22
   abandonment, that is a big issue for this community is
23
   the fact that there are meters and other
24
   pipeline-related equipment that appear to have already
25
   been abandoned and they're in deteriorating condition
```

```
and acting as an eyesore in this lakefront community.
1
2
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And could you point me in
   the rule to where -- since Make a Difference Water is
3
   not being abandoned, service is not being abandoned to
4
   that entity, could you point me in this rule to why they
   would have party status for a docket that involves the
6
   abandonment to residential customers of a particular
7
   service?
8
                 MS. McFADDIN: As I've is indicated, they
10
   represent the public interest in this community and the
11
   community is concerned about the abandonment of
12
   pipeline-related equipment, meters, riser pipes, et
13
   cetera in the community creating an eyesore and
   devaluing where the property is located along the
14
   lakeshore of Lake Palo Pinto.
15
16
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: On your Exhibit A, can you
   point out this section that's got the eight meters
17
18
   identified.
19
                 MS. McFADDIN: If you don't mind, I haven't
20
   seen this. Can I have my client address that
21
   question...
22
                 EXAMINER HANNA: You haven't seen the map
23
   in the motion?
24
                 MS. McFADDIN: I have seen the map, but my
25
   client has actual been out and understands where the
```

```
abandoned equipment is.
1
                 EXAMINER HANNA: I see.
2
3
                 MS. McFADDIN: And you had questions
   specifically?
4
5
                 EXAMINER HANNA: But wait a minute. You're
   asking a witness, Mr. Salis, and there's no objection to
6
7
   his party status. We're talking about--
                 MS. McFADDIN: He's a member of Make a
8
   Difference Water.
10
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Okay. I thought you had
11
   another representative.
12
                 MS. McFADDIN: I do. I have a member here
13
   who is in individual standing as well as a
   representative of the group itself.
14
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Go ahead.
15
16
                 MS. McFADDIN: And your specific question?
17
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: So the abandonment -- my
18
   understanding is where the red dots representing these
19
   eight or nine meters?
20
                 MR. SALIS: Relative locations, yes.
21
                 MS. RUIZ: And, the Enbridge Line is
   providing the transmission service for Atmos Mid-tex.
22
23
                 MS. McFADDIN: That is my understanding.
                 MS. RUIZ: Is that correct?
24
25
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Is it transportation
```

service or transmission? 1 2 MR. YARBROUGH: Pipeline has the transportation agreement with Enbridge. That's the 3 subject of the other docket. This docket is just about 4 the service from Mid-tex to the customers. MS. RUIZ: And the eight or nine meters? 6 7 MR. YARBROUGH: Uh-huh. 8 MS. RUIZ: So can you tell me your concern with what is being abandoned on this distribution 9 10 portion. 11 MR. SALIS: There's currently -- and I don't 12 know all the terminology you guys use in the gas 13 industry. There's -- it sounds like riser pipes coming out of the ground. There's obviously some that have 14 15 been abandoned prior where meters may have been taken off, but those taps going down wherever they are going 16 17 into the ground have not been removed. There is odor 18 issues that have been in the community for quite some 19 time with gas that emanates from these locations. 20 Relayed to me by other members of this group within 21 their community, the fact that they are smelling gas and 22 it's emanating from meters that -- there's more meters 23 out here that are indicated in the application. 24 there's a map in the application, if you look in the 25 formal application, Atmos provided a map that was,

again, maybe not necessarily as fine as this is in terms of the locations where the physical facilities are and the amount of meters and things that are sticking up in the ground that are inactive at this point and 4 previously probably abandoned, so my concern is that, okay, you -- are you going to walk away from this stuff 6 too because it's going to be left in our front yard from 8 this point forward. This is the same concern I think that this group shares is that we have enough junk disposed of at the lake. We don't necessarily want 11 somebody -- the word abandonment is a delicate subject, 12 I think.

1

2

3

7

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That was not a yes or no answer, I guess. EXAMINER HANNA: At this point, we're going to preliminarily deny party status to Make a Difference Water. We're going to proceed with the abandonment case with Mr. Salis as protestant. If, in the course of the hearing we hear something that makes us change this decision on party status for Make a Difference, if we hear something from Enbridge or Atmos, if we hear something from Salis, we will reconsider that ruling , and we may even --at the conclusion of taking this evidence -- reconsider the ruling on party status and take it under advisement and then have you brief it and then come back and take this other party's evidence if

```
we think it's appropriate, but right now, as it stands
1
2
   from the preliminary argument and review, party status
   is denied to Make a Difference Water, but we are keeping
3
   open minds as we hear the evidence.
4
                  Okay. So Atmos has the burden of proof.
5
   Would you please proceed.
6
7
                 MS. McFADDIN:
                                Madam Examiner, if we could
   -- I'd like to take a break so that we could make a copy
8
   of a couple of documents here relating to his reliance
10
   on the provision of gas utilities when he developed his
11
   property. We just need a couple of minutes to make
12
   copies.
13
                 EXAMINER HANNA: No, we're not going to
   take a break right now. I just took a break a few
14
15
   minutes ago. Atmos has their direct case before you
   have an opportunity for your case. We'll probably have
16
   lunch because it's ten to 11:00. You can make some
17
18
   copies then.
19
                  Go ahead, please.
20
                 MR. JOLLY: We'd like to call our first
21
   witness, Pete Brown.
22
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Would you raise your right
23
   hand and be sworn by the reporter, please.
24
                           PETE BROWN,
25
   having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. JOLLY:

- Q. Can you state your name and business record or business address for the record, please.
- 5 A. Pete Brown, Post office Box 637 Rockwall, 6 Texas.
- 7 EXAMINER HANNA: You're going to have to 8 speak up.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. (BY MR. JOLLY) Whom are you employed by,
- 11 | Mr. Brown?
- 12 A. Pete Brown Associates.
- Q. Can you briefly describe your education and professional background.
- A. I'm have a Bachelor of Business degree from the
 University of Memphis. I was Manager of Loan Star Gas
 Company until 1997 and continued with TXU Gas until 1999
 at which time I became a consultant and I consult with
- 19 Atmos Energy and other companies.
- Q. And can you kind of briefly describe what type
 of consulting you do for Atmos Energy.
- A. It has to do with land rights, acquisitions,
 purchase of property, sale of property if that comes up
 and related issues.
- 25 Q. Can you describe the facilities that are the

subject of this abandonment proceeding.

1

2

4

- A. Yes, the -- what is to be abandoned are the meters which serve customers around Lake Palo Pinto.
- Q. Can you identify this document that's marked as Exhibit No. 1.
- A. Yes, that's a map showing the approximate location of the customers.
 - Q. And was this prepared by you or at your request?
- 10 A. It was prepared at my request. Atmos drafting
 11 actually prepared it for me.
- MR. JOLLY: I move to have this admitted into the record, Exhibit No. 1.
- 14 (Atmos Exhibit 1 offered)
- 15 EXAMINER HANNA: Objections?
- MS. McFADDIN: No objection.
- 17 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.
- 18 (Atmos Exhibit 1 admitted)
- Q. (BY MR. JOLLY) Mr. Brown, can you describe the process by which you attempted to obtain the consents from the customers serviced.
- A. Yes, initially I sent them a letter; then I
 followed up with a phone call and in some cases I met
 with the landowners or customers.
- Q. And do you recognize this document that's

```
marked as Atmos Exhibit No. 2?
1
2
            Yes, this is the offer letter that was sent.
3
            Now was this prepared by you or at your
        Q.
   request?
4
        Α.
            Yes.
5
6
                 MR. JOLLY: I move to have Exhibit No. 2
7
   placed into the record.
8
                   (Atmos Exhibit 2 offered)
9
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Objections?
10
                 MS. McFADDIN: No objection.
11
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.
12
                  (Atmos Exhibit 2 admitted)
13
        Q.
             (BY MR. JOLLY) And can you just describe what
   this qualified offer provided.
14
15
            What it provided was an offer to convert the
16
   properties or the service to either propane or electric
   service from natural gas or the cash equivalent to
17
   either of those.
18
19
        Q. And was a copy of this or was this qualifying
20
   offer mailed to each of the nine customers that are
21
   subject to this abandonment?
22
        A. Yes.
23
        Q.
           And did you deliver these to the post office
24
   yourself?
25
        Α.
            Yes.
```

- Do you remember what date that was? Q.
- 2 Α. It was on or about the 1st of April.
- And did you receive responses from all nine of 3 Q. the customers? 4
 - Yes. Α.

1

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- And how many of them consented? 6 Q.
- 7 Α. Eight.
 - Eight of the nine. Can you describe any, I Q. guess, negotiations you had with Mr. Salis or any contact you had with him?
 - I sent the letter to Mr. Salis. I called his I spoke with Mrs. Salis and asked her what they thought about the letter. She said I probably needed to talk to her husband. I asked her what would be a good time. She told me sometime that evening. That evening when I was getting to my voicemail, I had a voicemail from Mr. Salis telling me I had to call him right then and not to call him that night but I went ahead and called since it was the time anyway. I did not receive an answer. A couple of days later I got his return, the election form declining the offer with some questions on the back, and I took that to mean that he was not agreeable to the conversion.
- 24 Mr. Brown, do you recognize these documents that are marked as Atmos Exhibit No. 3?

```
1
             Yes, these are the consents that we received
        Α.
2
   from the other customers.
3
        Q.
            And these are the executed consents, right?
        Α.
            Yes.
4
             And were these prepared by your or at your
5
   request?
6
7
        Α.
             Yes.
8
                 MR. JOLLY: I move to have Exhibit No. 3
   placed into the record.
10
                   (Atmos Exhibit 3 offered)
11
                 EXAMINER HANNA: It'd be helpful if you'd
12
   let me know if you object.
13
                 MS. McFADDIN: No objection.
14
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.
15
                   (Atmos Exhibit 3 admitted)
16
             (BY MR. JOLLY) Mr. Brown, can you describe the
        Q.
   commonly available alternative energy sources for the
17
   customers in the Palo Pinto Lake area.
18
19
             Propane and electric.
        Α.
20
        Q.
             And did you provide me with a cost of propane
21
   and electricity in this area?
22
        Α.
             Yes, sir.
23
        Q.
             And how did you get these costs?
```

I called the providers of those services.

Do you recognize this document marked as

24

25

Α.

0.

```
Exhibit No. 4?
1
2
             I need my glasses.
3
                  Yes, this is a straight-line conversion of
   the cost of propane and electric to the BTUs.
4
            And was this based on the information that you
5
   provided me regarding the cost of the alternative
6
7
   energy?
        Α.
             Yes.
8
             Okay. And was --
        Q.
10
                 MR. JOLLY: I'm going to move to have
   Exhibit No. 4 placed into the record, just a table
11
12
   showing the thermal conversion.
                   (Atmos Exhibit 4 offered)
13
14
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Objections?
                 MS. McFADDIN: I need to take a look at it.
15
16
                  Your Honor, if I could ask a question, was
   that table presented in the application?
17
18
                 MR. JOLLY: No.
19
                 MS. McFADDIN: If my client could, he'd
20
   like to compare these numbers. He hasn't seen them
21
   before today with what's in the application.
22
                  No objection, Your Honor.
23
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.
24
                   (Atmos Exhibit 4 admitted)
             (BY MR. JOLLY) Mr. Brown, do you know the
25
        Q.
```

1 approximate cost of conversion for the customers served
2 in this Palo Pinto area?

- A. Yes, it was five to six thousand for propane and 10 to 12 for electric.
 - Q. And how did you obtain these conversion costs?
- A. They are based on talking to contractors and previous experience.
- Q. If the commission were to approve abandonment of these nine customers, how will the conversion of each individual customer be handled?
- A. We would coordinate with the customers as to timing and if they wanted -- of course if they wanted the cash, we would just give them the cash and give them the time. When it would be completed, we would remove the aboveground meter in the facilities. If they want Atmos to have it done it would be like a turn key. We'd coordinate with them as far as timing. Go in, do the conversion, remove our aboveground facility and they'd be in business.
- 20 MR. JOLLY: That's all I have for
- 21 Mr. Brown.

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 22 EXAMINER HANNA: Cross-examination?
- MS. McFADDIN: Yes.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MS. McFADDIN:

Q. Mr. Brown, you just indicated that you were willing to work with the customers to coordinate regarding the timing of the conversion and that you would remove equipment in aboveground facilities. What exactly do you mean by aboveground facilities?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

17

18

19

- A. There's a meter and a riser for each -- each meter comes up off the pipeline. They'd take everything off aboveground and remove it.
- Q. What happens with the parts of the pipe or facilities that are located below ground?
- A. The -- well the pipeline, itself, will remain in place. It doesn't belong to Atmos. It belongs to the other company. The customer's line as I understand it, from the meter to their house belongs to the customer. I don't know that -- those are generally left in place.
 - Q. Are they left in place even if there is evidence that there may be leaks or other problems with their customer pipelines?
- A. Again, it's the customer's line as I understand it.
- Q. So is it my understanding that Atmos won't be doing anything to any facilities located below ground?
 - A. Not beyond the meter as far as I know.
- 25 Q. Is the riser pipe going to be somehow topped

- off or capped or otherwise enclosed so there will no longer be continuing possibility for emissions?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.

3

4

5

6

7

20

21

22

23

- Q. And what exactly is that practice or procedure?
- A. I'm not qualified to answer that. I'm sorry.
- Q. Are you aware of anybody else here today that could answer that question?
- 8 A. Well, I would assume that Atmos people here 9 could.
- Q. Is my understanding that you never did actually speak to Mr. Salis regarding the concerns set forth on his election form?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that is why?
- A. Well, my perception was that Mr. Salis was -
 did not want to do this. I did -- so when I tried to

 call him and he did not answer and then I got the

 response, I took that to be a firm no and sent the forms

 on up to Atmos.
 - Q. Were you aware that page 2 of the application of abandonment of service and facilities, section 3 states specifically that a qualifying offer was made to each customer along with an opportunity for them to ask questions?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- Is it your understanding that any of his 1 2 questions presented on the back of election form were answered? 3
 - Not to my knowledge.
 - Do you have any information that you're offering with respect to the potential air quality issues within the residences?
 - I'm sorry. Would you state that again. Α.
- Are you here today at all to speak about the Q. quality of the natural gas or the possible air emissions in the residences? 11
- 12 Α. Oh, no, ma'am.

4

5

6

7

8

- Your testimony here today is involved with 13 Q. cost. 14
- And the offer, basically. 15
- Did you examine any other alternative energy 16 17 sources such as wind, solar power or any other 18 alternative energy sources other than propane and electricity? 19
- 20 Α. No, ma'am.
- 21 Are any of these other alternative sources 22 available within this community to your knowledge?
- 23 Not to my knowledge. I don't know about those 24 sources.
- 25 So you didn't investigate any other source 0.

other than propane or electricity conversion, correct?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

- Q. Is it your testimony that the cost of conversion here is reasonable for those residents whose service will be terminated?
- A. Oh, yes, ma'am, and I'll say this: Those are averages and it's just whatever it costs. Some -- for example some -- if someone goes to propane, some appliances can be converted to propane. If they are too old, we have to buy them a new appliance to replace that as good or better type of appliance, so it varies from house to house.
- Q. So, part of the conversion would be to purchase those new appliances if they are so required to implement the conversion.
 - A. Yes, ma'am; that's correct.
 - Q. Are you aware of the letter that Mr. Salis sent in requesting a hearing dated August 10, 2014 that has a detailed cost information set forth in it?
 - A. No, ma'am.
- Q. So you've not seen this letter and not been able to evaluate the cost set forth herein?
- A. I haven't seen that letter.
- MS. McFADDIN: Your Honor, would it be possible for me to give him a copy of this letter to

review?

EXAMINER HANNA: I mean, you could offer it as an exhibit and see if you get an objection. That's your cross-examination.

MS. McFADDIN: He is the witness here testifying about cost and apparently he's not seen my client's response to the cost conversion figures that were presented in the application.

- Q. (BY MS. McFADDIN) Do you have a figure for the cost of natural gas dollars per MMBTU in this community?
- A. Per BTU, no, ma'am. Only the exhibit that was
 prepared by Atmos from the cost of natural -- propane
 per gallon but I don't have a figure cost of natural gas
 per BTU. No, ma'am.
 - Q. So have you seen any cost presented on this table, Exhibit 4, propane cost with cost of natural gas?

 Is there any way you could compare these figures?
 - A. Are you talking about have I seen this?
 - Q. No. No, I'm asking is there any way you can compare the cost presented on this table with respect to propane and electric cost with natural gas cost?
 - A. I can't. No, ma'am.
- Q. So, you don't have any information that
 suggests to you what the difference may be between those
 costs at this time?

A. No, I do not.

- Q. So, if you don't know what the difference is between these costs, propane, electric costs and natural gas costs, how did you determine that the cost of conversion was reasonable?
 - A. Just based on what we've done in the past.
- Q. So it's my understanding that you've not performed any calculation or analysis that would compare the cost of natural gas today with propane cost and electric cost.
- 11 A. That's correct.
- Q. Are you planning on at any time of doing such a comparison?
 - A. No, ma'am, I never have done it before.
 - Q. But it is your testimony that you believe despite not having done that comparison that the cost of conversion is reasonable?
 - A. When I say the cost of conversion, I'm talking about the cost of converting a house or residence from the use of natural gas to propane or electric. That is the cost to convert them. I'm not talking about BTU cost or anything. I'm talking about the cost to convert the appliances and heating or cooling to the other energy form. That's it.
 - O. I understand.

```
Have you done any sort of analysis on how
1
2
   much more this customer may have to pay in terms of
   using these other energy sources with respect to what
3
   he's currently paying now for the delivery of natural
4
   gas from Atmos?
            No, ma'am. That is beyond my purview.
6
7
            Do you have any information or have you looked
8
   at any information with respect to the age or condition
   of these meters and riser pipes?
            No, ma'am. I'm not qualified to do that.
10
                 MS. McFADDIN: Pass the witness.
11
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Redirect?
12
13
                 MR. JOLLY: None. No redirect.
14
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Mr. Brown, I have a few
15
   questions. You've got the cost of propane and the cost
   of the electricity.
16
17
                              Yes, ma'am.
                 THE WITNESS:
18
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Who are the providers for
19
   these?
20
                 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I can't remember
21
   their names. I looked up their local providers and I
22
   called them on the telephone and got the numbers.
23
   cannot remember the names. It was a co-op and a propane
24
   company. The propane company -- I just don't remember
25
   their names. I don't want to say it wrong.
```

```
EXAMINER HANNA: We'd like to request that
1
2
   Atmos -- we're going to leave the record open and we ask
   that Exhibit 4 be supplemented with the names of those
3
   providers, please.
4
                 THE WITNESS: I can get you those.
5
6
                 EXAMINER HANNA:
                                  Will the parties remind me
7
   at the end of the hearing when we talk about
   post-hearing procedures to get you a date on that
8
   deadline.
10
                 MR. YARBROUGH: Yeah.
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Okay, Mr. Brown you are
11
12
   excused but with a caveat for now. It's possible we
13
   might -- the examiners may want to call you for
14
   questions later in this hearing.
15
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Thank you.
16
17
                  Mr. Jolly.
18
                 MR. JOLLY: I'd like to call my second
19
   witness, Jesse Garcia.
20
                          JESSE GARCIA,
21
   having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
22
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
   BY MR. JOLLY:
24
            Mr. Garcia, can you state your name and
25
   business address for the record, please.
```

- A. My name is Jesse Garcia. My business address
 is Atmos Energy 905 East South Loop, Stephenville,
 Texas.
 - Q. And by whom are you employed?
- 5 A. Atmos Energy.

- Q. And can you describe your educational and professional background.
- A. My education consists of high school graduation. No college. My business experience is 41 years in the natural gas industry working for Atmos and its predecessor, TXU Gas and Loan Star Gas and Loan Star Gas Pipeline Company. My experience basically has been exploration, production, transmission, operation and maintenance of pipelines and customer services and now utilities operations dealing with distribution of natural gas.
- Q. What's your job title with Atmos?
- A. Operations Supervisor.
- Q. And can you kind of give us a little bit of your job responsibilities.
- A. I oversee the operation and maintenance of all
 the pipeline systems in about ten counties. Also the
 utility operations of customer services and the
 distribution systems of about 20-something communities
 in my area. That includes customer services, the meter

```
reading, responding to customer inquiries and service
1
   problems.
2
3
        Q. Can you identify the document that's marked
   here as Exhibit No. 5.
           Yes, I can.
        Α.
6
            And was this document prepared by you or under
7
   your control?
            Yes, I prepared this.
8
        Α.
            Can you describe this document for me a little
        Q.
10
   bit.
            This is the spreadsheet that I prepared with
11
12
   the nine customers in the Palo Pinto area showing the
   number of service calls and each customer with the cost
13
   associated with that and also the meter reading costs of
14
   what it costs us to read those meters on an annual
15
16
   basis.
        Q. So this the annual operating costs to serve
17
   these nine customers?
18
19
          Yes.
        Α.
20
                 MR. JOLLY: I move to have Exhibit No. 5
21
   placed into the record.
22
                  (Atmos Exhibit 5 offered)
```

MS. McFADDIN: No objection.

EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.

(Atmos Exhibit 5 admitted)

- Q. (BY MR. JOLLY) Mr. Garcia, do you recognize this document that's marked as Exhibit No. 6?
 - A. Yes, I do.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

- Q. And can you describe this document for me.
- A. This is a revenue sheet for each of the individual customers showing the volume of gas used, the customer service charge, the consumption charge, and other related fees for a one-year period from July 13, 2013 to June of 2014.
- Q. And would you agree that this provides a visual summary of the books and records of Atmos Energy related to the nine customers in Palo Pinto County?
- 13 A. Yes.
- MR. JOLLY: I move to have Exhibit No. 6

 15 placed into the record.
- 16 (Atmos Exhibit 6 offered)
- 17 MS. McFADDIN: No objection, Your Honor.
- 18 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.
- 19 (Atmos Exhibit 6 admitted)
- Q. (BY MR. JOLLY) Mr. Garcia, to your knowledge,
 is there any anticipated source of new revenue in the
 Lake Palo Pinto area?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Has Atmos experienced any issues with the quality of gas at the locations at lake Palo Pinto?

A. Yes, we have.

1

2

14

15

16

18

2.4

- Q. Can you describe some of these issues.
- The natural gas is not pipeline quality; 3 Α. therefore, it's subject to freezing in the regulators, 4 condensation of hydrocarbons that will cause liquid in the lines, in the customer lines. Those issues can 6 cause problems with customers' appliances and also 7 whenever you have condensation and freezing like that, 8 it does -- on the equipment we have to trip the regulators and cuts the service off to the customers for 10 11 safety reasons.
- 12 Q. So in your opinion the gas is not suitable for 13 residential use?
 - A. It's not suitable for residential use.
 - Q. Have you had an estimate done to connect these customers to a different line, our company system?
- 17 A. I've looked at the system, yes.
 - Q. And what was that estimate?
- A. The closest point we had to pipeline quality
 gas would be eight miles away in a straight line,
 estimated cost to construct pipelines around a million
 dollars a mile, so I would say a rough number would be
 around eight million dollars.
 - Q. And what is that estimate based on?
- 25 A. Experience. Also some projects that we've done

- in the area. We do replace lines from time to time and 1 that's a general cost of what it's costing us right now. 2
 - Given the current revenue shown on Exhibit No. Ο. 6, do you know of any cost-effective means to provide safe and reliable gas services to these customers in Lake Palo Pinto?
 - Α. No.

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Can you describe what Atmos will do to Q. physically abandon these customers if the commission approves the abandonment?
- If the customer goes ahead and converts to another energy source our service techs will remove the meters, the inlet riser, the regulator all the way down to the stop at ground level. The service line downstream on the other side of the meter belongs to the customer. We will put a plug in that and seal that end. The plumber or whoever disconnects the service at the house will physically plug that in so the customer line will remain in the ground and that belongs to them so it's up to them to remove it if they want to.
- Are you familiar with the homes in Lake Palo Ο. Pinto County, you know, based on job duties in the area?
- Yes, I've been in that area.
- What are most of their -- I guess how are most 25 of their energy needs served?

```
Energy -- it comes from what's supplied -- what
1
2
   gas we supply them. The other energies that they use
   would be electricity.
3
                 MR. JOLLY: That's all I have for
4
   Mr. Garcia.
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Cross-examination.
6
7
                 MS. McFADDIN: Yes.
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
8
   BY MS. MCFADDIN:
            Mr. Garcia, you indicate that you are in charge
10
11
   of customer service for these areas.
12
                  Have you ever done a service call to
   Mr. Salis' residence?
13
             I personally have not.
14
15
            Has anyone from Atmos Energy ever performed a
        Q.
   service call at Mr. Salis' residence to your knowledge?
16
17
           Yes, ma'am.
        Α.
18
            Can you describe what those customer calls
        0.
   related to.
19
20
             They would either be a no gas -- I don't have
21
   an exact listing of them. I have a number of how many
22
   times we've been there but I couldn't tell you exactly
```

Q. Could his complaint of loss of service relate

service more than likely.

what each call was about but it was related to loss of

23

anyway to the deteriorating quality of the natural gas being provided to his home?

A. Yes.

- Q. Has Mr. Salis ever --before this permit application was filed-- been notified in any way the matter relating to the deteriorating quality of the natural gas been discussed with him or his family?
 - A. I haven't. My techs may have. I don't know.
- Q. So you haven't looked into whether anybody -one of your techs may have talked with him or discussed
 with him the possibility that the natural gas being
 provided to him, at a cost, was not of proper quality?
- A. I feel certain that my techs have talked to the customers about that when they've been there when they responded to the calls because we usually have to provide them an explanation of why their service was out, so they may have mentioned it.
- 18 Q. But you're not sure whether or not anyone ever 19 mentioned it to him?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Has Atmos ever made any systematic effort to notify the residences using this pipeline that the natural gas being delivered to their home was not of suitable quality?
- 25 A. By systematic you mean just notify everybody?

Q. That is correct.

- A. Atmos, itself, that I'm aware of has not.
- Q. If in fact the natural gas being provided at a cost to these residents, is not of suitable quality, don't you think it's important to notify them of that fact?
 - A. It's important. Yes.
- Q. How long has the quality of the natural gas being provided at a cost to these customers been deteriorating to your knowledge?
- A. I would say since -- the gas has been non-quality gas ever since they requested service.
- Q. If the gas is not of suitable quality, why would you provide them with service?
 - A. When the service -- those meters have been there a long time. When the service was requested, there were different rules and regulations. I don't know. That was probably before my time.
 - Q. Assume Mr. Salis has been utilizing and purchasing natural gas for approximately 20 years, are you telling me that throughout this entire 20 year period this gas has potentially been non-suitable quality for him to use at his residence?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. As I understand it, no letters have been sent

- to Mr. Salis or the other eight residents using this gas to inform them of the deteriorating quality of this natural gas?
 - A. To my knowledge, not one to him personally.

 There may have been some at sometime before I came into that area. I don't know.
 - Q. And when did you come into this area?

- A. 2004 is when I took over customer services.
- Q. So for a period of ten years to your knowledge, there's been no systematic effort made to send letters or otherwise notify these residents that the natural gas that they are purchasing from you has not been of suitable quality.
- A. As far as letters, no. Like I said my service techs may have told people about the quality of the gas.
- Q. Have you ever discussed with your management the need to notify these customers that the gas they are using is not of suitable quality?
- A. We've talked about converting, yes, to customers. Getting them off the pipeline system or off the gathering system I should say.
- Q. Has any decision to your knowledge been made by you or management above you relating to whether or not they should have been notified of the deteriorating quality of the natural gas?

- A. As far as notifications, no.
- Q. In the application, it indicates that another concern is possible air quality issues within the residence. Do you know what that refers to, what kind of air quality issues are of concern within these residences?
 - A. To me the air quality would be if the gas is not burning properly it is subject to giving off fumes and that's what I would assume he's referring to.
- Q. To your knowledge, would improper combustion of natural gas potentially cause health effects?
- 12 A. It could possibly.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

16

20

21

22

- Q. What sorts of problems would improper,

 incomplete combustion of the natural gas cause within a

 residence?
 - A. The most common would be carbon monoxide.
- Q. Is it not true that carbon monoxide in excessive levels is lethal to human beings?
- 19 A. It's true.
 - Q. Has Atmos ever made any attempt to notify Mr. Salis, to your knowledge, that the deteriorating quality of the natural gas could lead to improper combustion and air quality issues in his own home?
- 24 A. To my knowledge, Mr. Salis, no.
- 25 Q. Has any notification to any of the nine

residents referenced in this application with respect to the possibility of air quality issues in their residence due to the incomplete combustion of natural gas?

A. I would say yes to that.

- Q. What kind of notification has been made?
- A. Conversation with my service techs with the customers. And I would add that they talked to the customers and asked them if they would consider switching to alternative energy to avoid problems with the freezing and the quality of the gas.
- Q. Has any systematic effort been made to notify any of the customers relying on this natural gas that improper combustion was a possibility and that such improper combustion could result in carbon monoxide for example in their homes?
 - A. Not a systematic notification.
- Q. To your knowledge, have any letters been sent to any customers in this community regarding the fact that they needed to be concerned about improper combustion of the natural gas causing carbon monoxide or other excessive emissions within their homes?
 - A. No.
- Q. To you knowledge, has any phone call been made
 on a systematic basis to each residential customer in
 this community regarding whether or not the improper

combustion of their natural gas supplies could cause excessive emissions of materials like carbon monoxide?

- A. I have talked to a couple of the customers myself when they've called about problems with their service.
- Q. Have you approached your management recommending that customers be notified that improper combustion of their natural gas could result in emissions of carbon monoxide within their residences?
- 10 A. No.

- 11 Q. Why not?
 - A. We talk about trying to do -- get them proper service or good service and get them good gas but not anything that says we've talked about we need to send them a letter.
 - Q. Don't you think the possibility of carbon monoxide within their residences presents significant health and safety implications?
 - A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. Did you -- but that did not, in your mind, warrant a recommendation to management that customers be notified that this it was a potential problem within their residences?
- A. Not to my knowledge. I know customers get in their bills inserts with safety warnings and things

- about improper combustion, not just customers in the Palo Pinto area but all our customers systemwide get notifications about possible hazards.
 - Q. But to your knowledge, no specific notification has been made within these residences that your own application indicates they are using gas that is unsuitable for residential uses, but they may be experiencing excessive carbon monoxide levels in their home due to improper combustion?
- 10 A. No.

6

7

8

16

- Q. Are there any other types of emissions that you might find in a home relating to improper combustion of natural gas?
- 14 A. No, that is the most common one that we check 15 for.
 - Q. Would you be concerned, for example, about hydrogen sulfide?
- 18 A. I would if it was in the system.
- Q. Do you have any evidence that hydrogen sulfide has been a problem in the system?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Have you received any complaints to your knowledge about rotten-egg odors around and adjacent to the meter facilities?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. What do you believe those rotten egg odors are emitted by? What do you think is causing them?

- A. That's caused by the mercaptan we have to add to the natural gas drain because it does not come -- you can't smell that gas so we add the mercaptan to smell it and that's what it smells like, rotten egg.
- Q. The application also indicates as do some early permitting documents that there are natural gas liquids in this pipeline. Can you describe what those natural liquids are comprised of?
- A. What we commonly refer to as hydrocarbons just condensed -- the condensed propane water. That's just natural fallout from unprocessed gas due to temperature changes.
- Q. And your testimony is that the main problem with respect to the presence of natural gas is that they freeze up in the winter and that could cause loss of service?
- A. It can cause loss of service. Also the high BTU can cause very irregular flame burning in your appliances.
 - Q. And the high BTU and the irregular flame burning, that would be another cause perhaps of incomplete combustion and air quality issues within a home, wouldn't it?

1 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

- Q. What kinds of air emissions would you expect from high BTU and irregular flame burning?
 - A. Commonly the carbon monoxide.
 - Q. To your knowledge has anyone been hospitalized in Palo Pinto County as a result of carbon monoxide poisoning?
 - A. In Palo Pinto County?
 - O. Yes.
- A. Palo Pinto County I'm sure there was. If
 you're referring to the customers here on the pipeline
 we're talking about, no.
- 13 Q. I'm asking countywide.
- 14 A. We do not serve the county, so...
- Q. When a service call is received for a problem at a residence, is it typically Atmos Energy who responds or is it Enbridge?
- 18 A. It's Atmos Energy service techs.
- Q. So would it surprise you if I told you that service calls to the Salis Residence have always been responded to by Enbridge staff?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you aware of what the possible health impacts are of exposure to elevated level of carbon monoxide?

- A. The possible -- repeat that again.
- Q. Possible health effects of carbon monoxide a exposure?
 - A. Breathing problems, respiratory problems and of course can be lethal in high doses.
 - Q. Are you aware of any breathing or respiratory problems that have been reported by customers utilizing natural gas provided for by Atmos Energy?
 - A. Are you talking about these customers?
- 10 Q. Yes.

4

6

7

8

- 11 A. No.
- Q. So to your knowledge, you're not aware of any reports of breathing problems, respiratory problems or other health effects in any of the nine residences covered by this application?
- 16 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. Would you be aware as a service customer
 representative if a complaint or a comment or a concern
 had been filed?
- 20 A. Yes.

- Q. Are you aware of the election form comments
 that Mr. Salis filed when he first became aware of this
 pending application?
 - A. No, I have not seen any of his correspondence.
- Q. Are there any other problems that you're aware

of experienced by the nine residential customers who are utilizing the line that are proposed to be abandoned?

- A. Any other problems no, other than what we've talked about.
- Q. And those problems would be just to summarize, calls for no service which may be related to the unsuitable quality of the natural gas?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And as far as you're aware, do you recall any particular complaints about incomplete combustion of the natural gas?
 - A. Yes, those are orders that we would get.
- Q. Under what circumstances, if you know, would Atmos pay to convert a residence and to disconnect its facilities if the customer was complaining about no service due to unsuitable gas quality or incomplete combustion relating or resulting in air emissions?
- A. Repeat that again.
- Q. Assuming that you you've had customer complaints regarding no service and those no service calls, you believe are triggered by the fact that the lines were freezing up or the equipment was freezing up due to the presence of the natural gas liquids.
- Under what circumstances would Atmos itself undertake to pay to convert that residence to

another energy source and to abandon properly and appropriately the equipment on the residence property?

- A. Atmos would offer to convert them, that customer to an alternative energy source if he was having problems and was not satisfied with the service. We would definitely look at that.
 - Q. And would Atmos pay for that?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

22

23

24

- Q. Are you aware of any of the complaints that you talked about Atmos offering prior to this submission of this application to convert the energy source and to abandon or replace the affiliated equipment?
- 13 A. Any prior offers? Is that what you're saying?
- 14 O. Yes.
- 15 A. I'm not aware of any prior offers.
- Q. Under what circumstance do enough service calls come in regarding incomplete combustion or freezing up due to natural gas liquids, how many service calls would it take for Atmos --on its own initiative-- go out and offer to convert their energy source and abandon properly the affiliated equipment?
 - A. There's no set number. I think it's -- would be a field operations request based on the customer request if the customer requested it and was not satisfied, then it would be my job to approach

management about converting the customer.

- Q. Have you ever done that?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

- Q. Assuming -- that would assume, wouldn't it that the customer would need to be aware they are receiving unsuitable natural gas or gas that could result in incomplete combustion; isn't that correct?
- A. They are aware but most the times that I have dealt with -- converted customers like that is because they were not satisfied with the reliability of the service.
- Q. As opposed to potential health impacts or problems with respect to freezing up of equipment?
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. What is your policy on when conversion and abandonment should be performed with respect to deteriorating qualities of natural gas and/or air emission problems?
- 19 A. Policy?
- 20 Q. Yes, sir.
- A. To my knowledge, Atmos does not have a set policy on that.
- Q. Do you think it's a good idea to have a policy
 when health effects can be at issue with respect to air
 guality issues due to incomplete combustion?

- A. I don't know that I would call it a policy. I guess we would have some type of a standard or a procedure to evaluate something like that.
 - Q. And do you have a standard or procedure to evaluate that?
 - A. Not that's in the manual that I currently work off of. We just look at each one on an individual basis or request.
 - Q. As a customer service representative, when would you feel it be appropriate to approach management about developing a policy or procedure or practice when you and the company know that the quality of the gas is unsuitable potentially for burning and may result in air emissions?
 - A. When would I approach management?
- 16 Q. Yes.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

- 17 A. I could approach them at any time.
- Q. But in the last ten years despite the fact that you've received no service calls and know that there may be incomplete combustion, you have not felt the need to approach your management to develop a policy or procedure or a practice or standard relating to converting and abandoning services at your expense due to these problems?
- 25 A. Not a policy. Like I said we look at each

- system as we're having problems. We approach the customers which is what we're doing here.
 - Q. As I understand your testimony typically the customer has to approach you with a service call. You don't --on your own initiative-- go out and approach customers about these problems; isn't that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Are you aware of any wind, solar or other alternative energy sources in proximity to the Palo Pinto community?
- 11 A. No, I'm not.

6

7

8

- 12 Q. Do you know what the age of the pipeline system 13 is?
- A. Not exactly. I know it's part of the
 Exploration System when I started but I don't know when
 it was installed.
- Q. Would it surprise you to know the pipeline was constructed prior to mid 1960s?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of how old these residential lines are?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any reported leaks or spills from the Enbridge system in this community?
- 25 A. On the Enbridge System, no.

- Q. Would you be notified if there was a spill or leak from the Enbridge Pipeline into or adjacent to the Palo Pinto lakefront community?
 - A. I would be notified if Enbridge had to take the pipeline down to do some work because it would affect the service to the customers then.
 - Q. Has Enbridge done any work on the pipeline in the last ten years that you've been servicing this community?
 - A. I know they've done some pigging operations.
- 11 Q. Are you aware of any other operations than 12 pigging operations?
- 13 A. No.

6

7

8

10

19

20

21

22

- Q. You're not aware of any leaks or spills that need to be addressed?
- 16 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- Q. And what is your understanding of what constitutes pigging operations?
 - A. Pigging operations is inserting a squeegee or rubber type what we call a pig, it's a block in one end of the line and using gas pressure to move and clean the liquids and other impurities or solid objects, liquids that might have fallen out in the line.
- Q. Approximately how often over the ten years have pigging operations been conducted?

- A. I don't know about the past ten years but I know in the last four or five years they've tried to do it at least twice a year.
- Q. Is that standard for the industry or does that reflect the age of the pipeline?
- A. It depends. There is no standards. It just depends on your system what kind of liquids are in it how often you have to do it. Each pipeline gathering system is different and it's up to the operator to determine the frequency.
- Q. Have you received any complaints or concerns from customers in and around the Palo Pinto Community regarding the abandonment of meters and riser pipes that create an eyesore?
- 15 A. No.

- Q. Have you yourself noticed pipes coming out of the ground that look like they've been abandoned just left in place?
- 19 A. I haven't seen any in my trips up there, no.
- Q. Have you personally noticed any odor problems around the meter facilities?
- A. I noticed it when there's odor present there's going to be a little bit of a smell around there.
- Q. And how often would you say notice the smell when you go out to these meter facilities?

- A. I don't have a schedule on them if I have to go out there for a complaint or something but I think I've been out there maybe three times this year.
- Q. And what causes odor problems in your experience?
- A. The odor problems are around those meters, like I said usually the mercaptan that we have to -- there's a pot of mercaptan on the line serving the customer, and when we fill -- the technicians fill that, they have to thread and screw caps and everything and put everything back together, and, through a little bit of time those vapors will start working their way out of the threads and that's what's causing the odors around the meters.
- Q. Would it be fair to say that deteriorating pipelines would experience the release of mercaptan odors?
 - A. Deteriorating pipelines, yes.
- Q. If an individual smells mercaptan, that suggests there is some sort of a leak from the lines or the meters or the valves?
 - A. Yes.

2.0

2.4

- Q. What is Atmos Energy's policy about repairing or replacing meters and lines that are experiencing odor issues?
- 25 A. When we get a leak call from a customer, a

service tech goes out and investigates and determines 1 2 the source and the point of the leak. If it's on the company's side, which would be up to the outlet side of 3 the meter then we will do whatever it takes to fix that leak you know replace the meter or the regulator or whatever component needs to be done. If it's on the 6 customer's side, then we seal the meter on the outlet 7 8 side and notify the customer that they have a leak on their side and it's up to the customer to either repair it themselves or have a plumber fix it and in most towns 10 11 you have to have a city inspection so plumbers have to 12 do that.

Q. Does Atmos have a maintenance policy whereby these meters and lines are replaced or repaired on a regular basis to prevent odor emissions?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. On the riser inlet riser and meter, no there is no set time or routine replacement or anything like that.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that typically the only time that action is taken to address the mercaptan odor is when a customer complains?
- A. That's what we get most of them but if our field personnel are out there in that area and see or smell something and they see a leak there, they are required to take action to seal it and fix it if at all

possible.

- Q. Are you aware of how many actions like that have been taken in say the last five years?
- A. No, because that's part of the worker's routine operations, so it's not itemized unless we have to seal and shut -- turn that meter off, and then restore the customer service which we have to get an order to restore the service.
- Q. What is the company's preventative maintenance policy with respect to the upkeep of this type of equipment?
 - A. We do above ground survey for atmospheric corrosion every three years. Any indication of rust or atmospheric corrosion, we remedy that by applying a coating of paint or wrap whatever it -- we need to do to stop the atmosphere corrosion or replace the component if it needs it.

MS. McFADDIN: I'll pass the witness.

EXAMINER HANNA: Redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MR. JOLLY:

Q. The long line of questions that Ms. McFaddin took you down regarding air quality issues, carbon monoxide, improper combustion, would that support in your opinion, the company's position that these

```
customers need to be switched off of natural gas?
1
2
            Yes, it does.
        Α.
                 MR. JOLLY:
                             That's all I have.
3
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Recross?
 4
                 MS. McFADDIN: No recross.
 5
                 EXAMINER HANNA: We have a few questions.
 6
7
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Mr. Garcia, you discussed
8
   the abandonment procedure at the meter and capping the
   line; is that correct?
10
                 THE WITNESS:
                              Yes.
11
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Can you talk about that a
12
   little more and if there is testing once it's capped to
   see if it's secure and no leak in that line.
13
14
                 THE WITNESS: If we leave any valve or a
15
   plug that is left at ground level or below, we will soak
   -- use the soap mixture to test, make sure there is no
16
17
   leakage around that. On the customer's side there is
18
   not going to be pressure so you can't test. There might
   be some vapors left in the line but those usually will
19
20
   dissipate.
21
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: And can you discuss the BTU
22
   content and how it's been changing on the Enbridge Line.
23
                 THE WITNESS: I don't know the exact BTU.
24
   I just know it's a gathering system and it's what we
25
   consider in the field rich gas because it hasn't been
```

```
1
   processed, so, we know it's high BTU because there is
2
   condensate and that's the reason Enbridge has to run
   their pigs. I couldn't give you an exact number as to
3
   what that BTU actually is.
4
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Is the BTU content provided
5
   on the customer's bill?
6
7
                 THE WITNESS:
                               No. Can I clarify that:
   Because the billing is based on average systemwide of I
8
   believe it's a thousand BTU. The customer bill is
10
   measured at a flat standard across the system.
11
   not vary for each individual customer based on the
12
   system itself.
13
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Okay. Thank you.
14
                 EXAMINER HANNA: I know you answered this
15
   question but just one more time, if you would please,
   just so -- she understands all the technical stuff. I'm
16
17
   the legal person so if you could just make it more plain
18
   to me, in this case, what's being abandoned, what's
19
   going to be left that Atmos Mid-tex is abandoning?
20
   it's from Enbridge's Line, it's a line that goes to the
21
   customer's taps; is that correct?
22
                 THE WITNESS: No, Enbridge has the
23
              There is a tap on the pipeline that comes up.
24
   There will be a valve there on top. On that valve,
   Atmos starts its facility of riser regulator meter, in
25
```

the meter, out the meter. Out the meter becomes the customer's line, so what we are taking down will be the riser coming up, the loop, the meters, the regulators, when we get -- remove all that, there will probably be a valve left on Enbridge's line that we can't take off unless Enbridge blows the line down or does work, and that's actually -- that part will probably be up to them to remove because that would be their pipeline. As far as surface facility, there is nothing left on our part.

The only part we could leave and we could do it at the customer's request is the outlet loop on the riser on the customer's side. When we come out of the meter, it will go back down in the ground. That's the customer's side. We'll normally plug it but if the customer wants to, we will take it down below ground and plug it below ground so there won't be anything left above ground for our part.

just testified to that is Atmos Mid-tex's part, you discussed -- I'm trying to tie this into another part of your testimony. You testified to what Atmos does to test those lines and maintain those lines but once they are abandoned, is there any potential for disintegration that causes any contamination or issue or is that because the gas is gone, so there's not...

THE WITNESS: The gas is gone. There's nothing left and there is no facilities, no pieces of equipment left. The only equipment that would be left would in this case would be an Enbridge pipeline below ground and as long as they have it in service they would operate and maintain that part of it.

EXAMINER HANNA: How does a customer go about requesting removing that? I mean is that set out in some policy or?

we convert a customer, we ask them to -- or we have Pete who makes the contacts that as soon as that conversion is complete they call in our call center and terminate the service so we can close the account out and what we've done on other occasions where we have done the conversions is I've also had my service techs keep an eye and stay in touch and when that customer is converted, then we'll go pull the meter but normally we ask the customer to make sure their account gets closed out so they don't continue to get a bill after they've gone to another source.

EXAMINER RUIZ: So what is the procedure for the customer to request that the last piece of riser, I guess, so everything is--

THE WITNESS: They can ask -- when we're

out there, taking the meter off, if they are there, if
they'll ask the service tech that's fine or when they
call in to close their account they can request that to
the person that takes it so it will be on the order.

There are different ways of doing it. They can give me
a call. There's lots of field contacts. We'll do it
any way.

EXAMINER HANNA: This may be a question for you or perhaps your counsel if you determine it's a question for another witness or something they want to do in closing brief, but there are factors that the commission is to consider when making determination and it's part of the burden of the proof of the utility in this matter, and it's B5D and it's whether the utility has failed to properly maintain the facilities proposed of the abandonment rendering them unsalvageable due to neglect.

From what I can tell, that's not really at issue in this case because the issue is more the quality of the gas and for all the reasons that have been stated and I'm going to sum it up as safety, Atmos has determined that these customers need to be abandoned and alternative energy needs to be provided to them.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

EXAMINER HANNA: But I want -- and I'm not

```
asking for a legal conclusion but I wanted your opinion
1
   based on your experience if you think that that
2
   particular element is applicable and if you can speak to
3
   maintenance other than what you already have and the
4
   condition. So Atmos isn't abandoning this particular
   part because the pipeline is has been neglected and
6
7
   unsalvageable. It's due to the content of the quality
8
   of the gas.
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct, it's not
   the neglect or the abandonment, it's strictly due to the
10
11
   content and the quality of the gas and the service that
12
   we can provide the customer; it's just not reliable.
13
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Thank you. You're
   excused.
14
15
                  You have one more witness; is that right?
16
                 MR. JOLLY:
                             We do.
17
                 EXAMINER HANNA: We're going to break for
18
   lunch now.
19
                  (Break for lunch)
20
                 EXAMINER HANNA: All right. Back on the
   record.
21
22
                             We call Pete Brown back up.
                 MR. JOLLY:
23
                 EXAMINER HANNA: I'll remind you you're
24
   still sworn.
25
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
```

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. JOLLY:

1

- Q. Pete, if you remember, earlier in your
 testimony you were having trouble remembering where you
 got the energy cost for the Lake Palo Pinto area?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And have you since remembered or found out who those providers were?
 - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Can you tell--
- 11 EXAMINER HANNA: And that relates to Atmos
- 12 Exhibit 4?
- MR. JOLLY: Yes.
- A. It's Hardwick Propane in Ranger, Texas and that's for the propane price and the electric cost was from www.directenergy.com. I received both of those prices on 6/23/14.
- 18 EXAMINER RUIZ: What's the name of the 19 propane provider again?
- THE WITNESS: Hardwick, H-a-r-d-w-i-c-k.
- 21 EXAMINER HANNA: Just so we're clear, you
- 22 have the propane cost and electric cost. So you're
- 23 saying Hardwick provided you with the propane and that's
- 24 | the provider in the that area.
- THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

```
EXAMINER HANNA: For those nine residential
1
2
   customers if they chose to go on propane.
3
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. That's who we
   would contact to come in and do the conversion.
4
5
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And the directenergy.com
   related to the electric cost.
6
7
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Mr. Salis has asked about
8
   other energy sources as solar, geothermal and wind.
   you have any worksheet or any cost estimates for those
10
11
   conversions?
12
                 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am, I'm not familiar
13
   with those energy sources at all.
14
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Okay. Do you know if Atmos
15
   has ever provided that source in an abandonment?
16
                 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge. No,
17
   ma'am.
18
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: And with his -- in this
   response to his qualifying letter he sent some questions
19
2.0
   in.
21
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
22
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Were those answered?
23
                 THE WITNESS: Not by me. I couldn't answer
24
   them. I don't know anything about those energy sources.
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Who would know the answers
25
```

```
to his questions and who would be responsible for
1
2
   answering to him?
3
                 THE WITNESS: I cannot say. I do not know.
                 EXAMINER RUIZ:
 4
                                 That's all.
                 EXAMINER HANNA: You're excused.
5
 6
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Just so you know, we don't
   anticipate anymore questions for Mr. Brown. I wanted
8
   you to be aware of that.
10
                  Proceed.
                 MR. JOLLY: I'd like to call my next
11
12
   witness, Mike Montgomery.
13
                        MIKE MONTGOMERY,
   having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
   BY MR. JOLLY:
17
            State your name and business address for the
18
   record, please.
19
           Mike Montgomery. Business address is 206 Poque
        Α.
20
   Avenue, Eastland, Texas.
21
            Who are you employed by, Mr. Montgomery?
        Ο.
22
        Α.
            Enbridge.
23
            Can you briefly describe your educational and
24
   professional background.
25
            Okay. I have 140 hours of college credit as
        Α.
```

- 1 far as business degree. I have been with Enbridge for 2 l1 years but been in the industry for 23 years.
 - Q. What's your job title?

7

- 4 A. I'm an area superintendent.
- Q. Can you give us a little bit of your job responsibilities.
 - A. My responsibility is overseeing the operation and maintenance of processing and gathering operations.
- 9 Q. Mr. Montgomery, can you identify what's been 10 marked here as Exhibit No. 7.
- 11 A. Yes, sir, this is a sample analysis of gas in 12 Palo Pinto County.
- Q. And was that document prepared by you or at your request or prepared by you?
- 15 A. No, sir, it's not prepared at my request. It
 16 is it done through our Measurement Department.
- Q. But somebody at Enbridge?
- 18 A. Yes, that is correct.
- MR. JOLLY: I move to have Exhibit No. 7 admitted into the record.
- 21 (Atmos Exhibit 7 offered)
- 22 EXAMINER HANNA: Did you get a chance to
- 23 look at Exhibit 7? Are there any objections?
- 24 MS. McFADDIN: No objections, Your Honor.
- 25 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.

(Atmos Exhibit 7 admitted)

- Q. (BY MR. JOLLY) Mr. Montgomery, can you describe the BTU level of this gas and I guess based on your experience, at that BTU level, is this residential quality gas?
- A. Okay. If you go back to what Mr. Garcia stated earlier what we call residential quality gas is at 1,000 or less BTU factor. If you'll look here, you can see the factor on the analysis here is well over 1,200 BTU which is what we call in our industry a wet gas system which is basically what a gathering system is so therefore this would be stated as a wet gas analysis.
 - Q. And therefore not suitable for--
 - A. No, sir, not suitable for residential.
- Q. What kind of problems does this gas cause if it were used in a residential setting?
- A. Well, like you said, this is a heavy gas being at the 1,200 or higher; therefore, the free liquids are easily extracted due to pressure or temperature change and it falls out in the pipeline causing hazards as far as freezing, stopping of gas flow. Those type of things.
- Q. Is it your understanding that the gas quality will improve in the future?
- 25 A. No, sir, it will not.

- Q. Is it expected to get worse or stay the same?
- A. The gas quality will probably deteriorate because the fields in this system are deteriorating as well.
 - Q. Can you describe how Enbridge maintains this line, what their standard or procedure is.
- A. Well, our standard first and foremost by

 8 Enbridge is we're committed to the safety and integrity

 9 of everything within or system. It doesn't matter where

 10 it's at. But as far as this particular system is, this

 11 is a Class 3 regulated system; therefore, we follow all

 12 the standards by the Railroad Commission, Pipeline

 13 Safety and TCEQ.
 - Q. Thank you, Mr. Montgomery.
- MR. JOLLY: I don't have anything else for Mr. Montgomery.
- 17 EXAMINER HANNA: Cross-examination?
- 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MS. McFADDIN:

2

3

4

6

- Q. Yes, Mr. Montgomery, how long has the BTU value of this gas been in excess of 1,200 BTU?
- A. As far as I've been in the system; the whole entirety of my career.
- 24 Q. And how long have you been in the system?
- 25 A. 23 years.

- Q. 23 years. So would it be fair to say that since 2001, the BTU value of this gas has not made it suitable for residential use?
 - A. Yes, ma'am, that's correct.
- Q. Why in that case were you offering to distribute natural gas to folks acquiring and developing property on and around 2001?
 - A. Enbridge is just a transportation. We did not service residential areas.
- 10 Q. Do you have agreements with the entities that
 11 do service the residential areas like Atmos?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.

- Q. Do you think it's appropriate for an entity
 distributing natural gas that's not suitable for
 residential quality to be soliciting and hooking up
 residential customers to use that gas?
- A. Well, I mean, it's through the entities of us both, I mean.
- 19 Q. Do you think it's appropriate?
- 20 A. No, ma'am, it's not.
- 21 MS. McFADDIN: I have no further questions 22 of this witness.
- 23 EXAMINER HANNA: Redirect?
- MR. JOLLY: I don't have anything.
- 25 EXAMINER HANNA: We have a couple of

```
questions.
1
2
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
3
                 EXAMINER HANNA: You said something in
   regard to fields deteriorating as well.
4
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
5
                 EXAMINER HANNA:
                                  So are you referring to
6
7
   the gas that's produced in the related field that you're
   producing and putting in this gathering line, that that
8
   gas continues to have this high BTU content?
10
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am, the wells that
11
   we're gathering from are deteriorating.
12
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And I guess I'm confused
13
   by the word deteriorating.
14
                 THE WITNESS: Deteriorating -- what I'm
15
   talking about is the volume coming from those gas wells.
16
   They are depleting the pockets that are in or the zones
17
   they are in, as far as the BTU factor it could vary
18
   depending on those zones.
19
                                  Is there the possibility
                 EXAMINER HANNA:
20
   to comingle that high BTU gas with any other lower BTU
21
   content gas so that Atmos could have a lower BTU content
22
   in the gas that they serve residential customers?
23
                 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am, it's a long ways
24
   off to get that done as far as miles, kind of like
25
   Mr. Garcia was saying, it's the cost and effectiveness
```

```
of that is way out of whack to get that done
1
2
   effectively.
3
                 EXAMINER HANNA: So there aren't any other
   like producers in that area.
4
                 THE WITNESS: No, all the wells would be
5
   similar BTU factor if you were coming out of those
6
7
   zones.
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Going back to the map, it
8
   looks like the Enbridge Line--
10
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Let's be clear for the
11
   record, so you're looking at the map that's been
12
   attached to notice of appearance of counsel which isn't
13
   an exhibit right now, so we have testimony -- I wonder
   if we need to mark that as an exhibit.
14
15
                  Ms. McFaddin, do you plan on introducing
   this map when you call a witness?
16
17
                 MS. McFADDIN: I was not.
18
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Okay. We can...
19
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: So the Enbridge Line runs
20
   into Palo Pinto Lake.
21
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
22
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: And then the risers that
23
   come off to the residential customers, the nine, are
2.4
   those in the water also or are those just.
25
                 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am, they are not in
```

```
the water.
1
2
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: So they don't cross the
3
   water source.
                 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am.
4
5
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Thank you.
                 EXAMINER HANNA: You're excused.
6
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7
                  (Off-the-record)
8
9
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Back on the record.
10
                 MR. YARBROUGH: Can Mr. Montgomery go now?
   Is he free, you think?
11
12
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Let me go off the record
   and think about that.
13
14
                   (Off-the-record)
                 EXAMINER HANNA: You are excused.
15
16
                 MR. JOLLY: That's all the evidence we
17
   have.
18
                 EXAMINER HANNA: So you're resting your
19
   direct case?
20
                 MR. JOLLY: Yes, ma'am.
21
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Did you have intentions of
22
   offering the Application For Abandonment? It's not an
23
   exhibit at this time.
24
                 MR. JOLLY: Yes.
25
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Okay. And if you don't
```

```
1
   have copies you could provide one for the evidentiary
2
   record at a later date, if you would like.
3
                 MR. JOLLY: I will do that.
4
                 EXAMINER HANNA: But there's been a lot of
   reference to that.
5
6
                  Any objections to the application for
7
   abandonment of service and facilities been filed in this
   docket being admitted?
8
                 MS. McFADDIN: No objection.
                                               Should I
   renumber my exhibits? Would you make that Exhibit 8 or
10
11
   put a number on it when it's submitted?
12
                 EXAMINER HANNA: I was anticipating your
13
   exhibits would be like -- have you already numbered
14
   them?
15
                 MS. McFADDIN: I've already numbered them.
16
                 EXAMINER HANNA: That's not a problem.
   What's your last exhibit?
17
18
                 MS. McFADDIN: Exhibit No. 12.
19
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Let's just make it 13. I
20
   had called these Atmos 1 through 7 but now we have Atmos
21
   13.
22
                  (Atmos Exhibit 13 admitted)
23
                 MS. McFADDIN: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
24
                 EXAMINER HANNA: That's okay. Not a
             Small record overall.
25
   problem.
```

```
1
                   All right, so we've just admitted Exhibit
2
   13 which is the Application For Abandonment, Atmos'
   application and they've resting their direct case so
3
   Ms. McFaddin, would you like to go forward on your
4
   direct case.
6
                 MS. McFADDIN: I'd like to call my first
7
   and only witness, John Salis.
8
                           JOHN SALIS,
   having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
10
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
   BY MS. MCFADDIN:
11
12
             Tell us what your full legal name is and please
        Q.
13
   spell it out for the court reporter.
14
             Jonathan J-o-n-a-t-h-a-n Paul Salis S-a-l-i-s.
        Α.
            Are you currently a resident of Palo Pinto,
15
        Q.
16
   Texas?
17
        Α.
            Yes.
18
             What is your address?
        0.
19
             1764 North Lake View Drive Palo Pinto, Texas
        Α.
20
   76484.
21
            And are you a residential customer that obtains
        Ο.
22
   natural gas service from Atmos Energy and/or Enbridge?
23
        Α.
             Yes.
24
        Q.
             When did you purchase the property?
25
             Original property was purchased in 1997.
        Α.
```

- Q. And when did you move onto the property full time as a resident?
 - A. I built a full-time house and moved from Dallas/Fort Worth in around 2001.
 - Q. When were you first made aware of Atmos' intent to abandon the residential portion of the Enbridge line?
 - A. When the offer letter dated I'm not sure -- excuse me, I'm sorry, March 27, 2014.
 - Q. Did you receive a letter sent by Atmos Energy related to the abandonment of the pipeline at that time?
- A. At that time I was given an offer for
 Conversion -- Proposed Conversion of Services to
 Alternative Energy Service.
- Q. Did you consent to the abandonment of the pipeline facilities and service to your residence?
 - A. No, I did not.

6

7

8

10

16

17

18

- Q. And in fact, did you file an election form with certain comments on the back outlining what your concerns were?
- A. Yes, I noted on the form itself with an
 asterisk JPS addition offers and information required.
 See back page, back of page.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what I've marked as

 Exhibit 8. Is this the election form filed with the

 comments on the back?

A. Yes, it is.

Q. I'd like to introduce this as Exhibit 8 into the record.

(Protestant Exhibit 8 offered)

MR. JOLLY: No objection.

EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.

(Protestant Exhibit 8 admitted)

- Q. (BY MS. McFADDIN) And briefly what were your concerns at that time with respect to the offer letter?
- A. Well, the offer letter, you know, kind of put you in a position of, well, okay, I've got a gentleman named Pete Brown on behalf of Atmos Energy offering to remove me as a customer of their line, and I understand that to a degree but it's the old gift horse, you know, can it be -- what am I actually getting here? What am I agreeing to by checking off this I consent box, you know versus the decline box. Based on these questions that I still had in my mind, I felt the only recourse I had was to decline, so, until such time as I could review some of the answers that I would hope were forthcoming from Atmos in terms of the questions I raised, I wanted to make -- do my due diligence and make an informed decision.
- Q. Did Atmos ever respond to the issues raised on the back of the election form?

1 A. No.

2

3

6

7

8

16

17

- Q. Did you receive notice from the Railroad Commission regarding their application to abandon portions of the Enbridge Pipeline OP in August, 2014?
- A. Actually I guess the first thing I saw was an application dated July 29, 2014 that was copied to myself and the other eight affected parties.
- Q. And did you file a letter dated August 10, 2014 protesting the abandonment of those lines?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. Is this a copy of the letter that you filed
 12 with the Railroad Commission protesting?
- A. It is, but it's -- it also included the
 election form. All right, so, and it's and the answered
 in the letter, so...
 - MS. McFADDIN: I would like to introduce as letter of protest dated August 10 into the record as Exhibit 9.
- 19 (Protestant Exhibit 9 offered)
- MR. JOLLY: No objection.
- Q. (BY MS. McFADDIN) Why did you request a hearing?
- A. I felt that, you know, when somebody offers me something and I come back with relevant questions and they are nonresponsive I felt that I needed to have a

- different review of this situation beyond obviously the 1 fact that I felt they didn't care that I was going to 2 get rubber-stamped into a process without any of these 3
 - Since you filed your protest letter on August 10, has Atmos Energy ever notified you regarding the issues that you raised in your election form?
 - Α. No.

6

7

8

10

11

14

concerns brought to light.

- Has Atmos Energy ever notified you regarding Q. the deteriorating quality of the natural gas being delivered to your residence?
- 12 Α. No.
- 13 Has Atmos Energy ever informed you of the deteriorating quality of the natural gas being delivered 15 to your residence could result in air quality issues 16 within your residence?
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 Have you or your family noticed any problems Ο. with the air quality in your home? 19
- 20 Α. Not that I can speak of.
- 21 Do you have a carbon monoxide monitor? Ο.
- 22 I have one in the water heater closet, yes. Α.
- 23 Do you know if it's operational? Q.
- 24 Α. I do not. I will tomorrow.
- 25 Q. Did these gas quality issues first come to your

attention when you reviewed the pending application filed by Atmos in July?

A. Yes.

- Q. Has Atmos Energy at any time made any effort to discuss the quality of the natural gas that's being delivered to your residence with you or your family since your notice of protest in August?
- A. No. Other than the written application, no. No verbal communication regarding it.
- Q. Were you ever notified before this proceeding

 -- did a service tech ever inform you there were

 possible problems with the high BTU value of the natural
 gas being delivered?
- A. I've never heard mention of high BTU gas. I've heard mention of generic terms of the liquid in the line or water in the line in terms of when we'd have service disruptions.
- Q. Was it ever discussed with you temperature and pressure variations could result in equipment failures?
- A. Not per say. It was more generalized of there's water in the line. You know in terms of the information I was getting usually from somebody at my door in the middle of the night telling us we're going to shut the gas off in some occasions or it's been shut off or we've called to say we don't have gas or our

- heater is not working.
- Q. Did anybody from Atmos or Enbridge ever discuss with you the possibility that your equipment might not be able to completely combust this high BTU gas?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. Was the issue of air quality issues or perhaps excessive emissions of carbon monoxide ever brought to your attention?
- A. No.
- 10 Q. And you've been getting this gas since 2001; is 11 that correct?
- A. Since 1997 I've been a customer of Loan Star

 Gas then converted to Atmos.
- Q. And since 1997 you don't recall ever receiving
 any information about incomplete combustion or air
 quality issues within your residence when using this
 non-suitable gas?
- 18 A. No, I have not.
- Q. In fact, have you ever been told the gas that
 you were using and paying for was unsuitable for
 residential use?
- A. No, that was shocking to physically read that in the application.
- Q. Do you believe that Atmos should have said something to you when you called them on service calls

1 reporting the problem you were having with your 2 equipment?

- A. I mean, whoever shows up and I recall Enbridge folks showing up at my front door also in terms of servicing the line. Maybe when these times pigging the line, because often I know I would be out of town seemed like when this happened, traveling on business and my wife would call, the gas is down. Somebody comes in and lights the pilots again, you know, don't let everybody just run off and turn it back on and we don't get the pilots lit. I know that she has experienced times where she had to engage the folks and they always said, this stuff has water in it. That was -- it was liquid and water and I never considered what was it really, you know. Is it water? I don't...
 - Q. You thought water meant water.
- A. Right. Water.

- Q. To change tacks a little bit, what is the visual condition of the natural gas pipeline facilities in your community in your opinion?
 - A. One of these that's down the street that actually has the three meters North Lake View residents is located in the front yard of a dentist's office that he operates there one day a week. He's a metroplex dentist in a lake house and he stays over an extra day,

- but often there is a gas smell there. The mail lady

 puts post-its in my mailbox stating, call the gas

 company; there's a smell out here. And typically I let

 Dr. Bidas know and they call the gas company, so, the

 smell is not in my front yard, it's 400 feet down the

 road, but...
 - Q. You indicated earlier that there were some -- what appear to be riser pipes above surface.
- Α. There's and I've taken lots of documentary 10 photos of what I see the infrastructure in place now and 11 it's old meters and old risers, valves that are protruding out of the dirt. Different things and folks 12 13 front yards or even in the easement right outside their front yard, but of course they are hazards to mowers and 14 15 tractors and things like that, that attempt to maintain the community. 16
 - Q. Do you believe that the existent of these pipes and what appear to be abandoned facilities impairs the aesthetic quality of your community?
 - A. Definitely.
 - Q. Are you concerned that abandoned equipment and facilities will be left in place similar to what has been left in place in the past?
- 24 A. Yes.

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 Q. Does the testimony that you've received today

- 1 regarding their exact abandonment plans make you feel 2 any more confident about that?
 - A. To a degree when I hear that there will still be elements at surface, that bothers me.
 - Q. You purchased this property in 1997. At the time that you purchased the property, did you enter into an office to purchase real estate with an agreement to sell real estate and a warranty deed?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. I'm handing you what as I've marked as Exhibit 10. Is this the offer to purchase real estate that you entered into when you purchased this property in 1997?
- A. Yes.
- Q. When you purchased this property in 1997, was the natural gas availability something you looked at and considered when you determined whether you wanted to buy and developed this property?
- A. I felt it had value in terms of as you can see from this community, there's nine meters, okay, so out of the hundreds of people that live around this lake, there's value in that at the time. I'm a big proponent of natural gas, believe it or not, as a low-cost energy source, so having that for a home that we were planning to build as our last place, and me thinking it would be energy efficient for quite a long time due to the

accessibility of natural gas was important to me, yes.

- Q. And in fact, page 1 of Exhibit 10 offer to purchase other terms subsection B states demonstrate good working order of water well, electric service, gas service and septic system, doesn't it?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Could you show us -- identify where else in these documents, the availability of natural gas is referenced.
- A. On the last page of agreement to sell, no, I'm sorry. On item 22, special clauses, we move down to that, it talks about title policy survey and all other exceptions listed on the attached offer to purchase exceptions are noted here as other terms on the offer to purchase.
 - Q. So when you acquired this property and determined that you would develop it into a residential property at some point for your own full-time use, were you relying on the fact that there would be continued availability of natural gas?
 - A. It was very instrumental in our design of our home.
- MS. McFADDIN: Your Honor I'd like to introduce Exhibit 10 into evidence.
- 25 | (Protestant Exhibit 10 offered)

```
MR. JOLLY: No objection.
1
2
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.
3
                 You never did offer 9. Would you like to.
4
                 MS. McFADDIN: Yes, I'd like to offer 9
5
   too.
6
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.
7
                  (Protestant Exhibits 9 and 10 admitted)
8
        Q.
            (BY MS. McFADDIN) In preparing for this
   hearing today, did you prepare a spreadsheet showing
   your cost for using natural gas at your retail rate?
10
11
        Α.
          Yes, I did.
12
            And the copy of Exhibit 11 on this, can you
13
   confirm this is the spreadsheet you prepared?
        A. Yes, it is.
14
15
                 MS. McFADDIN: Your Honor, I would like to
   introduce this as Exhibit 11, it's a spreadsheet showing
16
17
   the retail cost of natural gas.
18
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Do you have two of those
   for us as a courtesy copy? We're co-examiners.
19
20
                 MS. McFADDIN: Yes. I'm sorry. I didn't
21
   know how many.
22
            (BY MS. McFADDIN) When you reviewed Exhibit
23
   10, what is the cost per MMBTU of natural gas the
   current retail rate?
24
25
        A. I calculated basically by going to Atmos'
```

```
website and the downloading -- they have an easy way to
1
   download a spreadsheet that lists out your payment
2
   amount through the same two-year period I believe that
3
   they have also looked at, so what I did was take that,
4
   divide it and I end up with an MMBTU Atmos retail cost
   is what I'm looking at $10.30 MMBTU.
6
7
            Is this significantly less than the cost
        Ο.
   identified in Exhibit 4 for the use of propane?
8
            Yes, the Exhibit 4 actually is also referenced
        Α.
10
   in the application part 5F, the most reasonable
11
   alternative energy source for the directly affected
12
   customers is propane. Propane and the area currently
13
   cost around 25.87 per MMBTU. Another alternative energy
   source for the directly affected customer is
14
15
   electricity.
16
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Where are you reading that
   from?
17
18
                               That's from the application.
                 THE WITNESS:
19
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Could you be more specific
20
   so the record is more clear on exactly what is in the
21
   application.
22
                               This is part 5F.
                 THE WITNESS:
23
   Anyway, it states two factors there that we've discussed
```

Anyway, it states two factors there that we've discussed also in Exhibit 4 which lists propane at 25.87 MMBTU and electricity at 34.57 MMBTU.

- 1 Q. (BY MS. McFADDIN) When you examine Exhibit No.
- 2 | 10, how much per year does did cost you on a retail
- 3 basis to use natural gas?
- 4 A. Retail basis \$649.
- 5 EXAMINER HANNA: You just said Exhibit 10
- 6 and this is Exhibit 11.
- 7 MS. McFADDIN: Exhibit 11. I'm sorry,
- 8 | Exhibit 11.
- 9 Q. (BY MS. McFADDIN) And on Exhibit 11, how much
- 10 per year assuming the cost per MMBTU is shown in Exhibit
- 11 | 4 is correct would it cost you per year to convert to
- 12 propane?
- 13 A. The cost would be \$1,629.
- 14 Q. And for electricity?
- 15 A. \$2,178 using the numbers presented in the
- 16 | application.
- 17 Q. In your opinion, is the use of propane and
- 18 electricity significantly more costly to you per year
- 19 than using natural gas?
- 20 A. Yes, twice to three times as much.
- 21 Q. Before attending this hearing did you believe
- 22 that that cost increase was unreasonable?
- A. Surely.
- 24 Q. Have you reviewed the cost estimate revisions
- 25 that were sent by Atmos Energy by a letter dated

```
September 15, 2014?
1
2
        Α.
            Yes, I have.
3
             Is this a copy of that letter?
        Α.
            Yes.
4
                 MS. McFADDIN: Your Honor, I'd like to
5
6
   introduce the Atmos Energy Letter dated September 25,
   2014 into evidence.
7
                   (Protestant Exhibit 12 offered)
8
9
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Exhibit 12.
10
   objection?
11
                 MR. JOLLY: No objection.
12
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Exhibit 11 has never been
   offered.
13
14
                 MS. McFADDIN: I would like to enter into
   evidence Exhibit 11.
15
                   (Protestant Exhibit 11 offered)
16
                 MR. JOLLY: No objection.
17
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Admitted.
18
19
                   (Protestant Exhibits 11 and 12 admitted)
20
        Q.
             (BY MS. McFADDIN) After considering the
21
   testimony received about the deteriorating quality of
22
   the natural gas you have been using for the past 13
23
   years, are you still of the opinion that it is
   unreasonable to convert?
2.4
25
        A. No, I think I need to be off it as quickly as I
```

1 can.

6

7

8

21

22

23

- Q. Are you concerned that your equipment in your home may be incompletely combusting generating combustion byproducts that could impair your health safety?
 - A. Based on what I've heard today, yes.
 - Q. Have you been diligent about maintaining your carbon monoxide monitor?
- 9 A. Yes, I mean, I check the light is shining in 10 it, means it's lit; it's good.
- 11 Q. Would you have been more diligent and concerned
 12 had you known that incomplete combustion byproducts
 13 might be generated in your home that could lead to
 14 excessive carbon monoxide emissions?
- 15 A. Yes, definitely be more concerned prior you 16 know, to discovering this.
- Q. Do you believe the other eight residential customers using this natural gas have been informed that it contains high levels of liquids that could be incompletely combusted?
 - A. I can't speak to that. I don't know if they would read these documents as well as I have and raise the questions I have raised.
 - Q. Do you think they would want to know?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you think they would be concerned if they believed that combusting natural gas unsuitable for residential uses could result in the emission of carbon monoxide? Do you think that would be a big concern to them?
 - A. I would think so, yes.
- Q. After hearing the testimony introduced into evidence this morning, do you believe at this point that you need to convert to an alternate energy source such a propane or electricity?
- 11 A. Yes.

- Q. Do you believe that needs to occur as soon as possible?
- A. Yes. Winter is coming.
 - Q. Are there any stipulations that you would like to recommend should that conversion proceed during that interim period?
- A. Well, I guess I've heard testimony where Atmos arranges for somebody to come in and do this type of work. I tend to operate on a different -- my background is construction and architecture. I always feel best when there is a general contractor sitting on a top of a variety of subs that actually do work in my home and I insist they are bonded, insured and that when they crack the egg open on my house that there's nothing left

amiss. Very important aspect of what maybe could have been communicated prior to all this if there had been any kind of responsiveness to some of these questions, so general contractor, master electricians, plumbers, tradesman that are covering all scopes of what would be involved to convert my home.

I designed my house. It's not what you would call conventional by any means so having a blanket solution is probably not going to work. It's going to have to be thought out a little beyond this is what we do.

- Q. Would you like these services, the general contractor, the master electrician, master plumber, who are properly bonded would you like this to be at their expense as soon as possible?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. In addition, are you wanting to have the residential lines removed including the loop that we talked about, having the riser line properly capped and testing to make to sure it is not going to leak, you want those activities also done at their expense?
- A. Yes, I think it's very important that beyond and just to articulate how the meter set up is, the meter is actually located about 500 hundred feet from my house. You service the line off the line, it travels

down the utility easement, enters my property which I 1 guess is my responsibility now that I've got -- will not 2 service that I've got an abandoned piece of my property, 3 subsurface in an utility easement and based on what I've 4 heard, I don't really know what the content of that gas that's been travelling some 40 years is really like, so, 6 I also think that the service lines from meters need to 7 8 be pulled up to the residences. And I know it's not the normal operating procedure but in this case, I think based on what I've heard, the quality of the gas and 10 11 what ifs of what this gas really is, it's important that 12 I get this line out of my house and out of my yard, and 13 I don't want to be the one that pays for it.

- Q. In addition, as you noted we are coming into cold weather season and it's not clear how long it will take to convert your energy sources. Do you feel it appropriate to have properly qualified HVAC folks inspect natural gas equipment in your home and whether or not it's capable of properly combusting high MBTU gas in the interim before the conversion occurs at their expense?
 - A. Yes, immediately.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Do you have any other comments or concerns that you'd like to make?
- 25 A. Just that this all could have all been avoided,

```
I think, with a little communication and more
1
2
   understanding of how a customer actually feels when they
   are offered this type of thing and you're pushed up
3
   against a wall, basically, with a letter that doesn't
4
   give you much latitude and then not to have questions
   answered by a company representatives who or employees
6
7
   of the company is disheartening.
8
        Q.
             Are you angry that you were never notified in
   the past 13, 15, 20 years that the gas that you were
   using was not suitable for residential use?
10
            Yes, I am.
11
        Α.
12
            Are you angry to find out that the use of this
13
   unsuitable gas may have contributed to air quality
   problems in your home?
14
             Yes, I am.
15
        Α.
             Is it true that you and your wife have been
16
   experiencing a series of health problems over the past
17
18
   few years?
            Yes, we have.
19
        Α.
20
        Q.
             Do those problem include breathing, respiration
   or other problems?
21
22
             No, they do not.
        Α.
                 MS. McFADDIN: I'll pass the witness.
23
24
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Cross-examination?
```

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. JOLLY:

- Q. Mr. Salis, did you hear Mr. Pete Brown testify earlier that he returned one of your voicemails and left you a voicemail?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Why didn't you call him back to discuss your issues?
- 8 A. Was that around April? Do we know when that 9 was? April, 2014. Do you have testimony what date that 10 was?
- Q. It was in that time period of the initial offer, I think came in March 2014 and I'm assuming the phone call sometime after that.
 - A. Okay. I don't know why I didn't call back. I can -- I can offer that I went into the hospital on April 14, and was there for 11 days. Okay. So, and when I got out, I was under home healthcare for probably another two months so if I didn't address Mr. Brown, there was probably a reason. I had other things on my mind. You know when you send something back in writing, you ask for something in writing, part of my business is determining information as well and I find that the written trail is far better to have than to have a verbal trail so I was respectfully offering my opinion and questions and expected an answer in writing.

MR. JOLLY: I don't have anything else. 1

2 EXAMINER HANNA: Redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION.

BY MS. McFADDIN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

- If you had been aware as indicated in July, 2014 application that the natural gas was unsuitable for residential use and/or contained high levels of liquids that could potentially be incompletely combusted, leading to air quality issues in your home, would you have been more diligent in pursuing your concerns with Atmos?
- 12 If I had known prior? Α.
- 13 Q. Yes, if you had known back in March/April that some of these issues--14
- Yeah, what's interesting to me about how this has played out, I have an offer. It mentions nothing of 17 air quality or NGL, natural gas liquids or any of that. 18 When the application comes along, it's almost like wow, I can't believe this stuff, and I'm reading this, so, 19 20 now it becomes even more of an issue in terms of my 21 feeling of what's going on here. Why have I not gotten 22 these answers? Well, here -- here's the answers right 23 here in their own application, so yes, my level of 24 concern has risen every time I see another piece of 25 paper as well.

- Q. So the fact that you may not have returned a phone call in March or April, a time during which you testified you were ill, is maybe perhaps in part because you did not realize the gravity of the problems presented by the natural gas that was being distributed to your residence for your use?
- A. That's correct, all I was going on was the proposed conversion letter of March 27th, which is not specific to those types of things.
- MS. McFADDIN: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 12 EXAMINER HANNA: Recross?

- MR. JOLLY: Nothing further.
 - wanted to be converted quickly, and could you please specify like to what, precisely, and let me finish my question because I refer to Exhibit 8 where on item 3, in your letter to Atmos, you talk about -- I'm going to paraphrase and the exhibit will speak for itself, so, it would be -- you're basically saying that you want some alternative energy source and is there anything other than propane or electricity because of what you are alleging are accelerated operating costs if you're not using gas if you're using electric or propane so we want to be clear what it is you said you wanted it to happen

```
quick.
          What is it that you want to happen?
1
2
                 THE WITNESS:
                              Well, I don't want to have
   gas that's not residential quality being pumped into my
3
   home from as quick as I can get it in terms of resolving
4
          I'm still settled with increase lifecycle costs
   with either one of these conversion alternatives, so to
6
7
   me, that's still a problem, okay. Realistically I also
   don't want a propane tank in my front yard, so that puts
8
   me in electricity which then puts me into the higher
10
   alternative that has been offered here and again I go
11
   back, is there any avenue to pursue the true traditional
12
   alternative energy definition beyond propane and
13
   electricity. And I looked and tried to research what
   the legal definition in the State of Texas of
14
15
   alternative energy is and I don't think there is one.
                                                           Ι
   know within this agency it's propane. It seems to be
16
17
   within the Railroad Commission, so, I guess where I'm
18
   going is, I don't have the answer to that today.
19
                 EXAMINER HANNA: But what is it that you're
20
   requesting?
                What is that that you want Atmos to do?
21
                 THE WITNESS: Well, I don't know if I'm at
22
   that point yet.
                    I'm riled up now that I've heard all
23
   this other stuff so it's kind of a where-am-I-now
24
   moment. I mean, I realize we want to make a decision
25
   here but I have a lot to consider.
```

```
EXAMINER HANNA: Did you have any other
1
2
   witnesses, Ms. McFaddin?
3
                 MS. McFADDIN: I have no other witnesses
   today.
4
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Did you want to offer
5
   Exhibit 11 and 12?
6
7
                 MS. McFADDIN: Yes, please. I think I
   already offered 11 but yes I want to offer 12 as well.
8
                  (Protestant Exhibits 11 and 12 offered)
10
                 EXAMINER HANNA: I'm the keeper of the
11
   record.
12
                 MS. McFADDIN: I'm sorry. I thought you
13
   asked and I said yes.
14
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Objections to 11 and 12?
                 MR. JOLLY: No objections to 11 and 12.
15
16
                 EXAMINER HANNA: They are admitted.
                  (Protestant Exhibits 11 and 12 admitted)
17
18
                                 Mr. Jolly, would you
                 EXAMINER HANNA:
19
   remind us. We're looking for...
20
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: The initial operating costs
21
   that included the cost of gas.
22
                 EXAMINER HANNA: And then you refiled them
23
   without the cost of gas. Where did we see those
24
   originally that included in the cost of gas? Are they
25
   in the application?
```

```
MR. JOLLY: It was in the application.
1
2
   Yes, ma'am.
                It was -- I have one copy.
                 EXAMINER HANNA: We have the application.
3
   We're just trying to remember where we saw it.
4
                 MR. JOLLY: It's in section 4 of
5
   application.
6
7
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: So there's not a breakdown
   by customer?
8
                 MR. JOLLY: No.
                 MR. YARBROUGH: Were you attempting to
10
11
   identify the gas cost potentially embedded in that?
12
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Yeah, we're reviewing
13
   Exhibit 11 that was admitted and we're trying to compare
   it to Atmos's figures.
14
15
                 MR. YARBROUGH:
                                 They don't have a monthly
   breakdown in the application; however, if you wanted to
16
17
   take official notice of Mid-tex's monthly gas filings
18
   for these months with the Gas Services Division and you
   could obtain the gas cost embedded in each of those
19
20
   filings.
21
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Assuming that will be the
22
   difference between his calculations and his would be the
   gas cost, but I was try to verify that.
23
24
                 MR. YARBROUGH: I would assume that would
25
   be a yes.
```

```
EXAMINER RUIZ: Mr. Salis, in looking at
1
2
   your Exhibit 11, and also Exhibit 9, in Exhibit 9, you
   talked about the added cost each year if you convert.
3
4
                 THE WITNESS: Correct. Are we looking at
   this table?
5
6
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Yes.
7
                 EXAMINER HANNA: For the record that's the
   table in Exhibit 9?
8
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Page 3 of Exhibit 9.
10
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Because I didn't get a
11
   courtesy copy of that.
12
                 MS. McFADDIN: I think I have another copy
13
   if you need it.
14
                 EXAMINER HANNA: That'd be great.
15
                 THE WITNESS: The numbers are different,
16
   right? Yeah.
17
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: So Exhibit 11, if you take
18
   the difference of 649 and the 1629 the electric cost or
19
   the 2178.
20
                 THE WITNESS: Correct.
21
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Propane cost and the 2178
22
   electric cost, that's your increase each year.
23
                 THE WITNESS: Correct, that's based off the
24
   numbers derived from the application for the MMBTU of
25
   the electricity and propane and then dividing my same
```

```
period of time by the number of MBTUs and deriving a
1
2
   cost per MMBTU of natural gas. This prior document,
   you'll note that it says estimated increase of energy
3
   cost per account using Atmos Energy calculator which is
4
   a tool they have online that you go in and plug in your
   values, so, prior to actually knowing what the MMBTU per
6
7
   -- or the dollar per for electricity and propane, I use
8
   their own site to make assumptions and so, this is a
   prior document to what you're looking at that
   spreadsheet which has the actual values that occur in
10
   the application, so this is a preliminary cursory look.
11
12
   That is the true numbers that I derived based on the
13
   information they've provided and my actual billing.
14
                 EXAMINER RUIZ: Okay.
15
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Okay, those were all of
   our questions. Did you have any follow-up questions on
16
   the questions that we asked?
17
18
                 MS. McFADDIN: No, ma'am.
19
                 MR. JOLLY: No, ma'am.
20
                 EXAMINER HANNA: We have a couple of
21
   housekeeping matters. I think we'll go off the record
22
   and discuss those like a briefing schedule and a couple
23
   of other things.
24
                  (Off-the-record)
25
                 EXAMINER HANNA: Back on the record.
```

while we were off the record, we talked about a briefing 1 schedule, and the parties -- the transcript will come in within two weeks which is October 9th and the parties 3 have agreed on a closing brief of October 24th, and 4 reply briefs by October 31st. The examiners remind the parties to be sure to cite to the evidentiary record in the transcript in their closing briefs, and obviously the elements that need to be established in the case, 8 the law, and the facts that support that law. I've also asked the parties to include some of their briefing on 11 the motion that's already been ruled on in regard to party status of Make a Difference Water caselaw that relates to that so the examiners will have an 13 opportunity to review those before issuance of the 14 15 proposal for decision to determine if the ruling still 16 stands on that. 17

2

7

10

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Oh, and there will be submission of the evidentiary record copy of the Exhibit 13 which is just the application for abandonment. We just would like a marked copy of that for the evidentiary record and I think that's it. Is that right and I'd like on the record that the parties agree to that briefing schedule and actually proposed it.

MR. JOLLY: Atmos Energy agrees to the briefing schedule.

```
MS. McFADDIN: The Protestants also agree
 1
   to the briefing schedule.
 2
 3
                  EXAMINER HANNA: Thank you.
                    (Proceedings concluded at 2:35 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
THE STATE OF TEXAS
1
                                )
2
   COUNTY OF TRAVIS
3
4
             I, Jamie Foley, Certified Shorthand Reporter
5
   in and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that
6
   the above and foregoing contains a true and correct
7
   transcription of all portions of evidence and other
   proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the
8
   parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's
   Record, in the above-styled and numbered cause, all of
10
   which occurred in open hearing and were reported by me.
11
12
             I further certify that this Reporter's Record
13
   of the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the
   exhibits, if any, offered by the respective parties.
14
15
                  WITNESS MY OFFICIAL HAND this the 7th day
   of October, 2014.
16
17
18
19
                                JAMIE FOLEY, Texas CSR 8764
20
                                Expiration Date:
                                                  12/31/14
                                Certified Court Reporter
21
                                Travis County, Texas
                                HOWARD REPORTERS
22
                                Firm No. 440
                                10917 Shady Hollow Drive
23
                                Austin, Texas 78748
                                512.292.6478
24
25
```