

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q1 Own, lease or rent								
Own	84	84	89	84	84	87	91	85
Lease	5	1	1	4	3	3	1	2
Rent	5	10	10	11	11	7	2	9
Other	5	5		0	2	1	6	2
Unsure	2				0	1		0
Refused		0			0		0	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas								
Right direction	36	35	40	32	36	37	36	36
Wrong track	43	52	49	55	49	50	51	50
Unsure	19	13	10	13	14	13	12	13
Refused	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
Right dir - wrong track	-7	-17	-9	-23	-14	-13	-16	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot								
Republican Rick Perry	38	43	42	43	38	35	64	42
Democrat Bill White	47	46	40	30	44	52	20	41
Other party, candidate	1	0	0	1	1	1		0
Neither party, candidate	1	2	2	4	2	1	3	2
Unsure, undecided	13	9	15	22	15	11	11	14
Refused	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Perry - White	-9	-2	2	13	-7	-17	44	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q1 Own, lease or rent									
Own	85	90	83	83	72	78	77	90	85
Lease	1	0	5	4	7	13	6	1	2
Rent	9	10	5	12	13	4	17	8	9
Other	4		5	1	8	6		1	2
Unsure			2					0	0
Refused	0		0					0	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas									
Right direction	35	42	33	32	35	28	34	36	36
Wrong track	53	48	43	54	53	56	51	49	50
Unsure	12	9	21	14	12	15	15	14	13
Refused	1	1	2	0				1	1
Right dir - wrong track	-18	-6	-9	-23	-18	-28	-17	-12	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot									
Republican Rick Perry	42	46	36	41	29	16	26	46	42
Democrat Bill White	47	38	50	32	47	77	38	39	41
Other party, candidate	0	0	1	1				1	0
Neither party, candidate	2	2	1	4	1	2		3	2
Unsure, undecided	8	13	12	23	24	5	36	11	14
Refused	1	1	0					1	1
Perry - White	-5	8	-14	9	-18	-61	-12	7	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Q1 Own, lease or rent										
Own	88	83	83	86	88	85	91	81	85	85
Lease	4	1	3	1	4	1	6	2	1	2
Rent	4	14	10	10	7	5	3	14	13	9
Other	4	1	4	2	0	8		2	0	2
Unsure	0	0	1		0	1			1	0
Refused	0	0			0		0		0	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas										
Right direction	39	33	42	35	31	42	36	35	32	36
Wrong track	48	52	50	53	46	47	48	54	50	50
Unsure	12	14	6	12	22	9	15	9	18	13
Refused	1	1	2		1	1	1	1	0	1
Right dir - wrong track	-9	-18	-8	-18	-16	-5	-12	-18	-18	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot										
Republican Rick Perry	46	38	37	46	43	42	49	42	36	42
Democrat Bill White	37	44	45	38	38	41	33	43	44	41
Other party, candidate	0	1		1	0		0		1	0
Neither party, candidate	2	2	3	2	1	3	2	3	2	2
Unsure, undecided	14	14	15	12	17	14	15	13	16	14
Refused	0	1		1	1		1		1	1
Perry - White	10	-6	-8	8	5	2	16	-1	-9	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q1 Own, lease or rent									
Own	84	85	86	85	89	90	86	70	85
Lease	5	3	1	4	2	1	2	6	2
Rent	10	10	9	8	6	6	10	22	9
Other		1	3	2	2	3	2	1	2
Unsure		1	0	1	1		0	1	0
Refused	0	0		0	0		0	0	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas									
Right direction	24	40	38	40	41	33	34	34	36
Wrong track	54	46	50	44	42	55	53	51	50
Unsure	22	14	11	15	15	12	13	15	13
Refused	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
Right dir - wrong track	-30	-6	-13	-4	-1	-22	-19	-17	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot									
Republican Rick Perry	43	36	44	41	42	42	42	42	42
Democrat Bill White	36	43	41	36	36	44	43	42	41
Other party, candidate		1	0			1	1	1	0
Neither party, candidate	4	1	2	3	3	2	2	1	2
Unsure, undecided	17	18	12	20	20	11	11	13	14
Refused		1	0			0	1	1	1
Perry - White	7	-6	3	5	6	-2	-1	-1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q1 Own, lease or rent						
Own	100	98	98	99		85
Lease					21	2
Rent					79	9
Other		2	1	1		2
Unsure			1			0
Refused						0
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas						
Right direction	36	35	36	42	33	36
Wrong track	50	49	54	48	50	50
Unsure	13	16	10	9	18	13
Refused	1	0	1	0		1
Right dir - wrong track	-13	-14	-17	-5	-17	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot						
Republican Rick Perry	42	39	43	43	33	42
Democrat Bill White	40	37	44	44	46	41
Other party, candidate	0	1	0		2	0
Neither party, candidate	2	2	3	2	4	2
Unsure, undecided	15	23	10	11	15	14
Refused	1		0		0	1
Perry - White	2	2	-1	-1	-13	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q1 Own, lease or rent							
Own	89	88	90	82	84	78	85
Lease	1	2		3	3	3	2
Rent	7	4	10	12	9	18	9
Other	3	6		2	3		2
Unsure				1	0	1	0
Refused	0		0	0	0		0
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas							
Right direction	35	31	40	36	41	26	36
Wrong track	50	52	48	50	46	59	50
Unsure	13	15	11	13	11	15	13
Refused	1	2	0	1	1	0	1
Right dir - wrong track	-15	-20	-9	-14	-6	-33	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot							
Republican Rick Perry	61	72	49	25	31	13	42
Democrat Bill White	23	14	32	59	49	79	41
Other party, candidate	1	1	1				0
Neither party, candidate	3	2	4	2	2	2	2
Unsure, undecided	12	11	14	13	17	5	14
Refused	0	1		1	1	0	1
Perry - White	38	59	17	-34	-18	-66	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q1 Own, lease or rent						
Own	89	90	90	77	90	85
Lease		2	2	5		2
Rent	7	6	6	13	8	9
Other	4	2	2	3	2	2
Unsure				1		0
Refused		0	0		0	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas						
Right direction	37	41	40	37	23	36
Wrong track	51	48	49	47	62	50
Unsure	12	10	10	15	14	13
Refused		2	2	1	1	1
Right dir - wrong track	-14	-7	-9	-10	-38	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot						
Republican Rick Perry	83	74	76	30	6	42
Democrat Bill White	10	13	12	50	82	41
Other party, candidate	1	1	1			0
Neither party, candidate	3	2	3	3	0	2
Unsure, undecided	3	10	8	17	11	14
Refused		1	0	1	0	1
Perry - White	72	61	64	-21	-76	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q1 Own, lease or rent													
Own	90	89	91	94	93	87	92	80	82	82	87	88	85
Lease	1	2		1	1	2	1	3	1	4	1	1	2
Rent	7	7	7	4	3	10	6	13	9	15	11	12	9
Other	2	2		1	2			5	6				2
Unsure	1		2					0	1		0		0
Refused						0	1				0		0
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas													
Right direction	44	43	47	42	37	32	29	29	48	20	29	21	36
Wrong track	45	47	41	48	52	52	57	56	44	62	55	59	50
Unsure	9	9	8	10	11	14	13	15	8	17	15	16	13
Refused	1	0	4	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	4	1
Right dir - wrong track	-1	-4	6	-6	-15	-20	-27	-27	4	-41	-26	-38	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot													
Republican Rick Perry	74	80	60	77	81	37	35	11	16	5	22	32	42
Democrat Bill White	15	12	24	11	6	33	34	79	80	87	61	39	41
Other party, candidate	1	1		1	1	1					1	2	0
Neither party, candidate	1		5	2	1	4	4	2	2		4	7	2
Unsure, undecided	8	8	10	9	9	25	27	8	2	7	12	20	14
Refused	0	0	1	1	1	1	1				0		1
Perry - White	59	68	35	66	75	4	0	-68	-64	-82	-39	-7	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Q1 Own, lease or rent													
Own	94	86	91	83	76	82	87	92	88	87	74	84	85
Lease	2	1	5		6		1	1		4		5	2
Rent	2	11	4	17	8	16	7	7	12	8	15	10	9
Other	2	2			10	1	4			11			2
Unsure	1					1	1					1	0
Refused			1							0			0
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas													
Right direction	49	40	34	30	28	29	44	45	42	24	29	29	36
Wrong track	41	49	50	54	59	53	46	44	47	55	64	50	50
Unsure	7	11	16	13	12	17	9	9	7	20	7	20	13
Refused	3		1	3	1	0	2	1	4	0		1	1
Right dir - wrong track	8	-10	-16	-24	-31	-24	-2	1	-5	-31	-35	-20	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot													
Republican Rick Perry	82	68	37	36	14	9	75	75	32	40	13	10	42
Democrat Bill White	12	18	27	39	75	81	19	11	33	32	81	77	41
Other party, candidate		1	0	1				1		2			0
Neither party, candidate	1	2	7	1		3	3		5	3	3	1	2
Unsure, undecided	4	12	27	23	11	7	3	13	30	22	3	13	14
Refused	1		1	1				1		1			1
Perry - White	70	50	10	-3	-61	-72	56	64	-2	8	-68	-66	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q1 Own, lease or rent						
Own	87	85	97	94	100	85
Lease	4	1				2
Rent	7	11				9
Other	2	3	3	6		2
Unsure	1	0	1			0
Refused		0	0			0
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas						
Right direction	100		28	36	46	36
Wrong track		100	59	53	40	50
Unsure			13	11	11	13
Refused			0		3	1
Right dir - wrong track	100	-100	-32	-18	6	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot						
Republican Rick Perry	50	38	31	42	51	42
Democrat Bill White	37	44	47	42	37	41
Other party, candidate		1	0	1		0
Neither party, candidate		4	1	3	2	2
Unsure, undecided	13	13	21	13	9	14
Refused	0	1		1	1	1
Perry - White	14	-7	-16	0	14	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q1 Own, lease or rent											
Own	84	84	86	85	84	85	87	79	86	82	85
Lease	2	3	2	1	3	3	2		0	7	2
Rent	9	12	9	11	12	9	8	14	11	6	9
Other	4		3		2	3	3	4	3	2	2
Unsure	0	1		3		1	0	3	0	2	0
Refused			0		0		0			1	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas											
Right direction	29	44	33	33	33	35	32	43	33	42	36
Wrong track	58	44	54	54	55	52	53	52	56	39	50
Unsure	12	12	12	13	12	11	13	5	10	19	13
Refused	1		1		0	2	1		1		1
Right dir - wrong track	-29	-1	-21	-21	-22	-17	-21	-8	-24	4	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot											
Republican Rick Perry	35	54	39	57	38	43	40	48	34	60	42
Democrat Bill White	49	27	45	28	47	41	43	21	52	14	41
Other party, candidate	0	1	1		1	1	0		1		0
Neither party, candidate	2	1	2	1	4	1	1	6	3	1	2
Unsure, undecided	13	17	13	13	10	15	15	24	10	24	14
Refused	1	0	0		0		0	1	0	1	1
Perry - White	-14	26	-6	29	-10	2	-3	27	-18	45	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Q1 Own, lease or rent												
Own	85	87	80	87	86	85	87	82	86	88	91	85
Lease	3	2	5	3	3	2	5	4	2	2	1	2
Rent	10	10	8	8	7	12	7	11	9	8	6	9
Other	3	1	7		4	2	1	3	3	1	1	2
Unsure	0	0		2	0	0			0	0	0	0
Refused					0	0			0	0	0	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Q2 Direction of things in own part of Texas												
Right direction	36	32	44	33	43	32	31	43	33	34	38	36
Wrong track	51	55	41	54	45	54	44	43	57	45	50	50
Unsure	12	12	14	12	10	13	25	13	9	20	11	13
Refused	1	1		1	2	1		1	1	1	1	1
Right dir - wrong track	-15	-23	3	-20	-2	-22	-13	-1	-24	-11	-13	-14
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Q3 Texas Governor ballot												
Republican Rick Perry	40	34	52	52	100			29	45	49	45	42
Democrat Bill White	45	50	31	36		100		50	37	38	43	41
Other party, candidate	0	1						1	1		0	0
Neither party, candidate	2	2	2	2				5	1	2	2	2
Unsure, undecided	13	12	15	10			100	14	17	11	11	14
Refused	0	1		1				1	0	1	0	1
Perry - White	-5	-16	21	16	100	-100		-22	8	11	2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600


	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q4 Approximate value of home								
Under \$100,000	12	21	20	43	22	16	31	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	35	38	36	37	36	36	40	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	9	21	16	17	17	12	12	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	25	17	20	20	19	24	27	20
Over \$200,000	27	24	28	9	26	29	4	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	16	14	18	6	16	16	2	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	8	8	4	2	6	11	3	6
Over \$500,000	3	2	7	1	4	2		3
Unsure	12	11	7	4	7	9	16	8
Refused	15	6	9	7	9	11	9	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>149</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>439</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>529</i>
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live								
Yes	96	91	96	94	94	92	92	94
No	2	7	2	4	3	2	6	4
Unsure		1	1		1	1		1
Refused	3	1	2	1	2	5	1	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>149</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>439</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>529</i>
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days								
Too high	43	61	61	57	57	47	55	56
Much too high	20	30	34	31	30	24	26	29
Somewhat too high	23	31	27	26	27	23	29	27
About right	50	33	32	30	35	48	37	36
Too low		0			0			0
Somewhat too low		0			0			0
Unsure	7	5	6	12	8	4	6	7
Refused	0		0	1	0	0	2	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>110</i>	<i>149</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>439</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>529</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q4 Approximate value of home									
Under \$100,000	19	16	13	45	42	25	59	18	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	39	42	28	34	37	61	26	37	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	20	16	9	18	21	36	10	15	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	19	26	20	16	15	25	16	22	20
Over \$200,000	25	26	29	12	14	10	15	25	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	15	17	16	8	8	9	8	15	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	8	4	9	3	3		3	6	6
Over \$500,000	2	6	4	1	3	1	4	3	3
Unsure	11	6	14	4	6	4		9	8
Refused	6	9	17	5	1			11	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>162</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>140</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>403</i>	<i>529</i>
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live									
Yes	92	95	95	93	91	90	97	95	94
No	5	3	2	6	6	10	3	3	4
Unsure	2	1						1	1
Refused	2	1	3	1	3			1	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>162</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>140</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>403</i>	<i>529</i>
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days									
Too high	60	57	43	62	70	82	59	52	56
Much too high	26	32	18	37	39	43	39	26	29
Somewhat too high	34	25	25	25	31	39	20	26	27
About right	34	36	49	27	23	14	31	40	36
Too low				0				0	0
Somewhat too low				0				0	0
Unsure	6	6	8	11	7	3	10	7	7
Refused		1	0					1	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>162</i>	<i>101</i>	<i>140</i>	<i>120</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>403</i>	<i>529</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Q4 Approximate value of home										
Under \$100,000	21	26	16	21	35	16	25	17	34	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	40	33	35	44	30	43	38	36	30	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	15	17	15	15	19	13	17	15	20	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	25	16	21	28	11	31	21	21	10	20
Over \$200,000	25	20	31	20	16	26	24	27	15	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	15	12	17	14	10	13	17	16	9	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	7	4	8	5	4	10	5	5	3	6
Over \$500,000	2	4	6	1	2	3	2	6	2	3
Unsure	7	9	6	8	10	8	6	8	11	8
Refused	6	11	11	7	9	7	6	13	10	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	250	280	177	182	167	115	135	131	146	529
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live										
Yes	92	96	95	95	92	91	93	97	96	94
No	4	4	2	3	6	3	6	2	4	4
Unsure	0	1		1	1		1	1		1
Refused	4	0	3	1	1	6	1		0	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	250	280	177	182	167	115	135	131	146	529
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days										
Too high	54	58	44	68	55	48	60	54	61	56
Much too high	28	31	21	34	32	22	32	28	33	29
Somewhat too high	27	28	23	34	23	25	28	26	28	27
About right	38	34	49	28	30	46	31	42	27	36
Too low	0				0		0			0
Somewhat too low	0				0		0			0
Unsure	8	7	6	2	14	7	9	4	10	7
Refused		1		1	1				2	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	250	280	177	182	167	115	135	131	146	529

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q4 Approximate value of home									
Under \$100,000	45	30	13	22	22	24	24	28	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	29	46	35	36	36	39	37	32	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	23	23	11	15	14	17	17	20	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	6	23	24	21	22	22	20	12	20
Over \$200,000	10	7	33	29	29	19	19	19	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	9	4	20	17	18	12	12	10	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	1	2	9	6	5	5	5	8	6
Over \$500,000	1	2	4	6	6	2	2	1	3
Unsure	12	6	8	2	1	12	12	13	8
Refused	4	10	10	11	12	6	7	8	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>132</i>	<i>298</i>	<i>187</i>	<i>180</i>	<i>258</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>529</i>
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live									
Yes	89	96	95	96	96	93	93	92	94
No	10	3	2	2	2	4	5	6	4
Unsure	1		1			1	1		1
Refused		1	3	2	2	1	2	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>132</i>	<i>298</i>	<i>187</i>	<i>180</i>	<i>258</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>529</i>
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days									
Too high	66	68	48	47	45	62	62	63	56
Much too high	38	39	22	22	21	35	33	29	29
Somewhat too high	28	29	26	25	24	27	29	34	27
About right	27	24	44	46	48	30	30	27	36
Too low	1					0	0		0
Somewhat too low	1					0	0		0
Unsure	6	7	8	6	6	7	8	10	7
Refused		0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>132</i>	<i>298</i>	<i>187</i>	<i>180</i>	<i>258</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>529</i>

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q4 Approximate value of home						
Under \$100,000	24	100				24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	37		100			37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	17		45			16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	20		55			20
Over \$200,000	23			100		22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	14			61		14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	5			25		6
Over \$500,000	3			14		3
Unsure	7					8
Refused	9					9
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119		529
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live						
Yes	95	90	97	96		94
No	3	10	3	1		4
Unsure	1					1
Refused	1		1	3		2
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119		529
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days						
Too high	55	63	56	52		56
Much too high	29	38	26	29		29
Somewhat too high	26	25	30	24		27
About right	37	26	39	41		36
Too low	0		0			0
Somewhat too low	0		0			0
Unsure	7	12	4	7		7
Refused	0		1			0
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119		529



	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q4 Approximate value of home							
Under \$100,000	27	24	31	20	25	11	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	32	33	31	41	38	49	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	15	17	12	18	13	28	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	17	16	19	24	25	20	20
Over \$200,000	21	23	19	24	21	29	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	11	11	11	15	14	18	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	4	5	3	7	5	9	6
Over \$500,000	5	6	4	2	2	1	3
Unsure	10	12	9	6	6	6	8
Refused	10	9	10	8	10	6	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	262	137	125	248	173	75	529
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live							
Yes	94	98	90	94	93	97	94
No	3	1	6	4	4	3	4
Unsure	1	1	2				1
Refused	1	1	3	2	3		2
<i>Number of cases</i>	262	137	125	248	173	75	529
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days							
Too high	54	53	55	58	61	53	56
Much too high	25	28	22	31	29	38	29
Somewhat too high	29	25	33	27	32	15	27
About right	36	37	35	37	35	41	36
Too low	0	0					0
Somewhat too low	0	0					0
Unsure	10	10	10	5	5	7	7
Refused	0	0	0				0
<i>Number of cases</i>	262	137	125	248	173	75	529

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q4 Approximate value of home						
Under \$100,000	19	23	22	24	17	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	47	37	40	32	37	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	18	13	14	16	23	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	29	24	25	17	13	20
Over \$200,000	22	20	21	24	35	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	12	12	12	15	22	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	8	5	6	5	11	6
Over \$500,000	3	3	3	4	2	3
Unsure	3	8	6	11	7	8
Refused	9	12	11	8	3	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>187</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>529</i>
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live						
Yes	97	95	95	94	89	94
No	2	4	3	3	5	4
Unsure					3	1
Refused	1	2	1	2	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>187</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>529</i>
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days						
Too high	54	50	51	63	61	56
Much too high	18	18	18	37	42	29
Somewhat too high	36	31	32	26	19	27
About right	32	41	39	33	33	36
Too low		0	0			0
Somewhat too low		0	0			0
Unsure	14	7	9	4	7	7
Refused	1	1	1			0
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>187</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>529</i>

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q4 Approximate value of home													
Under \$100,000	21	24	12	22	22	27	27	22	22	11	19	31	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	38	34	49	37	33	34	43	41	41	49	35	27	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	13	15	9	14	15	15	16	24	15	31	18	17	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	25	19	40	23	18	19	27	16	26	18	17	10	20
Over \$200,000	24	26	19	25	31	20	15	26	29	32	28	14	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	11	11	12	12	15	16	12	18	23	18	21	13	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	7	7	8	6	7	2	3	7	5	13	7		6
Over \$500,000	5	7		6	8	2		1	2	1	1	1	3
Unsure	7	7	6	6	5	10	6	10	6	5	11	17	8
Refused	11	10	13	10	9	9	9	1	1	2	7	11	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	202	147	55	167	100	121	61	165	65	55	187	49	529
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live													
Yes	95	96	91	96	99	92	89	93	96	98	93	88	94
No	2	2	4	2	1	4	5	5	4	2	4	5	4
Unsure								2			2	6	1
Refused	3	2	5	2	0	3	6				1	1	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	202	147	55	167	100	121	61	165	65	55	187	49	529
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days													
Too high	51	52	50	51	51	63	69	61	62	50	56	57	56
Much too high	25	29	16	25	27	31	30	31	24	38	28	23	29
Somewhat too high	26	23	34	26	24	32	39	30	38	12	28	35	27
About right	38	35	44	37	38	33	27	34	35	44	38	32	36
Too low						0					0	1	0
Somewhat too low						0					0	1	0
Unsure	11	13	6	11	10	3	3	5	3	7	6	9	7
Refused	0	1		1	0								0
<i>Number of cases</i>	202	147	55	167	100	121	61	165	65	55	187	49	529

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Q4 Approximate value of home													
Under \$100,000	21	21	24	32	19	24	15	27	18	35	10	31	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	42	34	43	24	37	44	44	32	32	36	48	36	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	11	16	17	13	22	26	9	17	19	12	21	27	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	31	18	25	11	14	18	35	14	13	23	27	8	20
Over \$200,000	23	25	21	19	32	21	26	23	30	13	31	22	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	12	11	14	19	24	13	8	14	22	12	22	14	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	11	4	3		7	6	9	6	3	0	9	5	6
Over \$500,000	1	9	4		1	2	8	3	4	0		2	3
Unsure	6	7	2	19	12	9	5	8	9	10	11	9	8
Refused	7	14	11	6	3	3	11	11	12	6	3	3	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	98	104	65	56	69	97	95	105	52	69	73	90	529
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live													
Yes	91	99	91	94	92	93	92	98	93	92	95	93	94
No	4	0	3	6	6	5	3	2	1	7	2	6	4
Unsure					1	2					3	1	1
Refused	5	0	6				5	1	5	2			2
<i>Number of cases</i>	98	104	65	56	69	97	95	105	52	69	73	90	529
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days													
Too high	51	52	63	63	54	67	40	61	68	59	55	66	56
Much too high	22	28	27	37	35	28	19	30	31	31	21	40	29
Somewhat too high	29	23	36	26	19	38	21	31	37	28	34	26	27
About right	39	36	33	33	40	29	51	26	31	35	43	27	36
Too low			1							1			0
Somewhat too low			1							1			0
Unsure	10	11	3	4	6	4	9	12	1	5	2	7	7
Refused		1						1					0
<i>Number of cases</i>	98	104	65	56	69	97	95	105	52	69	73	90	529

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q4 Approximate value of home						
Under \$100,000	23	23	30	22	17	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	37	39	33	41	39	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	15	18	19	19	14	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	22	21	13	21	26	20
Over \$200,000	26	22	22	20	26	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	15	14	17	14	13	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	7	5	5	4	8	6
Over \$500,000	4	3		2	4	3
Unsure	5	10	11	11	4	8
Refused	10	6	4	7	14	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>263</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>529</i>
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live						
Yes	94	94	91	94	97	94
No	4	3	8	3	1	4
Unsure		1		1		1
Refused	3	2	1	2	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>263</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>529</i>
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days						
Too high	49	64	100	100		56
Much too high	22	35	100			29
Somewhat too high	27	29		100		27
About right	46	29			100	36
Too low		0				0
Somewhat too low		0				0
Unsure	5	6				7
Refused	0	1				0
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>192</i>	<i>263</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>529</i>

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q4 Approximate value of home											
Under \$100,000	21	28	20	25	25	21	21	35	21	28	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	40	30	40	39	41	37	37	40	42	39	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	18	11	18	21	20	15	18	23	19	18	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	22	19	22	18	21	22	19	16	23	21	20
Over \$200,000	22	31	22	18	21	28	25	19	22	20	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	17	13	14	13	13	19	16	7	16	11	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	4	11	6	3	5	6	4	9	4	8	6
Over \$500,000	1	7	3	1	3	3	4	3	2	1	3
Unsure	7	7	7	12	3	11	7	2	7	6	8
Refused	10	4	10	7	10	4	9	4	8	7	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	269	88	340	57	195	198	314	38	302	62	529
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live											
Yes	95	95	97	84	95	94	96	85	96	84	94
No	3	5	2	13	3	5	3	10	3	12	4
Unsure											1
Refused	2	0	1	4	2	1	1	6	2	4	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	269	88	340	57	195	198	314	38	302	62	529
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days											
Too high	63	45	61	50	60	60	58	36	61	57	56
Much too high	34	27	32	26	32	31	35	23	33	33	29
Somewhat too high	29	17	29	25	29	29	23	13	27	24	27
About right	34	48	34	42	35	34	36	45	35	37	36
Too low	0		0		0		0		0		0
Somewhat too low	0		0		0		0		0		0
Unsure	2	7	4	8	3	6	6	20	4	6	7
Refused	1		1		1		1		1		0
<i>Number of cases</i>	269	88	340	57	195	198	314	38	302	62	529

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Q4 Approximate value of home												
Under \$100,000	22	21	23	28	21	22	37	13	24	32	22	24
\$100,000 to \$200,000	39	41	32	35	37	40	25	43	34	35	38	37
\$100,000 to \$150,000	18	20	13	15	12	22	13	20	13	18	17	16
\$151,000 to \$200,000	21	22	19	20	25	18	12	24	21	16	21	20
Over \$200,000	23	24	20	29	22	25	17	29	25	14	24	22
\$201,000 to \$300,000	16	19	8	11	10	18	12	17	14	11	16	14
\$301,000 to \$500,000	6	4	9	9	7	5	4	12	5	1	5	6
Over \$500,000	2	1	3	9	5	2	1	0	6	2	3	3
Unsure	9	7	13	1	9	8	8	9	6	11	7	8
Refused	8	7	11	7	11	5	12	7	11	8	9	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	390	271	119	63	227	212	76	131	232	166	408	529
Q5 Carry a homeowners' insurance policy on the residence where you live												
Yes	95	96	93	96	95	92	95	93	96	92	95	94
No	3	4	2	4	3	4	3	2	2	7	3	4
Unsure						1		1		1		1
Refused	2	1	4	1	1	2	1	3	2	0	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	390	271	119	63	227	212	76	131	232	166	408	529
Q6 The cost of homeowners' insurance in Texas these days												
Too high	63	66	56	33	48	62	66	55	52	64	55	56
Much too high	31	36	20	20	21	34	42	31	24	35	29	29
Somewhat too high	31	29	36	13	27	28	24	23	28	29	27	27
About right	33	30	39	55	42	33	22	37	41	28	38	36
Too low						0				0	0	0
Somewhat too low						0				0	0	0
Unsure	4	3	4	12	9	4	12	8	6	8	6	7
Refused	1	1	1		1				1	0	1	0
<i>Number of cases</i>	390	271	119	63	227	212	76	131	232	166	408	529

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro-suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after								
Approve	76	68	80	68	72	76	79	73
Strongly	63	41	54	47	49	61	58	51
Somewhat	13	27	26	22	23	15	21	23
Disapprove	13	24	12	19	18	17	11	17
Somewhat	6	15	6	6	9	12	6	9
Strongly	6	9	6	13	9	5	5	8
Unsure	9	6	8	13	8	6	10	9
Refused	1	2	0		1	1		1
Approve - disapprove	64	44	68	50	54	59	68	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor								
Approve	83	82	88	84	85	79	82	84
Strongly	70	63	64	61	63	64	69	64
Somewhat	13	19	24	23	22	14	13	20
Disapprove	10	14	8	11	10	11	13	11
Somewhat	2	6	4	3	4	4	2	4
Strongly	9	8	4	8	6	8	11	7
Unsure	7	4	5	4	5	10	5	5
Refused	1			0	0	0	1	0
Approve - disapprove	72	68	80	73	74	67	69	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after									
Approve	69	80	74	69	79	93	77	71	73
Strongly	39	56	60	48	55	68	54	49	51
Somewhat	29	25	14	20	24	25	23	22	23
Disapprove	23	12	15	20	17	5	18	17	17
Somewhat	13	6	8	8	10	4	9	8	9
Strongly	10	5	7	12	7	1	9	9	8
Unsure	7	8	9	11	4	2	5	10	9
Refused	2	0	2					1	1
Approve – disapprove	46	69	59	49	62	89	59	54	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor									
Approve	82	85	80	88	82	85	87	85	84
Strongly	64	62	68	63	62	79	59	64	64
Somewhat	18	23	12	25	20	6	27	21	20
Disapprove	14	10	12	8	15	15	7	10	11
Somewhat	5	3	3	3	2	3		4	4
Strongly	9	7	9	5	12	13	7	5	7
Unsure	4	5	7	4	3		6	5	5
Refused			0	1				0	0
Approve – disapprove	68	75	68	80	67	70	79	75	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after										
Approve	72	74	76	74	69	71	72	76	72	73
Strongly	54	48	50	53	49	54	55	45	51	51
Somewhat	18	27	26	21	20	17	18	31	22	23
Disapprove	19	16	19	15	18	22	17	17	14	17
Somewhat	10	7	9	9	8	12	9	9	6	9
Strongly	9	8	10	6	9	10	8	8	8	8
Unsure	7	10	5	9	13	4	9	7	13	9
Refused	2		0	2	0	2	1			1
Approve - disapprove	53	59	57	59	51	49	56	59	58	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor										
Approve	81	87	86	90	76	86	77	89	85	84
Strongly	59	68	65	71	55	64	55	68	68	64
Somewhat	22	19	21	19	21	22	22	20	18	20
Disapprove	12	10	11	7	15	9	14	10	9	11
Somewhat	5	2	3	4	4	5	6	3	2	4
Strongly	7	7	7	3	11	5	8	7	7	7
Unsure	6	3	3	3	8	5	8	2	5	5
Refused	0	0			1		1		0	0
Approve - disapprove	69	77	76	84	61	76	63	79	76	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after									
Approve	72	79	71	77	75	71	71	77	73
Strongly	51	56	48	49	48	52	52	53	51
Somewhat	22	23	22	28	27	19	20	24	23
Disapprove	21	12	18	18	19	19	17	11	17
Somewhat	11	5	10	10	11	9	8	5	9
Strongly	10	8	8	8	9	9	9	6	8
Unsure	7	8	10	5	5	9	11	11	9
Refused		0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Approve – disapprove	52	67	53	59	56	52	55	66	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor									
Approve	74	87	87	87	86	82	83	86	84
Strongly	53	68	66	68	66	60	61	67	64
Somewhat	21	18	21	18	19	22	21	18	20
Disapprove	19	8	10	10	11	12	11	9	11
Somewhat	6	2	4	5	5	3	3	3	4
Strongly	12	6	6	6	6	8	8	6	7
Unsure	7	5	4	3	3	6	6	5	5
Refused	0	1				0	0	0	0
Approve – disapprove	55	79	77	76	74	71	72	77	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after						
Approve	73	74	76	72	74	73
Strongly	50	46	55	50	49	51
Somewhat	22	27	21	22	24	23
Disapprove	17	20	13	23	21	17
Somewhat	8	10	6	11	14	9
Strongly	9	9	7	11	7	8
Unsure	10	7	9	4	5	9
Refused	1		1	2		1
Approve - disapprove	56	54	63	49	53	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor						
Approve	85	84	85	91	77	84
Strongly	64	56	70	64	61	64
Somewhat	21	28	15	26	16	20
Disapprove	11	11	11	9	11	11
Somewhat	4	3	3	4	3	4
Strongly	7	9	8	5	8	7
Unsure	4	5	3	1	12	5
Refused	0	0				0
Approve - disapprove	74	72	74	82	66	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after							
Approve	69	72	65	78	76	82	73
Strongly	44	52	36	58	55	65	51
Somewhat	24	20	29	20	22	18	23
Disapprove	18	17	20	16	17	14	17
Somewhat	8	4	13	9	10	7	9
Strongly	10	12	7	7	8	6	8
Unsure	13	12	15	4	4	4	9
Refused				2	3		1
Approve - disapprove	51	55	46	62	59	69	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor							
Approve	83	83	83	87	88	82	84
Strongly	57	65	49	72	72	74	64
Somewhat	26	18	34	14	17	9	20
Disapprove	10	11	10	11	9	14	11
Somewhat	4	3	5	3	1	8	4
Strongly	6	7	4	8	8	6	7
Unsure	6	6	7	2	2	3	5
Refused	0	0	0	0	0		0
Approve - disapprove	73	72	74	76	79	68	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after						
Approve	74	65	68	79	79	73
Strongly	48	45	46	52	70	51
Somewhat	26	20	22	27	9	23
Disapprove	17	23	21	15	17	17
Somewhat	13	10	11	10	5	9
Strongly	5	13	11	5	12	8
Unsure	9	12	11	5	4	9
Refused				2	0	1
Approve – disapprove	56	42	46	64	62	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor						
Approve	80	79	79	86	89	84
Strongly	61	60	61	61	81	64
Somewhat	19	19	19	25	8	20
Disapprove	12	16	15	10	8	11
Somewhat	3	6	5	4	2	4
Strongly	9	10	10	6	6	7
Unsure	8	4	5	4	3	5
Refused		0	0			0
Approve – disapprove	67	63	64	77	81	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after													
Approve	66	62	77	66	70	80	82	82	84	86	77	70	73
Strongly	43	39	55	40	46	55	58	62	59	71	56	46	51
Somewhat	23	23	22	26	24	25	24	19	24	15	21	24	23
Disapprove	20	24	10	19	19	13	13	14	11	14	14	11	17
Somewhat	10	11	8	7	6	8	9	7	7	7	8	5	9
Strongly	10	13	2	11	13	5	4	6	4	7	7	6	8
Unsure	13	14	9	15	11	7	4	4	3	1	8	19	9
Refused	1		4			1	2	1	2		1		1
Approve - disapprove	46	38	67	48	51	67	69	68	73	72	63	58	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>220</i>	<i>161</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>138</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>195</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>600</i>
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor													
Approve	82	83	79	84	84	87	92	85	91	85	89	85	84
Strongly	58	59	55	58	63	68	72	70	75	76	71	63	64
Somewhat	24	24	24	27	21	20	20	15	16	9	18	22	20
Disapprove	13	12	14	9	9	7	3	11	8	10	6	4	11
Somewhat	5	4	5	5	3	3	1	4	2	7	4	3	4
Strongly	8	8	9	4	6	4	2	7	6	3	2	1	7
Unsure	5	5	7	6	6	6	5	4	1	5	5	10	5
Refused	0	0		0		0		0	1		0	1	0
Approve - disapprove	70	71	65	75	75	81	88	74	83	75	83	81	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>220</i>	<i>161</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>138</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>195</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>600</i>

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18–49	GOP 50+	Indep 18–49	Indep 50+	Dem 18–49	Dem 50+	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after													
Approve	67	65	84	75	75	86	67	65	77	82	89	75	73
Strongly	45	41	70	40	61	63	45	41	45	63	66	60	51
Somewhat	22	24	14	36	14	23	22	24	31	19	24	15	23
Disapprove	20	20	12	14	18	10	23	19	18	9	9	18	17
Somewhat	12	9	6	11	10	6	11	9	13	4	6	9	9
Strongly	9	12	6	3	9	4	11	9	4	5	3	9	8
Unsure	11	15	3	11	5	4	8	17	4	8	2	6	9
Refused	2		2		2		2		1	0		2	1
Approve – disapprove	46	45	72	62	57	76	44	46	59	73	80	57	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor													
Approve	79	85	83	92	84	86	81	83	90	85	96	78	84
Strongly	54	61	73	62	61	76	57	57	58	75	83	60	64
Somewhat	26	23	10	30	23	10	24	26	32	10	13	18	20
Disapprove	13	12	10	3	12	10	15	10	7	6	2	17	11
Somewhat	8	2	3	3	5	3	6	4	3	2	2	5	4
Strongly	5	10	7		7	7	10	6	4	4		12	7
Unsure	8	3	6	5	5	3	4	7	3	8	2	5	5
Refused	0		1			0		0		1		0	0
Approve – disapprove	66	72	73	89	72	76	66	73	83	79	94	60	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after						
Approve	68	76	81	80	67	73
Strongly	41	59	60	54	49	51
Somewhat	27	17	22	26	18	23
Disapprove	21	15	16	11	22	17
Somewhat	10	8	5	5	13	9
Strongly	11	7	11	5	9	8
Unsure	9	8	3	8	10	9
Refused	2			2	1	1
Approve - disapprove	47	61	66	69	44	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor						
Approve	84	85	90	85	83	84
Strongly	59	69	70	69	61	64
Somewhat	25	16	20	16	22	20
Disapprove	10	12	10	10	13	11
Somewhat	4	4	2	6	3	4
Strongly	6	8	7	4	10	7
Unsure	5	3	0	4	4	5
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approve - disapprove	74	73	80	76	70	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after											
Approve	100		81	44	84	72	81	36	82	49	73
Strongly	100		62	32	65	51	63	27	65	23	51
Somewhat			18	12	19	21	18	9	16	26	23
Disapprove		100	13	43	11	20	12	44	12	39	17
Somewhat		51	4	26	4	12	4	30	5	18	9
Strongly		49	9	17	7	8	8	14	7	21	8
Unsure			6	13	5	7	6	20	6	12	9
Refused			1		0		1		1		1
Approve - disapprove	100	-100	68	2	73	52	69	-8	70	10	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor											
Approve	90	69	100		94	79	92	50	94	50	84
Strongly	78	47	100		86	59	79	21	84	28	64
Somewhat	11	22			9	20	13	28	10	22	20
Disapprove	7	27		100	4	17	6	49	5	44	11
Somewhat	1	12		34	1	5	1	17	1	13	4
Strongly	5	16		66	3	11	4	32	4	31	7
Unsure	3	4			1	4	2	1	1	7	5
Refused	0						0		0		0
Approve - disapprove	83	42	100	-100	90	63	86	0	89	6	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Q7 PRIOR APPROVAL, which would require insurance companies submit & justify rate increases for review by the Texas Department of Insurance before they could go in to effect, not after												
Approve	82	86	73	56	66	83	68	78	75	68	74	73
Strongly	57	66	37	38	43	61	45	54	55	43	53	51
Somewhat	24	19	35	18	23	22	23	24	20	25	20	23
Disapprove	13	9	20	36	22	12	20	13	19	19	17	17
Somewhat	7	5	11	21	13	6	6	5	9	11	9	9
Strongly	6	5	9	15	9	6	14	8	9	8	8	8
Unsure	5	4	6	8	11	5	12	8	6	14	8	9
Refused	1	1	1		1	1		1	1	0	1	1
Approve - disapprove	69	76	53	20	44	71	48	64	56	49	57	56
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>
Q8 DIRECT ELECTION, which would make the Insurance Commissioner a state-wide elected position chosen by voters, rather than be appointed by the Governor												
Approve	91	92	90	53	81	87	83	89	86	77	86	84
Strongly	73	80	58	29	59	71	58	67	67	57	69	64
Somewhat	18	12	33	24	22	17	25	22	19	20	17	20
Disapprove	5	6	4	43	15	8	10	4	11	15	11	11
Somewhat	2	2	3	13	5	3	3	1	4	5	3	4
Strongly	3	4	1	30	10	5	7	3	7	11	8	7
Unsure	3	2	6	5	4	5	7	6	3	7	2	5
Refused	0	0			0			0		1	0	0
Approve - disapprove	86	86	87	10	66	80	73	85	75	62	75	73
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro-suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage								
Approve	44	51	59	50	54	58	40	52
Strongly	40	32	46	34	39	44	30	38
Somewhat	4	19	14	17	15	14	10	14
Disapprove	42	37	32	41	36	34	46	37
Somewhat	17	12	8	14	12	12	12	12
Strongly	25	26	24	27	24	23	34	25
Unsure	12	11	7	9	9	7	13	10
Refused	2		2	0	1	2	2	1
Approve – disapprove	2	14	28	10	18	23	-6	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry								
Approve	86	81	86	87	84	81	90	85
Strongly	70	53	65	44	58	60	60	58
Somewhat	16	28	21	43	26	21	30	27
Disapprove	4	10	5	9	8	10	5	7
Somewhat	3	6	3	7	5	6	4	5
Strongly	1	4	3	2	3	4	2	2
Unsure	7	8	8	4	7	7	4	7
Refused	3	1	0	0	1	2	1	1
Approve – disapprove	82	70	81	77	76	71	85	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage									
Approve	53	55	46	51	58	77	60	50	52
Strongly	34	41	41	36	45	72	42	35	38
Somewhat	19	14	5	15	13	4	19	14	14
Disapprove	36	36	39	40	37	22	35	38	37
Somewhat	10	8	16	16	4	4	5	15	12
Strongly	26	28	23	24	32	18	31	23	25
Unsure	11	7	13	9	5	1	4	11	10
Refused		2	2	0				1	1
Approve – disapprove	17	19	7	12	21	54	25	12	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry									
Approve	80	87	85	87	84	90	84	85	85
Strongly	52	64	68	49	61	74	57	56	58
Somewhat	27	23	17	38	23	16	28	28	27
Disapprove	11	5	4	8	12	4	10	6	7
Somewhat	7	3	4	7	9	2	10	4	5
Strongly	5	3	1	1	3	2		2	2
Unsure	7	8	9	4	5	6	5	8	7
Refused	1	0	2	1				1	1
Approve – disapprove	68	81	80	79	72	86	74	79	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45–60	More than 60	Male 18–49	Male 50+	Female 18–49	Female 50+	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage										
Approve	51	53	52	59	45	54	48	54	53	52
Strongly	35	40	43	42	29	37	33	48	34	38
Somewhat	16	13	9	17	17	17	15	6	19	14
Disapprove	39	37	40	33	39	36	41	40	33	37
Somewhat	15	10	10	14	11	14	15	13	6	12
Strongly	24	26	29	18	28	22	25	26	26	25
Unsure	9	10	7	8	15	8	10	6	14	10
Refused	2	0	1	1	1	2	2		0	1
Approve – disapprove	12	17	13	26	6	18	7	15	20	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry										
Approve	84	86	88	91	75	87	81	88	84	85
Strongly	56	60	59	61	55	52	59	59	62	58
Somewhat	28	26	30	30	20	35	22	30	22	27
Disapprove	9	6	7	4	11	7	10	6	7	7
Somewhat	6	4	5	3	7	5	7	4	4	5
Strongly	3	2	3	1	4	1	3	2	3	2
Unsure	6	8	3	4	13	3	8	5	10	7
Refused	2	0	1	1	1	4	1		0	1
Approve – disapprove	75	79	81	87	63	80	71	82	77	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage									
Approve	46	57	52	60	58	48	47	50	52
Strongly	31	42	39	49	47	32	32	37	38
Somewhat	16	15	13	11	11	16	16	13	14
Disapprove	39	34	39	33	34	40	40	37	37
Somewhat	9	12	13	11	12	14	13	9	12
Strongly	30	22	25	21	22	27	28	29	25
Unsure	15	7	8	7	8	10	11	12	10
Refused	0	1	1			2	1		1
Approve – disapprove	7	23	13	27	24	8	7	13	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry									
Approve	79	85	87	90	90	82	82	83	85
Strongly	55	56	59	58	61	57	58	54	58
Somewhat	24	29	27	32	29	25	24	29	27
Disapprove	9	5	8	6	6	9	8	6	7
Somewhat	6	3	5	6	6	5	4	4	5
Strongly	2	2	3	0	0	4	4	2	2
Unsure	12	9	5	2	2	8	9	11	7
Refused	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Approve – disapprove	70	81	79	84	84	73	74	78	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage						
Approve	52	55	57	47	52	52
Strongly	37	39	42	34	46	38
Somewhat	15	16	16	13	6	14
Disapprove	37	33	38	46	38	37
Somewhat	13	11	10	18	7	12
Strongly	24	22	28	28	30	25
Unsure	9	12	4	6	10	10
Refused	1		1	2		1
Approve - disapprove	15	22	19	1	15	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry						
Approve	85	82	86	90	80	85
Strongly	59	54	61	65	47	58
Somewhat	26	28	26	25	34	27
Disapprove	7	11	8	6	9	7
Somewhat	5	9	5	4	3	5
Strongly	2	2	2	2	6	2
Unsure	6	7	5	4	11	7
Refused	1	0	1	0		1
Approve - disapprove	79	71	79	84	71	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage							
Approve	49	43	55	57	58	54	52
Strongly	31	32	31	46	46	44	38
Somewhat	17	11	25	11	12	10	14
Disapprove	40	47	32	34	32	39	37
Somewhat	14	13	14	11	9	16	12
Strongly	26	34	17	23	23	23	25
Unsure	11	9	13	8	8	7	10
Refused	1	1	0	1	2		1
Approve – disapprove	9	-5	24	23	26	15	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry							
Approve	81	85	76	89	89	91	85
Strongly	53	65	39	63	60	69	58
Somewhat	28	19	37	27	29	22	27
Disapprove	9	7	11	5	6	4	7
Somewhat	6	2	11	3	3	3	5
Strongly	3	5		2	3	2	2
Unsure	9	8	11	4	4	5	7
Refused	1	0	2	1	1		1
Approve – disapprove	72	78	65	84	83	87	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage						
Approve	50	52	51	54	62	52
Strongly	38	37	37	40	58	38
Somewhat	12	15	14	14	5	14
Disapprove	39	38	38	36	29	37
Somewhat	9	17	15	10	10	12
Strongly	30	21	23	26	19	25
Unsure	11	9	10	8	9	10
Refused		1	1	1		1
Approve – disapprove	11	14	13	17	34	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry						
Approve	84	84	84	86	90	85
Strongly	41	58	54	60	68	58
Somewhat	43	26	30	26	22	27
Disapprove	11	10	10	5	6	7
Somewhat		7	5	4	4	5
Strongly	11	2	4	2	2	2
Unsure	5	5	5	7	5	7
Refused		1	1	1		1
Approve – disapprove	73	74	74	81	84	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage													
Approve	49	50	46	50	45	56	64	52	54	58	50	44	52
Strongly	31	31	31	32	33	45	57	40	41	49	39	27	38
Somewhat	18	18	15	18	12	11	7	12	14	9	12	17	14
Disapprove	40	36	50	39	46	37	26	38	36	37	39	44	37
Somewhat	15	12	23	14	14	13	12	9	10	12	16	16	12
Strongly	25	25	27	24	32	24	13	29	26	24	23	28	25
Unsure	11	14	2	11	9	6	9	9	7	5	9	11	10
Refused	1		3			1	1	1	2		1	1	1
Approve - disapprove	9	14	-4	11	-1	19	38	14	18	22	12	0	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry													
Approve	81	78	89	81	85	89	91	85	89	92	86	77	85
Strongly	49	53	38	52	62	65	58	63	68	75	63	54	58
Somewhat	32	24	51	29	23	24	33	22	21	17	23	23	27
Disapprove	12	14	6	11	9	4	1	6	8	3	4	5	7
Somewhat	7	8	5	7	2	3	1	4	6	2	3	5	5
Strongly	5	6	1	4	6	1		2	2	1	1		2
Unsure	6	8		7	6	5	6	8	3	5	8	17	7
Refused	2	0	5	2		2	3	0	1		1	1	1
Approve - disapprove	69	64	83	70	77	85	90	79	81	88	82	72	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage													
Approve	46	51	61	51	48	55	48	49	58	55	58	48	52
Strongly	28	33	51	39	34	45	34	29	47	44	51	32	38
Somewhat	17	18	11	11	14	10	15	20	11	11	7	15	14
Disapprove	41	39	31	43	44	33	41	38	36	38	38	36	37
Somewhat	20	10	9	18	11	8	15	13	20	8	8	10	12
Strongly	21	29	23	25	33	26	26	25	16	30	30	26	25
Unsure	12	10	7	5	6	12	9	12	6	6	4	14	10
Refused	2		1	1	2		2			1		2	1
Approve – disapprove	5	12	30	8	4	22	8	11	22	17	20	12	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry													
Approve	80	81	90	87	83	87	82	79	83	93	95	79	85
Strongly	45	53	68	61	62	64	40	58	66	64	65	63	58
Somewhat	35	28	22	26	21	23	42	21	18	29	29	16	27
Disapprove	11	13	4	4	11	3	13	11	5	3	1	10	7
Somewhat	6	8	3	4	9	1	9	6	4	3	1	7	5
Strongly	4	5	1		2	1	4	5	1			3	2
Unsure	6	6	2	9	6	10	3	9	8	4	4	10	7
Refused	3		3			0	3	0	3	1		0	1
Approve – disapprove	69	68	86	84	72	84	69	69	78	90	93	69	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage						
Approve	53	52	55	51	52	52
Strongly	35	42	40	39	36	38
Somewhat	18	10	15	12	16	14
Disapprove	37	39	40	39	36	37
Somewhat	16	10	9	13	16	12
Strongly	20	30	30	27	19	25
Unsure	8	9	5	9	10	10
Refused	2	0	0	0	3	1
Approve – disapprove	16	12	15	12	16	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry						
Approve	83	87	90	88	84	85
Strongly	52	62	71	51	59	58
Somewhat	31	25	20	38	25	27
Disapprove	9	8	6	3	9	7
Somewhat	7	5	3	3	7	5
Strongly	2	3	2	1	2	2
Unsure	5	5	4	7	6	7
Refused	2	0	0	1	2	1
Approve – disapprove	75	79	85	85	75	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage											
Approve	57	40	59	27	100		54	47	60	30	52
Strongly	49	25	51	16	100		45	19	51	14	38
Somewhat	8	15	8	11			8	28	9	17	14
Disapprove	38	44	35	57	100		39	48	35	57	37
Somewhat	10	19	10	13		32	9	17	8	18	12
Strongly	28	26	25	44		68	30	31	27	39	25
Unsure	5	14	5	14			6	3	5	12	10
Refused	1	1	1	2			1	1	0	2	1
Approve – disapprove	19	-4	24	-30	100	-100	15	-1	25	-27	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry											
Approve	91	74	94	55	90	85	100		92	63	85
Strongly	72	42	71	30	69	60	100		76	38	58
Somewhat	19	32	23	24	21	25			16	25	27
Disapprove	4	19	2	33	4	10		100	4	27	7
Somewhat	3	11	2	16	2	5		67	2	17	5
Strongly	1	8	1	18	1	5		33	2	11	2
Unsure	4	6	2	11	4	5			3	7	7
Refused	1	2	1	1	2				1	3	1
Approve – disapprove	87	55	92	21	86	76	100	-100	88	35	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Q9 NEUTRAL REVIEW, which would prohibit insurance companies from using a person's credit rating when deciding whether to charge higher prices or deny coverage												
Approve	58	58	59	28	48	54	52	58	52	47	54	52
Strongly	44	49	31	20	34	44	27	46	39	30	40	38
Somewhat	15	9	28	8	13	10	25	12	13	17	14	14
Disapprove	35	36	32	58	39	38	38	31	39	40	37	37
Somewhat	11	10	14	14	15	12	8	12	10	15	11	12
Strongly	24	26	18	45	23	26	31	19	29	25	26	25
Unsure	6	5	8	14	11	8	10	7	8	13	8	10
Refused	1	1	1		2			3		1	1	1
Approve - disapprove	23	22	27	-30	9	16	14	27	13	7	17	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>
Q10 UNIFORM STANDARDS, which would require insurance companies offer a few standard policies written in plain language that would be consistent across the industry												
Approve	91	91	91	75	83	88	83	84	87	82	88	85
Strongly	63	73	41	41	55	61	61	52	64	55	65	58
Somewhat	28	18	49	34	28	27	23	32	24	27	23	27
Disapprove	4	4	3	21	8	4	12	8	9	5	7	7
Somewhat	2	2	2	10	5	3	10	6	6	3	4	5
Strongly	1	2	1	10	3	1	2	1	3	2	3	2
Unsure	4	4	3	4	7	7	5	6	3	13	4	7
Refused	1	0	3		1	1		2	1	1	1	1
Approve - disapprove	87	87	88	55	75	84	71	76	78	77	81	77
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims								
Approve	82	75	82	71	77	81	78	77
Strongly	66	56	61	44	56	61	62	57
Somewhat	16	19	21	27	21	19	16	21
Disapprove	11	15	10	12	12	11	13	12
Somewhat	2	5	3	7	4	4	10	5
Strongly	8	10	7	5	8	7	3	8
Unsure	6	10	8	16	10	9	8	10
Refused	2	0	0	1	1		1	1
Approve - disapprove	71	60	71	59	65	70	65	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate								
More likely to vote for them	72	76	76	71	74	77	74	74
Strongly	46	52	57	49	51	55	51	51
Not strongly	26	24	19	23	23	22	23	23
Less likely to vote for them	14	11	12	11	11	11	15	12
Not strongly	10	6	7	6	6	6	12	7
Strongly	4	5	5	5	5	4	3	5
Unsure	14	12	11	17	14	12	9	13
Refused		1	1	1	1	0	2	1
More - less	58	65	64	60	63	66	59	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims									
Approve	74	80	80	75	75	79	71	78	77
Strongly	55	58	64	51	57	74	44	56	57
Somewhat	19	21	17	24	18	5	28	22	21
Disapprove	15	13	12	9	15	12	15	11	12
Somewhat	5	6	3	5	3		1	5	5
Strongly	11	7	9	4	12	12	14	6	8
Unsure	11	8	6	15	10	9	13	10	10
Refused		0	2	1				1	1
Approve – disapprove	59	67	68	67	60	68	56	66	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate									
More likely to vote for them	77	73	72	74	79	89	74	73	74
Strongly	54	53	45	51	53	76	46	51	51
Not strongly	23	20	26	23	26	13	28	22	23
Less likely to vote for them	11	15	13	9	11	5	11	12	12
Not strongly	7	10	9	3	4		6	8	7
Strongly	4	5	4	6	7	5	5	4	5
Unsure	11	11	16	17	10	6	15	14	13
Refused	1	1		1				1	1
More – less	66	58	59	65	67	84	63	61	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims										
Approve	77	78	81	85	65	79	75	80	76	77
Strongly	51	61	63	60	46	58	46	64	58	57
Somewhat	26	17	17	25	19	21	29	15	18	21
Disapprove	14	11	11	10	15	14	14	11	9	12
Somewhat	6	4	5	3	6	6	5	4	3	5
Strongly	8	7	6	7	9	8	9	7	6	8
Unsure	9	11	7	4	19	7	10	8	14	10
Refused	1	1	1		1		1	1	0	1
Approve - disapprove	63	67	70	75	51	65	61	69	67	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>271</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate										
More likely to vote for them	78	71	74	84	64	79	78	71	70	74
Strongly	50	52	49	59	45	46	54	51	53	51
Not strongly	28	19	25	24	19	33	24	21	17	23
Less likely to vote for them	13	11	11	8	17	14	12	10	12	12
Not strongly	6	8	9	5	8	6	6	10	6	7
Strongly	7	3	3	3	9	7	7		6	5
Unsure	8	18	14	8	18	6	9	18	18	13
Refused	1	0	1	1	1	1	1		1	1
More - less	65	60	63	76	47	65	65	61	59	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>271</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>600</i>

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims									
Approve	65	81	80	76	77	78	78	76	77
Strongly	49	65	56	59	59	55	55	56	57
Somewhat	16	17	24	17	18	23	23	20	21
Disapprove	22	6	11	14	14	12	11	10	12
Somewhat	5	3	5	6	6	3	4	6	5
Strongly	16	4	6	8	8	9	7	4	8
Unsure	13	12	8	8	7	10	11	14	10
Refused		0	1	1	1	1	1		1
Approve – disapprove	44	75	69	62	63	66	67	67	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate									
More likely to vote for them	70	74	76	73	71	74	75	81	74
Strongly	48	53	51	48	48	53	53	54	51
Not strongly	22	21	24	25	23	21	22	28	23
Less likely to vote for them	16	7	12	14	15	13	11	4	12
Not strongly	3	4	10	10	11	7	6	2	7
Strongly	12	3	3	4	4	6	5	2	5
Unsure	14	18	11	13	14	12	13	14	13
Refused	0	1	1			1	1	1	1
More – less	54	67	63	59	56	61	64	77	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims						
Approve	79	77	80	83	67	77
Strongly	57	50	66	55	53	57
Somewhat	22	27	14	28	14	21
Disapprove	12	14	13	11	14	12
Somewhat	4	4	6	2	6	5
Strongly	8	10	7	8	8	8
Unsure	9	9	7	6	19	10
Refused	1			1		1
Approve - disapprove	67	63	68	72	53	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate						
More likely to vote for them	73	68	77	76	77	74
Strongly	52	46	58	55	52	51
Not strongly	21	22	20	20	26	23
Less likely to vote for them	12	14	11	15	12	12
Not strongly	7	7	7	11	5	7
Strongly	5	7	5	4	6	5
Unsure	14	18	10	9	11	13
Refused	1	0	1			1
More - less	61	54	66	60	66	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims							
Approve	75	76	75	81	82	81	77
Strongly	49	54	43	65	66	62	57
Somewhat	26	21	31	16	15	18	21
Disapprove	11	12	9	11	9	14	12
Somewhat	4	3	4	5	6	5	5
Strongly	7	9	6	5	3	9	8
Unsure	12	9	16	8	9	6	10
Refused	1	3					1
Approve - disapprove	64	64	65	71	72	67	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>285</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>198</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate							
More likely to vote for them	69	69	69	80	79	80	74
Strongly	40	43	36	63	60	69	51
Not strongly	29	25	33	16	19	11	23
Less likely to vote for them	15	16	13	10	7	14	12
Not strongly	8	10	6	7	4	12	7
Strongly	7	7	7	3	3	2	5
Unsure	16	13	19	11	13	5	13
Refused	1	2		0		0	1
More - less	54	52	56	70	72	66	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>285</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>198</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>600</i>

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims						
Approve	83	73	76	76	89	77
Strongly	46	49	48	59	75	57
Somewhat	37	25	28	17	14	21
Disapprove	11	18	16	13	5	12
Somewhat	2	10	8	3	1	5
Strongly	9	8	8	9	4	8
Unsure	7	6	6	11	6	10
Refused		2	2			1
Approve - disapprove	72	55	60	64	84	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate						
More likely to vote for them	82	66	70	79	84	74
Strongly	51	43	45	53	75	51
Not strongly	31	22	25	26	8	23
Less likely to vote for them	10	17	15	12	6	12
Not strongly		12	8	7	4	7
Strongly	10	5	7	5	2	5
Unsure	8	16	14	8	11	13
Refused		2	1	1		1
More - less	72	49	55	67	78	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims													
Approve	74	73	77	76	78	77	82	83	93	81	82	71	77
Strongly	49	48	50	49	52	56	63	68	81	64	66	47	57
Somewhat	25	25	27	27	25	21	19	15	12	17	16	24	21
Disapprove	15	14	18	12	13	12	10	9	2	11	6	3	12
Somewhat	9	7	13	5	4	1	2	2	2	4	2	1	5
Strongly	6	7	5	7	9	10	8	6		7	4	2	8
Unsure	11	13	4	12	8	9	8	9	5	8	10	20	10
Refused	0	0		0	1	2					2	6	1
Approve - disapprove	59	59	59	63	64	66	72	74	91	69	76	68	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate													
More likely to vote for them	69	72	63	71	71	78	82	80	91	81	78	69	74
Strongly	43	47	32	40	45	55	59	62	74	73	62	50	51
Not strongly	27	25	30	31	26	23	23	18	18	8	17	19	23
Less likely to vote for them	15	13	23	14	17	6	4	13	5	13	8	7	12
Not strongly	10	9	14	8	11	4	2	6	2	11	5	4	7
Strongly	5	4	9	6	6	2	2	7	3	3	2	3	5
Unsure	15	15	14	15	12	15	14	8	4	6	13	22	13
Refused	0		1			1					0	2	1
More - less	54	59	39	57	54	72	78	67	86	67	71	62	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims													
Approve	71	77	79	76	84	81	76	72	70	83	96	73	77
Strongly	42	55	55	58	66	69	49	48	62	53	80	59	57
Somewhat	29	22	24	17	18	12	26	25	8	30	16	14	21
Disapprove	19	12	11	12	10	8	19	12	14	9	2	13	12
Somewhat	9	8	2	1	4	1	11	7		2	2	3	5
Strongly	9	4	10	11	6	7	7	5	14	7		10	8
Unsure	10	11	9	9	6	10	6	15	12	6	2	14	10
Refused	1		1	3				1	4	1			1
Approve - disapprove	52	65	67	64	74	73	57	61	55	74	94	59	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate													
More likely to vote for them	75	65	83	72	80	79	63	75	81	76	88	74	74
Strongly	46	40	57	53	55	67	35	49	53	56	67	58	51
Not strongly	29	25	26	19	26	12	28	25	27	20	21	16	23
Less likely to vote for them	16	15	9	3	15	12	22	10		10	9	16	12
Not strongly	6	13	6	1	7	6	16	5		6	5	7	7
Strongly	10	2	3	1	8	6	6	5		4	3	9	5
Unsure	9	20	6	25	5	9	15	15	19	12	3	10	13
Refused		0	1					0		1			1
More - less	59	50	74	70	66	67	41	65	81	66	79	58	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims						
Approve	75	82	82	82	77	77
Strongly	52	64	65	58	56	57
Somewhat	23	18	18	24	21	21
Disapprove	14	9	14	10	12	12
Somewhat	6	4	2	5	5	5
Strongly	8	6	11	5	7	8
Unsure	11	8	4	7	9	10
Refused	0	0	0	1	1	1
Approve – disapprove	60	73	69	72	65	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate						
More likely to vote for them	74	76	79	85	68	74
Strongly	46	57	64	55	43	51
Not strongly	28	19	15	30	25	23
Less likely to vote for them	11	13	8	6	18	12
Not strongly	7	8	3	3	12	7
Strongly	4	5	5	3	6	5
Unsure	13	11	13	9	12	13
Refused	1	0	0	1	1	1
More – less	63	63	71	79	50	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims											
Approve	90	59	88	42	89	74	85	37	100		77
Strongly	73	39	74	25	76	53	75	32	100		57
Somewhat	17	20	13	16	13	21	11	5			21
Disapprove	5	27	5	49	4	18	8	45		100	12
Somewhat	3	11	1	22	1	8	2	24		37	5
Strongly	2	16	4	26	4	10	6	20		63	8
Unsure	5	13	6	10	7	6	6	19			10
Refused	0	1	1		0	1	1				1
Approve - disapprove	84	31	82	-7	84	56	77	-8	100	-100	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate											
More likely to vote for them	84	54	85	37	85	69	81	38	84	47	74
Strongly	67	28	64	29	66	50	65	29	66	29	51
Not strongly	17	26	21	8	19	20	16	9	18	18	23
Less likely to vote for them	9	25	5	46	6	18	8	33	5	39	12
Not strongly	5	12	3	29	4	11	6	17	3	21	7
Strongly	3	12	3	17	2	8	2	16	2	18	5
Unsure	7	19	9	13	8	12	10	29	10	13	13
Refused	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	2	1
More - less	75	30	80	-9	79	51	73	5	79	8	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Q11 FAIR CLAIMS, which would give consumers stronger legal remedies & enhance damages & sanctions against insurance companies that unfairly deny, delay or underpay legitimate claims												
Approve	85	87	80	51	73	88	59	79	79	73	82	77
Strongly	64	73	45	23	46	73	39	61	59	50	63	57
Somewhat	20	14	35	28	26	15	20	19	21	22	19	21
Disapprove	8	7	9	39	17	4	20	9	13	13	10	12
Somewhat	2	2	3	18	7	2	4	2	6	4	4	5
Strongly	5	5	6	21	10	2	16	7	7	9	6	8
Unsure	7	6	10	9	9	8	21	11	7	13	7	10
Refused	0	0			1			0	1	1	1	1
Approve - disapprove	77	80	71	12	55	84	40	70	67	59	72	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>
Q12 Impact of elected official, candidate support for insurance reforms on own vote for elected official, candidate												
More likely to vote for them	100	100	100		71	81	66	75	77	69	78	74
Strongly	69	100			42	64	42	53	52	48	58	51
Not strongly	31		100		29	18	24	23	25	21	20	23
Less likely to vote for them				100	15	10	8	7	12	15	12	12
Not strongly				60	10	6	3	3	9	7	7	7
Strongly				40	4	5	5	4	3	8	4	5
Unsure					14	8	26	16	11	14	10	13
Refused					1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
More - less	100	100	100	-100	56	71	58	68	65	54	66	62
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro-suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return								
Agree	69	84	76	85	78	73	87	79
Strongly	46	58	51	59	52	50	60	54
Somewhat	24	26	25	27	25	23	27	25
Disagree	21	10	15	12	15	16	10	14
Somewhat	7	3	9	10	7	6	8	7
Strongly	14	6	6	2	8	10	2	7
Unsure	10	7	9	2	8	10	3	7
Refused			0	0	0	0		0
Agree - disagree	49	74	61	73	63	57	77	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners								
Agree	66	71	68	72	69	70	72	69
Strongly	48	41	44	43	43	44	46	44
Somewhat	19	30	24	29	26	26	26	26
Disagree	21	19	21	19	20	21	19	20
Somewhat	7	9	12	13	10	10	14	10
Strongly	14	10	9	6	10	11	5	10
Unsure	11	10	10	9	10	9	7	10
Refused	2		1	1	1		2	1
Agree - disagree	46	52	47	53	49	48	53	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return									
Agree	83	76	67	87	87	93	85	77	79
Strongly	54	49	45	64	72	86	64	47	54
Somewhat	29	27	22	23	15	7	22	29	25
Disagree	10	16	22	10	13	7	15	14	14
Somewhat	3	10	7	8	5	1	7	8	7
Strongly	7	6	15	2	8	5	8	6	7
Unsure	7	8	11	2	1			9	7
Refused		0		0				0	0
Agree - disagree	73	60	45	77	74	86	71	62	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners									
Agree	72	66	64	74	73	85	66	68	69
Strongly	39	40	48	48	52	65	42	40	44
Somewhat	33	26	16	26	21	20	24	28	26
Disagree	18	24	23	15	21	15	23	20	20
Somewhat	8	14	7	10	7	6	9	12	10
Strongly	10	10	16	5	14	9	14	8	10
Unsure	10	9	11	10	7		11	11	10
Refused		1	2	1				1	1
Agree - disagree	54	42	41	59	52	70	43	48	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return										
Agree	77	81	77	84	75	76	77	79	82	79
Strongly	49	58	53	54	52	51	47	53	61	54
Somewhat	28	23	24	29	23	26	30	26	21	25
Disagree	17	11	15	10	17	18	16	12	11	14
Somewhat	8	6	9	4	9	9	8	8	5	7
Strongly	9	5	6	7	8	10	8	4	6	7
Unsure	6	8	7	6	7	6	6	9	6	7
Refused	0	0			1		0		0	0
Agree - disagree	60	70	62	74	59	58	61	67	71	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners										
Agree	67	72	68	78	60	66	67	74	69	69
Strongly	46	42	44	46	40	48	44	39	44	44
Somewhat	21	30	25	32	20	18	23	35	25	26
Disagree	22	18	20	16	24	21	24	18	18	20
Somewhat	13	8	11	9	12	13	13	8	9	10
Strongly	10	10	9	7	13	8	11	10	10	10
Unsure	10	9	10	5	15	13	9	6	12	10
Refused	1	1	2		1	1	1	1	0	1
Agree - disagree	44	54	49	62	36	45	44	56	51	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return									
Agree	82	84	76	74	72	82	82	84	79
Strongly	60	67	46	48	44	58	57	59	54
Somewhat	22	17	31	26	28	24	26	25	25
Disagree	14	10	16	17	18	13	12	9	14
Somewhat	5	3	10	9	10	7	6	3	7
Strongly	9	7	6	8	9	6	6	6	7
Unsure	4	6	8	9	10	5	5	6	7
Refused			0			0	0	0	0
Agree – disagree	68	75	60	57	53	70	71	75	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners									
Agree	60	74	70	68	67	70	70	72	69
Strongly	46	48	41	38	39	49	47	39	44
Somewhat	14	26	30	30	28	21	23	33	26
Disagree	28	17	19	22	23	21	19	15	20
Somewhat	14	8	10	12	12	11	10	7	10
Strongly	14	9	8	10	11	10	9	7	10
Unsure	12	8	10	9	9	9	10	13	10
Refused	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Agree – disagree	32	58	52	46	45	49	52	58	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return						
Agree	78	79	80	75	91	79
Strongly	50	59	51	48	74	54
Somewhat	27	20	29	27	17	25
Disagree	16	18	14	17	4	14
Somewhat	8	9	8	9	1	7
Strongly	8	9	6	8	3	7
Unsure	7	3	6	9	5	7
Refused	0				1	0
Agree - disagree	62	62	66	58	87	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners						
Agree	69	66	74	70	68	69
Strongly	44	43	52	37	36	44
Somewhat	25	23	22	33	32	26
Disagree	20	20	20	19	25	20
Somewhat	11	11	13	6	7	10
Strongly	9	10	7	13	17	10
Unsure	10	14	5	11	7	10
Refused	1	0	1			1
Agree - disagree	49	45	55	51	44	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return							
Agree	75	72	78	83	84	81	79
Strongly	48	55	40	60	60	59	54
Somewhat	28	18	38	23	24	22	25
Disagree	16	21	12	11	10	14	14
Somewhat	8	11	5	6	8	3	7
Strongly	8	9	7	5	2	11	7
Unsure	8	6	10	6	6	5	7
Refused	0	1					0
Agree - disagree	59	52	67	71	74	66	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners							
Agree	66	59	74	74	73	77	69
Strongly	35	39	30	53	53	52	44
Somewhat	32	20	44	22	20	25	26
Disagree	22	28	15	17	16	17	20
Somewhat	11	12	9	9	11	6	10
Strongly	11	16	6	7	5	11	10
Unsure	11	10	11	9	10	6	10
Refused	2	3		0	0		1
Agree - disagree	45	31	59	58	57	60	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return						
Agree	72	70	71	84	88	79
Strongly	33	48	44	59	65	54
Somewhat	39	22	27	25	23	25
Disagree	20	22	22	9	6	14
Somewhat	10	13	12	4	2	7
Strongly	10	10	10	5	4	7
Unsure	8	7	7	7	6	7
Refused		0	0	0		0
Agree - disagree	52	48	49	75	82	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners						
Agree	71	57	61	73	86	69
Strongly	45	35	38	42	64	44
Somewhat	26	22	23	31	21	26
Disagree	26	31	30	16	6	20
Somewhat	13	17	16	6	4	10
Strongly	13	13	13	10	2	10
Unsure	3	9	7	10	8	10
Refused		3	2	0		1
Agree - disagree	45	27	31	57	79	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return													
Agree	74	76	68	74	71	78	84	86	87	87	82	80	79
Strongly	43	47	30	42	50	53	56	65	63	64	53	53	54
Somewhat	31	29	38	32	21	25	28	21	24	23	29	27	25
Disagree	16	11	29	16	21	15	9	11	11	8	10	11	14
Somewhat	10	6	22	9	12	6	3	6	11	1	6	9	7
Strongly	6	5	7	7	9	9	6	5	1	7	5	2	7
Unsure	10	12	3	9	7	7	6	3	2	5	7	8	7
Refused	0	1		1	1								0
Agree - disagree	58	65	39	58	51	64	75	75	75	79	72	69	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners													
Agree	60	62	55	64	62	70	74	77	81	81	75	73	69
Strongly	33	33	31	32	36	47	58	55	61	56	49	41	44
Somewhat	28	29	24	32	26	24	16	23	19	25	26	32	26
Disagree	29	27	34	25	27	17	14	14	8	14	13	12	20
Somewhat	19	15	28	15	15	5	7	7	7	4	7	2	10
Strongly	10	12	6	10	12	12	7	7	2	10	6	10	10
Unsure	11	11	11	11	11	11	12	9	11	5	11	9	10
Refused						2		0	0		2	6	1
Agree - disagree	32	36	22	39	35	53	61	64	72	67	62	62	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600


	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return													
Agree	75	73	74	83	82	88	62	84	76	80	96	77	79
Strongly	38	47	45	63	70	61	37	48	59	49	61	67	54
Somewhat	37	26	29	21	12	27	25	37	17	31	34	10	25
Disagree	16	16	21	8	14	9	24	9	13	15	4	17	14
Somewhat	9	11	6	6	10	3	15	6	5	6	4	7	7
Strongly	7	5	15	1	4	6	9	3	8	9		10	7
Unsure	8	11	5	9	4	3	14	6	11	4		6	7
Refused	0	0						1					0
Agree - disagree	58	57	53	75	68	79	38	75	62	65	91	61	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners													
Agree	59	61	69	71	73	80	53	67	63	76	93	64	69
Strongly	36	30	49	44	61	50	25	39	40	51	66	45	44
Somewhat	23	32	20	28	12	30	28	28	22	25	28	19	26
Disagree	31	27	16	18	19	10	35	23	21	14	1	24	20
Somewhat	22	16	5	4	10	4	23	15	5	5	1	11	10
Strongly	9	11	11	14	9	5	12	8	16	9		13	10
Unsure	10	12	13	8	8	9	12	10	12	9	5	12	10
Refused			1	3		0			4	1		0	1
Agree - disagree	29	34	54	53	54	71	18	44	42	62	92	40	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return						
Agree	74	84	93	91	60	79
Strongly	45	61	82	54	31	54
Somewhat	29	22	11	36	29	25
Disagree	20	10	5	6	32	14
Somewhat	11	5	1	4	17	7
Strongly	10	6	4	2	15	7
Unsure	5	6	2	4	8	7
Refused	0		1			0
Agree - disagree	54	73	88	85	28	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners						
Agree	60	77	80	81	58	69
Strongly	31	55	60	54	29	44
Somewhat	29	23	20	28	29	26
Disagree	32	13	15	12	28	20
Somewhat	19	5	4	7	17	10
Strongly	12	8	10	5	11	10
Unsure	8	9	6	6	12	10
Refused	1	0	0	1	2	1
Agree - disagree	28	64	65	70	30	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>


	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return											
Agree	86	67	85	57	82	80	82	68	88	61	79
Strongly	68	47	64	42	65	57	64	44	69	42	54
Somewhat	18	21	21	15	18	23	18	24	19	19	25
Disagree	9	24	9	35	11	15	11	25	7	34	14
Somewhat	5	6	4	10	4	11	5	12	3	10	7
Strongly	4	18	5	26	7	4	6	13	4	23	7
Unsure	5	8	6	6	7	5	6	6	5	5	7
Refused	0	1	0	1		0	0	1	0	0	0
Agree - disagree	77	43	76	22	72	65	71	43	81	28	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners											
Agree	79	51	80	42	82	66	74	47	81	36	69
Strongly	61	23	55	26	59	42	55	26	61	23	44
Somewhat	18	28	24	16	23	24	18	21	21	13	26
Disagree	14	37	12	50	15	22	17	45	12	56	20
Somewhat	8	17	5	21	5	13	7	25	5	25	10
Strongly	6	20	7	29	10	10	9	20	7	31	10
Unsure	6	10	7	7	2	10	9	7	7	7	10
Refused	0	1	1	2	0	1	1		0	2	1
Agree - disagree	65	14	68	-8	67	44	57	2	70	-19	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Q13 With higher premiums, larger deductibles & expanded exclusions, it seems like we're paying more & more for homeowners insurance these days while getting less & less in return												
Agree	87	92	76	47	72	84	90	81	77	80	79	79
Strongly	60	69	39	34	44	61	58	57	50	55	53	54
Somewhat	27	23	37	14	27	23	32	24	27	25	26	25
Disagree	8	3	19	41	20	9	7	14	14	13	15	14
Somewhat	6	2	15	13	10	5	4	7	9	6	8	7
Strongly	3	2	5	28	10	5	3	8	6	8	7	7
Unsure	5	5	4	11	8	7	3	5	8	7	6	7
Refused	0	0			0		0		0	0	0	0
Agree - disagree	79	89	57	6	52	74	83	67	63	67	64	65
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Q14 Texas' current system of regulation favors powerful insurance companies & their lobbyists over consumers & homeowners												
Agree	78	81	72	37	62	78	65	69	72	66	72	69
Strongly	50	59	29	21	35	55	41	41	47	41	46	44
Somewhat	28	22	43	16	28	23	24	28	25	24	26	26
Disagree	15	13	20	46	26	11	25	21	19	21	20	20
Somewhat	8	5	15	21	14	6	13	9	11	10	10	10
Strongly	7	8	5	24	13	6	12	11	8	11	10	10
Unsure	7	6	8	17	10	11	9	9	8	13	7	10
Refused				0	2	0		1	1	1	1	1
Agree - disagree	63	68	52	-9	36	67	40	49	54	45	52	50
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600


	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro-suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called "reforms" they've passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers								
Agree	55	65	65	74	66	68	62	65
Strongly	35	40	38	43	39	37	39	39
Somewhat	20	24	27	31	26	31	22	26
Disagree	25	26	20	17	21	17	26	22
Somewhat	10	16	9	13	12	7	15	12
Strongly	15	9	10	3	9	10	11	9
Unsure	18	9	16	8	13	14	10	13
Refused	3		0	1	0	0	3	1
Agree - disagree	30	39	45	57	45	51	36	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>



	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called “reforms” they’ve passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers									
Agree	66	62	53	75	66	81	65	64	65
Strongly	39	36	34	47	45	68	36	37	39
Somewhat	27	26	18	28	21	14	29	27	26
Disagree	24	21	29	16	30	19	30	19	22
Somewhat	14	11	12	12	11	7	13	13	12
Strongly	10	10	16	3	19	12	17	6	9
Unsure	9	16	17	9	4		5	15	13
Refused		1	1	1				1	1
Agree – disagree	42	42	24	59	36	62	34	45	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>




	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called "reforms" they've passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers										
Agree	63	66	62	70	62	60	65	63	69	65
Strongly	35	42	33	39	45	34	37	34	49	39
Somewhat	28	24	29	31	17	26	29	29	20	26
Disagree	26	18	23	20	23	27	25	20	17	22
Somewhat	14	11	11	13	13	14	14	12	10	12
Strongly	12	7	12	7	10	13	11	7	7	9
Unsure	10	15	15	10	14	10	9	17	13	13
Refused	1	0	0	1	1	2	1		1	1
Agree - disagree	37	48	39	50	40	33	41	43	52	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>271</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>600</i>



	Education			Household type				Total	
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)		Single adult
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called “reforms” they’ve passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers									
Agree	68	67	63	61	59	66	67	72	65
Strongly	48	49	32	34	33	43	42	42	39
Somewhat	20	18	31	27	26	24	25	30	26
Disagree	26	18	22	22	23	23	22	17	22
Somewhat	15	9	13	13	14	13	12	8	12
Strongly	11	9	9	9	9	10	10	8	9
Unsure	6	13	14	16	18	10	10	11	13
Refused	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	1
Agree – disagree	42	50	41	39	36	43	46	55	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>

Texas Insurance

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K–\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called “reforms” they’ve passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers						
Agree	65	67	70	65	70	65
Strongly	40	46	42	36	37	39
Somewhat	25	21	28	29	33	26
Disagree	21	25	20	20	18	22
Somewhat	13	14	14	12	5	12
Strongly	8	11	6	8	13	9
Unsure	13	8	10	14	12	13
Refused	1	0	1	1		1
Agree – disagree	44	42	50	45	52	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>




	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called "reforms" they've passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers							
Agree	61	51	72	70	68	75	65
Strongly	33	33	34	46	43	52	39
Somewhat	28	19	37	24	25	22	26
Disagree	23	29	17	18	20	16	22
Somewhat	13	15	10	11	14	6	12
Strongly	10	14	6	7	6	9	9
Unsure	15	19	12	10	11	10	13
Refused	1	1		1	2		1
Agree - disagree	38	23	55	52	49	59	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>285</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>198</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>600</i>

Texas
Insurance


	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called “reforms” they’ve passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers						
Agree	62	55	57	63	85	65
Strongly	23	29	27	37	62	39
Somewhat	39	26	29	26	23	26
Disagree	25	28	27	24	7	22
Somewhat	14	19	17	11	5	12
Strongly	11	9	10	13	2	9
Unsure	13	16	15	12	8	13
Refused		1	1	1		1
Agree – disagree	37	26	29	39	78	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

Texas Insurance

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called "reforms" they've passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers													
Agree	57	57	57	58	54	69	72	72	74	76	76	78	65
Strongly	31	33	26	32	30	38	40	49	51	56	47	44	39
Somewhat	26	24	31	26	23	31	32	23	23	20	28	34	26
Disagree	22	19	32	21	22	23	18	21	23	11	15	16	22
Somewhat	15	12	23	15	16	13	13	11	18	6	11	11	12
Strongly	7	7	9	6	7	10	6	10	5	5	4	5	9
Unsure	20	24	11	21	24	6	8	7	2	13	8	4	13
Refused	0	0		0	0	1	1	0	1		1	2	1
Agree - disagree	35	38	26	37	32	46	54	51	51	66	61	62	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600




	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18–49	GOP 50+	Indep 18–49	Indep 50+	Dem 18–49	Dem 50+	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called “reforms” they’ve passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers													
Agree	61	54	73	65	59	81	48	65	59	77	81	64	65
Strongly	32	31	37	39	45	52	23	38	30	44	46	51	39
Somewhat	30	23	36	26	14	29	25	28	28	33	35	13	26
Disagree	22	23	20	27	35	11	25	20	32	17	15	26	22
Somewhat	14	15	10	17	18	6	18	13	19	9	7	14	12
Strongly	7	7	10	10	17	5	8	7	14	7	8	12	9
Unsure	17	23	5	8	5	8	27	15	7	5	4	9	13
Refused		0	2	1	1			0	2	1		1	1
Agree – disagree	39	31	52	38	24	70	22	46	26	60	66	38	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>



	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called “reforms” they’ve passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers						
Agree	59	73	77	71	51	65
Strongly	28	49	58	37	26	39
Somewhat	31	24	19	34	25	26
Disagree	25	19	16	22	30	22
Somewhat	16	9	8	14	19	12
Strongly	9	10	7	8	11	9
Unsure	16	8	6	6	18	13
Refused	1	1	1	1	1	1
Agree – disagree	34	54	62	48	21	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600

Texas Insurance

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called “reforms” they’ve passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers											
Agree	73	46	73	42	72	61	65	50	72	34	65
Strongly	49	25	49	27	47	38	47	30	53	20	39
Somewhat	24	21	24	15	25	23	18	20	19	15	26
Disagree	15	35	14	52	14	26	20	42	16	54	22
Somewhat	8	21	8	20	8	14	11	16	9	25	12
Strongly	7	14	6	32	6	12	10	25	7	28	9
Unsure	11	18	12	5	13	12	14	8	11	11	13
Refused	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Agree – disagree	58	11	59	-11	58	35	45	8	56	-19	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>



	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Q15 Politicians in Austin have promised to help homeowners for years now, but most of the so-called "reforms" they've passed simply benefited the insurance industry without actually lowering rates for consumers												
Agree	70	76	56	47	50	80	68	70	63	64	68	65
Strongly	43	51	25	28	24	55	40	44	35	42	43	39
Somewhat	27	25	31	18	26	25	28	26	28	22	24	26
Disagree	20	13	34	38	33	11	18	20	23	21	20	22
Somewhat	12	6	26	14	20	5	10	10	12	14	12	12
Strongly	8	7	9	24	12	5	8	10	11	7	8	9
Unsure	9	10	8	15	17	9	11	10	13	14	12	13
Refused	1	1	2		1	0	2		1	1	1	1
Agree - disagree	50	63	22	9	17	69	50	50	40	43	48	43
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>

Texas Insurance

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q16 Adults in household								
1	19	16	20	27	21	20	16	20
2 or more	80	84	79	73	79	80	83	79
2	63	64	60	56	60	66	64	61
3 or more	17	20	18	18	18	14	19	19
Refused	1		1	0	1	0	1	1
Mean	2.0	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q17 Infants & children in household								
None	65	70	58	62	63	66	68	64
1 or more	34	30	41	38	36	33	31	35
1	14	15	14	17	15	17	12	15
2	16	13	13	10	13	10	11	13
3 or more	5	2	14	10	8	7	8	8
Refused	1		1		1	0	1	1
Mean	.7	.5	.8	.7	.7	.6	.6	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q16 & Q17 Household type								
Family	81	85	80	82	81	82	86	82
With children	34	30	41	38	36	33	31	35
Single adult	1	1	2	8	3	2	3	3
No children	47	55	39	44	45	48	55	46
Single adult, no children	17	15	19	18	18	18	13	17
Not ascertained	1		1	0	1	0	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q16 Adults in household									
1	17	19	19	25	18	29	15	21	20
2 or more	83	80	80	75	82	71	85	79	79
2	62	61	63	58	55	44	59	63	61
3 or more	21	19	17	17	27	27	27	16	19
Refused		1	1	0				0	1
Mean	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600
Q17 Infants & children in household									
None	68	60	65	63	50	45	43	68	64
1 or more	32	39	33	37	50	55	57	31	35
1	16	15	13	15	16	27	15	15	15
2	14	9	15	14	22	18	25	10	13
3 or more	1	15	5	7	11	10	17	7	8
Refused		1	1	0				0	1
Mean	.5	.8	.7	.7	1.0	1.1	1.2	.6	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type									
Family	84	81	81	82	89	85	92	80	82
With children	32	39	33	37	50	55	57	31	35
Single adult	1	1	1	8	7	14	6	1	3
No children	53	42	48	45	40	30	35	49	46
Single adult, no children	16	18	18	17	11	15	8	19	17
Not ascertained		1	1	0				1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Q16 Adults in household										
1	14	26	11	20	30	8	18	12	39	20
2 or more	86	74	89	79	69	92	81	88	60	79
2	62	60	69	55	59	62	61	74	48	61
3 or more	25	14	19	24	10	30	20	14	11	19
Refused	0	1		1	1		1		1	1
Mean	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.1	1.8	2.3	2.1	2.1	1.7	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q17 Infants & children in household										
None	66	62	32	71	90	43	85	32	89	64
1 or more	33	38	68	28	9	57	14	68	10	35
1	13	16	24	15	5	23	6	27	6	15
2	14	12	26	11	2	24	6	22	2	13
3 or more	5	10	18	3	2	9	2	19	1	8
Refused	0	1		1	1		1		1	1
Mean	.6	.7	1.3	.5	.2	1.0	.3	1.3	.2	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type										
Family	88	77	94	81	70	94	82	95	61	82
With children	33	38	68	28	9	57	14	68	10	35
Single adult	2	4	6	2	1	2	1	7	1	3
No children	55	40	26	53	60	38	68	27	51	46
Single adult, no children	12	22	6	18	29	6	17	5	38	17
Not ascertained	0	1		1	1		1		2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q16 Adults in household									
1	28	25	16	8			27	100	20
2 or more	72	74	84	92	100	100	73		79
2	52	53	67	70	77	77	56		61
3 or more	20	21	17	22	23	23	17		19
Refused		1	0				0		1
Mean	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.3	1.9	1.0	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Q17 Infants & children in household									
None	76	68	58			100	100	86	64
1 or more	23	31	42	100	100			14	35
1	12	12	17	42	38			12	15
2	7	10	16	36	39			1	13
3 or more	4	9	9	22	23			1	8
Refused	0	1	0					0	1
Mean	.4	.6	.8	1.9	1.9			.2	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type									
Family	78	77	86	100	100	100	73	14	82
With children	23	31	42	100	100			14	35
Single adult	5	3	2	8				14	3
No children	54	46	44			100	73		46
Single adult, no children	22	22	14				27	86	17
Not ascertained	0	1	0				0	0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q16 Adults in household						
1	17	20	14	14	47	20
2 or more	83	80	85	86	52	79
2	65	65	63	71	39	61
3 or more	18	15	23	15	13	19
Refused	1		0		0	1
Mean	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.7	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600
Q17 Infants & children in household						
None	64	66	65	55	63	64
1 or more	35	33	34	45	37	35
1	14	9	20	15	22	15
2	13	16	10	15	8	13
3 or more	8	8	4	15	7	8
Refused	1	0	0		0	1
Mean	.7	.7	.6	.9	.6	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type						
Family	84	82	86	87	67	82
With children	35	33	34	45	37	35
Single adult	1	2	1	1	14	3
No children	49	49	52	42	30	46
Single adult, no children	15	18	14	13	33	17
Not ascertained	1	0	0		0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q16 Adults in household							
1	22	24	19	20	18	23	20
2 or more	78	75	80	80	82	77	79
2	57	56	58	65	66	63	61
3 or more	21	19	23	15	16	14	19
Refused	1	1	1	0		0	1
Mean	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q17 Infants & children in household							
None	66	71	62	63	55	81	64
1 or more	33	29	38	36	45	18	35
1	18	16	20	12	15	5	15
2	10	6	15	16	18	11	13
3 or more	5	7	3	8	11	2	8
Refused	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Mean	.6	.5	.6	.7	.9	.3	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type							
Family	82	79	85	82	84	77	82
With children	33	29	38	36	45	18	35
Single adult	4	4	5	2	2		3
No children	48	50	47	46	39	59	46
Single adult, no children	17	21	14	18	16	23	17
Not ascertained	1	1	1	0	0	0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q16 Adults in household						
1	16	18	17	24	21	20
2 or more	84	81	82	76	79	79
2	55	67	64	57	62	61
3 or more	29	14	18	19	16	19
Refused		1	1		0	1
Mean	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Q17 Infants & children in household						
None	69	62	64	58	78	64
1 or more	31	37	36	42	21	35
1	11	18	16	18	5	15
2	11	11	11	15	14	13
3 or more	9	9	9	9	3	8
Refused		1	0		0	1
Mean	.7	.7	.7	.8	.4	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type						
Family	84	83	83	82	79	82
With children	31	37	36	42	21	35
Single adult		1	1	6	1	3
No children	53	45	47	40	58	46
Single adult, no children	16	16	16	18	20	17
Not ascertained		1	1		0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q16 Adults in household													
1	21	22	18	21	22	22	18	20	17	18	23	25	20
2 or more	79	78	82	79	77	78	82	80	83	82	77	74	79
2	60	59	64	60	60	61	65	62	71	69	66	56	61
3 or more	19	19	18	19	17	16	18	18	12	13	11	18	19
Refused	0	1		1	1	1					0	1	1
Mean	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q17 Infants & children in household													
None	64	68	54	64	68	65	65	70	50	86	76	78	64
1 or more	36	32	46	36	31	35	35	30	49	14	24	22	35
1	18	15	26	19	15	12	11	11	15	4	9	12	15
2	8	7	11	10	7	14	21	15	25	10	10	6	13
3 or more	9	9	10	7	10	9	3	4	10		5	4	8
Refused	0	0		0	1	0		0	1		0		1
Mean	.6	.6	.8	.6	.6	.7	.6	.6	1.1	.2	.4	.4	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type													
Family	81	80	83	81	80	79	84	84	83	82	78	76	82
With children	36	32	46	36	31	35	35	30	49	14	24	22	35
Single adult	2	2	1	3	3	2	2	3			1	2	3
No children	45	48	37	45	48	45	50	54	34	68	54	54	46
Single adult, no children	19	20	17	18	20	20	16	16	17	18	22	24	17
Not ascertained	0	1		1	1	1		0	1		0	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Q16 Adults in household													
1	18	23	15	29	9	27	9	31	13	29	9	29	20
2 or more	81	77	85	70	91	73	91	68	87	71	91	71	79
2	54	65	63	60	71	56	66	55	76	50	72	56	61
3 or more	27	12	23	10	20	17	24	13	11	20	19	16	19
Refused	1	0		1				1		1			1
Mean	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.2	1.9	2.2	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.2	1.9	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Q17 Infants & children in household													
None	66	62	66	64	71	69	31	93	44	81	45	90	64
1 or more	33	38	34	35	29	31	69	6	56	19	55	10	35
1	18	18	11	13	8	13	34	4	18	7	18	5	15
2	8	9	17	11	19	12	15	2	22	8	30	2	13
3 or more	7	11	6	12	2	6	19	0	17	3	7	2	8
Refused	1			1		0		0		0		0	1
Mean	.6	.7	.7	.7	.5	.6	1.3	.1	1.1	.4	1.0	.2	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type													
Family	83	79	87	71	93	78	93	69	91	71	97	73	82
With children	33	38	34	35	29	31	69	6	56	19	55	10	35
Single adult	2	2	2	2	2	4	3	2	4		6	1	3
No children	49	42	53	36	63	47	25	63	35	52	42	63	46
Single adult, no children	17	20	13	27	7	22	7	30	9	29	3	27	17
Not ascertained	1	0		1		0		1		1		0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q16 Adults in household						
1	19	21	17	21	13	20
2 or more	80	79	83	79	86	79
2	62	60	62	57	70	61
3 or more	18	19	21	21	16	19
Refused	1	1	0	1	1	1
Mean	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Q17 Infants & children in household						
None	60	68	73	68	53	64
1 or more	40	31	27	32	46	35
1	16	13	6	13	18	15
2	12	13	11	11	19	13
3 or more	11	6	9	8	9	8
Refused	0	1	0	0	1	1
Mean	.8	.6	.6	.7	.8	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type						
Family	82	82	85	81	87	82
With children	40	31	27	32	46	35
Single adult	2	4	2	2	0	3
No children	42	51	58	49	41	46
Single adult, no children	17	17	14	19	12	17
Not ascertained	1	1	0	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q16 Adults in household											
1	21	13	21	17	20	20	19	15	20	16	20
2 or more	78	87	78	83	79	80	80	84	79	84	79
2	60	64	60	65	62	64	64	68	64	56	61
3 or more	18	23	18	18	18	16	17	16	15	28	19
Refused	1		1		1		1	1	1		1
Mean	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Q17 Infants & children in household											
None	65	63	62	66	54	69	64	70	62	57	64
1 or more	34	37	38	34	46	31	36	29	37	43	35
1	13	17	16	17	20	13	12	22	13	19	15
2	13	9	14	8	19	6	14		15	6	13
3 or more	8	11	8	9	7	12	9	7	9	17	8
Refused	1		1		1	0	1	1	1		1
Mean	.7	.7	.7	.6	.8	.6	.7	.4	.7	.9	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type											
Family	82	87	82	84	85	81	81	87	82	88	82
With children	34	37	38	34	46	31	36	29	37	43	35
Single adult	4	0	4	1	5	1	1	3	3	4	3
No children	47	50	44	50	39	50	46	57	45	45	46
Single adult, no children	17	13	17	16	14	19	18	12	17	12	17
Not ascertained	1		1		1		1	1	1		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7–20	More than 20		
Q16 Adults in household												
1	22	21	24	7	20	21	18	21	16	25	17	20
2 or more	78	78	76	92	80	79	81	79	83	74	82	79
2	59	63	50	68	57	61	69	58	64	57	63	61
3 or more	19	16	26	24	22	17	12	20	19	16	19	19
Refused	0	0		1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1
Mean	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Q17 Infants & children in household												
None	65	66	60	58	65	68	51	54	54	86	68	64
1 or more	35	33	40	41	35	32	48	45	46	13	32	35
1	14	10	22	25	18	13	11	28	13	6	13	15
2	15	15	14	8	11	12	18	8	21	5	12	13
3 or more	6	8	4	9	5	6	19	9	12	1	7	8
Refused	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
Mean	.7	.7	.6	.7	.6	.6	1.1	.8	.9	.2	.6	.7
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Q16 & Q17 Household type												
Family	81	81	82	92	82	82	85	88	84	75	83	82
With children	35	33	40	41	35	32	48	45	46	13	32	35
Single adult	4	2	7		2	3	4	9	1	1	1	3
No children	46	48	43	51	47	50	36	42	38	62	51	46
Single adult, no children	18	19	18	7	18	18	14	12	16	23	17	17
Not ascertained	0	0		1	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q18 Ideology								
Conservative	42	48	47	53	46	40	57	48
Very	21	25	26	24	23	18	34	24
Somewhat	21	23	21	29	23	22	22	23
Moderate; liberal	54	49	48	45	50	57	41	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	25	34	37	34	33	34	34	33
Liberal	29	15	11	11	17	23	7	16
Somewhat	18	10	8	8	12	16	6	11
Very	11	5	3	3	6	7	2	5
Unsure	4	2	5	1	3	3	1	3
Refused	1	1	1	1	0		2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q19 Party identification								
Republican	24	40	41	39	36	37	40	37
Strong	13	31	31	28	25	25	34	27
Not-so-strong	11	8	10	10	10	12	6	10
Independent; other	29	21	21	23	23	26	24	23
Independent	29	21	20	21	22	26	22	22
Other			1	2	0		2	1
Democratic	38	34	35	22	34	32	24	32
Not-so-strong	3	11	8	8	8	7	8	8
Strong	35	24	27	15	26	26	16	25
Unsure	5	3	2	13	6	5	4	5
Refused	4	2	1	3	2	0	7	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q18 Ideology									
Conservative	48	48	38	52	36	36	32	51	48
Very	23	26	20	26	13	15	8	28	24
Somewhat	25	22	18	27	22	21	24	23	23
Moderate; liberal	48	46	57	47	57	64	55	46	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	34	35	26	35	39	39	36	31	33
Liberal	15	10	31	12	18	25	19	15	16
Somewhat	10	8	20	9	14	21	14	10	11
Very	5	3	11	3	4	4	4	5	5
Unsure	3	5	4	1	7		13	2	3
Refused	1	1	1					1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>
Q19 Party identification									
Republican	41	43	20	38	13	5	10	45	37
Strong	32	31	10	29	11	5	7	32	27
Not-so-strong	9	11	10	9	2		3	13	10
Independent; other	21	19	31	24	23	1	37	23	23
Independent	21	18	31	22	23	1	37	22	22
Other		1		2				1	1
Democratic	34	33	40	26	55	82	42	25	32
Not-so-strong	12	7	2	8	12	8	18	6	8
Strong	22	26	37	18	43	74	24	19	25
Unsure	3	2	5	12	9	11	11	4	5
Refused	2	4	4	0				3	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45–60	More than 60	Male 18–49	Male 50+	Female 18–49	Female 50+	
Q18 Ideology										
Conservative	50	45	36	48	59	46	54	38	52	48
Very	27	23	18	22	34	21	32	19	26	24
Somewhat	24	23	18	26	25	25	22	19	26	23
Moderate; liberal	46	51	60	49	36	52	41	58	44	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	32	33	44	34	21	37	29	44	24	33
Liberal	14	17	16	16	15	15	13	14	20	16
Somewhat	9	13	12	12	8	10	7	11	14	11
Very	5	5	4	4	7	4	6	3	6	5
Unsure	3	3	4	1	5	2	4	4	2	3
Refused	0	1		2			1		2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>271</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>600</i>
Q19 Party identification										
Republican	38	36	31	38	40	39	37	36	36	37
Strong	25	29	19	29	33	19	29	27	30	27
Not-so-strong	13	7	12	10	8	20	8	9	6	10
Independent; other	26	20	20	28	21	20	32	22	19	23
Independent	25	20	19	28	21	18	31	22	18	22
Other	1	0	1	1	0	2	0		1	1
Democratic	30	35	37	26	34	32	27	30	39	32
Not-so-strong	7	8	11	6	6	9	6	7	9	8
Strong	22	27	26	20	29	23	21	22	30	25
Unsure	4	7	9	4	4	6	2	10	4	5
Refused	2	2	2	4	1	3	2	2	3	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>271</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>600</i>

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q18 Ideology									
Conservative	51	43	49	45	42	50	49	51	48
Very	26	24	24	20	19	26	27	29	24
Somewhat	25	19	25	25	23	24	22	22	23
Moderate; liberal	41	56	48	50	52	48	48	47	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	28	41	31	42	43	28	28	30	33
Liberal	13	15	17	8	9	20	20	18	16
Somewhat	10	11	11	5	5	14	14	13	11
Very	3	4	6	3	3	6	6	5	5
Unsure	8	1	2	5	5	2	2	1	3
Refused			1	1	1	0	0		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>
Q19 Party identification									
Republican	33	32	40	37	38	36	37	38	37
Strong	27	27	27	24	24	28	28	29	27
Not-so-strong	5	6	13	13	13	8	8	9	10
Independent; other	20	30	21	22	23	22	23	25	23
Independent	19	29	20	22	23	22	23	23	22
Other	2	0	0	1		0	1	2	1
Democratic	41	25	33	28	27	38	35	31	32
Not-so-strong	6	3	10	9	7	6	7	12	8
Strong	35	21	23	18	19	32	28	19	25
Unsure	5	10	4	11	10	2	2	5	5
Refused	0	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K–\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q18 Ideology						
Conservative	50	58	43	46	33	48
Very	25	26	23	26	13	24
Somewhat	24	32	20	20	19	23
Moderate; liberal	47	41	53	49	62	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	32	34	34	31	35	33
Liberal	14	7	19	18	27	16
Somewhat	10	5	14	12	17	11
Very	4	2	5	6	10	5
Unsure	3	1	2	5	5	3
Refused	1		1			1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Q19 Party identification						
Republican	38	34	39	40	25	37
Strong	28	29	25	32	19	27
Not-so-strong	11	5	14	9	6	10
Independent; other	24	27	21	21	24	23
Independent	23	26	21	21	24	22
Other	1	1	1			1
Democratic	30	29	35	36	42	32
Not-so-strong	7	1	9	10	17	8
Strong	24	28	25	25	25	25
Unsure	5	10	2	3	9	5
Refused	3		3			2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q18 Ideology							
Conservative	100	100	100				48
Very	51	100					24
Somewhat	49		100				23
Moderate; liberal				100	100	100	49
Moderate; middle-of-road				68	100		33
Liberal				32		100	16
Somewhat				22		68	11
Very				10		32	5
Unsure							3
Refused							1
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q19 Party identification							
Republican	62	71	52	14	19	5	37
Strong	48	62	34	8	11	1	27
Not-so-strong	13	9	18	7	8	4	10
Independent; other	17	12	21	28	33	18	23
Independent	16	11	21	28	33	17	22
Other	1	1		1	1	1	1
Democratic	17	13	20	48	37	71	32
Not-so-strong	3	0	6	12	12	14	8
Strong	14	13	15	36	25	58	25
Unsure	3	2	5	7	10	2	5
Refused	2	1	2	2	1	4	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q18 Ideology						
Conservative	73	73	73	37	17	48
Very	52	44	47	14	3	24
Somewhat	20	28	26	23	13	23
Moderate; liberal	26	26	26	58	79	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	26	21	22	45	28	33
Liberal		5	4	13	52	16
Somewhat		4	3	7	38	11
Very		1	1	7	14	5
Unsure	2	0	1	5	4	3
Refused		1	1	0		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Q19 Party identification						
Republican	74	62	65	23	9	37
Strong	57	47	50	14	5	27
Not-so-strong	16	15	16	9	5	10
Independent; other	19	26	24	24	20	23
Independent	19	26	24	23	19	22
Other		0	0	1	1	1
Democratic	6	3	4	44	68	32
Not-so-strong		2	1	11	11	8
Strong	6	1	3	33	57	25
Unsure	2	4	3	7	3	5
Refused		4	3	2		2
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q18 Ideology													
Conservative	80	86	65	100	100	34		25			26	100	48
Very	48	57	23	59	100	13		10			10	39	24
Somewhat	33	29	42	41		22		15			16	61	23
Moderate; liberal	19	14	34			60	100	72	100	100	72		49
Moderate; middle-of-road	17	13	28			48	100	37	100		45		33
Liberal	2	1	6			12		35		100	27		16
Somewhat	1		4			11		21		62	17		11
Very	1	1	2			1		13		38	10		5
Unsure	1	0	1			6		4			2		3
Refused													1
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q19 Party identification													
Republican	100	100	100	100	100								37
Strong	73	100		78	87								27
Not-so-strong	27		100	22	13								10
Independent; other						100	100				48	69	23
Independent						97	98				46	66	22
Other						3	2				2	3	1
Democratic								100	100	100	52	31	32
Not-so-strong								24	32	19	13	3	8
Strong								76	68	81	40	28	25
Unsure													5
Refused													2
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Q18 Ideology													
Conservative	83	78	31	38	25	24	71	89	26	41	24	24	48
Very	45	49	13	12	18	4	41	54	8	17	9	11	24
Somewhat	38	28	18	25	7	20	31	35	19	24	15	13	23
Moderate; liberal	16	22	65	54	69	74	29	10	65	56	75	71	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	13	20	56	39	37	37	26	9	54	42	41	34	33
Liberal	3	1	9	16	33	36	3	0	10	14	33	36	16
Somewhat	2	0	8	15	19	24	2	0	9	13	24	20	11
Very	1	1	1	1	14	13	1		1	1	10	16	5
Unsure	1	1	3	8	5	2		1	9	3	1	5	3
Refused													1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>
Q19 Party identification													
Republican	100	100					100	100					37
Strong	66	80					63	82					27
Not-so-strong	34	20					37	18					10
Independent; other			100	100					100	100			23
Independent			96	98					97	98			22
Other			4	2					3	2			1
Democratic					100	100					100	100	32
Not-so-strong					25	23					26	22	8
Strong					75	77					74	78	25
Unsure													5
Refused													2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q18 Ideology						
Conservative	47	48	42	53	50	48
Very	21	25	24	24	27	24
Somewhat	26	23	18	29	23	23
Moderate; liberal	49	49	50	46	48	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	38	31	32	38	32	33
Liberal	12	19	18	8	16	16
Somewhat	6	14	14	5	12	11
Very	6	4	5	3	4	5
Unsure	3	2	7	1	1	3
Refused	1	1			1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>
Q19 Party identification						
Republican	45	33	33	36	40	37
Strong	32	25	27	24	27	27
Not-so-strong	13	8	6	13	13	10
Independent; other	21	24	25	27	21	23
Independent	20	23	22	26	21	22
Other	0	1	2	0		1
Democratic	26	36	33	35	29	32
Not-so-strong	7	9	5	2	11	8
Strong	19	27	28	33	18	25
Unsure	6	3	8	1	4	5
Refused	2	3	1	1	5	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q18 Ideology											
Conservative	42	50	43	44	39	50	43	58	41	43	48
Very	25	24	25	24	21	31	28	23	23	25	24
Somewhat	17	27	18	21	19	20	16	35	18	18	23
Moderate; liberal	56	46	55	49	58	44	53	36	56	43	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	36	33	37	28	40	28	34	26	39	25	33
Liberal	20	13	18	21	18	17	19	9	17	18	16
Somewhat	14	8	13	11	12	12	14	1	12	12	11
Very	6	5	5	9	7	4	5	8	6	6	5
Unsure	2	4	2	5	1	5	3	7	2	14	3
Refused	0		1	2	1		1		1		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>
Q19 Party identification											
Republican	31	43	33	42	30	39	31	59	31	45	37
Strong	20	38	25	30	22	26	25	51	23	30	27
Not-so-strong	11	6	8	13	8	13	6	8	9	15	10
Independent; other	25	17	24	14	27	23	26	12	23	22	23
Independent	25	15	24	13	27	22	25	11	22	21	22
Other	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	2	1	1	1
Democratic	40	26	35	33	35	33	36	27	39	24	32
Not-so-strong	11	4	11	3	10	8	9	7	11	1	8
Strong	29	22	24	30	25	24	26	20	28	22	25
Unsure	2	12	6	2	6	5	5	1	5	2	5
Refused	2	2	1	8	2	0	2	1	2	7	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Q18 Ideology												
Conservative	44	37	60	59	69	27	41	45	41	59	51	48
Very	23	21	27	34	42	8	18	17	25	30	27	24
Somewhat	21	16	33	25	27	18	23	28	16	29	23	23
Moderate; liberal	52	60	35	40	30	71	44	50	56	38	47	49
Moderate; middle-of-road	35	39	27	21	25	40	38	31	40	24	30	33
Liberal	17	21	8	19	5	31	6	19	16	13	17	16
Somewhat	12	15	5	11	4	20	5	13	10	11	11	11
Very	5	6	3	8	1	11	0	6	6	3	6	5
Unsure	3	2	5	1	0	2	15	5	2	2	1	3
Refused	1	1			1	1			1	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Q19 Party identification												
Republican	34	31	43	48	65	13	21	31	36	43	40	37
Strong	26	24	29	29	51	8	14	21	25	34	30	27
Not-so-strong	8	6	13	19	14	6	7	10	10	9	10	10
Independent; other	24	25	23	12	20	19	40	18	25	25	22	23
Independent	24	24	23	12	20	18	40	16	25	25	21	22
Other	1	1	1		0	1		2		0	0	1
Democratic	35	39	25	35	9	63	19	42	31	26	33	32
Not-so-strong	10	11	8	2	3	12	8	10	9	4	8	8
Strong	25	29	17	33	5	51	11	32	22	23	25	25
Unsure	5	5	6	1	3	4	15	6	6	4	3	5
Refused	2	1	3	4	3	1	5	3	2	2	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification								
Member in home	5	13	11	6	10	10	2	9
Friendly, share goals	25	25	21	33	23	23	40	26
Hostile, oppose goals	23	16	15	9	17	19	6	16
Don't care either way	36	35	47	33	39	39	35	38
Unsure	10	11	5	16	10	9	13	10
Refused	2	1	1	3	1	0	4	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600
Q21 Education								
High school or less	13	24	15	21	17	16	27	19
Some grade school		2	0	1	1		2	1
Some high school	1	2	4	6	3	2	4	3
Graduated high school	12	20	11	14	13	14	20	14
Some college	24	25	20	34	25	22	25	25
College graduate	62	51	64	45	57	62	47	55
Graduated college	42	31	46	30	37	41	37	37
Graduate, professional school	20	21	18	14	20	21	10	18
Refused	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600
Q22 Ethnicity								
Minority	18	28	23	30	28	21	11	25
Asian		2	2		1	2		1
Black, African-American	2	7	11	5	7	5	3	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	10	12	9	23	16	10	1	13
Mixed race	7	6	1	1	3	4	6	4
Other, unsure	0	1			0		1	0
White, Anglo	77	71	77	70	71	77	89	74
Refused	5	0	0		1	2		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification									
Member in home	15	10	5	6	12		10	8	9
Friendly, share goals	22	24	24	31	7	5	7	31	26
Hostile, oppose goals	15	14	24	12	14	32	4	16	16
Don't care either way	36	44	39	33	51	52	55	34	38
Unsure	12	8	6	15	16	10	24	9	10
Refused	1	1	2	3				2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600
Q21 Education									
High school or less	20	15	15	23	22	28	22	18	19
Some grade school	2	0		1	2	3	2	1	1
Some high school	2	4	1	4	4	8	2	3	3
Graduated high school	16	11	14	17	16	18	17	14	14
Some college	24	21	24	31	27	34	26	25	25
College graduate	55	62	60	45	51	37	52	57	55
Graduated college	32	48	41	27	37	35	39	38	37
Graduate, professional school	23	14	19	18	14	2	12	20	18
Refused	0	1	1	0	1			0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600
Q22 Ethnicity									
Minority	30	19	20	31	100	100	100		25
Asian	2	1			4				1
Black, African-American	8	10	2	5	27	100			7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	13	6	10	24	53		100		13
Mixed race	6	1	7	2	15				4
Other, unsure	1		0		1				0
White, Anglo	70	81	75	69				100	74
Refused	0	0	5						1
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45–60	More than 60	Male 18–49	Male 50+	Female 18–49	Female 50+	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification										
Member in home	13	6	7	13	7	11	15	6	6	9
Friendly, share goals	26	25	22	24	31	21	30	24	26	26
Hostile, oppose goals	16	15	17	16	14	18	13	15	16	16
Don't care either way	34	41	42	39	34	35	34	47	37	38
Unsure	10	11	10	7	13	14	7	7	14	10
Refused	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q21 Education										
High school or less	18	19	7	16	33	11	24	9	27	19
Some grade school	2	0	1		2	1	3		0	1
Some high school	3	3	1	3	6	3	3	1	5	3
Graduated high school	13	16	5	13	25	7	18	8	22	14
Some college	20	29	26	21	29	22	19	29	29	25
College graduate	61	51	67	62	36	67	56	61	42	55
Graduated college	38	36	50	40	21	46	32	48	27	37
Graduate, professional school	22	15	18	21	15	21	24	14	16	18
Refused	1	1		1	1		1		2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Q22 Ethnicity										
Minority	27	24	39	16	19	33	21	29	18	25
Asian	2	0	2		1	2	1	1		1
Black, African–American	6	8	10	5	5	7	5	10	5	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican–American	16	11	19	9	12	17	15	13	10	13
Mixed race	4	4	8	2	2	7	1	6	2	4
Other, unsure		0		1	0				1	0
White, Anglo	72	75	61	81	79	67	76	71	80	74
Refused	1	1		2	1		2		2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification									
Member in home	2	6	13	8	9	10	10	7	9
Friendly, share goals	26	27	25	27	28	25	25	23	26
Hostile, oppose goals	12	17	16	9	10	19	19	16	16
Don't care either way	47	35	36	45	42	33	34	45	38
Unsure	12	13	8	10	10	11	11	8	10
Refused	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Q21 Education									
High school or less	100			12	10	22	22	25	19
Some grade school	5			1		1	1	1	1
Some high school	17			3	3	3	4	4	3
Graduated high school	78			9	8	17	17	20	14
Some college		100		22	22	25	27	31	25
College graduate			100	66	68	53	50	43	55
Graduated college			67	48	48	33	32	29	37
Graduate, professional school			33	18	20	19	18	14	18
Refused						1	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Q22 Ethnicity									
Minority	30	26	23	35	33	21	20	22	25
Asian	1		1	1	1	0	1	1	1
Black, African-American	10	9	5	10	9	4	5	10	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	16	14	12	21	20	10	9	10	13
Mixed race	3	3	4	2	2	6	5	1	4
Other, unsure			0			0	0	0	0
White, Anglo	69	73	76	65	67	77	79	76	74
Refused	1	1	1			1	1	2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K–\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification						
Member in home	10	8	13	10	5	9
Friendly, share goals	27	26	27	24	17	26
Hostile, oppose goals	16	12	16	25	11	16
Don't care either way	34	36	31	38	59	38
Unsure	11	16	12	3	8	10
Refused	2	2	1	0		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Q21 Education						
High school or less	18	34	14	8	24	19
Some grade school	1	1	2			1
Some high school	3	7	2	1	3	3
Graduated high school	14	26	10	7	21	14
Some college	25	32	31	8	27	25
College graduate	56	32	54	83	48	55
Graduated college	37	18	38	53	29	37
Graduate, professional school	19	14	16	30	19	18
Refused	1	1	0	1	0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Q22 Ethnicity						
Minority	21	40	23	14	43	25
Asian	1	1	1	2		1
Black, African–American	6	7	11	3	10	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican–American	12	29	8	8	26	13
Mixed race	2	4	3	2	7	4
Other, unsure	0					0
White, Anglo	78	59	76	85	57	74
Refused	1	0	1	0	0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification							
Member in home	14	20	8	5	7		9
Friendly, share goals	39	47	31	14	16	9	26
Hostile, oppose goals	5	2	9	25	13	51	16
Don't care either way	30	22	37	45	51	32	38
Unsure	11	7	14	10	11	8	10
Refused	1	2	1	1	1	0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q21 Education							
High school or less	20	20	20	16	16	15	19
Some grade school	0	0	0	1	1		1
Some high school	4	5	3	2	2	3	3
Graduated high school	15	14	17	12	12	13	14
Some college	23	25	20	29	31	24	25
College graduate	57	55	60	54	52	60	55
Graduated college	41	40	41	34	34	36	37
Graduate, professional school	17	15	19	20	18	24	18
Refused				1	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Q22 Ethnicity							
Minority	19	14	24	29	30	29	25
Asian	1	1	0	1	1		1
Black, African-American	5	4	6	9	8	11	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	9	4	14	15	15	16	13
Mixed race	4	4	3	4	5	2	4
Other, unsure	0	1		0	0		0
White, Anglo	80	85	74	70	70	71	74
Refused	2	1	2	0		1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification						
Member in home	100		26			9
Friendly, share goals		100	74			26
Hostile, oppose goals					100	16
Don't care either way				100		38
Unsure						10
Refused						1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q21 Education						
High school or less	5	19	15	23	14	19
Some grade school				1	3	1
Some high school		4	3	4	3	3
Graduated high school	5	15	12	18	8	14
Some college	17	27	24	23	27	25
College graduate	78	54	61	53	58	55
Graduated college	52	38	42	40	27	37
Graduate, professional school	26	16	19	13	32	18
Refused	1		0	1	0	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Q22 Ethnicity						
Minority	32	7	14	33	23	25
Asian		1	1	0	2	1
Black, African-American		1	1	9	14	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	14	4	6	19	3	13
Mixed race	15	1	5	4	4	4
Other, unsure	3		1			0
White, Anglo	65	91	84	67	76	74
Refused	2	2	2		1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification													
Member in home	18	20	15	21	26	7	11	2	4		2	3	9
Friendly, share goals	43	45	39	43	49	29	17	2	3	2	19	41	26
Hostile, oppose goals	4	3	8	4	0	14	11	32	22	54	28	11	16
Don't care either way	24	20	34	21	15	40	52	52	57	34	41	27	38
Unsure	9	10	3	9	6	9	7	11	12	9	9	17	10
Refused	1	2		2	3	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q21 Education													
High school or less	17	19	10	16	14	17	16	24	17	16	19	22	19
Some grade school	0	0		0		1	2	2	2		1	1	1
Some high school	3	4	1	3	4	3	3	4	1	3	4	6	3
Graduated high school	13	15	9	13	10	12	11	18	14	13	14	16	14
Some college	22	25	15	21	26	33	38	19	22	20	26	27	25
College graduate	61	56	75	63	60	49	44	56	61	63	54	51	55
Graduated college	39	34	51	41	42	30	25	42	47	39	35	42	37
Graduate, professional school	22	22	24	22	18	19	19	14	14	23	20	10	18
Refused						2	3	1		1	1		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Q22 Ethnicity													
Minority	9	10	5	6	4	25	28	42	33	36			25
Asian	1	2		1	1	1	2	0					1
Black, African-American	1	1		1	0	0		17	17	13			7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	4	4	4	3	2	21	23	17	9	20			13
Mixed race	2	2	2	1		3	2	7	7	3			4
Other, unsure	1	1		1	1	0	0						0
White, Anglo	90	88	95	92	94	74	72	57	67	63	100	100	74
Refused	1	2		2	2	1		1		1			1
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification													
Member in home	26	12	10	5		3	17	20	2	12	4		9
Friendly, share goals	43	44	29	29	2	3	42	44	24	33		4	26
Hostile, oppose goals	4	4	16	12	33	32	5	3	14	14	35	31	16
Don't care either way	22	26	34	46	50	53	27	22	53	30	49	54	38
Unsure	4	12	10	7	13	9	6	11	7	10	13	8	10
Refused	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1		3	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Q21 Education													
High school or less	16	17	15	18	26	22	10	23	18	16	6	37	19
Some grade school		0	2		5			0	2			4	1
Some high school	5	2	2	5	3	5	5	2	1	5		7	3
Graduated high school	11	15	12	13	19	17	5	21	14	11	6	26	14
Some college	17	27	29	37	11	25	17	26	39	28	18	20	25
College graduate	67	56	54	44	62	52	73	51	44	54	75	42	55
Graduated college	45	33	24	36	46	39	50	29	30	29	60	28	37
Graduate, professional school	22	23	30	8	16	13	24	21	13	24	15	14	18
Refused			2	1	0	1				3		1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Q22 Ethnicity													
Minority	7	10	33	17	47	39	10	8	28	23	54	31	25
Asian	2	1	2		1		3		2			1	1
Black, African-American	2	0		1	17	17	2	0		1	21	13	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	3	4	28	14	20	15	2	5	22	21	20	16	13
Mixed race		4	4	1	9	6	3	1	4	1	14	2	4
Other, unsure		1		0				1		0			0
White, Anglo	91	89	67	81	53	60	90	90	72	75	46	68	74
Refused	2	0		2	1	0			3	2		1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600

	Direction of things		Cost of homeowners' insurance			Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification						
Member in home	9	9	6	13	9	9
Friendly, share goals	29	24	17	31	31	26
Hostile, oppose goals	10	19	23	11	15	16
Don't care either way	39	36	44	34	32	38
Unsure	11	10	9	11	10	10
Refused	2	1	1	0	4	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Q21 Education						
High school or less	13	20	23	19	13	19
Some grade school	0	1	3		1	1
Some high school	2	3	4	2	3	3
Graduated high school	10	15	16	16	9	14
Some college	28	23	33	27	17	25
College graduate	59	56	42	54	69	55
Graduated college	38	39	28	44	44	37
Graduate, professional school	20	17	14	11	25	18
Refused	1	1	1		1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Q22 Ethnicity						
Minority	24	27	30	26	14	25
Asian	2	0	1	2	0	1
Black, African–American	5	8	9	9	3	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican–American	13	14	15	9	10	13
Mixed race	4	5	4	6	1	4
Other, unsure	0	0			0	0
White, Anglo	75	72	68	73	85	74
Refused	1	1	2	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification											
Member in home	9	9	9	10	9	9	7	13	7	8	9
Friendly, share goals	23	34	24	38	25	26	26	33	22	38	26
Hostile, oppose goals	21	15	20	12	24	12	18	12	21	6	16
Don't care either way	39	32	36	34	40	37	39	27	40	40	38
Unsure	7	8	9	6	3	14	9	11	9	6	10
Refused	1	1	2		1	2	2	3	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Q21 Education											
High school or less	19	23	15	32	15	19	18	22	16	33	19
Some grade school	1	2	0	4		1	1	5	1	3	1
Some high school	3	5	3	5	3	4	2	6	2	8	3
Graduated high school	15	15	12	23	12	14	15	10	14	23	14
Some college	28	18	27	18	28	23	25	16	29	13	25
College graduate	53	58	57	49	57	57	57	60	54	50	55
Graduated college	36	28	39	28	40	40	39	22	34	42	37
Graduate, professional school	17	30	18	22	17	17	18	37	20	8	18
Refused	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	3	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Q22 Ethnicity											
Minority	27	25	24	34	30	24	26	40	25	32	25
Asian	1	1	1		1	0	1	6	1	2	1
Black, African-American	9	2	8	9	13	4	9	4	9	7	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	14	14	12	9	15	13	13	19	10	17	13
Mixed race	3	8	3	15	1	8	4	12	5	5	4
Other, unsure			0				0		0		0
White, Anglo	71	75	74	66	68	75	72	60	73	68	74
Refused	2		2		2	1	2		1		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7–20	More than 20		
Q20/Q20.1 Tea Party identification												
Member in home	10	9	12	8	18	2	2	10	10	8	11	9
Friendly, share goals	23	22	25	36	45	8	17	17	27	30	28	26
Hostile, oppose goals	18	23	6	8	2	31	12	22	14	13	16	16
Don't care either way	41	39	44	38	27	47	44	38	39	36	34	38
Unsure	8	5	13	9	6	10	23	10	9	12	8	10
Refused	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Q21 Education												
High school or less	18	17	18	25	19	16	22	12	13	32	14	19
Some grade school	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	1		2	0	1
Some high school	3	2	4	8	4	3	2	2	2	6	1	3
Graduated high school	14	15	13	16	15	13	17	9	11	24	12	14
Some college	25	26	23	15	22	26	31	25	25	26	22	25
College graduate	57	56	59	58	58	56	46	63	62	40	63	55
Graduated college	38	35	46	43	43	36	27	44	39	29	39	37
Graduate, professional school	18	21	13	15	15	20	20	19	23	11	24	18
Refused	1	1		2	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Q22 Ethnicity												
Minority	27	26	28	24	17	29	41	31	27	17	22	25
Asian	1		3	1	1		3	0	2		1	1
Black, African-American	8	10	4	3	3	13	3	15	3	5	7	7
Hispanic, Latino, Mexican-American	13	12	16	13	8	13	33	12	16	10	10	13
Mixed race	4	3	5	7	5	3	2	4	5	2	4	4
Other, unsure	0	0		0	0	0			0	0	0	0
White, Anglo	72	73	71	75	81	70	58	69	71	82	77	74
Refused	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Age								
Less than 45	31	32	37	34	35	34	27	34
18 - 34	11	17	20	17	17	16	17	17
35 - 44	20	15	17	17	18	19	10	17
45 to 60	37	34	35	31	34	39	38	34
45 - 50	13	18	9	11	12	15	19	13
51 - 60	24	16	26	20	22	23	19	22
More than 60	31	33	28	34	31	27	34	31
61 - 70	17	17	13	17	16	16	16	16
More than 70	15	15	15	16	15	11	18	15
Not ascertained		1		1	1			0
Mean	53	52	51	52	52	51	54	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600
Ideology & age								
Conservative	42	48	47	53	46	40	57	48
50 or less	19	19	16	24	18	17	25	19
More than 50	23	27	31	29	27	23	31	28
Moderate; liberal	54	49	48	45	50	57	41	49
50 or less	25	30	26	21	27	31	21	26
More than 50	29	19	22	23	23	26	20	23
Unsure	4	2	5	1	3	3	1	3
Refused	1	1		1	0		2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Age									
Less than 45	34	37	34	29	52	48	48	28	34
18 - 34	19	19	12	15	31	33	23	12	17
35 - 44	15	18	22	14	21	15	25	16	17
45 to 60	36	35	35	33	22	27	23	38	34
45 - 50	19	11	9	13	5	10	3	15	13
51 - 60	17	24	26	19	17	16	20	22	22
More than 60	29	28	31	37	24	22	29	34	31
61 - 70	18	14	16	17	16	11	20	16	16
More than 70	11	14	15	20	8	10	9	18	15
Not ascertained	1			1	1	4		0	0
Mean	51	51	53	54	46	47	48	54	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>
Ideology & age									
Conservative	48	48	38	52	36	36	32	51	48
50 or less	21	17	14	24	16	11	16	21	19
More than 50	27	32	23	28	18	21	17	31	28
Moderate; liberal	48	46	57	47	57	64	55	46	49
50 or less	32	27	27	18	37	47	28	22	26
More than 50	17	18	30	28	20	17	27	24	23
Unsure	3	5	4	1	7		13	2	3
Refused	1	1	1					1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Age										
Less than 45	32	35	100			71		74		34
18 - 34	18	16	49			39		33		17
35 - 44	14	19	51			32		40		17
45 to 60	36	33		100		29	42	26	40	34
45 - 50	13	13		37		29		26		13
51 - 60	23	20		63			42		40	22
More than 60	32	31			100		58		60	31
61 - 70	16	16			51		30		31	16
More than 70	15	15			49		28		30	15
Not ascertained		1								0
Mean	52	52	34	53	71	37	65	38	65	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Ideology & age										
Conservative	50	45	36	48	59	46	54	38	52	48
50 or less	21	18	36	21		46		38		19
More than 50	29	27		27	59		54		52	28
Moderate; liberal	46	51	60	49	36	52	41	58	44	49
50 or less	23	28	60	16		52		58		26
More than 50	23	23		33	36		41		44	23
Unsure	3	3	4	1	5	2	4	4	2	3
Refused	0	1		2			1		2	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type				Total	
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)		Single adult
Age									
Less than 45	13	34	41	65	64	19	17	19	34
18 – 34	6	15	21	26	24	14	12	11	17
35 – 44	7	19	20	39	40	5	5	8	17
45 to 60	29	29	38	27	28	39	38	34	34
45 – 50	13	14	13	18	18	13	10	4	13
51 – 60	17	15	26	9	9	26	28	30	22
More than 60	56	36	21	8	8	41	44	47	31
61 – 70	27	17	12	5	5	23	22	19	16
More than 70	29	19	9	3	3	18	22	28	15
Not ascertained	2	1				1	1		0
Mean	61	53	49	42	42	56	58	59	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>
Ideology & age									
Conservative	51	43	49	45	42	50	49	51	48
50 or less	11	14	25	37	36	12	9	10	19
More than 50	39	29	24	7	6	37	39	41	28
Moderate; liberal	41	56	48	50	52	48	48	47	49
50 or less	13	34	26	41	42	20	17	13	26
More than 50	28	21	21	8	9	27	31	34	23
Unsure	8	1	2	5	5	2	2	1	3
Refused			1	1	1	0	0		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Age						
Less than 45	33	22	32	46	36	34
18 - 34	14	11	20	17	22	17
35 - 44	18	12	12	30	14	17
45 to 60	35	30	41	31	34	34
45 - 50	12	10	18	8	12	13
51 - 60	22	20	23	23	22	22
More than 60	32	46	26	22	30	31
61 - 70	17	30	13	10	8	16
More than 70	15	16	13	12	22	15
Not ascertained	1	1	1			0
Mean	53	56	50	49	53	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Ideology & age						
Conservative	50	58	43	46	33	48
50 or less	20	15	20	24	11	19
More than 50	30	41	23	22	21	28
Moderate; liberal	47	41	53	49	62	49
50 or less	24	17	30	26	35	26
More than 50	23	24	22	24	27	23
Unsure	3	1	2	5	5	3
Refused	1		1			1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Age							
Less than 45	26	26	26	42	45	35	34
18 – 34	14	11	17	20	20	19	17
35 – 44	12	15	9	22	25	16	17
45 to 60	35	30	39	35	35	34	34
45 – 50	15	12	18	11	13	9	13
51 – 60	20	18	22	23	22	25	22
More than 60	39	44	34	23	20	30	31
61 – 70	21	24	17	11	9	16	16
More than 70	19	21	17	12	11	14	15
Not ascertained	1		1	0		1	0
Mean	55	56	53	50	49	52	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Ideology & age							
Conservative	100	100	100				48
50 or less	41	38	43				19
More than 50	59	62	55				28
Moderate; liberal				100	100	100	49
50 or less				53	58	43	26
More than 50				47	42	55	23
Unsure							3
Refused							1
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Age						
Less than 45	26	29	28	37	37	34
18 - 34	13	9	10	20	26	17
35 - 44	14	20	18	17	11	17
45 to 60	51	33	37	35	34	34
45 - 50	15	13	14	13	12	13
51 - 60	36	20	24	21	22	22
More than 60	23	38	34	28	28	31
61 - 70	18	19	19	13	17	16
More than 70	5	18	15	15	11	15
Not ascertained		1	1			0
Mean	51	55	54	51	50	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Ideology & age						
Conservative	73	73	73	37	17	48
50 or less	27	25	26	19	9	19
More than 50	45	48	47	18	7	28
Moderate; liberal	26	26	26	58	79	49
50 or less	12	17	15	29	39	26
More than 50	14	9	10	29	40	23
Unsure	2	0	1	5	4	3
Refused		1	1	0		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Age													
Less than 45	29	24	41	23	25	30	33	39	44	40	27	20	34
18 - 34	13	12	13	9	8	12	14	26	27	22	13	12	17
35 - 44	16	12	28	14	17	17	18	13	17	17	14	8	17
45 to 60	36	37	34	39	33	42	47	27	31	25	38	34	34
45 - 50	18	17	23	19	15	14	17	6	5	3	11	13	13
51 - 60	18	20	11	20	18	28	30	21	26	22	27	21	22
More than 60	34	38	24	38	42	28	21	33	25	35	34	46	31
61 - 70	16	19	9	17	20	16	9	18	9	18	18	26	16
More than 70	18	19	16	21	22	12	12	16	15	17	17	20	15
Not ascertained	1	1						1					0
Mean	54	55	50	56	57	52	51	52	50	52	55	57	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Ideology & age													
Conservative	80	86	65	100	100	34		25			26	100	48
50 or less	34	33	35	42	40	11		11			9	33	19
More than 50	47	53	30	58	60	23		13			18	67	28
Moderate; liberal	19	14	34			60	100	72	100	100	72		49
50 or less	14	8	29			28	49	33	50	43	30		26
More than 50	5	5	5			32	51	39	50	57	42		23
Unsure	1	0	1			6		4			2		3
Refused													1
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Age													
Less than 45	25	32	29	30	40	38	61		68		87		34
18 - 34	14	11	12	12	24	27	27		28		58		17
35 - 44	11	21	17	18	16	11	35		40		29		17
45 to 60	39	34	38	46	31	25	39	34	32	50	13	39	34
45 - 50	21	16	5	23	10	3	39		32		13		13
51 - 60	17	18	33	23	21	22		34		50		39	22
More than 60	36	33	32	24	29	36	66	50	66	50	61	61	31
61 - 70	18	15	19	12	14	20	31		28		32		16
More than 70	18	18	13	12	15	16	35		22		29		15
Not ascertained		1				1							0
Mean	54	54	53	51	51	52	39	67	38	63	35	65	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>
Ideology & age													
Conservative	83	78	31	38	25	24	71	89	26	41	24	24	48
50 or less	33	34	8	15	14	8	71		26		24		19
More than 50	50	44	23	23	11	14		89		41		24	28
Moderate; liberal	16	22	65	54	69	74	29	10	65	56	75	71	49
50 or less	13	14	26	30	34	32	29		65		75		26
More than 50	3	7	39	25	35	41		10		56		71	23
Unsure	1	1	3	8	5	2		1	9	3	1	5	3
Refused													1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>

	Direction of things		Cost of homeowners' insurance			Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Age						
Less than 45	40	34	24	29	46	34
18 - 34	17	19	12	15	19	17
35 - 44	23	15	12	13	28	17
45 to 60	34	36	40	43	27	34
45 - 50	10	14	16	16	11	13
51 - 60	23	23	25	27	17	22
More than 60	27	29	34	27	26	31
61 - 70	14	15	19	13	14	16
More than 70	13	14	16	14	12	15
Not ascertained		0	1	1		0
Mean	51	52	54	52	50	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Ideology & age						
Conservative	47	48	42	53	50	48
50 or less	17	22	14	18	27	19
More than 50	30	25	28	33	23	28
Moderate; liberal	49	49	50	46	48	49
50 or less	31	25	22	26	29	26
More than 50	18	24	28	20	19	23
Unsure	3	2	7	1	1	3
Refused	1	1			1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Age											
Less than 45	33	37	35	33	38	36	34	33	38	30	34
18 – 34	15	17	16	14	20	15	15	22	19	11	17
35 – 44	18	20	18	19	18	21	19	11	19	19	17
45 to 60	36	31	38	21	38	30	36	18	36	30	34
45 – 50	12	15	14	8	15	12	11	8	13	18	13
51 – 60	24	16	24	13	23	18	26	11	23	12	22
More than 60	31	32	27	44	24	33	30	48	26	38	31
61 – 70	15	17	14	22	14	15	16	30	13	19	16
More than 70	16	15	12	21	9	18	14	19	12	19	15
Not ascertained	0		0	3		1			0	2	0
Mean	53	51	51	54	50	53	52	53	50	53	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>
Ideology & age											
Conservative	42	50	43	44	39	50	43	58	41	43	48
50 or less	17	23	17	13	18	20	16	22	18	17	19
More than 50	25	27	25	29	21	29	28	36	24	24	28
Moderate; liberal	56	46	55	49	58	44	53	36	56	43	49
50 or less	28	28	31	26	34	24	28	19	33	23	26
More than 50	28	17	24	23	24	20	25	17	23	20	23
Unsure	2	4	2	5	1	5	3	7	2	14	3
Refused	0		1	2	1		1		1		1
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Age												
Less than 45	34	32	37	32	30	37	35	58	42	2	26	34
18 - 34	18	17	22	12	15	20	11	39	15		11	17
35 - 44	16	16	15	21	15	17	24	19	27	2	15	17
45 to 60	39	40	37	23	38	32	29	26	36	39	38	34
45 - 50	13	12	16	14	17	11	8	11	13	13	12	13
51 - 60	25	28	20	8	21	22	21	16	23	25	26	22
More than 60	27	27	27	45	32	29	36	16	22	58	35	31
61 - 70	15	15	13	21	16	15	19	10	13	26	20	16
More than 70	12	12	14	24	16	15	17	6	9	32	15	15
Not ascertained	0	0				1				2	1	0
Mean	51	52	51	55	53	51	53	43	49	65	55	52
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Ideology & age												
Conservative	44	37	60	59	69	27	41	45	41	59	51	48
50 or less	18	12	31	23	29	11	13	30	21	9	17	19
More than 50	26	25	29	36	40	15	28	15	21	49	33	28
Moderate; liberal	52	60	35	40	30	71	44	50	56	38	47	49
50 or less	28	31	20	23	18	36	21	34	34	7	20	26
More than 50	24	28	15	17	12	34	23	16	21	30	27	23
Unsure	3	2	5	1	0	2	15	5	2	2	1	3
Refused	1	1			1	1			1	1	1	1
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age								
Republican	24	40	41	39	36	37	40	37
Conservative	23	30	32	31	29	28	32	29
Very conservative	10	21	20	16	17	15	18	17
Moderate; liberal	1	10	9	7	7	9	8	7
50 or less	10	21	19	18	17	19	21	17
More than 50	13	19	23	20	19	18	19	19
Democratic	38	34	35	22	34	32	24	32
Conservative	6	9	9	8	8	2	9	8
White	0	3	4	3	3	2	3	3
Moderate	9	14	17	7	12	12	10	12
Liberal	21	10	8	8	13	18	4	11
Minority	11	17	14	11	15	10	7	14
50 or less	15	16	17	8	15	16	12	14
More than 50	23	17	18	14	19	17	12	18
Independent; other	29	21	21	23	23	26	24	23
Conservative	13	7	4	9	7	9	11	8
Moderate	10	10	12	12	11	10	12	11
Liberal	4	4	2	2	3	4	2	3
50 or less	12	11	9	8	10	10	9	10
More than 50	17	10	12	15	13	15	16	13
Unsure	5	3	2	13	6	5	4	5
Refused	4	2	1	3	2	0	7	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age									
Republican	41	43	20	38	13	5	10	45	37
Conservative	30	32	18	33	8	5	7	37	29
Very conservative	21	20	8	18	3	1	3	22	17
Moderate; liberal	10	11	1	5	5		3	8	7
50 or less	23	21	6	16	7	4	3	21	17
More than 50	18	22	14	21	6	1	7	23	19
Democratic	34	33	40	26	55	82	42	25	32
Conservative	9	8	7	7	20	30	13	4	8
White	3	4	0	3				4	3
Moderate	13	15	10	10	16	30	8	11	12
Liberal	10	8	22	9	16	21	17	10	11
Minority	18	13	12	12	55	82	42		14
50 or less	17	16	16	9	31	45	22	9	14
More than 50	15	17	24	17	22	33	21	16	18
Independent; other	21	19	31	24	23	1	37	23	23
Conservative	7	6	12	8	5		7	9	8
Moderate	10	8	11	14	12		19	11	11
Liberal	3	2	5	2	1	1	2	3	3
50 or less	11	8	14	8	11		16	10	10
More than 50	10	11	17	16	12	1	20	13	13
Unsure	3	2	5	12	9	11	11	4	5
Refused	2	4	4	0				3	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age										
Republican	38	36	31	38	40	39	37	36	36	37
Conservative	31	28	20	34	36	28	34	25	30	29
Very conservative	17	18	13	17	23	12	21	17	18	17
Moderate; liberal	6	8	12	5	4	11	2	10	5	7
50 or less	18	17	31	19		39		36		17
More than 50	20	18		19	40		37		36	19
Democratic	30	35	37	26	34	32	27	30	39	32
Conservative	7	8	8	6	10	9	6	6	10	8
White	3	3	1	3	5	2	4	2	4	3
Moderate	11	13	16	11	10	11	11	14	12	12
Liberal	10	13	13	8	13	12	8	9	16	11
Minority	14	14	21	7	12	21	8	14	13	14
50 or less	15	14	37	5		32		30		14
More than 50	15	20		20	34		27		39	18
Independent; other	26	20	20	28	21	20	32	22	19	23
Conservative	8	8	6	7	11	5	11	6	9	8
Moderate	15	8	11	15	7	15	15	9	7	11
Liberal	2	3	1	6	2	1	4	3	3	3
50 or less	9	11	20	9		20		22		10
More than 50	17	10		19	21		32		19	13
Unsure	4	7	9	4	4	6	2	10	4	5
Refused	2	2	2	4	1	3	2	2	3	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>271</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>600</i>


	Education			Household type				Total	
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)		Single adult
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age									
Republican	33	32	40	37	38	36	37	38	37
Conservative	26	25	33	30	30	29	29	30	29
Very conservative	13	18	19	15	15	18	19	19	17
Moderate; liberal	6	8	7	7	8	7	7	7	7
50 or less	9	12	23	33	35	9	8	8	17
More than 50	24	20	17	3	3	26	28	30	19
Democratic	41	25	33	28	27	38	35	31	32
Conservative	17	4	7	6	3	11	9	10	8
White	5	3	2	1	1	5	4	2	3
Moderate	11	10	13	17	18	9	9	10	12
Liberal	10	9	13	4	5	17	15	10	11
Minority	22	11	12	15	13	14	13	14	14
50 or less	5	10	20	22	22	13	10	6	14
More than 50	35	14	14	5	5	24	25	25	18
Independent; other	20	30	21	22	23	22	23	25	23
Conservative	6	10	8	7	7	8	8	10	8
Moderate	9	17	9	11	11	12	11	10	11
Liberal	2	3	3	1	1	3	4	5	3
50 or less	9	15	8	16	16	8	7	6	10
More than 50	11	15	13	7	7	15	17	18	13
Unsure	5	10	4	11	10	2	2	5	5
Refused	0	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age						
Republican	38	34	39	40	25	37
Conservative	32	30	32	35	13	29
Very conservative	19	18	17	26	7	17
Moderate; liberal	6	4	8	5	12	7
50 or less	18	11	22	20	12	17
More than 50	21	23	17	20	13	19
Democratic	30	29	35	36	42	32
Conservative	6	12	5	4	13	8
White	3	4	2	2	4	3
Moderate	12	11	14	16	11	12
Liberal	11	5	14	15	17	11
Minority	11	17	17	5	23	14
50 or less	12	6	18	19	19	14
More than 50	17	22	17	17	23	18
Independent; other	24	27	21	21	24	23
Conservative	8	12	6	6	6	8
Moderate	12	13	13	8	7	11
Liberal	2	1	2	3	9	3
50 or less	10	8	9	13	10	10
More than 50	13	19	13	8	14	13
Unsure	5	10	2	3	9	5
Refused	3		3			2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>


	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age							
Republican	62	71	52	14	19	5	37
Conservative	62	71	52				29
Very conservative	37	71					17
Moderate; liberal				14	19	5	7
50 or less	26	29	23	10	14	3	17
More than 50	36	43	29	4	5	0	19
Democratic	17	13	20	48	37	71	32
Conservative	17	13	20				8
White	6	5	8				3
Moderate				25	37		12
Liberal				23		71	11
Minority	11	9	13	16	12	26	14
50 or less	7	5	9	22	18	31	14
More than 50	9	8	10	26	18	41	18
Independent; other	17	12	21	28	33	18	23
Conservative	17	12	21				8
Moderate				22	33		11
Liberal				6		18	3
50 or less	6	3	8	13	16	6	10
More than 50	11	9	13	15	17	12	13
Unsure	3	2	5	7	10	2	5
Refused	2	1	2	2	1	4	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>285</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>198</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>600</i>

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age						
Republican	74	62	65	23	9	37
Conservative	68	50	54	17	7	29
Very conservative	50	33	38	7	0	17
Moderate; liberal	6	12	11	7	2	7
50 or less	32	28	29	12	6	17
More than 50	42	33	35	11	3	19
Democratic	6	3	4	44	68	32
Conservative		1	1	15	8	8
White		1	1	3	5	3
Moderate	6	1	2	18	17	12
Liberal		1	1	10	39	11
Minority	6		1	21	19	14
50 or less	6		1	19	32	14
More than 50		3	2	25	35	18
Independent; other	19	26	24	24	20	23
Conservative	5	18	14	4	2	8
Moderate	14	7	9	15	8	11
Liberal		1	1	2	11	3
50 or less	2	9	7	14	9	10
More than 50	17	17	17	10	12	13
Unsure	2	4	3	7	3	5
Refused		4	3	2		2
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age													
Republican	100	100	100	100	100								37
Conservative	80	86	65	100	100								29
Very conservative	48	57	23	59	100								17
Moderate; liberal	19	14	34										7
50 or less	47	41	64	42	40								17
More than 50	52	58	36	58	60								19
Democratic								100	100	100	52	31	32
Conservative								25			8	31	8
White								9			8	31	3
Moderate								37	100		23		12
Liberal								35		100	20		11
Minority								42	33	36			14
50 or less								44	50	43	19	11	14
More than 50								55	50	57	34	20	18
Independent; other						100	100				48	69	23
Conservative						34					18	69	8
Moderate						48	100				22		11
Liberal						12					7		3
50 or less						43	49				20	22	10
More than 50						57	51				28	47	13
Unsure													5
Refused													2
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600



	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age													
Republican	100	100					100	100					37
Conservative	83	78					71	89					29
Very conservative	45	49					41	54					17
Moderate; liberal	16	22					29	10					7
50 or less	47	48					100						17
More than 50	53	51						100					19
Democratic					100	100				100	100		32
Conservative					25	24				24	24		8
White					10	8				7	11		3
Moderate					37	37				41	34		12
Liberal					33	36				33	36		11
Minority					47	39				54	31		14
50 or less					50	41				100			14
More than 50					50	58						100	18
Independent; other			100	100					100	100			23
Conservative			31	38					26	41			8
Moderate			56	39					54	42			11
Liberal			9	16					10	14			3
50 or less			34	53					100				10
More than 50			66	47							100		13
Unsure													5
Refused													2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>



	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age						
Republican	45	33	33	36	40	37
Conservative	34	28	27	30	33	29
Very conservative	18	18	18	17	20	17
Moderate; liberal	11	5	6	6	7	7
50 or less	21	16	12	14	26	17
More than 50	24	17	20	23	14	19
Democratic	26	36	33	35	29	32
Conservative	3	10	7	13	4	8
White	2	3	2	4	2	3
Moderate	16	11	10	17	12	12
Liberal	6	14	14	5	13	11
Minority	11	15	15	17	9	14
50 or less	12	19	10	17	17	14
More than 50	15	18	23	17	13	18
Independent; other	21	24	25	27	21	23
Conservative	6	9	6	8	11	8
Moderate	9	12	12	17	9	11
Liberal	3	3	3	2	2	3
50 or less	12	9	11	13	9	10
More than 50	9	14	14	13	13	13
Unsure	6	3	8	1	4	5
Refused	2	3	1	1	5	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age											
Republican	31	43	33	42	30	39	31	59	31	45	37
Conservative	23	32	26	25	25	31	26	44	25	30	29
Very conservative	16	19	17	15	15	21	19	21	16	19	17
Moderate; liberal	8	11	6	17	5	8	5	15	6	15	7
50 or less	15	23	16	24	15	19	12	31	15	27	17
More than 50	15	21	17	18	15	20	19	28	16	19	19
Democratic	40	26	35	33	35	33	36	27	39	24	32
Conservative	9	5	7	10	6	9	6	2	8	8	8
White	2	1	2	2	1	3	1		2	1	3
Moderate	14	8	14	9	13	12	14	13	17	2	12
Liberal	16	9	13	11	15	11	15	5	13	11	11
Minority	18	12	14	20	15	14	15	15	15	18	14
50 or less	19	7	19	2	19	15	16	3	20	2	14
More than 50	21	18	17	28	15	17	19	24	18	20	18
Independent; other	25	17	24	14	27	23	26	12	23	22	23
Conservative	9	6	8	7	8	9	10	10	7	2	8
Moderate	13	8	12	3	16	8	11	1	12	9	11
Liberal	3	2	3	4	3	3	3	2	4	2	3
50 or less	9	10	9	7	12	10	11	7	11	12	10
More than 50	16	7	15	8	15	13	15	5	12	10	13
Unsure	2	12	6	2	6	5	5	1	5	2	5
Refused	2	2	1	8	2	0	2	1	2	7	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7–20	More than 20		
Party identification by ideology, ethnicity & age												
Republican	34	31	43	48	65	13	21	31	36	43	40	37
Conservative	28	23	40	35	54	8	18	27	25	37	33	29
Very conservative	17	15	20	25	34	3	11	12	19	20	20	17
Moderate; liberal	6	7	3	14	10	6	3	4	10	5	7	7
50 or less	15	12	21	32	31	8	4	22	21	9	17	17
More than 50	19	18	21	16	34	5	17	9	15	33	23	19
Democratic	35	39	25	35	9	63	19	42	31	26	33	32
Conservative	7	5	11	16	3	14	7	8	7	10	7	8
White	2	2	3	4	0	5	2	3	2	4	2	3
Moderate	15	17	9	5	5	24	2	17	12	9	12	12
Liberal	12	16	4	13	1	24	6	17	12	6	13	11
Minority	15	15	14	17	4	25	13	19	12	12	13	14
50 or less	17	19	13	11	4	29	3	28	16	1	12	14
More than 50	18	20	12	25	4	33	16	15	15	25	20	18
Independent; other	24	25	23	12	20	19	40	18	25	25	22	23
Conservative	7	7	7	7	10	4	11	6	8	9	9	8
Moderate	12	13	11	4	9	9	20	7	13	12	10	11
Liberal	4	4	3	1	1	6		2	2	5	3	3
50 or less	11	10	12		8	8	21	13	11	5	6	10
More than 50	13	14	11	12	13	10	20	5	13	20	15	13
Unsure	5	5	6	1	3	4	15	6	6	4	3	5
Refused	2	1	3	4	3	1	5	3	2	2	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology								
Male	52	47	45	37	46	51	40	45
50 or less	24	23	20	15	20	25	20	20
More than 50	28	24	25	22	26	26	20	25
Republican	17	17	22	11	17	22	15	17
Democratic	17	17	10	9	14	16	10	13
Independent, other	16	10	11	12	12	13	12	12
Conservative	26	21	25	20	22	25	29	23
Moderate; liberal	24	23	19	18	23	25	12	21
Female	48	53	55	63	54	49	60	55
50 or less	20	27	26	30	26	24	26	26
More than 50	27	25	29	32	27	24	33	28
Republican	7	23	19	27	19	15	25	20
Democratic	21	17	25	13	20	16	14	19
Independent, other	13	11	10	11	11	13	12	11
Conservative	15	27	22	34	24	15	28	25
Moderate; liberal	30	26	29	27	28	32	29	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote								
6 or less	25	27	27	25	28	31	16	26
7 to 20	46	37	48	44	43	49	43	43
More than 20	29	37	26	31	29	20	40	31
Not ascertained		0					0	0
Mean	15	16	15	16	15	13	18	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology									
Male	47	42	50	43	48	37	54	44	45
50 or less	25	20	21	17	27	20	26	18	20
More than 50	23	22	29	26	21	17	28	26	25
Republican	18	21	14	14	5	4	4	21	17
Democratic	16	10	18	11	25	33	20	10	13
Independent, other	10	8	17	15	16		25	11	12
Conservative	21	25	23	21	18	17	16	24	23
Moderate; liberal	24	15	25	22	26	20	31	19	21
Female	53	58	50	57	52	63	46	56	55
50 or less	28	28	21	26	31	38	25	25	26
More than 50	23	30	29	30	20	21	20	30	28
Republican	23	21	6	24	8	1	6	24	20
Democratic	17	23	21	15	30	49	22	16	19
Independent, other	11	11	15	9	7	1	12	12	11
Conservative	28	23	15	31	18	19	16	27	25
Moderate; liberal	24	30	33	25	31	44	24	27	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote									
6 or less	26	26	25	26	32	56	23	24	26
7 to 20	40	47	46	40	47	22	53	42	43
More than 20	34	27	29	34	21	22	24	34	31
Not ascertained	0							0	0
Mean	16	15	15	16	13	11	14	16	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology										
Male	100		43	47	46	100	100			45
50 or less	45		43	17		100				20
More than 50	55			30	46		100			25
Republican	38		13	19	19	39	37			17
Democratic	30		16	12	12	32	27			13
Independent, other	26		10	13	12	20	32			12
Conservative	50		19	22	29	46	54			23
Moderate; liberal	46		23	25	14	52	41			21
Female		100	57	53	54			100	100	55
50 or less		48	57	20				100		26
More than 50		51		33	54				100	28
Republican		36	19	19	21		36	36		20
Democratic		35	21	14	22		30	39		19
Independent, other		20	10	15	9		22	19		11
Conservative		45	18	26	31		38	52		25
Moderate; liberal		51	37	24	22		58	44		28
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Years registered to vote										
6 or less	26	25	44	20	13	42	14	35	17	26
7 to 20	46	41	54	46	30	52	41	51	32	43
More than 20	27	34	2	35	57	6	45	14	51	31
Not ascertained		0			0				0	0
Mean	15	16	9	16	22	9	19	11	20	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology									
Male	44	37	50	42	43	53	47	30	45
50 or less	12	18	25	32	34	16	14	8	20
More than 50	32	19	25	10	10	36	33	22	25
Republican	14	11	21	16	17	18	18	15	17
Democratic	19	6	15	11	11	18	15	6	13
Independent, other	10	13	12	11	12	14	12	9	12
Conservative	23	21	24	21	21	27	24	16	23
Moderate; liberal	16	15	25	19	20	24	22	14	21
Female	56	63	50	58	57	47	53	70	55
50 or less	13	30	29	50	49	15	13	15	26
More than 50	41	32	22	8	8	31	39	54	28
Republican	18	21	20	21	21	18	19	22	20
Democratic	22	19	18	16	15	19	21	25	19
Independent, other	11	16	9	11	12	9	11	16	11
Conservative	28	22	26	24	22	23	25	35	25
Moderate; liberal	25	40	23	30	31	23	27	33	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote									
6 or less	17	25	29	33	29	23	22	27	26
7 to 20	30	42	49	56	60	36	37	35	43
More than 20	53	32	22	11	11	41	41	38	31
Not ascertained	0						0	0	0
Mean	20	16	14	11	11	17	18	17	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology						
Male	46	42	52	52	30	45
50 or less	20	15	26	25	11	20
More than 50	26	27	26	27	19	25
Republican	19	17	21	19	5	17
Democratic	12	11	13	19	16	13
Independent, other	13	12	14	12	8	12
Conservative	25	27	25	23	6	23
Moderate; liberal	20	14	25	28	21	21
Female	54	58	48	48	70	55
50 or less	25	17	25	29	37	26
More than 50	28	39	23	18	33	28
Republican	20	17	18	22	20	20
Democratic	18	19	22	17	25	19
Independent, other	11	14	7	9	16	11
Conservative	25	31	18	23	27	25
Moderate; liberal	26	27	28	21	42	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote						
6 or less	25	13	29	31	34	26
7 to 20	44	44	41	49	40	43
More than 20	32	43	30	20	26	31
Not ascertained	0					0
Mean	16	18	15	13	14	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology							
Male	48	49	46	43	44	39	45
50 or less	20	17	22	22	23	19	20
More than 50	28	32	24	21	21	20	25
Republican	30	32	28	6	7	3	17
Democratic	7	10	4	19	15	28	13
Independent, other	8	6	9	16	20	7	12
Conservative	48	49	46				23
Moderate; liberal				43	44	39	21
Female	52	51	54	57	56	61	55
50 or less	21	21	21	31	35	24	26
More than 50	31	30	32	26	21	35	28
Republican	32	40	24	9	12	2	20
Democratic	10	3	17	29	22	44	19
Independent, other	9	6	12	12	13	11	11
Conservative	52	51	54				25
Moderate; liberal				57	56	61	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>285</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>198</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote							
6 or less	24	18	32	26	24	31	26
7 to 20	38	45	30	49	53	43	43
More than 20	38	38	38	24	23	26	31
Not ascertained				0	0		0
Mean	17	18	16	14	14	14	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>285</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>198</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>600</i>

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology						
Male	63	46	50	41	45	45
50 or less	24	17	19	19	24	20
More than 50	39	29	32	22	21	25
Republican	48	28	34	10	4	17
Democratic		1	1	18	28	13
Independent, other	13	14	14	11	12	12
Conservative	51	34	39	16	7	23
Moderate; liberal	10	11	11	23	34	21
Female	37	54	50	59	55	55
50 or less	17	25	23	32	26	26
More than 50	20	28	26	27	29	28
Republican	26	34	32	14	5	20
Democratic	6	2	3	26	40	19
Independent, other	6	13	11	13	8	11
Conservative	22	39	34	21	10	25
Moderate; liberal	15	15	15	35	45	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Years registered to vote						
6 or less	28	18	20	26	36	26
7 to 20	46	46	46	44	39	43
More than 20	25	36	33	30	25	31
Not ascertained				0		0
Mean	15	17	16	15	14	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology													
Male	46	42	60	48	44	52	61	41	41	39	42	42	45
50 or less	22	15	41	19	14	18	27	20	18	21	15	10	20
More than 50	25	27	19	29	30	34	33	21	23	17	27	32	25
Republican	46	42	60	48	44								17
Democratic								41	41	39	20	14	13
Independent, other						52	61				22	28	12
Conservative	39	38	41	48	44	16		10			11	42	23
Moderate; liberal	7	4	18			34	61	29	41	39	31		21
Female	54	58	40	52	56	48	39	59	59	61	58	58	55
50 or less	26	26	24	23	26	25	22	24	31	21	24	22	26
More than 50	27	31	17	29	30	23	17	34	28	40	34	35	28
Republican	54	58	40	52	56								20
Democratic								59	59	61	33	17	19
Independent, other						48	39				25	41	11
Conservative	42	48	24	52	56	18		14			15	58	25
Moderate; liberal	12	10	16			26	39	43	59	61	41		28
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Years registered to vote													
6 or less	22	20	27	24	18	20	16	33	36	39	25	25	26
7 to 20	42	41	46	37	47	46	51	41	42	45	42	39	43
More than 20	36	39	28	39	35	34	33	25	22	17	33	36	31
Not ascertained								0	0		0		0
Mean	17	17	15	17	17	16	16	14	13	12	16	16	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology													
Male	100		100		100		46	47	41	60	46	38	45
50 or less	47		34		50		46		41		46		20
More than 50	53		66		50			47		60		38	25
Republican	100						46	47					17
Democratic					100						46	38	13
Independent, other			100						41	60			12
Conservative	83		31		25		33	44	10	21	13	9	23
Moderate; liberal	16		65		69		13	3	31	35	32	26	21
Female		100		100		100	54	53	59	40	54	62	55
50 or less		48		53		41	54		59		54		26
More than 50		51		47		58		53		40		62	28
Republican		100					54	53					20
Democratic						100					54	62	19
Independent, other				100					59	40			11
Conservative		78		38		24	38	45	17	19	11	15	25
Moderate; liberal		22		54		74	16	7	33	21	43	44	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote													
6 or less	28	17	20	20	28	38	33	12	34	9	49	21	26
7 to 20	40	44	48	44	52	34	52	34	49	44	49	36	43
More than 20	32	39	32	36	20	28	15	54	17	47	2	43	31
Not ascertained						0						0	0
Mean	16	18	16	17	14	14	12	21	12	20	8	18	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology						
Male	49	43	45	46	50	45
50 or less	24	19	17	20	28	20
More than 50	25	24	28	26	22	25
Republican	23	14	14	20	20	17
Democratic	11	16	15	9	15	13
Independent, other	11	12	11	16	11	12
Conservative	25	22	19	26	28	23
Moderate; liberal	22	21	23	21	20	21
Female	51	57	55	54	50	55
50 or less	26	28	23	24	29	26
More than 50	25	28	31	28	21	28
Republican	22	19	19	17	20	20
Democratic	16	20	18	26	15	19
Independent, other	9	12	13	10	10	11
Conservative	22	26	23	27	22	25
Moderate; liberal	27	28	27	26	28	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote						
6 or less	31	23	26	21	26	26
7 to 20	40	49	36	46	50	43
More than 20	29	28	38	33	24	31
Not ascertained						0
Mean	15	15	16	16	14	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Sex by age, party identification & ideology											
Male	48	50	42	50	42	46	43	53	41	52	45
50 or less	22	26	20	18	20	20	18	18	21	24	20
More than 50	27	24	21	33	22	27	25	34	20	28	25
Republican	15	20	14	20	13	19	13	24	13	27	17
Democratic	16	14	13	14	12	16	14	20	16	11	13
Independent, other	16	8	14	11	16	10	14	7	12	11	12
Conservative	23	25	18	29	17	26	21	25	18	25	23
Moderate; liberal	25	22	23	16	24	18	21	22	22	19	21
Female	52	50	58	50	58	54	57	47	59	48	55
50 or less	23	26	28	23	33	28	26	23	30	24	26
More than 50	28	24	30	24	25	25	30	25	29	22	28
Republican	16	23	19	22	17	20	18	35	19	19	20
Democratic	24	11	23	18	23	17	21	7	23	13	19
Independent, other	9	9	11	3	12	13	12	5	11	11	11
Conservative	19	26	25	16	22	25	23	33	23	18	25
Moderate; liberal	31	24	32	33	34	26	32	14	34	24	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote											
6 or less	27	20	27	10	31	21	23	27	28	19	26
7 to 20	47	47	45	46	44	46	48	53	45	46	43
More than 20	26	33	28	43	24	33	29	21	27	34	31
Not ascertained				1		0				0	0
Mean	14	16	15	19	14	16	15	13	15	16	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Sex by age, party identification & ideology												
Male	48	44	55	49	50	41	45	46	48	40	45	45
50 or less	22	18	29	24	21	20	19	33	25	4	17	20
More than 50	26	26	26	26	29	20	26	13	23	36	29	25
Republican	17	15	21	23	33	5	5	18	16	18	19	17
Democratic	15	14	15	16	4	25	10	14	16	9	12	13
Independent, other	13	13	14	9	11	8	22	9	13	12	12	12
Conservative	23	19	32	29	37	11	14	25	21	24	25	23
Moderate; liberal	23	25	19	20	13	29	22	21	26	14	19	21
Female	52	56	45	51	50	59	55	54	52	60	55	55
50 or less	25	26	24	23	26	27	24	35	31	12	21	26
More than 50	27	29	21	28	24	31	31	18	21	47	33	28
Republican	17	15	21	25	32	8	16	13	20	25	21	20
Democratic	20	25	10	19	4	38	9	28	15	18	21	19
Independent, other	11	12	9	2	10	11	18	9	11	13	10	11
Conservative	21	18	28	31	33	15	27	20	21	34	25	25
Moderate; liberal	29	35	16	20	17	42	21	29	30	24	28	28
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>
Years registered to vote												
6 or less	26	27	25	16	18	32	26	100			19	26
7 to 20	45	44	47	44	46	39	51		100		44	43
More than 20	29	29	28	40	36	29	23			100	36	31
Not ascertained				1	0							0
Mean	15	15	15	18	17	15	13	4	13	29	17	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metroplex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Metropolitan classification								
Metropolitan area	87	93	94	62	100	100		85
Micropolitan area	1	3	6	17			44	7
Rural	12	5		21			56	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600
Census Bureau classification								
Urban (MSA)	87	93	94	62	100	100		85
Small town, rural	13	7	6	38			100	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600
Geographic area								
Border				28	7		3	7
Central Corridor	100				21	44	17	20
East Texas				37	5		32	9
Gulf Coast		100			30	27	13	28
Metroplex			100		31	29	11	28
Plains				35	5		24	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	122	167	169	142	507	169	93	600

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Metropolitan classification									
Metropolitan area	95	83	90	74	93	93	99	81	85
Micropolitan area	3	11	1	8	2	4	1	9	7
Rural	2	5	9	18	5	2		10	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600
Census Bureau classification									
Urban (MSA)	95	83	90	74	93	93	99	81	85
Small town, rural	5	17	10	26	7	7	1	19	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600
Geographic area									
Border			1	24	20		36	2	7
Central Corridor	0	4	96	4	15	5	15	21	20
East Texas		7		24	3	13		11	9
Gulf Coast	100		3	14	31	30	25	27	28
Metroplex		89		5	26	46	19	29	28
Plains		0		29	5	6	5	9	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	141	181	112	166	150	41	80	443	600

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45-60	More than 60	Male 18-49	Male 50+	Female 18-49	Female 50+	
Metropolitan classification										
Metropolitan area	86	83	88	83	83	85	87	84	82	85
Micropolitan area	5	8	8	5	8	6	5	8	8	7
Rural	9	9	5	12	9	9	8	8	10	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Census Bureau classification										
Urban (MSA)	86	83	88	83	83	85	87	84	82	85
Small town, rural	14	17	12	17	17	15	13	16	18	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600
Geographic area										
Border	7	7	11	6	3	8	6	9	5	7
Central Corridor	24	18	19	22	20	24	23	16	20	20
East Texas	6	11	10	8	8	5	6	12	10	9
Gulf Coast	29	27	26	28	29	31	27	29	25	28
Metroplex	28	28	31	29	25	27	29	28	29	28
Plains	7	9	3	7	15	5	9	7	12	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	271	329	203	206	188	122	148	157	169	600

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Metropolitan classification									
Metropolitan area	77	84	87	86	87	82	84	87	85
Micropolitan area	9	7	6	8	8	6	6	6	7
Rural	14	8	7	6	5	12	10	6	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Census Bureau classification									
Urban (MSA)	77	84	87	86	87	82	84	87	85
Small town, rural	23	16	13	14	13	18	16	13	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600
Geographic area									
Border	5	7	7	10	8	4	5	12	7
Central Corridor	14	19	23	20	21	21	21	19	20
East Texas	11	16	5	10	9	10	9	8	9
Gulf Coast	35	27	26	23	24	33	31	22	28
Metroplex	23	22	32	32	34	24	26	28	28
Plains	11	9	7	6	5	9	9	11	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	112	151	332	213	196	279	384	121	600

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K-\$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Metropolitan classification						
Metropolitan area	84	78	82	97	97	85
Micropolitan area	8	11	9	3	1	7
Rural	9	11	10	0	3	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600
Census Bureau classification						
Urban (MSA)	84	78	82	97	97	85
Small town, rural	16	22	18	3	3	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600
Geographic area						
Border	5	12	4	2	19	7
Central Corridor	20	10	20	25	17	20
East Texas	9	12	10	4	9	9
Gulf Coast	27	25	29	30	26	28
Metroplex	29	24	28	36	26	28
Plains	9	17	8	3	3	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	513	125	194	119	71	600

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Metropolitan classification							
Metropolitan area	82	78	85	87	84	93	85
Micropolitan area	7	7	7	7	9	1	7
Rural	11	14	8	6	6	6	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Census Bureau classification							
Urban (MSA)	82	78	85	87	84	93	85
Small town, rural	18	22	15	13	16	7	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600
Geographic area							
Border	5	2	9	9	9	8	7
Central Corridor	18	18	18	22	16	37	20
East Texas	8	9	7	9	11	6	9
Gulf Coast	28	28	27	28	29	27	28
Metroplex	28	30	25	28	31	20	28
Plains	13	13	14	4	4	3	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	285	146	139	292	198	95	600

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Metropolitan classification						
Metropolitan area	96	76	81	86	94	85
Micropolitan area	1	11	8	6	4	7
Rural	3	14	11	8	2	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Census Bureau classification						
Urban (MSA)	96	76	81	86	94	85
Small town, rural	4	24	19	14	6	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600
Geographic area						
Border	12	4	6	7	1	7
Central Corridor	10	20	17	19	30	20
East Texas	1	16	12	7	9	9
Gulf Coast	39	27	30	25	29	28
Metroplex	35	23	26	35	28	28
Plains	3	11	9	6	4	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	55	153	208	228	93	600

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Metropolitan classification													
Metropolitan area	83	80	90	83	84	84	84	89	87	95	82	77	85
Micropolitan area	8	8	8	6	7	6	6	5	10	1	8	12	7
Rural	9	11	2	10	9	10	10	6	3	4	10	11	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Census Bureau classification													
Urban (MSA)	83	80	90	83	84	84	84	89	87	95	82	77	85
Small town, rural	17	20	10	17	16	16	16	11	13	5	18	23	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600
Geographic area													
Border	1	1	3	2	1	9	13	8	7	8	4	6	7
Central Corridor	13	10	23	16	12	26	19	24	16	39	27	18	20
East Texas	9	7	14	7	8	9	9	5	4	5	10	15	9
Gulf Coast	30	33	24	28	33	25	26	29	31	25	26	26	28
Metroplex	32	33	29	31	32	26	30	30	39	20	27	24	28
Plains	15	17	8	17	13	6	4	3	3	3	6	11	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	220	161	59	176	104	138	66	195	72	68	213	56	600

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18-49	GOP 50+	Indep 18-49	Indep 50+	Dem 18-49	Dem 50+	
Metropolitan classification													
Metropolitan area	86	80	85	83	89	88	81	84	87	81	87	90	85
Micropolitan area	6	10	7	6	2	8	8	8	5	7	7	4	7
Rural	8	10	9	11	10	4	10	8	9	11	6	6	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Census Bureau classification													
Urban (MSA)	86	80	85	83	89	88	81	84	87	81	87	90	85
Small town, rural	14	20	15	17	11	12	19	16	13	19	13	10	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600
Geographic area													
Border		2	12	6	8	9	1	1	6	12	12	6	7
Central Corridor	20	7	28	23	26	22	12	14	24	26	21	27	20
East Texas	5	12	6	12	5	4	10	8	12	7	2	7	9
Gulf Coast	28	32	23	28	36	25	33	27	31	21	31	27	28
Metroplex	36	28	25	26	21	37	30	33	25	26	34	28	28
Plains	11	18	5	6	3	3	13	16	2	8		6	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	102	118	71	67	80	115	104	115	60	79	87	107	600

	Direction of things		Cost of homeowners' insurance			Total
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Metropolitan classification						
Metropolitan area	85	84	85	82	82	85
Micropolitan area	10	6	5	8	10	7
Rural	6	10	10	10	8	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Census Bureau classification						
Urban (MSA)	85	84	85	82	82	85
Small town, rural	15	16	15	18	18	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600
Geographic area						
Border	4	9	7	5	4	7
Central Corridor	20	17	14	17	29	20
East Texas	9	9	7	10	9	9
Gulf Coast	27	29	29	32	26	28
Metroplex	31	28	33	28	26	28
Plains	7	8	10	7	6	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	215	299	155	144	189	600

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Metropolitan classification											
Metropolitan area	82	90	83	82	88	81	84	89	83	83	85
Micropolitan area	7	4	6	14	7	7	6	3	7	8	7
Rural	11	5	10	4	5	12	10	9	10	8	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Census Bureau classification											
Urban (MSA)	82	90	83	82	88	81	84	89	83	83	85
Small town, rural	18	10	17	18	12	19	16	11	17	17	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600
Geographic area											
Border	7	10	7	6	7	7	5	3	5	4	7
Central Corridor	25	15	22	20	21	23	25	10	24	18	20
East Texas	10	6	9	13	8	10	6	9	7	11	9
Gulf Coast	23	39	27	36	24	28	26	39	28	34	28
Metroplex	30	19	28	20	34	24	32	20	30	24	28
Plains	5	10	7	5	6	8	7	18	6	9	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	304	103	383	65	228	225	347	44	340	72	600

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7-20	More than 20		
Metropolitan classification												
Metropolitan area	84	85	84	80	76	92	88	90	85	80	83	85
Micropolitan area	6	7	3	11	10	3	7	3	7	10	8	7
Rural	10	8	13	9	13	4	6	7	8	11	9	9
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Census Bureau classification												
Urban (MSA)	84	85	84	80	76	92	88	90	85	80	83	85
Small town, rural	16	15	16	20	24	8	12	10	15	20	17	15
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600
Geographic area												
Border	7	5	11	3	4	7	13	5	11	2	4	7
Central Corridor	20	18	23	24	19	24	18	20	22	19	22	20
East Texas	8	9	6	12	10	6	12	9	7	12	9	9
Gulf Coast	29	28	29	26	29	31	16	29	23	33	27	28
Metroplex	29	31	24	28	28	28	30	29	31	23	29	28
Plains	8	8	6	6	10	5	10	8	7	10	9	8
<i>Number of cases</i>	444	307	137	71	251	244	86	155	260	185	441	600

	Geographic area				Metro area			Total
	Central Corridor	Gulf Coast	Metro-plex	Other	MSA	Metro suburbs	Non-MSA	
Media Market								
North/northeast Texas	6	0	100	32	34	29	51	37
Shreveport–Texarkana		0		8	1		8	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	6		95	9	30	29	32	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties			60		20			17
Dallas County			33		11			9
Tarrant County			27		9			8
Sherman–Ada			5		2			1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville				14	2		10	3
Gulf Coast	0	89		0	28	27	8	25
Houston	0	84			26	27	8	23
Harris County		52			17			15
Beaumont–Port Arthur		5		0	2		1	1
South Texas	45	11		18	17	29	14	16
Corpus Christi		6			2		1	2
Victoria		3			1			1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen				16	4		1	4
San Antonio	45	2		1	10	29	11	10
Bexar County	32				8	23		7
Laredo				1	0			0
Central Corridor	49	0		16	15	15	11	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	6			6	3		2	3
Austin	43	0			10	15	1	9
Travis County	22				5			4
San Angelo				1	0			0
Abilene–Sweetwater				10	1		8	2
West Texas/Plains				34	7		16	8
Lawton				3	0		3	1
Odessa–Midland				5	1		3	1
Amarillo				8	1		6	2
Lubbock				8	2		4	2
El Paso				10	3			2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>167</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>142</i>	<i>507</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Media market				Ethnicity				Total
	Houston	DFW	Austin/ S.A.	Other	Minority (all)	Black	Hispanic	White; Anglo	
Media Market									
North/northeast Texas		100		24	26	49	19	41	37
Shreveport–Texarkana				7	1	2		2	2
Dallas–Fort Worth		100			22	46	13	33	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties		56			17	31	12	17	17
Dallas County		31			7	17	3	10	9
Tarrant County		26			9	14	9	7	8
Sherman–Ada				5	3		6	1	1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville				12				5	3
Gulf Coast	100			5	29	29	22	24	25
Houston	100				28	28	22	22	23
Harris County	62				19	28	17	13	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur				5	1	1		1	1
South Texas			52	23	25	2	38	13	16
Corpus Christi				6	2	1	3	1	2
Victoria				3				1	1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen				14	11		21	1	4
San Antonio			52		12	2	13	9	10
Bexar County			35		7	2	13	6	7
Laredo				0	1		1		0
Central Corridor			48	18	7	18	2	16	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan				9	3	10		2	3
Austin			48		3	3	2	10	9
Travis County			24		1			5	4
San Angelo				1				0	0
Abilene–Sweetwater				8	1	4		3	2
West Texas/Plains				29	12	2	18	7	8
Lawton				2				1	1
Odessa–Midland				4	0			1	1
Amarillo				7				3	2
Lubbock				7	3	2	5	2	2
El Paso				8	8		13	0	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>141</i>	<i>181</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>166</i>	<i>150</i>	<i>41</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>443</i>	<i>600</i>

Texas
Insurance

	Sex		Age			Sex & age				Total
	Male	Female	Less than 45	45–60	More than 60	Male 18–49	Male 50+	Female 18–49	Female 50+	
Media Market										
North/northeast Texas	35	38	39	39	32	35	35	38	38	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	2	2	1	3	1	2	2	2	2	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	28	32	33	30	27	30	27	32	32	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	16	18	19	16	16	16	16	17	18	17
Dallas County	8	11	9	8	11	5	10	10	11	9
Tarrant County	8	7	10	8	5	11	6	7	8	8
Sherman–Ada	3	0		4	1	1	3		1	1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	3	4	4	2	4	2	3	4	4	3
Gulf Coast	26	24	25	25	25	28	24	26	22	25
Houston	25	23	24	24	22	28	22	25	19	23
Harris County	15	14	13	15	15	14	16	14	13	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	1	2	1	1	3		2	1	2	1
South Texas	20	13	17	15	17	21	20	10	15	16
Corpus Christi	2	1	1	1	3	3	2	1	1	2
Victoria	1	1	1	1	1		2	1	0	1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	3	5	7	2	2	6	1	5	4	4
San Antonio	14	6	7	11	12	12	16	4	9	10
Bexar County	11	3	4	8	8	6	15	2	4	7
Laredo	0		0			1				0
Central Corridor	10	17	15	13	14	12	9	17	17	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	2	3	2	2	4	2	2	3	3	3
Austin	7	11	12	8	7	8	6	11	10	9
Travis County	3	5	6	3	4	4	3	5	6	4
San Angelo	0				1		1			0
Abilene–Sweetwater	1	3	2	3	3	2	0	3	4	2
West Texas/Plains	8	8	5	8	12	3	12	8	8	8
Lawton	1	1			2		2		1	1
Odessa–Midland	1	1		1	2		2	1	1	1
Amarillo	2	2	1	2	3	1	3	2	2	2
Lubbock	1	3	1	2	4	1	1	1	4	2
El Paso	3	2	3	3	0	1	4	4		2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>271</i>	<i>329</i>	<i>203</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>188</i>	<i>122</i>	<i>148</i>	<i>157</i>	<i>169</i>	<i>600</i>

	Education			Household type					Total
	HS or less	Some college	College graduate	Child (all)	2+ adults, child	2+ adults, no child	No child (all)	Single adult	
Media Market									
North/northeast Texas	34	35	39	41	44	34	34	33	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	5	4	0	1	1	3	2	1	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	25	25	34	33	35	27	28	29	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	12	13	20	17	19	16	17	17	17
Dallas County	8	7	11	11	12	6	8	12	9
Tarrant County	4	6	9	6	7	10	9	5	8
Sherman–Ada		0	2	3	3		0	1	1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	4	5	2	4	4	3	3	2	3
Gulf Coast	29	24	24	21	22	29	27	20	25
Houston	25	23	23	21	22	27	25	20	23
Harris County	18	20	11	14	14	16	15	13	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	4	2	1	0	0	2	2	1	1
South Texas	15	17	16	15	13	16	17	21	16
Corpus Christi	3	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	2
Victoria	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	1	4	5	6	3	1	3	10	4
San Antonio	8	12	10	7	8	12	11	9	10
Bexar County	3	9	7	6	6	7	7	6	7
Laredo			0	0	0				0
Central Corridor	15	14	13	15	13	13	13	18	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	2	5	1	3	1	3	2	5	3
Austin	7	6	10	11	11	7	8	8	9
Travis County	3	2	5	6	7	3	3	4	4
San Angelo	1		0			0	0	1	0
Abilene–Sweetwater	5	2	2	2	1	3	3	4	2
West Texas/Plains	7	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	8
Lawton	1	1	1			1	1	1	1
Odessa–Midland	2	1	1			1	2	2	1
Amarillo	2	3	1	3	2	2	2	2	2
Lubbock	1	2	3	1	2	2	2	2	2
El Paso	2	3	2	4	4	2	2	0	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>112</i>	<i>151</i>	<i>332</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>196</i>	<i>279</i>	<i>384</i>	<i>121</i>	<i>600</i>

	Home ownership & value of home					Total
	Own (all)	Under \$100K	\$100K– \$200K	More than \$200K	Rent; lease	
Media Market						
North/northeast Texas	39	33	41	40	31	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	2	4	1		1	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	32	21	35	36	26	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	17	11	15	24	21	17
Dallas County	9	6	6	17	13	9
Tarrant County	8	6	10	7	8	8
Sherman–Ada	2	6	1			1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	4	3	5	4	3	3
Gulf Coast	24	22	27	27	23	25
Houston	23	19	26	26	20	23
Harris County	14	11	18	14	13	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	1	3	1	1	2	1
South Texas	13	18	9	14	32	16
Corpus Christi	1	1	2	1	3	2
Victoria	1	2	0	2		1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	2	8		1	17	4
San Antonio	8	8	7	10	12	10
Bexar County	6	6	5	9	8	7
Laredo	0			1		0
Central Corridor	15	12	13	16	11	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	2	3	3	1	6	3
Austin	10	3	8	14	5	9
Travis County	5	2	3	9	1	4
San Angelo	0		0	0		0
Abilene–Sweetwater	3	6	2	1	1	2
West Texas/Plains	9	16	10	3	4	8
Lawton	1	1	1	0		1
Odessa–Midland	1	1	2	1		1
Amarillo	2	3	3	1	1	2
Lubbock	2	6	1	0	1	2
El Paso	2	4	4	0	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>513</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>194</i>	<i>119</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>600</i>

	Ideology						Total
	Conser- vative (all)	Very conser- vative	Somewhat conser- vative	Moderate; liberal	Moderate	Liberal	
Media Market							
North/northeast Texas	38	41	34	35	40	24	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	2	1	3	2	2	2	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	31	33	29	28	32	20	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	19	20	19	13	12	14	17
Dallas County	11	13	8	7	9	4	9
Tarrant County	9	7	10	6	4	10	8
Sherman–Ada	1	2		2	3	0	1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	4	7	2	3	3	2	3
Gulf Coast	25	24	26	25	26	23	25
Houston	24	23	25	23	24	22	23
Harris County	14	15	14	15	16	11	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	1	2	1	2	2	2	1
South Texas	16	14	19	16	12	25	16
Corpus Christi	1	2	1	2	2	2	2
Victoria	1	2	0	1	1		1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	4	1	7	4	3	6	4
San Antonio	9	8	10	10	6	17	10
Bexar County	5	3	8	7	5	14	7
Laredo	0		1				0
Central Corridor	11	11	11	17	14	23	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	2	1	2	4	4	3	3
Austin	6	7	4	12	8	20	9
Travis County	3	3	2	6	4	10	4
San Angelo	0	0		0	0		0
Abilene–Sweetwater	4	2	5	1	2		2
West Texas/Plains	10	10	9	7	8	4	8
Lawton	1	1	1	0	0	1	1
Odessa–Midland	1	2	0	1	1	1	1
Amarillo	3	4	3	1	1	1	2
Lubbock	4	3	5	0	0	1	2
El Paso	1	0	1	4	5	1	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>285</i>	<i>146</i>	<i>139</i>	<i>292</i>	<i>198</i>	<i>95</i>	<i>600</i>

	Tea Party					Total
	Member	Friendly	Member+ friendly	Don't care	Hostile; opposed	
Media Market						
North/northeast Texas	36	39	38	40	33	37
Shreveport–Texarkana		2	2	2	4	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	32	28	29	35	28	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	23	14	16	19	21	17
Dallas County	6	9	8	12	10	9
Tarrant County	17	5	8	7	12	8
Sherman–Ada	3	1	2	2		1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	1	7	5	2	2	3
Gulf Coast	38	23	27	23	25	25
Houston	38	21	25	22	23	23
Harris County	23	10	14	15	16	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur		2	2	1	2	1
South Texas	7	14	12	20	19	16
Corpus Christi	1	1	1	1	3	2
Victoria		2	1	1	1	1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	1	1	1	7	1	4
San Antonio	5	10	8	11	14	10
Bexar County	3	6	5	7	13	7
Laredo		1	0			0
Central Corridor	6	15	12	12	21	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan		3	2	2	4	3
Austin	6	8	7	8	15	9
Travis County	1	6	5	4	5	4
San Angelo		0	0	0		0
Abilene–Sweetwater		3	2	2	2	2
West Texas/Plains	13	10	11	5	2	8
Lawton	1	1	1	0		1
Odessa–Midland		3	2	0		1
Amarillo	1	4	3	1	1	2
Lubbock	1	1	1	2	1	2
El Paso	10	1	4	1		2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>153</i>	<i>208</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>93</i>	<i>600</i>

	Party ID, ideology & ethnicity												Total
	GOP (all)	Strong GOP	Not strong GOP	Cons GOP (all)	Very cons GOP	Indep, other (all)	Mod Indep, other	Dem (all)	Mod Dem	Lib Dem	Anglo non-GOP	Cons Anglo non-GOP	
Media Market													
North/northeast Texas	43	43	42	40	42	34	36	34	42	23	36	42	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	2	2	1	2		2	4	1			2	5	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	35	35	35	33	34	25	23	31	38	20	29	31	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	20	20	19	21	20	14	9	18	16	13	15	18	17
Dallas County	11	12	9	11	12	6	5	11	12	2	10	10	9
Tarrant County	9	9	10	10	8	8	4	8	4	12	5	7	8
Sherman–Ada	1	1	1	1	2	3	7	1	1		0	1	1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	5	5	4	4	7	4	2	2	3	3	4	7	3
Gulf Coast	28	29	22	25	29	22	21	26	28	23	22	25	25
Houston	26	28	21	24	28	21	21	24	25	20	21	23	23
Harris County	15	15	15	14	18	14	17	17	22	8	12	9	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	2	1	2	1
South Texas	10	6	20	11	8	22	14	21	18	23	17	9	16
Corpus Christi	1	1	2	1	1	2	3	2		3	2	2	2
Victoria	1	2		2	2			1	3		1		1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	1	1	3	2	1	2	1	7	7	6	2	2	4
San Antonio	6	2	15	6	3	17	9	11	8	14	13	6	10
Bexar County	3	1	11	4	2	13	6	7	7	13	9	2	7
Laredo													0
Central Corridor	11	11	10	12	9	13	17	15	9	27	19	13	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	1	1	4	2	1	3	4	2	2	4	3	2	3
Austin	4	4	4	5	6	8	10	12	7	23	14	8	9
Travis County	1	2		1	2	6	8	5	1	10	7	6	4
San Angelo	1	0	1	0	1								0
Abilene–Sweetwater	4	5	1	5	2	3	3	0			2	3	2
West Texas/Plains	10	11	6	12	11	9	11	4	3	5	5	10	8
Lawton	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1		1	1	1
Odessa–Midland	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	0		1	1	1	1
Amarillo	3	3	3	4	3	2	1	1	1	1	2	5	2
Lubbock	4	5	1	5	3			1	1	1	1	1	2
El Paso						5	9	1		2	1	3	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>220</i>	<i>161</i>	<i>59</i>	<i>176</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>138</i>	<i>66</i>	<i>195</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>68</i>	<i>213</i>	<i>56</i>	<i>600</i>

	Party identification by sex						Party identification by age						Total
	GOP male	GOP female	Indep male	Indep female	Dem male	Dem female	GOP 18–49	GOP 50+	Indep 18–49	Indep 50+	Dem 18–49	Dem 50+	
Media Market													
North/northeast Texas	43	42	33	36	26	40	44	41	34	34	36	34	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	2	2	2	2	2	1		2	4	1	2	1	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	38	33	20	30	22	37	37	34	25	24	34	28	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	21	19	13	15	14	21	18	22	17	11	19	19	17
Dallas County	7	14	4	8	10	11	10	12	6	6	10	12	9
Tarrant County	13	5	8	8	4	11	9	9	12	5	9	7	8
Sherman–Ada	2	1	7			1	2	1		6		1	1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	2	7	4	4	2	2	6	4	5	3		4	3
Gulf Coast	26	28	20	24	30	23	31	25	26	19	30	22	25
Houston	25	27	20	23	29	21	31	22	26	18	28	20	23
Harris County	15	15	12	15	19	16	14	15	16	12	17	16	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	1	2	1	1	1	2		3		1	2	2	1
South Texas	11	9	23	20	30	15	8	12	18	24	21	21	16
Corpus Christi	1	1	3	1	4	1	1	1	3	1	2	2	2
Victoria	1	2			2		2	1				2	1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen		2	2	4	5	9	1	1	3	2	10	5	4
San Antonio	9	3	19	15	19	5	4	8	12	21	9	12	10
Bexar County	7		18	8	12	4	3	4	8	17	3	11	7
Laredo													0
Central Corridor	12	9	10	16	9	19	10	11	20	8	12	17	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	3		1	4	1	3	2	1	3	2		4	3
Austin	6	2	8	9	7	16	2	6	15	3	12	13	9
Travis County	2	1	7	4	1	9		2	11	1	4	7	4
San Angelo	1							1					0
Abilene–Sweetwater	2	7	2	3	1		6	3	2	3		0	2
West Texas/Plains	8	12	13	5	4	3	7	12	2	14	2	6	8
Lawton	2	0		2	1			2		1		1	1
Odessa–Midland	1	3	2			1	2	2		2		1	1
Amarillo	2	3	3	1	1	1	3	3		3		2	2
Lubbock	3	5			1	1	3	5				2	2
El Paso			8	2	2				2	7	2	1	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>102</i>	<i>118</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>67</i>	<i>80</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>104</i>	<i>115</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>79</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>600</i>

	<u>Direction of things</u>		<u>Cost of homeowners' insurance</u>			<u>Total</u>
	Right direction	Wrong track	Much too high	Somewhat too high	About right	
Media Market						
North/northeast Texas	40	37	41	38	36	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	2	2	2	3	1	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	35	29	34	28	31	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	17	17	20	15	14	17
Dallas County	9	10	9	10	7	9
Tarrant County	8	8	12	4	7	8
Sherman–Ada	0	2	4	2	0	1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	3	4	2	5	4	3
Gulf Coast	24	26	24	30	23	25
Houston	23	25	21	30	23	23
Harris County	17	12	15	22	10	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	2	1	3	0	0	1
South Texas	17	14	14	11	17	16
Corpus Christi	2	1	3	1	1	2
Victoria	1	1	1		2	1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	4	4	4		2	4
San Antonio	10	8	6	11	11	10
Bexar County	8	3	4	4	10	7
Laredo					0	0
Central Corridor	14	13	11	12	19	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	3	2	2	2	2	3
Austin	8	8	5	7	15	9
Travis County	4	4	3	4	7	4
San Angelo	0	0		0	0	0
Abilene–Sweetwater	2	3	4	3	1	2
West Texas/Plains	5	10	9	8	5	8
Lawton	1	1		0	2	1
Odessa–Midland	0	1	1	0	1	1
Amarillo	1	2	3	1	2	2
Lubbock	3	1	1	1	1	2
El Paso	0	5	3	5		2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>215</i>	<i>299</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>144</i>	<i>189</i>	<i>600</i>

	PRIOR APPROVAL		DIRECT ELECTION		NEUTRAL REVIEW		UNIFORM STANDARDS		FAIR CLAIMS		Total
	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	App. strong	Dis-app.	
Media Market											
North/northeast Texas	42	24	37	33	40	36	40	29	38	34	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	2		2		2	1	1		2	1	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	33	20	29	28	32	29	33	22	31	31	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	15	14	15	11	17	17	19	13	16	18	17
Dallas County	8	10	9	6	10	9	11	10	10	7	9
Tarrant County	7	5	6	5	8	8	8	3	6	10	8
Sherman–Ada	3		2		3	1	2		2		1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	4	4	4	5	3	5	3	7	3	2	3
Gulf Coast	20	32	24	32	22	23	23	38	24	30	25
Houston	18	31	23	31	21	22	21	37	23	30	23
Harris County	11	18	16	16	13	13	13	14	15	18	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1		1
South Texas	20	19	15	16	15	20	16	9	18	11	16
Corpus Christi	2	1	2	2	1	3	2	1	2	2	2
Victoria	0	4	1			1	1		1		1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	4	4	3	3	4	4	2	3	3	2	4
San Antonio	13	9	10	11	10	11	11	5	12	7	10
Bexar County	9	5	6	7	9	5	8	2	7	3	7
Laredo						0	0		0		0
Central Corridor	14	12	16	14	15	14	15	8	14	16	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	2	2	3	2	4	3	1		2	2	3
Austin	9	7	10	10	10	8	11	6	9	12	9
Travis County	3	4	4	9	4	3	6		4	8	4
San Angelo		1	0			1	0				0
Abilene–Sweetwater	2	3	3	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	2
West Texas/Plains	5	13	8	6	7	8	6	16	6	9	8
Lawton	1	1	1		0	1	0	1	0	2	1
Odessa–Midland	1	1	1	1	2		1	2	1	4	1
Amarillo	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	4	2		2
Lubbock	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	9	1	1	2
El Paso	2	6	3	3	3	3	2		2	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>304</i>	<i>103</i>	<i>383</i>	<i>65</i>	<i>228</i>	<i>225</i>	<i>347</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>340</i>	<i>72</i>	<i>600</i>

	Likelihood of voting for candidate who supports reforms				Governor ballot			Years registered to vote			Most likely voter	Total
	More likely (all)	More likely, strong	More likely, not strong	Less likely	Perry	White	Unsure	6 or less	7–20	More than 20		
Media Market												
North/northeast Texas	37	40	30	43	39	32	43	37	38	35	39	37
Shreveport–Texarkana	2	2	2		2	1	3	1	0	5	2	2
Dallas–Fort Worth	30	31	27	38	33	28	27	30	33	26	31	30
Dallas & Tarrant Counties	17	16	18	20	18	16	17	15	17	18	17	17
Dallas County	9	9	9	11	10	9	8	3	13	9	9	9
Tarrant County	8	8	9	8	8	7	9	12	4	9	7	8
Sherman–Ada	2	2	1		1	0	6	2	2	0	2	1
Tyler–Longview– Jacksonville	3	4	0	6	4	2	6	4	3	4	3	3
Gulf Coast	26	26	24	22	25	29	14	28	22	27	25	25
Houston	24	25	24	22	24	27	13	24	22	26	24	23
Harris County	15	16	13	10	14	17	9	16	9	21	14	15
Beaumont–Port Arthur	1	2	0		1	2	1	4		1	1	1
South Texas	17	10	31	15	14	20	14	13	17	18	15	16
Corpus Christi	1	1	1	4	1	2	3	1	1	3	2	2
Victoria	1	0	3		2	0			1	2	1	1
Harlingen–Weslaco– Brownsville–McAllen	5	3	9	1	1	6	4	5	5	1	2	4
San Antonio	10	6	17	10	10	11	7	7	10	12	11	10
Bexar County	6	5	10	8	5	9	5	5	5	10	8	7
Laredo	0		1		0			1				0
Central Corridor	14	16	8	13	12	16	13	17	14	12	13	14
Waco–Temple–Bryan	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	3	2	4	2	3
Austin	9	10	4	10	6	12	8	11	10	5	9	9
Travis County	3	4	2	9	4	5	3	3	5	4	6	4
San Angelo	0	0		1	0	0			0	0	0	0
Abilene–Sweetwater	2	3	0	1	3	1	2	3	2	3	2	2
West Texas/Plains	7	7	7	6	9	4	17	5	10	7	8	8
Lawton	1	0	1	2	1	0		0	0	1	1	1
Odessa–Midland	1	1	1	2	2	0	1		1	2	1	1
Amarillo	2	2	3		2	1	2	1	2	2	3	2
Lubbock	2	1	2	1	2	1	5	4	2	1	2	2
El Paso	2	2		2	3	1	7		5	1	2	2
<i>Number of cases</i>	<i>444</i>	<i>307</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>71</i>	<i>251</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>155</i>	<i>260</i>	<i>185</i>	<i>441</i>	<i>600</i>