Table of Contents

Question 2. Political Interest 5
Q3. Vote Frequency 10
Q4. MIP (National) 16
Q5. MIP (Texas) 33
Q6. Retrospective Assessment, Obama 52
Q7. Retrospective Assessments, Congress 57
Q8. Rick Perry Approval 63
Q9. Country Direction 69
Q10. National Economy 73
Q11. Texas Direction 79
Q12. Personal Economic Evaluations 83
Q13. Texas Economy Compared to a Year Ago 89
Q14. Children's Future 95
Q15. National Economy, Better off/Worse Off 100
Q16. Money Owed on Credit Cards 104
Q17. Presidential Vote Choice 110
Q18. Senate Vote Choice 115
Q19. Railroad Commission Vote Choice 120
Q20. Incumbent State Representative Support 126

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Q21. 2014 Lt. Governor Primary 130
Q21A. 2014 Rick Perry Support 138
Q22. Tea Party Support 142
Q23. Tea Party Influence 147
Q24. Favorability: Ted Cruz 152
Q25. Favorability: Paul Sadler 158
Q26. Favorability: Barack Obama 163
Q27. Favorability: Mitt Romney 169
Q28. Favorability: Joe Biden 175
Q29. Favorability: Paul Ryan 181
Q30A. Vote Determinant: Religious Faith 187
Q30B. Vote Determinant: Issue Positions 192
Q30C. Vote Determinant: Character 196
Q30D. Vote Determinant: Party 201
Q30E. Vote Determinant: Political Record 207
Q30A2. Vote Determinant 211
Q31. Favorability: Rick Perry 216
Q32. Favorability: Greg Abbot 222
Q33. Texas as a Model 228
Q33A. State Government: Efficiency 234
Q33B. State Government: Dependence on Federal government 239

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Q33C1. State Government: Pensions 1 244
Q33C2. State Government: Pensions 2 249
Q34A. State Government: Corrupt/Honest 254
Q34B. State Government: Careful/Careless 258
Q34C. State Government: Addresses/Ignores Needs 262
Q34D. State Government: Divided/Can Work together 266
Q35A. Federal Government: Corrupt/Honest 270
Q35B. Federal Government: Careful/Careless 275
Q35C. Federal Government: Addresses/Ignores Needs 279
Q35D. Federal Government: Divided/Can Work together 283
Q37. Most trusted branch of federal government 288
Q38. Voter ID 293
Q39. Gay Marriage 297
Q40. In-State Tuition for Illegal Immigrants 301
Q41A. Police Enforcement of Immigration Laws 306
Q41B. Immigrants and Taxes 312
Q41C. Immigration Control 317
Q41D. Immigrants Enrich Texas 323
Q42. K-12 Education Quality 329
Q43. K-12 Education Spending 335
Q44. Public University Spending 339

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Q45. Anti-Tax Pledges 344
Q46. Voting Rights Act Attitude 348
Q47. Frequency of Contact with Non-English Speakers 352
Q49A. Politicians should follow advice of scientists 357
Q49B. Gut instinct as good as the advice of scientists 363
Q49C. Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence 368
Q49D. Scientists/Academics are not hostile to people of faith 373
Q49E. Scientists/Academics are not concerned about moral implications of research 378
Q49F. University professors are liberal 384
Q49G. Economists are conservative 389
Q49H. Scientists are liberal 394

Question 2. Political Interest

-> tabulation of Q2 by libcon

Political interest	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Extremely interested	30	45	41	84	53	126	98	477
	83.33	63.38	55.41	39.44	48.18	76.36	79.67	60.23
Somewhat interested	5	22	30	95	45	35	23	255
	13.89	30.99	40.54	44.60	40.91	21.21	18.70	32.20
Not very interested	1	3	2	23	7	2	0	38
	2.78	4.23	2.70	10.80	6.36	1.21	0.00	4.80
Not at all interested	0	0	1	8	5	2	2	18
	0.00	0.00	1.35	3.76	4.55	1.21	1.63	2.27
Don't know	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	4
	0.00	1.41	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51
Total	36	71	74	213	110	165	123	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by pid7

Political interest	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Party ID Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Extremely interested	110	21	34	35	89	23	155	4	471
	58.51	36.84	55.74	55.56	72.36	35.38	74.88	22.22	60.23
Somewhat interested	67	31	22	14	31	28	47	10	250
	35.64	54.39	36.07	22.22	25.20	43.08	22.71	55.56	31.97
Not very interested	8	3	3	8	2	10	3	1	38
	4.26	5.26	4.92	12.70	1.63	15.38	1.45	5.56	4.86
Not at all interested	2	2	1	5	1	3	2	3	19
	1.06	3.51	1.64	7.94	0.81	4.62	0.97	16.67	2.43
Don't know	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	4

	0.53	0.00	1.64	1.59	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.51
Total	188	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by Q22

Political interest	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Extremely interested	73	169	141	97	480
	58.40	59.09	78.77	46.63	60.15
Somewhat interested	45	104	36	72	257
	36.00	36.36	20.11	34.62	32.21
Not very interested	2	10	2	24	38
	1.60	3.50	1.12	11.54	4.76
Not at all interested	5	2	0	12	19
	4.00	0.70	0.00	5.77	2.38
Don't know	0	1	0	3	4
	0.00	0.35	0.00	1.44	0.50
Total	125	286	179	208	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by educ

Political interest	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Extremely interested	16	120	135	47	113	49	480
	59.26	52.40	61.36	53.41	69.33	69.01	60.15
Somewhat interested	9	83	70	34	40	21	257
	33.33	36.24	31.82	38.64	24.54	29.58	32.21
Not very interested	0	16	10	5	6	1	38
	0.00	6.99	4.55	5.68	3.68	1.41	4.76
Not at all interested	2	7	4	2	4	0	19
	7.41	3.06	1.82	2.27	2.45	0.00	2.38

Don't know	0	3	1	0	0	0	4
	0.00	1.31	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
Total	27	229	220	88	163	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by attend

	Religion participation					
Political interest	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Extremely interested	85	90	60	144	96	475
	59.03	56.60	63.16	64.86	56.14	60.05
Somewhat interested	50	61	30	58	56	255
	34.72	38.36	31.58	26.13	32.75	32.24
Not very interested	4	5	3	13	13	38
	2.78	3.14	3.16	5.86	7.60	4.80
Not at all interested	5	3	2	5	4	19
	3.47	1.89	2.11	2.25	2.34	2.40
Don't know	0	0	0	2	2	4
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	1.17	0.51
Total	144	159	95	222	171	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by race

	Race								
Political interest	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Extremely interested	318	54	83	4	3	5	13	0	480
	61.87	48.65	63.85	80.00	50.00	31.25	86.67	0.00	60.15
Somewhat interested	156	48	39	0	2	10	1	1	257
	30.35	43.24	30.00	0.00	33.33	62.50	6.67	100.00	32.21
Not very interested	28	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	38
	5.45	4.50	3.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.76
Not at all interested	10	3	3	1	0	1	1	0	19
	1.95	2.70	2.31	20.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	2.38

Don't know	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
	0.39	0.90	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
Total	514	111	130	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Political interest	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Extremely interested	319	6	58	21	52	23	479
	64.57	50.00	55.77	58.33	46.43	58.97	60.10
Somewhat interested	146	6	34	13	47	11	257
	29.55	50.00	32.69	36.11	41.96	28.21	32.25
Not very interested	19	0	8	0	6	5	38
	3.85	0.00	7.69	0.00	5.36	12.82	4.77
Not at all interested	9	0	3	1	6	0	19
	1.82	0.00	2.88	2.78	5.36	0.00	2.38
Don't know	1	0	1	1	1	0	4
	0.20	0.00	0.96	2.78	0.89	0.00	0.50
Total	494	12	104	36	112	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by gender

	Gender		
Political interest	Male	Female	Total
Extremely interested	268	212	480
	72.04	49.77	60.15
Somewhat interested	94	163	257
	25.27	38.26	32.21
Not very interested	6	32	38
	1.61	7.51	4.76
Not at all interested	4	15	19

	1.08	3.52	2.38
Don't know	0	4	4
	0.00	0.94	0.50
Total	372	426	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by locate

	Community size			
Political interest	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Extremely interested	116	269	94	479
	56.59	64.82	53.11	60.10
Somewhat interested	68	120	69	257
	33.17	28.92	38.98	32.25
Not very interested	11	19	8	38
	5.37	4.58	4.52	4.77
Not at all interested	9	5	5	19
	4.39	1.20	2.82	2.38
Don't know	1	2	1	4
	0.49	0.48	0.56	0.50
Total	205	415	177	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q2 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Political interest	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Extremely interested	95	152	53	49	128	477
	61.69	65.24	67.09	59.04	52.03	60.00
Somewhat interested	51	62	23	32	89	257
	33.12	26.61	29.11	38.55	36.18	32.33
Not very interested	6	13	2	2	15	38
	3.90	5.58	2.53	2.41	6.10	4.78
Not at all interested	1	5	1	0	12	19

	0.65	2.15	1.27	0.00	4.88	2.39
Don't know	1	1	0	0	2	4
	0.65	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.50
Total	154	233	79	83	246	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q3. Vote Frequency

-> tabulation of Q3 by libcon

	Ideology							
Political interest	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Every election	12	23	23	60	32	71	69	290
	32.43	32.39	30.67	28.17	29.09	43.03	56.56	36.57
Almost every election	19	32	32	68	52	71	44	318
	51.35	45.07	42.67	31.92	47.27	43.03	36.07	40.10
About half	2	5	9	27	12	10	6	71
	5.41	7.04	12.00	12.68	10.91	6.06	4.92	8.95
One or two	4	7	6	34	5	6	2	64
	10.81	9.86	8.00	15.96	4.55	3.64	1.64	8.07
None	0	4	5	18	8	7	1	43
	0.00	5.63	6.67	8.45	7.27	4.24	0.82	5.42
Don't know	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	7
	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.82	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.88
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	122	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Texas Vote Freq	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Every election	65	10	20	15	53	19	102	2	286
	34.21	17.54	32.79	23.81	43.09	29.23	49.28	11.11	36.48

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Almost every election	83	27	22	21	51	28	79	5	316
	43.68	47.37	36.07	33.33	41.46	43.08	38.16	27.78	40.31
About half	18	5	6	9	8	8	15	1	70
	9.47	8.77	9.84	14.29	6.50	12.31	7.25	5.56	8.93
One or two	19	8	6	7	7	5	5	5	62
	10.00	14.04	9.84	11.11	5.69	7.69	2.42	27.78	7.91
None	5	7	7	7	3	4	6	4	43
	2.63	12.28	11.48	11.11	2.44	6.15	2.90	22.22	5.48
Don't know	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	1	7
	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.35	0.81	1.54	0.00	5.56	0.89
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Texas Vote Freq	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Every election	54	95	84	60	293
	43.20	32.99	47.19	28.85	36.67
Almost every election	51	125	68	77	321
	40.80	43.40	38.20	37.02	40.18
About half	10	24	14	23	71
	8.00	8.33	7.87	11.06	8.89
One or two	4	30	7	23	64
	3.20	10.42	3.93	11.06	8.01
None	6	13	5	19	43
	4.80	4.51	2.81	9.13	5.38
Don't know	0	1	0	6	7
	0.00	0.35	0.00	2.88	0.88
Total	125	288	178	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by educ

Texas Vote Freq	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Every election	5	73	80	30	70	35	293
	18.52	31.74	36.36	34.48	42.68	49.30	36.67
Almost every election	14	95	89	31	66	26	321
	51.85	41.30	40.45	35.63	40.24	36.62	40.18
About half	1	16	19	12	17	6	71
	3.70	6.96	8.64	13.79	10.37	8.45	8.89
One or two	4	25	17	7	8	3	64
	14.81	10.87	7.73	8.05	4.88	4.23	8.01
None	3	15	15	7	2	1	43
	11.11	6.52	6.82	8.05	1.22	1.41	5.38
Don't know	0	6	0	0	1	0	7
	0.00	2.61	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.88
Total	27	230	220	87	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by attend

Texas Vote Freq	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Every election	52	71	39	73	53	288
	36.11	44.65	41.05	32.88	30.81	36.36
Almost every election	64	59	31	96	70	320
	44.44	37.11	32.63	43.24	40.70	40.40
About half	12	16	13	15	14	70
	8.33	10.06	13.68	6.76	8.14	8.84
One or two	9	9	8	21	17	64
	6.25	5.66	8.42	9.46	9.88	8.08
None	6	3	4	15	15	43
	4.17	1.89	4.21	6.76	8.72	5.43
Don't know	1	1	0	2	3	7

	0.69	0.63	0.00	0.90	1.74	0.88
Total	144	159	95	222	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by race

	Race								
Texas Vote Freq	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Every election	202	35	42	4	2	3	5	0	293
	39.30	31.25	32.31	80.00	33.33	18.75	33.33	0.00	36.67
Almost every election	205	42	57	0	1	7	9	0	321
	39.88	37.50	43.85	0.00	16.67	43.75	60.00	0.00	40.18
About half	43	12	14	0	0	1	0	1	71
	8.37	10.71	10.77	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	100.00	8.89
One or two	32	16	10	0	2	3	1	0	64
	6.23	14.29	7.69	0.00	33.33	18.75	6.67	0.00	8.01
None	27	7	6	1	1	1	0	0	43
	5.25	6.25	4.62	20.00	16.67	6.25	0.00	0.00	5.38
Don't know	5	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	7
	0.97	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.88
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Texas Vote Freq	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Every election	203	4	37	10	32	7	293
	40.93	33.33	35.92	27.78	28.57	17.95	36.72
Almost every election	198	4	43	19	40	16	320
	39.92	33.33	41.75	52.78	35.71	41.03	40.10
About half	43	1	7	1	13	6	71
	8.67	8.33	6.80	2.78	11.61	15.38	8.90
One or two	30	0	10	5	13	6	64

	6.05	0.00	9.71	13.89	11.61	15.38	8.02
None	18	3	5	1	12	4	43
	3.63	25.00	4.85	2.78	10.71	10.26	5.39
Don't know	4	0	1	0	2	0	7
	0.81	0.00	0.97	0.00	1.79	0.00	0.88
Total	496	12	103	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by gender

	Gender		
Texas Vote Freq	Male	Female	Total
Every election	158	135	293
	42.47	31.62	36.67
Almost every election	155	166	321
	41.67	38.88	40.18
About half	24	47	71
	6.45	11.01	8.89
One or two	20	44	64
	5.38	10.30	8.01
None	15	28	43
	4.03	6.56	5.38
Don't know	0	7	7
	0.00	1.64	0.88
Total	372	427	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by locate

	Community size			
Texas Vote Freq	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Every election	81	145	67	293
	39.32	34.94	37.85	36.72
Almost every election	76	176	69	321

	36.89	42.41	38.98	40.23
About half	15	44	11	70
	7.28	10.60	6.21	8.77
One or two	17	30	17	64
	8.25	7.23	9.60	8.02
None	14	17	12	43
	6.80	4.10	6.78	5.39
Don't know	3	3	1	7
	1.46	0.72	0.56	0.88
Total	206	415	177	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q3 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Texas Vote Freq	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Every election	58	79	33	34	88	292
	37.18	33.91	41.77	41.46	35.77	36.68
Almost every election	70	93	32	31	93	319
	44.87	39.91	40.51	37.80	37.80	40.08
About half	15	23	8	7	18	71
	9.62	9.87	10.13	8.54	7.32	8.92
One or two	6	23	4	4	27	64
	3.85	9.87	5.06	4.88	10.98	8.04
None	6	14	1	6	16	43
	3.85	6.01	1.27	7.32	6.50	5.40
Don't know	1	1	1	0	4	7
	0.64	0.43	1.27	0.00	1.63	0.88
Total	156	233	79	82	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q4. MIP (National)

```
. tab2 Q4 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q4 by libcon
```

Most Important Problem	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
The economy	9	18	21	58	35	74	26	241
	24.32	25.35	28.00	27.23	31.82	44.85	21.14	30.35
Federal spending/nati	0	1	5	27	25	40	36	134
	0.00	1.41	6.67	12.68	22.73	24.24	29.27	16.88
Unemployment/jobs	7	14	8	47	10	14	15	115
	18.92	19.72	10.67	22.07	9.09	8.48	12.20	14.48
Political corruption/	2	6	3	9	14	14	12	60
	5.41	8.45	4.00	4.23	12.73	8.48	9.76	7.56
Health care	3	7	3	17	3	2	9	44
	8.11	9.86	4.00	7.98	2.73	1.21	7.32	5.54
Moral decline	0	0	1	2	5	11	6	25
	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.94	4.55	6.67	4.88	3.15
Partisan gridlock	2	15	16	8	2	1	1	45
	5.41	21.13	21.33	3.76	1.82	0.61	0.81	5.67
Income inequality	3	1	5	4	0	0	0	13
	8.11	1.41	6.67	1.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.64
Gas prices	2	1	2	8	2	0	0	15
	5.41	1.41	2.67	3.76	1.82	0.00	0.00	1.89
Education	4	0	2	6	2	0	1	15
	10.81	0.00	2.67	2.82	1.82	0.00	0.81	1.89
Social welfare progra	0	1	1	4	4	1	1	12
	0.00	1.41	1.33	1.88	3.64	0.61	0.81	1.51
Immigration	1	1	1	5	0	4	1	13
	2.70	1.41	1.33	2.35	0.00	2.42	0.81	1.64
Taxes	0	3	2	2	2	0	2	11
	0.00	4.23	2.67	0.94	1.82	0.00	1.63	1.39

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Energy	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	4
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.91	1.21	0.00	0.50
Gay marriage	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	5
	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.91	0.00	1.63	0.63
Environment	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	4
	2.70	1.41	1.33	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
The media	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.38
Border security	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	3.25	0.63
National security/ter	0	0	0	3	1	2	1	7
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41	0.91	1.21	0.81	0.88
Abortion	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	6
	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.94	0.00	0.00	2.44	0.76
Crime and drugs	1	0	0	5	2	0	1	9
	2.70	0.00	0.00	2.35	1.82	0.00	0.81	1.13
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	3
	0.00	2.82	1.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Iraq	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Foreign trade	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.13
Voting system	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3
	0.00	0.00	2.67	0.00	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by pid7

Most Important Problem	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
The economy	46	18	12	15	42	24	78	2	237
	24.21	31.58	19.67	23.81	34.15	36.92	37.68	11.11	30.23

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Federal spending/nati	5	9	4	10	33	19	48	5	133
	2.63	15.79	6.56	15.87	26.83	29.23	23.19	27.78	16.96
Unemployment/jobs	39	8	8	14	8	7	27	4	115
	20.53	14.04	13.11	22.22	6.50	10.77	13.04	22.22	14.67
Political corruption/	10	3	3	8	17	3	14	0	58
	5.26	5.26	4.92	12.70	13.82	4.62	6.76	0.00	7.40
Health care	17	3	4	4	6	1	7	2	44
	8.95	5.26	6.56	6.35	4.88	1.54	3.38	11.11	5.61
Moral decline	0	0	1	2	3	5	13	0	24
	0.00	0.00	1.64	3.17	2.44	7.69	6.28	0.00	3.06
Partisan gridlock	27	4	9	2	1	1	1	0	45
	14.21	7.02	14.75	3.17	0.81	1.54	0.48	0.00	5.74
Income inequality	8	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	13
	4.21	3.51	3.28	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.66
Gas prices	6	2	4	0	0	1	0	0	13
	3.16	3.51	6.56	0.00	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	1.66
Education	3	3	6	1	0	0	1	1	15
	1.58	5.26	9.84	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.48	5.56	1.91
Social welfare progra	2	1	0	1	1	2	3	2	12
	1.05	1.75	0.00	1.59	0.81	3.08	1.45	11.11	1.53
Immigration	3	1	3	0	3	0	3	0	13
	1.58	1.75	4.92	0.00	2.44	0.00	1.45	0.00	1.66
Taxes	6	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	11
	3.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.44	1.54	0.48	0.00	1.40
Energy	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	4
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.51
Gay marriage	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	5
	0.53	1.75	0.00	3.17	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.00	0.64
Environment	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
	0.53	1.75	3.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51
The media	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3
	0.00	0.00	1.64	1.59	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Border security	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	1.45	5.56	0.64
National security/ter	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	7
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63	1.54	1.45	5.56	0.89
Abortion	1	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	6
	0.53	0.00	0.00	3.17	0.00	0.00	1.45	0.00	0.77
Crime and drugs	6	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	9
	3.16	1.75	3.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.15
Afghanistan/Pakistan	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	1.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Iraq	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Foreign trade	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Voting system	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	1.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by Q22

Most Important Problem	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
The economy	40	73	58	72	243
	32.00	25.35	32.40	34.62	30.38
Federal spending/nati	24	10	55	47	136
	19.20	3.47	30.73	22.60	17.00
Unemployment/jobs	23	53	19	20	115
	18.40	18.40	10.61	9.62	14.37
Political corruption/	6	19	21	15	61
	4.80	6.60	11.73	7.21	7.62
Health care	9	25	2	8	44
	7.20	8.68	1.12	3.85	5.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Moral decline	7	1	9	9	26
	5.60	0.35	5.03	4.33	3.25
Partisan gridlock	0	39	0	6	45
	0.00	13.54	0.00	2.88	5.62
Income inequality	0	13	0	0	13
	0.00	4.51	0.00	0.00	1.62
Gas prices	1	10	0	4	15
	0.80	3.47	0.00	1.92	1.88
Education	1	10	1	3	15
	0.80	3.47	0.56	1.44	1.88
Social welfare progra	2	3	2	5	12
	1.60	1.04	1.12	2.40	1.50
Immigration	1	5	4	3	13
	0.80	1.74	2.23	1.44	1.62
Taxes	1	6	2	2	11
	0.80	2.08	1.12	0.96	1.38
Energy	2	1	1	0	4
	1.60	0.35	0.56	0.00	0.50
Gay marriage	1	1	0	3	5
	0.80	0.35	0.00	1.44	0.62
Environment	0	4	0	0	4
	0.00	1.39	0.00	0.00	0.50
The media	1	1	0	1	3
	0.80	0.35	0.00	0.48	0.38
Border security	2	0	1	2	5
	1.60	0.00	0.56	0.96	0.62
National security/ter	3	0	1	3	7
	2.40	0.00	0.56	1.44	0.88
Abortion	0	1	3	2	6
	0.00	0.35	1.68	0.96	0.75
Crime and drugs	0	6	0	3	9
	0.00	2.08	0.00	1.44	1.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	3	0	0	3
	0.00	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.38
Iraq	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.12
Foreign trade	1	0	0	0	1
	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12
Voting system	0	3	0	0	3
	0.00	1.04	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by educ

Most Important Problem	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The economy	6	76	58	24	57	22	243
	22.22	33.04	26.36	27.27	34.76	30.99	30.38
Federal spending/nati	1	41	31	10	41	12	136
	3.70	17.83	14.09	11.36	25.00	16.90	17.00
Unemployment/jobs	5	36	38	11	15	10	115
	18.52	15.65	17.27	12.50	9.15	14.08	14.37
Political corruption/	2	16	26	8	6	3	61
	7.41	6.96	11.82	9.09	3.66	4.23	7.62
Health care	4	17	10	3	8	2	44
	14.81	7.39	4.55	3.41	4.88	2.82	5.50
Moral decline	2	9	6	3	3	3	26
	7.41	3.91	2.73	3.41	1.83	4.23	3.25
Partisan gridlock	2	8	17	3	7	8	45
	7.41	3.48	7.73	3.41	4.27	11.27	5.62
Income inequality	0	1	5	1	5	1	13
	0.00	0.43	2.27	1.14	3.05	1.41	1.62
Gas prices	1	3	5	4	2	0	15
	3.70	1.30	2.27	4.55	1.22	0.00	1.88

Education	0	2	3	1	7	2	15
	0.00	0.87	1.36	1.14	4.27	2.82	1.88
Social welfare progra	0	6	2	2	1	1	12
	0.00	2.61	0.91	2.27	0.61	1.41	1.50
Immigration	1	2	3	5	2	0	13
	3.70	0.87	1.36	5.68	1.22	0.00	1.62
Taxes	0	4	0	4	0	3	11
	0.00	1.74	0.00	4.55	0.00	4.23	1.38
Energy	0	1	2	1	0	0	4
	0.00	0.43	0.91	1.14	0.00	0.00	0.50
Gay marriage	0	1	1	1	2	0	5
	0.00	0.43	0.45	1.14	1.22	0.00	0.62
Environment	0	1	1	0	1	1	4
	0.00	0.43	0.45	0.00	0.61	1.41	0.50
The media	0	0	1	0	2	0	3
	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.00	1.22	0.00	0.38
Border security	1	1	0	0	1	2	5
	3.70	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.61	2.82	0.62
National security/ter	0	1	3	2	1	0	7
	0.00	0.43	1.36	2.27	0.61	0.00	0.88
Abortion	1	1	2	1	1	0	6
	3.70	0.43	0.91	1.14	0.61	0.00	0.75
Crime and drugs	1	1	4	3	0	0	9
	3.70	0.43	1.82	3.41	0.00	0.00	1.12
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	2	1	0	0	0	3
	0.00	0.87	0.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Iraq	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.12
Foreign trade	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.12
Voting system	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
	0.00	0.00	0.45	1.14	0.00	1.41	0.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by attend

Most Important Problem	Religion participation More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
The economy	29	59	33	69	50	240
	20.14	37.11	34.74	30.94	29.07	30.26
Federal spending/nati	29	26	17	37	26	135
	20.14	16.35	17.89	16.59	15.12	17.02
Unemployment/jobs	20	26	8	30	31	115
	13.89	16.35	8.42	13.45	18.02	14.50
Political corruption/	12	13	7	15	13	60
	8.33	8.18	7.37	6.73	7.56	7.57
Health care	11	5	4	11	13	44
	7.64	3.14	4.21	4.93	7.56	5.55
Moral decline	14	4	3	4	1	26
	9.72	2.52	3.16	1.79	0.58	3.28
Partisan gridlock	5	5	7	17	10	44
	3.47	3.14	7.37	7.62	5.81	5.55
Income inequality	2	0	1	4	6	13
	1.39	0.00	1.05	1.79	3.49	1.64
Gas prices	3	6	0	5	1	15
	2.08	3.77	0.00	2.24	0.58	1.89
Education	0	3	0	3	9	15
	0.00	1.89	0.00	1.35	5.23	1.89
Social welfare progra	1	3	2	4	2	12
	0.69	1.89	2.11	1.79	1.16	1.51
Immigration	1	1	4	3	3	12
	0.69	0.63	4.21	1.35	1.74	1.51
Taxes	2	3	0	5	1	11
	1.39	1.89	0.00	2.24	0.58	1.39

Energy	1	1	1	1	0	4
	0.69	0.63	1.05	0.45	0.00	0.50
Gay marriage	4	1	0	0	0	5
	2.78	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
Environment	0	0	2	1	1	4
	0.00	0.00	2.11	0.45	0.58	0.50
The media	1	0	0	2	0	3
	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.38
Border security	1	0	2	1	1	5
	0.69	0.00	2.11	0.45	0.58	0.63
National security/ter	1	1	1	3	1	7
	0.69	0.63	1.05	1.35	0.58	0.88
Abortion	4	1	0	1	0	6
	2.78	0.63	0.00	0.45	0.00	0.76
Crime and drugs	2	1	2	3	1	9
	1.39	0.63	2.11	1.35	0.58	1.13
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	0	0	3	0	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.35	0.00	0.38
Iraq	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.13
Foreign trade	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Voting system	0	0	1	1	1	3
	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.45	0.58	0.38
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by race

Most Important Problem	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
The economy	166	27	37	2	0	8	2	1	243
	32.23	24.11	28.46	40.00	0.00	50.00	13.33	100.00	30.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Federal spending/nati	110	3	13	0	2	2	6	0	136
	21.36	2.68	10.00	0.00	33.33	12.50	40.00	0.00	17.00
Unemployment/jobs	65	23	24	0	2	0	1	0	115
	12.62	20.54	18.46	0.00	33.33	0.00	6.67	0.00	14.37
Political corruption/	39	7	11	0	1	1	2	0	61
	7.57	6.25	8.46	0.00	16.67	6.25	13.33	0.00	7.62
Health care	24	9	9	0	0	2	0	0	44
	4.66	8.04	6.92	0.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	5.50
Moral decline	21	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	26
	4.08	0.89	3.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.25
Partisan gridlock	24	13	8	0	0	0	0	0	45
	4.66	11.61	6.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.62
Income inequality	8	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	13
	1.55	2.68	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.62
Gas prices	4	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	15
	0.78	6.25	3.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.88
Education	9	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	15
	1.75	2.68	0.77	20.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	1.88
Social welfare progra	10	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	12
	1.94	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.50
Immigration	6	3	2	0	0	0	2	0	13
	1.17	2.68	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	0.00	1.62
Taxes	3	1	6	1	0	0	0	0	11
	0.58	0.89	4.62	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.38
Energy	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
	0.39	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
Gay marriage	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
	0.39	1.79	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.62
Environment	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
The media	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	0.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Border security	3	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	5
	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	0.62
National security/ter	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	7
	1.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.88
Abortion	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.75
Crime and drugs	1	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	9
	0.19	4.46	2.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.12
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.00	1.79	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Iraq	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12
Foreign trade	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12
Voting system	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.19	1.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by marstat

Most Important Problem	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
The economy	157	3	21	12	40	9	242
	31.65	25.00	20.19	33.33	35.71	23.08	30.29
Federal spending/nati	92	2	13	7	19	3	136
	18.55	16.67	12.50	19.44	16.96	7.69	17.02
Unemployment/jobs	63	3	19	4	19	7	115
	12.70	25.00	18.27	11.11	16.96	17.95	14.39
Political corruption/	38	1	9	5	5	3	61
	7.66	8.33	8.65	13.89	4.46	7.69	7.63
Health care	27	0	8	4	2	3	44
	5.44	0.00	7.69	11.11	1.79	7.69	5.51

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Moral decline	20	0	4	0	1	1	26
	4.03	0.00	3.85	0.00	0.89	2.56	3.25
Partisan gridlock	25	0	13	1	3	3	45
	5.04	0.00	12.50	2.78	2.68	7.69	5.63
Income inequality	7	0	1	0	4	1	13
	1.41	0.00	0.96	0.00	3.57	2.56	1.63
Gas prices	6	0	6	0	2	1	15
	1.21	0.00	5.77	0.00	1.79	2.56	1.88
Education	8	1	1	0	4	1	15
	1.61	8.33	0.96	0.00	3.57	2.56	1.88
Social welfare progra	8	1	1	0	1	1	12
	1.61	8.33	0.96	0.00	0.89	2.56	1.50
Immigration	10	0	0	1	1	1	13
	2.02	0.00	0.00	2.78	0.89	2.56	1.63
Taxes	7	0	3	0	1	0	11
	1.41	0.00	2.88	0.00	0.89	0.00	1.38
Energy	3	0	0	0	0	1	4
	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.56	0.50
Gay marriage	4	0	0	0	1	0	5
	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.63
Environment	2	0	0	0	1	1	4
	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	2.56	0.50
The media	1	0	1	0	1	0	3
	0.20	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.38
Border security	3	0	1	0	1	0	5
	0.60	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.63
National security/ter	3	1	2	0	0	1	7
	0.60	8.33	1.92	0.00	0.00	2.56	0.88
Abortion	6	0	0	0	0	0	6
	1.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75
Crime and drugs	2	0	1	1	3	2	9
	0.40	0.00	0.96	2.78	2.68	5.13	1.13

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Afghanistan/Pakistan	1	0	0	0	2	0	3
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.79	0.00	0.38
Iraq	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.13
Foreign trade	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Voting system	2	0	0	1	0	0	3
	0.40	0.00	0.00	2.78	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by gender

Most Important Problem	Gender: Male	Female	Total
The economy	106	137	243
	28.42	32.08	30.38
Federal spending/nati	85	51	136
	22.79	11.94	17.00
Unemployment/jobs	47	68	115
	12.60	15.93	14.37
Political corruption/	31	30	61
	8.31	7.03	7.62
Health care	15	29	44
	4.02	6.79	5.50
Moral decline	13	13	26
	3.49	3.04	3.25
Partisan gridlock	25	20	45
	6.70	4.68	5.62
Income inequality	6	7	13
	1.61	1.64	1.62

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Gas prices	4	11	15
	1.07	2.58	1.88
Education	7	8	15
	1.88	1.87	1.88
Social welfare progra	3	9	12
	0.80	2.11	1.50
Immigration	5	8	13
	1.34	1.87	1.62
Taxes	4	7	11
	1.07	1.64	1.38
Energy	3	1	4
	0.80	0.23	0.50
Gay marriage	2	3	5
	0.54	0.70	0.62
Environment	1	3	4
	0.27	0.70	0.50
The media	1	2	3
	0.27	0.47	0.38
Border security	2	3	5
	0.54	0.70	0.62
National security/ter	3	4	7
	0.80	0.94	0.88
Abortion	4	2	6
	1.07	0.47	0.75
Crime and drugs	3	6	9
	0.80	1.41	1.12
Afghanistan/Pakistan	2	1	3
	0.54	0.23	0.38
Iraq	0	1	1
	0.00	0.23	0.12
Foreign trade	0	1	1
	0.00	0.23	0.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Voting system	1	2	3
	0.27	0.47	0.38
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by locate

Most Important Problem	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
The economy	59	133	50	242
	28.64	32.05	28.09	30.29
Federal spending/nati	24	73	39	136
	11.65	17.59	21.91	17.02
Unemployment/jobs	39	56	20	115
	18.93	13.49	11.24	14.39
Political corruption/	8	34	19	61
	3.88	8.19	10.67	7.63
Health care	11	22	11	44
	5.34	5.30	6.18	5.51
Moral decline	4	14	8	26
	1.94	3.37	4.49	3.25
Partisan gridlock	16	20	9	45
	7.77	4.82	5.06	5.63
Income inequality	6	6	1	13
	2.91	1.45	0.56	1.63
Gas prices	6	7	2	15
	2.91	1.69	1.12	1.88
Education	6	7	2	15
	2.91	1.69	1.12	1.88
Social welfare progra	2	5	5	12
	0.97	1.20	2.81	1.50
Immigration	4	6	3	13
	1.94	1.45	1.69	1.63

Taxes	3	7	1	11
	1.46	1.69	0.56	1.38
Energy	1	2	1	4
	0.49	0.48	0.56	0.50
Gay marriage	1	1	3	5
	0.49	0.24	1.69	0.63
Environment	1	2	1	4
	0.49	0.48	0.56	0.50
The media	0	2	1	3
	0.00	0.48	0.56	0.38
Border security	2	3	0	5
	0.97	0.72	0.00	0.63
National security/ter	2	4	1	7
	0.97	0.96	0.56	0.88
Abortion	2	3	1	6
	0.97	0.72	0.56	0.75
Crime and drugs	4	5	0	9
	1.94	1.20	0.00	1.13
Afghanistan/Pakistan	2	1	0	3
	0.97	0.24	0.00	0.38
Iraq	1	0	0	1
	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.13
Foreign trade	1	0	0	1
	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.13
Voting system	1	2	0	3
	0.49	0.48	0.00	0.38
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q4 by metro

Most Important Problem	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	

The economy	42	73	23	24	79	241
	26.92	31.33	29.11	28.92	32.11	30.24
Federal spending/nati	30	41	14	14	37	136
	19.23	17.60	17.72	16.87	15.04	17.06
Unemployment/jobs	22	34	10	12	36	114
	14.10	14.59	12.66	14.46	14.63	14.30
Political corruption/	11	16	5	6	23	61
	7.05	6.87	6.33	7.23	9.35	7.65
Health care	13	11	3	5	12	44
	8.33	4.72	3.80	6.02	4.88	5.52
Moral decline	5	7	2	3	9	26
	3.21	3.00	2.53	3.61	3.66	3.26
Partisan gridlock	13	16	3	4	9	45
	8.33	6.87	3.80	4.82	3.66	5.65
Income inequality	6	1	1	3	2	13
	3.85	0.43	1.27	3.61	0.81	1.63
Gas prices	2	3	2	2	6	15
	1.28	1.29	2.53	2.41	2.44	1.88
Education	0	4	3	4	4	15
	0.00	1.72	3.80	4.82	1.63	1.88
Social welfare progra	1	3	0	1	7	12
	0.64	1.29	0.00	1.20	2.85	1.51
Immigration	2	5	1	0	5	13
	1.28	2.15	1.27	0.00	2.03	1.63
Taxes	2	2	3	2	2	11
	1.28	0.86	3.80	2.41	0.81	1.38
Energy	1	0	1	0	2	4
	0.64	0.00	1.27	0.00	0.81	0.50
Gay marriage	3	0	1	0	1	5
	1.92	0.00	1.27	0.00	0.41	0.63
Environment	0	2	1	1	0	4
	0.00	0.86	1.27	1.20	0.00	0.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

The media	0	2	0	0	1	3
	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.38
Border security	0	1	1	1	2	5
	0.00	0.43	1.27	1.20	0.81	0.63
National security/ter	1	3	2	0	1	7
	0.64	1.29	2.53	0.00	0.41	0.88
Abortion	0	3	1	1	1	6
	0.00	1.29	1.27	1.20	0.41	0.75
Crime and drugs	1	3	1	0	4	9
	0.64	1.29	1.27	0.00	1.63	1.13
Afghanistan/Pakistan	0	0	1	0	2	3
	0.00	0.00	1.27	0.00	0.81	0.38
Iraq	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Foreign trade	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Voting system	0	2	0	0	1	3
	0.00	0.86	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.38
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q5. MIP (Texas)

```
. tab2 Q5 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q5 by libcon
```

Most Important problem, Texas	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Immigration	1	2	2	21	25	37	29	117
	2.70	2.82	2.67	9.95	22.73	22.56	23.58	14.79
The economy	3	3	4	24	14	22	14	84
	8.11	4.23	5.33	11.37	12.73	13.41	11.38	10.62

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Unemployment/jobs	3	7	2	26	10	10	7	65
	8.11	9.86	2.67	12.32	9.09	6.10	5.69	8.22
Border security	1	0	4	21	15	42	38	121
	2.70	0.00	5.33	9.95	13.64	25.61	30.89	15.30
Education	6	10	16	21	9	12	5	79
	16.22	14.08	21.33	9.95	8.18	7.32	4.07	9.99
Political corruption/	7	16	17	19	8	1	5	73
	18.92	22.54	22.67	9.00	7.27	0.61	4.07	9.23
State budget cuts	3	12	6	11	0	4	0	36
	8.11	16.90	8.00	5.21	0.00	2.44	0.00	4.55
Gas prices	0	2	3	10	6	5	2	28
	0.00	2.82	4.00	4.74	5.45	3.05	1.63	3.54
Health care	1	6	8	14	1	1	1	32
	2.70	8.45	10.67	6.64	0.91	0.61	0.81	4.05
State government spen	3	1	1	5	2	1	2	15
	8.11	1.41	1.33	2.37	1.82	0.61	1.63	1.90
Crime and drugs	2	0	0	9	4	1	2	18
	5.41	0.00	0.00	4.27	3.64	0.61	1.63	2.28
Moral decline	0	0	1	2	4	7	3	17
	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.95	3.64	4.27	2.44	2.15
Taxes	0	2	2	5	1	3	0	13
	0.00	2.82	2.67	2.37	0.91	1.83	0.00	1.64
Water supply	1	0	1	5	1	3	2	13
	2.70	0.00	1.33	2.37	0.91	1.83	1.63	1.64
Gay marriage	2	1	0	1	0	1	1	6
	5.41	1.41	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.61	0.81	0.76
Energy	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	5
	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.00	2.73	0.61	0.00	0.63
Environment	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	3
	0.00	2.82	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Transportation/roads/	1	2	1	0	2	2	0	8
	2.70	2.82	1.33	0.00	1.82	1.22	0.00	1.01

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Abortion	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	7
	0.00	0.00	1.33	1.90	0.00	0.00	1.63	0.88
Social welfare progra	0	1	2	5	3	6	2	19
	0.00	1.41	2.67	2.37	2.73	3.66	1.63	2.40
Insurance rates	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	5
	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.00	0.91	0.00	2.44	0.63
The media	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.61	2.44	0.63
Voting system	0	0	1	3	0	2	0	6
	0.00	0.00	1.33	1.42	0.00	1.22	0.00	0.76
Redistricting	3	2	2	1	1	2	0	11
	8.11	2.82	2.67	0.47	0.91	1.22	0.00	1.39
Utility rates	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	1.63	0.38
Total	37	71	75	211	110	164	123	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by pid7

Most Important problem, Texas	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Immigration	5	4	3	9	34	18	44	1	118
	2.65	7.02	4.92	14.29	28.10	27.69	21.26	5.56	15.11
The economy	19	6	3	3	10	10	30	3	84
	10.05	10.53	4.92	4.76	8.26	15.38	14.49	16.67	10.76
Unemployment/jobs	19	4	3	7	6	9	12	3	63
	10.05	7.02	4.92	11.11	4.96	13.85	5.80	16.67	8.07
Border security	7	2	1	11	31	10	54	2	118
	3.70	3.51	1.64	17.46	25.62	15.38	26.09	11.11	15.11
Education	26	8	15	4	9	4	10	2	78
	13.76	14.04	24.59	6.35	7.44	6.15	4.83	11.11	9.99

Political corruption/	34	9	17	5	3	0	3	0	71
	17.99	15.79	27.87	7.94	2.48	0.00	1.45	0.00	9.09
State budget cuts	19	5	2	5	0	1	2	1	35
	10.05	8.77	3.28	7.94	0.00	1.54	0.97	5.56	4.48
Gas prices	7	6	3	2	2	1	8	0	29
	3.70	10.53	4.92	3.17	1.65	1.54	3.86	0.00	3.71
Health care	14	6	4	2	3	0	1	2	32
	7.41	10.53	6.56	3.17	2.48	0.00	0.48	11.11	4.10
State government spen	4	0	0	3	3	0	2	2	14
	2.12	0.00	0.00	4.76	2.48	0.00	0.97	11.11	1.79
Crime and drugs	6	2	2	2	1	0	5	0	18
	3.17	3.51	3.28	3.17	0.83	0.00	2.42	0.00	2.30
Moral decline	1	0	1	0	4	3	8	0	17
	0.53	0.00	1.64	0.00	3.31	4.62	3.86	0.00	2.18
Taxes	3	2	1	3	2	0	2	0	13
	1.59	3.51	1.64	4.76	1.65	0.00	0.97	0.00	1.66
Water supply	1	1	1	1	2	2	4	0	12
	0.53	1.75	1.64	1.59	1.65	3.08	1.93	0.00	1.54
Gay marriage	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	6
	0.53	0.00	1.64	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.97	5.56	0.77
Energy	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.48	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.64
Environment	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
	0.53	0.00	1.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.56	0.38
Transportation/roads/	4	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	8
	2.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.65	1.54	0.48	0.00	1.02
Abortion	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	7
	0.53	0.00	1.64	3.17	0.00	1.54	0.97	0.00	0.90
Social welfare progra	4	1	1	1	2	3	6	0	18
	2.12	1.75	1.64	1.59	1.65	4.62	2.90	0.00	2.30
Insurance rates	2	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	5
	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.83	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.64

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

The media	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.59	1.65	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.64
Voting system	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6
	2.12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.77
Redistricting	6	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	11
	3.17	0.00	1.64	1.59	0.83	1.54	0.48	0.00	1.41
Utility rates	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
	0.00	1.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.26
Electoral fraud	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.38
Total	189	57	61	63	121	65	207	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by Q22

Most Important problem, Texas	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Immigration	26	8	48	36	118
	20.80	2.79	26.97	17.39	14.81
The economy	19	24	13	28	84
	15.20	8.36	7.30	13.53	10.54
Unemployment/jobs	13	25	11	16	65
	10.40	8.71	6.18	7.73	8.16
Border security	23	9	52	40	124
	18.40	3.14	29.21	19.32	15.56
Education	10	48	9	12	79
	8.00	16.72	5.06	5.80	9.91
Political corruption/	1	62	5	5	73
	0.80	21.60	2.81	2.42	9.16
State budget cuts	3	22	1	10	36
	2.40	7.67	0.56	4.83	4.52
Gas prices	6	12	2	9	29
	4.80	4.18	1.12	4.35	3.64

Health care	2	25	1	5	33
	1.60	8.71	0.56	2.42	4.14
State government spen	2	5	1	7	15
	1.60	1.74	0.56	3.38	1.88
Crime and drugs	5	7	0	6	18
	4.00	2.44	0.00	2.90	2.26
Moral decline	1	2	8	6	17
	0.80	0.70	4.49	2.90	2.13
Taxes	1	5	2	5	13
	0.80	1.74	1.12	2.42	1.63
Water supply	2	3	5	3	13
	1.60	1.05	2.81	1.45	1.63
Gay marriage	1	1	1	3	6
	0.80	0.35	0.56	1.45	0.75
Energy	1	0	2	2	5
	0.80	0.00	1.12	0.97	0.63
Environment	0	2	0	1	3
	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.48	0.38
Transportation/roads/	2	3	2	1	8
	1.60	1.05	1.12	0.48	1.00
Abortion	0	3	2	2	7
	0.00	1.05	1.12	0.97	0.88
Social welfare progra	2	5	7	5	19
	1.60	1.74	3.93	2.42	2.38
Insurance rates	1	2	0	2	5
	0.80	0.70	0.00	0.97	0.63
The media	2	1	2	0	5
	1.60	0.35	1.12	0.00	0.63
Voting system	1	4	1	0	6
	0.80	1.39	0.56	0.00	0.75
Redistricting	1	7	1	2	11
	0.80	2.44	0.56	0.97	1.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Utility rates	0	1	0	1	2
	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.48	0.25
Electoral fraud	0	1	2	0	3
	0.00	0.35	1.12	0.00	0.38
Total	125	287	178	207	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by educ

Most Important problem, Texas	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Immigration	2	39	27	13	24	13	118
	7.69	16.96	12.33	14.77	14.63	18.57	14.81
The economy	5	27	22	11	12	7	84
	19.23	11.74	10.05	12.50	7.32	10.00	10.54
Unemployment/jobs	4	19	20	7	12	3	65
	15.38	8.26	9.13	7.95	7.32	4.29	8.16
Border security	3	41	32	13	25	10	124
	11.54	17.83	14.61	14.77	15.24	14.29	15.56
Education	1	12	24	2	28	12	79
	3.85	5.22	10.96	2.27	17.07	17.14	9.91
Political corruption/	1	12	33	7	10	10	73
	3.85	5.22	15.07	7.95	6.10	14.29	9.16
State budget cuts	0	4	11	4	13	4	36
	0.00	1.74	5.02	4.55	7.93	5.71	4.52
Gas prices	0	11	11	3	4	0	29
	0.00	4.78	5.02	3.41	2.44	0.00	3.64
Health care	4	15	8	1	5	0	33
	15.38	6.52	3.65	1.14	3.05	0.00	4.14
State government spen	1	7	2	1	4	0	15
	3.85	3.04	0.91	1.14	2.44	0.00	1.88
Crime and drugs	1	8	2	4	3	0	18
	3.85	3.48	0.91	4.55	1.83	0.00	2.26

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Moral decline	0	1	6	3	5	2	17
	0.00	0.43	2.74	3.41	3.05	2.86	2.13
Taxes	0	6	4	2	1	0	13
	0.00	2.61	1.83	2.27	0.61	0.00	1.63
Water supply	0	5	3	2	3	0	13
	0.00	2.17	1.37	2.27	1.83	0.00	1.63
Gay marriage	1	1	1	1	2	0	6
	3.85	0.43	0.46	1.14	1.22	0.00	0.75
Energy	0	1	1	2	0	1	5
	0.00	0.43	0.46	2.27	0.00	1.43	0.63
Environment	1	1	0	0	1	0	3
	3.85	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.38
Transportation/roads/	0	1	2	4	1	0	8
	0.00	0.43	0.91	4.55	0.61	0.00	1.00
Abortion	1	1	3	0	1	1	7
	3.85	0.43	1.37	0.00	0.61	1.43	0.88
Social welfare progra	1	11	1	2	2	2	19
	3.85	4.78	0.46	2.27	1.22	2.86	2.38
Insurance rates	0	1	0	1	3	0	5
	0.00	0.43	0.00	1.14	1.83	0.00	0.63
The media	0	2	0	0	1	2	5
	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.61	2.86	0.63
Voting system	0	1	2	1	0	2	6
	0.00	0.43	0.91	1.14	0.00	2.86	0.75
Redistricting	0	1	3	4	2	1	11
	0.00	0.43	1.37	4.55	1.22	1.43	1.38
Utility rates	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
	0.00	0.43	0.46	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.38
Total	26	230	219	88	164	70	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q5 by attend

Most Important problem, Texas	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Immigration	19	33	19	27	20	118
	13.29	20.75	20.43	12.11	11.63	14.94
The economy	12	21	11	20	19	83
	8.39	13.21	11.83	8.97	11.05	10.51
Unemployment/jobs	12	13	9	15	15	64
	8.39	8.18	9.68	6.73	8.72	8.10
Border security	20	28	11	40	22	121
	13.99	17.61	11.83	17.94	12.79	15.32
Education	11	10	10	27	21	79
	7.69	6.29	10.75	12.11	12.21	10.00
Political corruption/	11	13	7	20	22	73
	7.69	8.18	7.53	8.97	12.79	9.24
State budget cuts	3	6	4	14	9	36
	2.10	3.77	4.30	6.28	5.23	4.56
Gas prices	6	5	2	11	5	29
	4.20	3.14	2.15	4.93	2.91	3.67
Health care	9	6	2	11	5	33
	6.29	3.77	2.15	4.93	2.91	4.18
State government spen	4	1	1	3	6	15
	2.80	0.63	1.08	1.35	3.49	1.90
Crime and drugs	1	1	1	11	4	18
	0.70	0.63	1.08	4.93	2.33	2.28
Moral decline	10	4	2	1	0	17
	6.99	2.52	2.15	0.45	0.00	2.15
Taxes	1	4	2	4	2	13
	0.70	2.52	2.15	1.79	1.16	1.65
Water supply	4	2	3	1	3	13
	2.80	1.26	3.23	0.45	1.74	1.65

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Gay marriage	1	1	2	2	0	6
	0.70	0.63	2.15	0.90	0.00	0.76
Energy	1	1	0	3	0	5
	0.70	0.63	0.00	1.35	0.00	0.63
Environment	0	0	0	0	3	3
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.74	0.38
Transportation/roads/	0	2	3	2	1	8
	0.00	1.26	3.23	0.90	0.58	1.01
Abortion	4	1	0	2	0	7
	2.80	0.63	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.89
Social welfare progra	4	1	3	3	7	18
	2.80	0.63	3.23	1.35	4.07	2.28
Insurance rates	4	0	0	0	1	5
	2.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.63
The media	2	0	0	2	1	5
	1.40	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.58	0.63
Voting system	1	2	0	2	1	6
	0.70	1.26	0.00	0.90	0.58	0.76
Redistricting	1	3	1	0	5	10
	0.70	1.89	1.08	0.00	2.91	1.27
Utility rates	0	0	0	2	0	2
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	2	1	0	0	0	3
	1.40	0.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	143	159	93	223	172	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by race

Most Important problem, Texas	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Immigration	93	10	11	1	1	0	2	0	118
	18.09	8.93	8.53	20.00	16.67	0.00	14.29	0.00	14.81

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

The economy	53	11	16	0	0	4	0	0	84
	10.31	9.82	12.40	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	10.54
Unemployment/jobs	34	20	10	0	0	1	0	0	65
	6.61	17.86	7.75	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	8.16
Border security	89	7	18	1	0	3	5	1	124
	17.32	6.25	13.95	20.00	0.00	18.75	35.71	100.00	15.56
Education	51	11	13	1	1	1	1	0	79
	9.92	9.82	10.08	20.00	16.67	6.25	7.14	0.00	9.91
Political corruption/	35	16	17	0	1	1	3	0	73
	6.81	14.29	13.18	0.00	16.67	6.25	21.43	0.00	9.16
State budget cuts	22	6	6	0	1	1	0	0	36
	4.28	5.36	4.65	0.00	16.67	6.25	0.00	0.00	4.52
Gas prices	18	3	6	0	1	0	1	0	29
	3.50	2.68	4.65	0.00	16.67	0.00	7.14	0.00	3.64
Health care	17	8	5	0	1	2	0	0	33
	3.31	7.14	3.88	0.00	16.67	12.50	0.00	0.00	4.14
State government spen	11	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	15
	2.14	2.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	0.00	1.88
Crime and drugs	10	0	7	0	0	1	0	0	18
	1.95	0.00	5.43	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	2.26
Moral decline	13	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	17
	2.53	1.79	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.13
Taxes	8	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	13
	1.56	3.57	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63
Water supply	11	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	13
	2.14	0.89	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63
Gay marriage	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6
	0.97	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75
Energy	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
	0.78	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
Environment	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.39	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Transportation/roads/	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	8
	0.78	0.00	2.33	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	1.00
Abortion	4	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	7
	0.78	0.89	0.78	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.88
Social welfare progra	17	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	19
	3.31	0.89	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.38
Insurance rates	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
	0.58	0.89	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
The media	2	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	5
	0.39	0.00	0.78	20.00	0.00	0.00	7.14	0.00	0.63
Voting system	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	0.39	3.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75
Redistricting	4	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	11
	0.78	0.89	4.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.38
Utility rates	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
	0.00	0.89	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.39	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	514	112	129	5	6	16	14	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by marstat

Most Important problem, Texas	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Immigration	89	0	7	9	10	3	118
	18.02	0.00	6.80	25.00	8.93	7.69	14.82
The economy	50	2	9	2	16	5	84
	10.12	16.67	8.74	5.56	14.29	12.82	10.55
Unemployment/jobs	33	0	16	2	12	2	65
	6.68	0.00	15.53	5.56	10.71	5.13	8.17
Border security	88	3	15	4	11	3	124
	17.81	25.00	14.56	11.11	9.82	7.69	15.58

Education	56	1	6	2	11	3	79
	11.34	8.33	5.83	5.56	9.82	7.69	9.92
Political corruption/	38	1	13	4	9	8	73
	7.69	8.33	12.62	11.11	8.04	20.51	9.17
State budget cuts	13	0	6	2	14	0	35
	2.63	0.00	5.83	5.56	12.50	0.00	4.40
Gas prices	19	0	5	0	5	0	29
	3.85	0.00	4.85	0.00	4.46	0.00	3.64
Health care	15	2	9	4	1	2	33
	3.04	16.67	8.74	11.11	0.89	5.13	4.15
State government spen	10	0	2	0	3	0	15
	2.02	0.00	1.94	0.00	2.68	0.00	1.88
Crime and drugs	8	0	2	3	2	3	18
	1.62	0.00	1.94	8.33	1.79	7.69	2.26
Moral decline	16	0	1	0	0	0	17
	3.24	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.14
Taxes	4	0	4	1	2	2	13
	0.81	0.00	3.88	2.78	1.79	5.13	1.63
Water supply	7	1	2	0	3	0	13
	1.42	8.33	1.94	0.00	2.68	0.00	1.63
Gay marriage	2	0	0	1	3	0	6
	0.40	0.00	0.00	2.78	2.68	0.00	0.75
Energy	3	0	0	0	0	2	5
	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.13	0.63
Environment	1	0	0	0	1	1	3
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	2.56	0.38
Transportation/roads/	6	1	0	0	1	0	8
	1.21	8.33	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	1.01
Abortion	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
	1.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.88
Social welfare progra	10	1	4	0	2	2	19
	2.02	8.33	3.88	0.00	1.79	5.13	2.39

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Insurance rates	5	0	0	0	0	0	5
	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
The media	4	0	0	0	1	0	5
	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.63
Voting system	3	0	1	1	1	0	6
	0.61	0.00	0.97	2.78	0.89	0.00	0.75
Redistricting	4	0	1	1	2	3	11
	0.81	0.00	0.97	2.78	1.79	7.69	1.38
Utility rates	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.79	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	0.61	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	494	12	103	36	112	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by gender

Most Important problem, Texas	Gender Male	Female	Total
Immigration	55	63	118
	14.86	14.75	14.81
The economy	31	53	84
	8.38	12.41	10.54
Unemployment/jobs	29	36	65
	7.84	8.43	8.16
Border security	64	60	124
	17.30	14.05	15.56
Education	39	40	79
	10.54	9.37	9.91
Political corruption/	36	37	73
	9.73	8.67	9.16

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

State budget cuts	16	20	36
	4.32	4.68	4.52
Gas prices	12	17	29
	3.24	3.98	3.64
Health care	5	28	33
	1.35	6.56	4.14
State government spen	4	11	15
	1.08	2.58	1.88
Crime and drugs	8	10	18
	2.16	2.34	2.26
Moral decline	8	9	17
	2.16	2.11	2.13
Taxes	7	6	13
	1.89	1.41	1.63
Water supply	8	5	13
	2.16	1.17	1.63
Gay marriage	4	2	6
	1.08	0.47	0.75
Energy	3	2	5
	0.81	0.47	0.63
Environment	0	3	3
	0.00	0.70	0.38
Transportation/roads/	6	2	8
	1.62	0.47	1.00
Abortion	4	3	7
	1.08	0.70	0.88
Social welfare progra	12	7	19
	3.24	1.64	2.38
Insurance rates	3	2	5
	0.81	0.47	0.63
The media	3	2	5
	0.81	0.47	0.63

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Voting system	2	4	6
	0.54	0.94	0.75
Redistricting	7	4	11
	1.89	0.94	1.38
Utility rates	2	0	2
	0.54	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	2	1	3
	0.54	0.23	0.38
Total	370	427	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by locate

Most Important problem, Texas	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Immigration	15	76	27	118
	7.32	18.40	15.17	14.82
The economy	23	41	20	84
	11.22	9.93	11.24	10.55
Unemployment/jobs	17	37	11	65
	8.29	8.96	6.18	8.17
Border security	24	64	36	124
	11.71	15.50	20.22	15.58
Education	25	43	11	79
	12.20	10.41	6.18	9.92
Political corruption/	20	42	11	73
	9.76	10.17	6.18	9.17
State budget cuts	13	18	5	36
	6.34	4.36	2.81	4.52
Gas prices	5	13	11	29
	2.44	3.15	6.18	3.64
Health care	8	14	11	33
	3.90	3.39	6.18	4.15

State government spen	6	4	5	15
	2.93	0.97	2.81	1.88
Crime and drugs	11	6	1	18
	5.37	1.45	0.56	2.26
Moral decline	3	10	4	17
	1.46	2.42	2.25	2.14
Taxes	5	5	3	13
	2.44	1.21	1.69	1.63
Water supply	1	6	6	13
	0.49	1.45	3.37	1.63
Gay marriage	2	3	1	6
	0.98	0.73	0.56	0.75
Energy	1	2	2	5
	0.49	0.48	1.12	0.63
Environment	1	2	0	3
	0.49	0.48	0.00	0.38
Transportation/roads/	3	3	2	8
	1.46	0.73	1.12	1.01
Abortion	3	3	1	7
	1.46	0.73	0.56	0.88
Social welfare progra	5	8	5	18
	2.44	1.94	2.81	2.26
Insurance rates	2	2	1	5
	0.98	0.48	0.56	0.63
The media	3	1	1	5
	1.46	0.24	0.56	0.63
Voting system	3	3	0	6
	1.46	0.73	0.00	0.75
Redistricting	5	4	2	11
	2.44	0.97	1.12	1.38
Utility rates	1	1	0	2
	0.49	0.24	0.00	0.25

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Electoral fraud	0	2	1	3
	0.00	0.48	0.56	0.38
Total	205	413	178	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q5 by metro

Most Important problem, Texas	Metropolitan area Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Immigration	27	39	14	3	34	117
	17.31	16.88	17.72	3.61	13.88	14.74
The economy	17	27	5	9	26	84
	10.90	11.69	6.33	10.84	10.61	10.58
Unemployment/jobs	14	18	3	3	27	65
	8.97	7.79	3.80	3.61	11.02	8.19
Border security	19	34	9	10	52	124
	12.18	14.72	11.39	12.05	21.22	15.62
Education	9	29	12	15	14	79
	5.77	12.55	15.19	18.07	5.71	9.95
Political corruption/	20	18	9	8	17	72
	12.82	7.79	11.39	9.64	6.94	9.07
State budget cuts	7	9	3	6	11	36
	4.49	3.90	3.80	7.23	4.49	4.53
Gas prices	7	9	0	1	12	29
	4.49	3.90	0.00	1.20	4.90	3.65
Health care	11	10	3	3	6	33
	7.05	4.33	3.80	3.61	2.45	4.16
State government spen	2	3	2	1	6	14
	1.28	1.30	2.53	1.20	2.45	1.76
Crime and drugs	5	2	4	0	7	18
	3.21	0.87	5.06	0.00	2.86	2.27
Moral decline	4	2	2	6	3	17
	2.56	0.87	2.53	7.23	1.22	2.14

Taxes	1	3	0	5	4	13
	0.64	1.30	0.00	6.02	1.63	1.64
Water supply	1	5	2	0	5	13
	0.64	2.16	2.53	0.00	2.04	1.64
Gay marriage	2	1	1	0	2	6
	1.28	0.43	1.27	0.00	0.82	0.76
Energy	0	0	2	1	2	5
	0.00	0.00	2.53	1.20	0.82	0.63
Environment	0	1	1	1	0	3
	0.00	0.43	1.27	1.20	0.00	0.38
Transportation/roads/	0	1	2	3	2	8
	0.00	0.43	2.53	3.61	0.82	1.01
Abortion	0	4	1	1	1	7
	0.00	1.73	1.27	1.20	0.41	0.88
Social welfare progra	3	6	2	2	6	19
	1.92	2.60	2.53	2.41	2.45	2.39
Insurance rates	2	1	0	0	2	5
	1.28	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.82	0.63
The media	0	2	1	1	1	5
	0.00	0.87	1.27	1.20	0.41	0.63
Voting system	1	1	0	2	2	6
	0.64	0.43	0.00	2.41	0.82	0.76
Redistricting	2	4	0	2	3	11
	1.28	1.73	0.00	2.41	1.22	1.39
Utility rates	1	1	0	0	0	2
	0.64	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.25
Electoral fraud	1	1	1	0	0	3
	0.64	0.43	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.38
Total	156	231	79	83	245	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q6. Retrospective Assessment, Obama

```
. tab2 Q6 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q6 by libcon

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Approve strongly	23	39	34	54	7	2	9	168
	62.16	54.93	45.33	25.35	6.36	1.21	7.32	21.16
Approve somewhat	10	28	34	49	9	3	2	135
	27.03	39.44	45.33	23.00	8.18	1.82	1.63	17.00
Neither approve nor d	1	3	3	23	5	0	0	35
	2.70	4.23	4.00	10.80	4.55	0.00	0.00	4.41
Disapprove somewhat	1	1	2	23	10	11	0	48
	2.70	1.41	2.67	10.80	9.09	6.67	0.00	6.05
Disapprove strongly	2	0	2	59	79	149	111	402
	5.41	0.00	2.67	27.70	71.82	90.30	90.24	50.63
Don't know	0	0	0	5	0	0	1	6
	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.35	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.76
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by pid7

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Approve strongly	122	16	17	6	2	0	0	0	163
	64.21	28.07	27.87	9.52	1.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.79
Approve somewhat	56	26	33	15	3	1	0	1	135
	29.47	45.61	54.10	23.81	2.44	1.54	0.00	5.56	17.22
Neither approve nor d	8	6	4	8	2	5	1	1	35
	4.21	10.53	6.56	12.70	1.63	7.69	0.48	5.56	4.46
Disapprove somewhat	2	3	4	9	5	12	8	5	48
	1.05	5.26	6.56	14.29	4.07	18.46	3.86	27.78	6.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Disapprove strongly	1	6	3	24	111	45	198	9	397
	0.53	10.53	4.92	38.10	90.24	69.23	95.65	50.00	50.64
Don't know	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	6
	0.53	0.00	0.00	1.59	0.00	3.08	0.00	11.11	0.77
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by Q22

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Approve strongly	1	154	0	13	168
	0.80	53.47	0.00	6.25	21.00
Approve somewhat	0	105	2	28	135
	0.00	36.46	1.12	13.46	16.88
Neither approve nor d	3	18	2	12	35
	2.40	6.25	1.12	5.77	4.38
Disapprove somewhat	11	8	8	21	48
	8.80	2.78	4.47	10.10	6.00
Disapprove strongly	109	3	167	129	408
	87.20	1.04	93.30	62.02	51.00
Don't know	1	0	0	5	6
	0.80	0.00	0.00	2.40	0.75
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by educ

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Approve strongly	7	34	70	20	21	16	168
	25.93	14.78	31.82	22.73	12.80	22.54	21.00
Approve somewhat	6	23	41	14	37	14	135

	22.22	10.00	18.64	15.91	22.56	19.72	16.88
Neither approve nor d	1	19	7	3	3	2	35
	3.70	8.26	3.18	3.41	1.83	2.82	4.38
Disapprove somewhat	0	10	15	6	13	4	48
	0.00	4.35	6.82	6.82	7.93	5.63	6.00
Disapprove strongly	12	140	87	45	89	35	408
	44.44	60.87	39.55	51.14	54.27	49.30	51.00
Don't know	1	4	0	0	1	0	6
	3.70	1.74	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.75
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by attend

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Religion participation More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Approve strongly	30	26	14	49	49	168
	20.83	16.35	14.74	21.97	28.49	21.19
Approve somewhat	12	22	21	41	38	134
	8.33	13.84	22.11	18.39	22.09	16.90
Neither approve nor d	3	7	3	14	8	35
	2.08	4.40	3.16	6.28	4.65	4.41
Disapprove somewhat	7	8	9	12	12	48
	4.86	5.03	9.47	5.38	6.98	6.05
Disapprove strongly	91	95	47	106	63	402
	63.19	59.75	49.47	47.53	36.63	50.69
Don't know	1	1	1	1	2	6
	0.69	0.63	1.05	0.45	1.16	0.76
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by race

Retrospective | Race

Assessments, Obama	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Approve strongly	51	73	38	2	0	3	1	0	168
	9.90	65.18	29.23	40.00	0.00	18.75	6.67	0.00	21.00
Approve somewhat	66	25	34	0	2	7	1	0	135
	12.82	22.32	26.15	0.00	33.33	43.75	6.67	0.00	16.88
Neither approve nor d	23	6	5	0	1	0	0	0	35
	4.47	5.36	3.85	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38
Disapprove somewhat	36	3	7	1	0	1	0	0	48
	6.99	2.68	5.38	20.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	6.00
Disapprove strongly	334	4	46	2	3	5	13	1	408
	64.85	3.57	35.38	40.00	50.00	31.25	86.67	100.00	51.00
Don't know	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	0.97	0.89	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by marstat

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Approve strongly	79	0	38	9	26	16	168
	15.93	0.00	36.54	25.00	23.21	41.03	21.03
Approve somewhat	64	4	20	5	34	7	134
	12.90	33.33	19.23	13.89	30.36	17.95	16.77
Neither approve nor d	17	0	7	1	7	3	35
	3.43	0.00	6.73	2.78	6.25	7.69	4.38
Disapprove somewhat	20	3	7	1	13	4	48
	4.03	25.00	6.73	2.78	11.61	10.26	6.01
Disapprove strongly	312	5	31	20	31	9	408
	62.90	41.67	29.81	55.56	27.68	23.08	51.06
Don't know	4	0	1	0	1	0	6
	0.81	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.75
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by gender

        Retrospective |        Gender
   Assessments, Obama |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
----------------------+----------------------+----------
     Approve strongly |        70         98 |       168
                      |     18.77      22.95 |     21.00
----------------------+----------------------+----------
     Approve somewhat |        60         75 |       135
                      |     16.09      17.56 |     16.88
----------------------+----------------------+----------
Neither approve nor d |         9         26 |        35
                      |      2.41       6.09 |      4.38
----------------------+----------------------+----------
  Disapprove somewhat |        18         30 |        48
                      |      4.83       7.03 |      6.00
----------------------+----------------------+----------
  Disapprove strongly |       216        192 |       408
                      |     57.91      44.96 |     51.00
----------------------+----------------------+----------
           Don't know |         0          6 |         6
                      |      0.00       1.41 |      0.75
----------------------+----------------------+----------
                Total |       373        427 |       800
                      |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by locate

        Retrospective |          Community size
   Assessments, Obama |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
     Approve strongly |        64         81         23 |       168
                      |     31.07      19.52      12.92 |     21.03
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
     Approve somewhat |        41         73         21 |       135
                      |     19.90      17.59      11.80 |     16.90
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
Neither approve nor d |        14         14          7 |        35
                      |      6.80       3.37       3.93 |      4.38
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
  Disapprove somewhat |        16         24          8 |        48
                      |      7.77       5.78       4.49 |      6.01
```

Disapprove strongly	68	221	118	407
	33.01	53.25	66.29	50.94
Don't know	3	2	1	6
	1.46	0.48	0.56	0.75
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q6 by metro

Retrospective Assessments, Obama	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Approve strongly	40	57	15	15	41	168
	25.64	24.46	18.99	18.07	16.67	21.08
Approve somewhat	28	37	14	20	36	135
	17.95	15.88	17.72	24.10	14.63	16.94
Neither approve nor d	10	10	1	4	10	35
	6.41	4.29	1.27	4.82	4.07	4.39
Disapprove somewhat	8	15	1	9	15	48
	5.13	6.44	1.27	10.84	6.10	6.02
Disapprove strongly	70	113	47	35	140	405
	44.87	48.50	59.49	42.17	56.91	50.82
Don't know	0	1	1	0	4	6
	0.00	0.43	1.27	0.00	1.63	0.75
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q7. Retrospective Assessments, Congress

```
. tab2 Q7 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q7 by libcon

Retrospective | Ideology

Assessments, Congress	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Approve strongly	0	0	0	1	0	1	6	8
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.61	4.88	1.01
Approve somewhat	0	4	4	20	13	18	9	68
	0.00	5.63	5.33	9.39	11.82	10.91	7.32	8.56
Neither approve nor d	5	7	4	41	23	24	13	117
	13.51	9.86	5.33	19.25	20.91	14.55	10.57	14.74
Disapprove somewhat	8	8	15	52	43	50	37	213
	21.62	11.27	20.00	24.41	39.09	30.30	30.08	26.83
Disapprove strongly	23	51	51	93	31	72	57	378
	62.16	71.83	68.00	43.66	28.18	43.64	46.34	47.61
Don't know	1	1	1	6	0	0	1	10
	2.70	1.41	1.33	2.82	0.00	0.00	0.81	1.26
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by pid7

Retrospective	7 point Party ID								
Assessments, Congress	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Approve strongly	5	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	8
	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63	0.00	0.48	0.00	1.02
Approve somewhat	17	3	6	2	14	5	18	0	65
	8.95	5.26	9.84	3.17	11.38	7.69	8.70	0.00	8.29
Neither approve nor d	24	10	4	13	10	16	38	3	118
	12.63	17.54	6.56	20.63	8.13	24.62	18.36	16.67	15.05
Disapprove somewhat	31	17	12	10	41	22	69	7	209
	16.32	29.82	19.67	15.87	33.33	33.85	33.33	38.89	26.66
Disapprove strongly	110	25	38	37	56	22	80	5	373
	57.89	43.86	62.30	58.73	45.53	33.85	38.65	27.78	47.58
Don't know	3	2	1	1	0	0	1	3	11
	1.58	3.51	1.64	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.48	16.67	1.40
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784

	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by Q22

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Approve strongly	1	5	1	1	8
	0.80	1.74	0.56	0.48	1.00
Approve somewhat	13	21	17	17	68
	10.40	7.29	9.50	8.17	8.50
Neither approve nor d	24	34	20	40	118
	19.20	11.81	11.17	19.23	14.75
Disapprove somewhat	46	55	50	63	214
	36.80	19.10	27.93	30.29	26.75
Disapprove strongly	41	171	91	78	381
	32.80	59.38	50.84	37.50	47.62
Don't know	0	2	0	9	11
	0.00	0.69	0.00	4.33	1.38
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by educ

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Approve strongly	1	2	2	0	2	1	8
	3.70	0.87	0.91	0.00	1.22	1.41	1.00
Approve somewhat	0	28	20	8	7	5	68
	0.00	12.17	9.09	9.09	4.27	7.04	8.50
Neither approve nor d	4	39	31	20	18	6	118
	14.81	16.96	14.09	22.73	10.98	8.45	14.75
Disapprove somewhat	5	56	56	25	53	19	214
	18.52	24.35	25.45	28.41	32.32	26.76	26.75

Disapprove strongly	15	101	109	35	81	40	381
	55.56	43.91	49.55	39.77	49.39	56.34	47.62
Don't know	2	4	2	0	3	0	11
	7.41	1.74	0.91	0.00	1.83	0.00	1.38
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by attend

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Religion participation More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Approve strongly	6	0	0	0	2	8
	4.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.16	1.01
Approve somewhat	14	10	15	16	12	67
	9.72	6.29	15.79	7.17	6.98	8.45
Neither approve nor d	19	33	15	28	21	116
	13.19	20.75	15.79	12.56	12.21	14.63
Disapprove somewhat	44	46	21	70	33	214
	30.56	28.93	22.11	31.39	19.19	26.99
Disapprove strongly	59	68	44	107	99	377
	40.97	42.77	46.32	47.98	57.56	47.54
Don't know	2	2	0	2	5	11
	1.39	1.26	0.00	0.90	2.91	1.39
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by race

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Race White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Approve strongly	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	8
	0.39	1.79	1.54	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
Approve somewhat	40	16	10	0	2	0	0	0	68
	7.77	14.29	7.69	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Neither approve nor d	69	21	20	1	3	1	2	1	118
	13.40	18.75	15.38	20.00	50.00	6.25	13.33	100.00	14.75
Disapprove somewhat	155	16	36	1	0	3	3	0	214
	30.10	14.29	27.69	20.00	0.00	18.75	20.00	0.00	26.75
Disapprove strongly	243	54	62	1	1	11	9	0	381
	47.18	48.21	47.69	20.00	16.67	68.75	60.00	0.00	47.62
Don't know	6	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	11
	1.17	2.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	1.38
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by marstat

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Approve strongly	5	0	0	0	3	0	8
	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.68	0.00	1.00
Approve somewhat	42	0	7	5	8	6	68
	8.47	0.00	6.73	13.89	7.14	15.38	8.51
Neither approve nor d	73	0	14	5	20	6	118
	14.72	0.00	13.46	13.89	17.86	15.38	14.77
Disapprove somewhat	140	5	22	8	29	10	214
	28.23	41.67	21.15	22.22	25.89	25.64	26.78
Disapprove strongly	231	7	59	18	48	17	380
	46.57	58.33	56.73	50.00	42.86	43.59	47.56
Don't know	5	0	2	0	4	0	11
	1.01	0.00	1.92	0.00	3.57	0.00	1.38
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by gender

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Gender: Male	Female

	Total		
Approve strongly	3	5	8
	0.80	1.17	1.00
Approve somewhat	27	41	68
	7.24	9.60	8.50
Neither approve nor d	40	78	118
	10.72	18.27	14.75
Disapprove somewhat	98	116	214
	26.27	27.17	26.75
Disapprove strongly	203	178	381
	54.42	41.69	47.62
Don't know	2	9	11
	0.54	2.11	1.38
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by locate

Retrospective Assessments, Congress	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Approve strongly	7	1	0	8
	3.40	0.24	0.00	1.00
Approve somewhat	15	40	13	68
	7.28	9.64	7.30	8.51
Neither approve nor d	35	58	25	118
	16.99	13.98	14.04	14.77
Disapprove somewhat	52	112	50	214
	25.24	26.99	28.09	26.78
Disapprove strongly	92	200	88	380
	44.66	48.19	49.44	47.56
Don't know	5	4	2	11
	2.43	0.96	1.12	1.38
Total	206	415	178	799

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
                     |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00

-> tabulation of Q7 by metro

        Retrospective |                   Metropolitan area
Assessments, Congress | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Approve strongly |         4          3          0          0          1 |         8
                      |      2.56       1.29       0.00       0.00       0.41 |      1.00
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Approve somewhat |        16         19          7          9         16 |        67
                      |     10.26       8.15       8.86      10.84       6.50 |      8.41
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Neither approve nor d |        22         36         13          9         38 |       118
                      |     14.10      15.45      16.46      10.84      15.45 |     14.81
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
  Disapprove somewhat |        41         60         19         22         72 |       214
                      |     26.28      25.75      24.05      26.51      29.27 |     26.85
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
  Disapprove strongly |        73        112         39         43        112 |       379
                      |     46.79      48.07      49.37      51.81      45.53 |     47.55
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |         0          3          1          0          7 |        11
                      |      0.00       1.29       1.27       0.00       2.85 |      1.38
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       156        233         79         83        246 |       797
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q8. Rick Perry Approval

```
. tab2 Q8 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q8 by libcon

                     |                                   Ideology
 Rick Perry, Governor | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Approve strongly |         0          0          1         13         14         38         32 |        98
                      |      0.00       0.00       1.33       6.10      12.73      23.17      26.02 |     12.36
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Approve somewhat |         1          2          2         54         45         93         60 |       257
                      |      2.70       2.82       2.67      25.35      40.91      56.71      48.78 |     32.41
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Neither approve nor d	1	4	5	43	30	13	11	107
	2.70	5.63	6.67	20.19	27.27	7.93	8.94	13.49
Disapprove somewhat	1	16	13	26	10	18	6	90
	2.70	22.54	17.33	12.21	9.09	10.98	4.88	11.35
Disapprove strongly	34	49	53	69	10	2	13	230
	91.89	69.01	70.67	32.39	9.09	1.22	10.57	29.00
Don't know	0	0	1	8	1	0	1	11
	0.00	0.00	1.33	3.76	0.91	0.00	0.81	1.39
Total	37	71	75	213	110	164	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Rick Perry, Governor	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Approve strongly	2	3	0	3	21	7	59	1	96
	1.05	5.26	0.00	4.76	17.07	10.77	28.64	5.56	12.26
Approve somewhat	12	10	9	14	55	34	116	4	254
	6.32	17.54	14.75	22.22	44.72	52.31	56.31	22.22	32.44
Neither approve nor d	17	12	4	16	22	11	19	6	107
	8.95	21.05	6.56	25.40	17.89	16.92	9.22	33.33	13.67
Disapprove somewhat	26	12	12	4	16	9	8	2	89
	13.68	21.05	19.67	6.35	13.01	13.85	3.88	11.11	11.37
Disapprove strongly	130	18	36	25	7	3	4	3	226
	68.42	31.58	59.02	39.68	5.69	4.62	1.94	16.67	28.86
Don't know	3	2	0	1	2	1	0	2	11
	1.58	3.51	0.00	1.59	1.63	1.54	0.00	11.11	1.40
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	206	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Rick Perry, Governor	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total

Approve strongly	41	5	29	24	99
	33.06	1.74	16.20	11.54	12.39
Approve somewhat	60	22	91	86	259
	48.39	7.64	50.84	41.35	32.42
Neither approve nor d	14	25	25	44	108
	11.29	8.68	13.97	21.15	13.52
Disapprove somewhat	6	47	21	18	92
	4.84	16.32	11.73	8.65	11.51
Disapprove strongly	3	186	13	28	230
	2.42	64.58	7.26	13.46	28.79
Don't know	0	3	0	8	11
	0.00	1.04	0.00	3.85	1.38
Total	124	288	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by educ

Rick Perry, Governor	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Approve strongly	2	29	24	10	25	9	99
	7.41	12.61	10.91	11.49	15.24	12.68	12.39
Approve somewhat	8	97	49	33	54	18	259
	29.63	42.17	22.27	37.93	32.93	25.35	32.42
Neither approve nor d	3	32	30	15	20	8	108
	11.11	13.91	13.64	17.24	12.20	11.27	13.52
Disapprove somewhat	3	23	37	6	13	10	92
	11.11	10.00	16.82	6.90	7.93	14.08	11.51
Disapprove strongly	10	44	78	22	50	26	230
	37.04	19.13	35.45	25.29	30.49	36.62	28.79
Don't know	1	5	2	1	2	0	11
	3.70	2.17	0.91	1.15	1.22	0.00	1.38
Total	27	230	220	87	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q8 by attend

Rick Perry, Governor	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Approve strongly	24	22	12	28	12	98
	16.67	13.84	12.63	12.61	6.98	12.37
Approve somewhat	57	59	36	66	36	254
	39.58	37.11	37.89	29.73	20.93	32.07
Neither approve nor d	14	28	12	33	21	108
	9.72	17.61	12.63	14.86	12.21	13.64
Disapprove somewhat	16	23	12	24	17	92
	11.11	14.47	12.63	10.81	9.88	11.62
Disapprove strongly	30	27	22	69	81	229
	20.83	16.98	23.16	31.08	47.09	28.91
Don't know	3	0	1	2	5	11
	2.08	0.00	1.05	0.90	2.91	1.39
Total	144	159	95	222	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by race

Rick Perry, Governor	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Approve strongly	78	2	14	3	0	2	0	0	99
	15.15	1.79	10.77	60.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.39
Approve somewhat	194	11	36	1	2	5	9	1	259
	37.67	9.82	27.69	20.00	40.00	31.25	60.00	100.00	32.42
Neither approve nor d	66	18	18	0	1	3	2	0	108
	12.82	16.07	13.85	0.00	20.00	18.75	13.33	0.00	13.52
Disapprove somewhat	45	21	23	1	1	0	1	0	92
	8.74	18.75	17.69	20.00	20.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	11.51
Disapprove strongly	125	56	39	0	1	6	3	0	230
	24.27	50.00	30.00	0.00	20.00	37.50	20.00	0.00	28.79

Don't know	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	1.36	3.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.38
Total	515	112	130	5	5	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by marstat

Rick Perry, Governor	Marital Status Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Approve strongly	79	3	7	4	5	1	99
	15.93	25.00	6.80	11.11	4.46	2.56	12.41
Approve somewhat	182	3	21	12	28	13	259
	36.69	25.00	20.39	33.33	25.00	33.33	32.46
Neither approve nor d	62	2	14	7	18	5	108
	12.50	16.67	13.59	19.44	16.07	12.82	13.53
Disapprove somewhat	54	1	13	5	16	3	92
	10.89	8.33	12.62	13.89	14.29	7.69	11.53
Disapprove strongly	113	3	47	8	41	17	229
	22.78	25.00	45.63	22.22	36.61	43.59	28.70
Don't know	6	0	1	0	4	0	11
	1.21	0.00	0.97	0.00	3.57	0.00	1.38
Total	496	12	103	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by gender

Rick Perry, Governor	Gender Male	Female	Total
Approve strongly	48	51	99
	12.87	11.97	12.39
Approve somewhat	126	133	259
	33.78	31.22	32.42

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Neither approve nor d	46	62	108
	12.33	14.55	13.52
Disapprove somewhat	50	42	92
	13.40	9.86	11.51
Disapprove strongly	103	127	230
	27.61	29.81	28.79
Don't know	0	11	11
	0.00	2.58	1.38
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by locate

	Community size			
Rick Perry, Governor	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Approve strongly	22	56	21	99
	10.73	13.49	11.80	12.41
Approve somewhat	46	135	77	258
	22.44	32.53	43.26	32.33
Neither approve nor d	31	53	24	108
	15.12	12.77	13.48	13.53
Disapprove somewhat	23	48	21	92
	11.22	11.57	11.80	11.53
Disapprove strongly	80	116	34	230
	39.02	27.95	19.10	28.82
Don't know	3	7	1	11
	1.46	1.69	0.56	1.38
Total	205	415	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q8 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Rick Perry, Governor	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total

Approve strongly	20	25	16	9	28	98
	12.82	10.78	20.25	10.84	11.38	12.31
Approve somewhat	44	67	25	25	96	257
	28.21	28.88	31.65	30.12	39.02	32.29
Neither approve nor d	20	36	9	8	35	108
	12.82	15.52	11.39	9.64	14.23	13.57
Disapprove somewhat	19	31	6	7	29	92
	12.18	13.36	7.59	8.43	11.79	11.56
Disapprove strongly	53	70	22	34	51	230
	33.97	30.17	27.85	40.96	20.73	28.89
Don't know	0	3	1	0	7	11
	0.00	1.29	1.27	0.00	2.85	1.38
Total	156	232	79	83	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q9. Country Direction

```
. tab2 Q9 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q9 by libcon

Country direction	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Right direction	28	55	52	89	16	6	9	255
	75.68	77.46	69.33	41.78	14.55	3.64	7.32	32.12
Wrong track	6	6	11	98	90	156	111	478
	16.22	8.45	14.67	46.01	81.82	94.55	90.24	60.20
Don't know	3	10	12	26	4	3	3	61
	8.11	14.08	16.00	12.21	3.64	1.82	2.44	7.68
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by pid7

Country direction	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Right direction	154	34	35	15	5	3	4	0	250
	81.05	59.65	57.38	23.81	4.07	4.62	1.93	0.00	31.89
Wrong track	14	15	16	41	117	56	200	14	473
	7.37	26.32	26.23	65.08	95.12	86.15	96.62	77.78	60.33
Don't know	22	8	10	7	1	6	3	4	61
	11.58	14.04	16.39	11.11	0.81	9.23	1.45	22.22	7.78
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by Q22

Country direction	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Right direction	5	218	1	31	255
	4.00	75.69	0.56	14.90	31.87
Wrong track	114	37	178	155	484
	91.20	12.85	99.44	74.52	60.50
Don't know	6	33	0	22	61
	4.80	11.46	0.00	10.58	7.62
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by educ

Country direction	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Right direction	8	53	98	29	41	26	255
	29.63	23.04	44.55	32.95	25.00	36.62	31.87
Wrong track	15	156	111	55	105	42	484
	55.56	67.83	50.45	62.50	64.02	59.15	60.50
Don't know	4	21	11	4	18	3	61

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

	14.81	9.13	5.00	4.55	10.98	4.23	7.62
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by attend

Country direction	Religion participation More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Right direction	39	39	29	80	68	255
	27.08	24.53	30.53	35.87	39.53	32.16
Wrong track	101	108	54	131	84	478
	70.14	67.92	56.84	58.74	48.84	60.28
Don't know	4	12	12	12	20	60
	2.78	7.55	12.63	5.38	11.63	7.57
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by race

Country direction	Race White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Right direction	95	85	64	2	1	6	2	0	255
	18.45	75.89	49.23	40.00	16.67	37.50	13.33	0.00	31.87
Wrong track	381	17	59	3	4	6	13	1	484
	73.98	15.18	45.38	60.00	66.67	37.50	86.67	100.00	60.50
Don't know	39	10	7	0	1	4	0	0	61
	7.57	8.93	5.38	0.00	16.67	25.00	0.00	0.00	7.62
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by marstat

Country direction	Marital Status Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Right direction	129	4	48	11	40	22	254

	26.01	33.33	46.15	30.56	35.71	56.41	31.79
Wrong track	344	7	46	22	50	15	484
	69.35	58.33	44.23	61.11	44.64	38.46	60.58
Don't know	23	1	10	3	22	2	61
	4.64	8.33	9.62	8.33	19.64	5.13	7.63
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by gender

Country direction	Gender Male	Female	Total
Right direction	115	140	255
	30.83	32.79	31.87
Wrong track	243	241	484
	65.15	56.44	60.50
Don't know	15	46	61
	4.02	10.77	7.62
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q9 by locate

Country direction	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Right direction	85	131	39	255
	41.26	31.57	21.91	31.91
Wrong track	96	257	130	483
	46.60	61.93	73.03	60.45
Don't know	25	27	9	61
	12.14	6.51	5.06	7.63
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
-> tabulation of Q9 by metro

        Country |                   Metropolitan area
      direction | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Right direction |        54         81         28         28         64 |       255
                |     34.62      34.76      35.44      33.73      26.02 |     31.99
----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
    Wrong track |        88        134         50         50        159 |       481
                |     56.41      57.51      63.29      60.24      64.63 |     60.35
----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Don't know |        14         18          1          5         23 |        61
                |      8.97       7.73       1.27       6.02       9.35 |      7.65
----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
          Total |       156        233         79         83        246 |       797
                |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q10. National Economy

```
. tab2 Q10 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q10 by libcon

                    |                                 Ideology
   National Economy | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
--------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   A lot better off |        14         12          9         17          0          0          7 |        59
                    |     37.84      16.90      12.00       7.98       0.00       0.00       5.69 |      7.43
--------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat better off |        15         47         51         65         15          6          3 |       202
                    |     40.54      66.20      68.00      30.52      13.64       3.64       2.44 |     25.44
--------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
     About the same |         4          9         12         58         26         34         16 |       159
                    |     10.81      12.68      16.00      27.23      23.64      20.61      13.01 |     20.03
--------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Somewhat worse off |         1          3          2         35         38         50         25 |       154
                    |      2.70       4.23       2.67      16.43      34.55      30.30      20.33 |     19.40
--------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
    A lot worse off |         2          0          1         33         31         75         72 |       214
                    |      5.41       0.00       1.33      15.49      28.18      45.45      58.54 |     26.95
--------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
         Don't know |         1          0          0          5          0          0          0 |         6
```

	2.70	0.00	0.00	2.35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.76
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
National Economy	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
A lot better off	46	4	4	2	2	0	0	0	58
	24.21	7.02	6.56	3.17	1.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.40
Somewhat better off	106	28	37	13	6	5	2	1	198
	55.79	49.12	60.66	20.63	4.88	7.69	0.97	5.56	25.26
About the same	30	17	13	16	23	22	34	3	158
	15.79	29.82	21.31	25.40	18.70	33.85	16.43	16.67	20.15
Somewhat worse off	5	6	6	9	36	21	65	6	154
	2.63	10.53	9.84	14.29	29.27	32.31	31.40	33.33	19.64
A lot worse off	2	2	0	21	56	17	106	6	210
	1.05	3.51	0.00	33.33	45.53	26.15	51.21	33.33	26.79
Don't know	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	6
	0.53	0.00	1.64	3.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.77
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party			
National Economy	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
A lot better off	1	57	0	1	59
	0.80	19.79	0.00	0.48	7.38
Somewhat better off	4	165	6	27	202
	3.20	57.29	3.35	12.98	25.25
About the same	30	51	29	49	159
	24.00	17.71	16.20	23.56	19.88

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Somewhat worse off	45	11	47	54	157
	36.00	3.82	26.26	25.96	19.62
A lot worse off	45	2	97	73	217
	36.00	0.69	54.19	35.10	27.12
Don't know	0	2	0	4	6
	0.00	0.69	0.00	1.92	0.75
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by educ

	Education						
National Economy	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
A lot better off	3	11	20	7	13	5	59
	11.11	4.78	9.09	7.95	7.93	7.04	7.38
Somewhat better off	3	38	78	20	38	25	202
	11.11	16.52	35.45	22.73	23.17	35.21	25.25
About the same	5	47	37	16	38	16	159
	18.52	20.43	16.82	18.18	23.17	22.54	19.88
Somewhat worse off	7	50	32	21	32	15	157
	25.93	21.74	14.55	23.86	19.51	21.13	19.62
A lot worse off	9	80	53	23	42	10	217
	33.33	34.78	24.09	26.14	25.61	14.08	27.12
Don't know	0	4	0	1	1	0	6
	0.00	1.74	0.00	1.14	0.61	0.00	0.75
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by attend

	Religion participation					
National Economy	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
A lot better off	13	5	5	19	17	59
	9.03	3.14	5.26	8.52	9.88	7.44

Somewhat better off	24	33	28	57	59	201
	16.67	20.75	29.47	25.56	34.30	25.35
About the same	25	39	20	46	29	159
	17.36	24.53	21.05	20.63	16.86	20.05
Somewhat worse off	28	35	17	45	31	156
	19.44	22.01	17.89	20.18	18.02	19.67
A lot worse off	54	47	25	55	31	212
	37.50	29.56	26.32	24.66	18.02	26.73
Don't know	0	0	0	1	5	6
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45	2.91	0.76
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by race

	Race								
National Economy	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
A lot better off	13	23	19	2	0	0	2	0	59
	2.52	20.54	14.62	40.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	0.00	7.38
Somewhat better off	96	57	39	0	1	9	0	0	202
	18.64	50.89	30.00	0.00	16.67	56.25	0.00	0.00	25.25
About the same	100	23	27	0	4	2	3	0	159
	19.42	20.54	20.77	0.00	66.67	12.50	20.00	0.00	19.88
Somewhat worse off	127	5	18	1	0	2	3	1	157
	24.66	4.46	13.85	20.00	0.00	12.50	20.00	100.00	19.62
A lot worse off	173	4	27	2	1	3	7	0	217
	33.59	3.57	20.77	40.00	16.67	18.75	46.67	0.00	27.12
Don't know	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	1.17	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.75
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by marstat

National Economy	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
A lot better off	28	0	7	4	14	6	59
	5.65	0.00	6.73	11.11	12.50	15.38	7.38
Somewhat better off	101	3	42	6	37	12	201
	20.36	25.00	40.38	16.67	33.04	30.77	25.16
About the same	92	2	19	7	29	10	159
	18.55	16.67	18.27	19.44	25.89	25.64	19.90
Somewhat worse off	111	3	17	8	13	5	157
	22.38	25.00	16.35	22.22	11.61	12.82	19.65
A lot worse off	163	4	18	11	16	5	217
	32.86	33.33	17.31	30.56	14.29	12.82	27.16
Don't know	1	0	1	0	3	1	6
	0.20	0.00	0.96	0.00	2.68	2.56	0.75
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by gender

National Economy	Gender: Male	Female	Total
A lot better off	27	32	59
	7.24	7.49	7.38
Somewhat better off	95	107	202
	25.47	25.06	25.25
About the same	65	94	159
	17.43	22.01	19.88
Somewhat worse off	81	76	157
	21.72	17.80	19.62
A lot worse off	105	112	217
	28.15	26.23	27.12
Don't know	0	6	6

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

	0.00	1.41	0.75
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by locate

National Economy	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
A lot better off	26	27	6	59
	12.62	6.51	3.37	7.38
Somewhat better off	62	106	34	202
	30.10	25.54	19.10	25.28
About the same	43	91	25	159
	20.87	21.93	14.04	19.90
Somewhat worse off	36	82	38	156
	17.48	19.76	21.35	19.52
A lot worse off	35	108	74	217
	16.99	26.02	41.57	27.16
Don't know	4	1	1	6
	1.94	0.24	0.56	0.75
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q10 by metro

National Economy	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
A lot better off	15	20	6	2	16	59
	9.62	8.58	7.59	2.41	6.50	7.40
Somewhat better off	44	58	21	30	49	202
	28.21	24.89	26.58	36.14	19.92	25.35
About the same	36	57	13	16	37	159
	23.08	24.46	16.46	19.28	15.04	19.95
Somewhat worse off	22	45	20	16	53	156

	14.10	19.31	25.32	19.28	21.54	19.57
A lot worse off	39	52	18	19	87	215
	25.00	22.32	22.78	22.89	35.37	26.98
Don't know	0	1	1	0	4	6
	0.00	0.43	1.27	0.00	1.63	0.75
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q11. Texas Direction

```
. tab2 Q11 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q11 by libcon

Texas direction	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Right direction	6	16	17	80	61	111	83	374
	16.22	22.54	22.67	37.56	55.45	67.27	67.48	47.10
Wrong track	24	45	47	79	28	26	27	276
	64.86	63.38	62.67	37.09	25.45	15.76	21.95	34.76
Don't know	7	10	11	54	21	28	13	144
	18.92	14.08	14.67	25.35	19.09	16.97	10.57	18.14
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by pid7

Texas direction	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Party ID Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Right direction	51	19	14	25	73	33	154	2	371
	26.84	33.33	22.95	39.68	59.35	50.77	74.40	11.11	47.32
Wrong track	105	25	36	26	26	19	24	11	272
	55.26	43.86	59.02	41.27	21.14	29.23	11.59	61.11	34.69

Don't know	34	13	11	12	24	13	29	5	141
	17.89	22.81	18.03	19.05	19.51	20.00	14.01	27.78	17.98
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Texas direction	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Right direction	86	74	119	98	377
	68.80	25.69	66.48	47.12	47.12
Wrong track	25	161	37	55	278
	20.00	55.90	20.67	26.44	34.75
Don't know	14	53	23	55	145
	11.20	18.40	12.85	26.44	18.12
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by educ

	Education						
Texas direction	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Right direction	10	110	92	43	89	33	377
	37.04	47.83	41.82	48.86	54.27	46.48	47.12
Wrong track	13	70	87	26	53	29	278
	48.15	30.43	39.55	29.55	32.32	40.85	34.75
Don't know	4	50	41	19	22	9	145
	14.81	21.74	18.64	21.59	13.41	12.68	18.12
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by attend

	Religion participation					
Texas direction	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total

Right direction	80	83	50	108	52	373
	55.56	52.20	52.63	48.43	30.23	47.04
Wrong track	37	45	30	80	84	276
	25.69	28.30	31.58	35.87	48.84	34.80
Don't know	27	31	15	35	36	144
	18.75	19.50	15.79	15.70	20.93	18.16
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by race

	Race								
Texas direction	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Right direction	253	46	56	4	5	4	8	1	377
	49.13	41.07	43.08	80.00	83.33	25.00	53.33	100.00	47.12
Wrong track	170	46	48	1	0	7	6	0	278
	33.01	41.07	36.92	20.00	0.00	43.75	40.00	0.00	34.75
Don't know	92	20	26	0	1	5	1	0	145
	17.86	17.86	20.00	0.00	16.67	31.25	6.67	0.00	18.12
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Texas direction	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Right direction	275	7	27	17	39	12	377
	55.44	58.33	25.96	47.22	34.82	30.77	47.18
Wrong track	144	4	55	9	46	19	277
	29.03	33.33	52.88	25.00	41.07	48.72	34.67
Don't know	77	1	22	10	27	8	145
	15.52	8.33	21.15	27.78	24.11	20.51	18.15
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q11 by gender

Texas direction	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Right direction	200 53.62	177 41.45	377 47.12
Wrong track	122 32.71	156 36.53	278 34.75
Don't know	51 13.67	94 22.01	145 18.12
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by locate

Texas direction	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Right direction	76 36.89	210 50.60	91 51.12	377 47.18
Wrong track	83 40.29	137 33.01	57 32.02	277 34.67
Don't know	47 22.82	68 16.39	30 16.85	145 18.15
Total	206 100.00	415 100.00	178 100.00	799 100.00

-> tabulation of Q11 by metro

Texas direction	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Right direction	85 54.49	108 46.35	38 48.10	35 42.17	108 43.90	374 46.93

Wrong track	50	83	31	36	78	278
	32.05	35.62	39.24	43.37	31.71	34.88
Don't know	21	42	10	12	60	145
	13.46	18.03	12.66	14.46	24.39	18.19
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q12. Personal Economic Evaluations

```
. tab2 Q12 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q12 by libcon

	Ideology							
Personal Econ	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
A lot better off	4	10	7	9	3	3	5	41
	10.81	14.08	9.33	4.23	2.73	1.82	4.07	5.16
Somewhat better off	10	21	15	49	18	20	12	145
	27.03	29.58	20.00	23.00	16.36	12.12	9.76	18.26
About the same	16	35	37	80	44	73	41	326
	43.24	49.30	49.33	37.56	40.00	44.24	33.33	41.06
Somewhat worse off	3	5	14	49	37	48	35	191
	8.11	7.04	18.67	23.00	33.64	29.09	28.46	24.06
A lot worse off	3	0	2	22	8	21	30	86
	8.11	0.00	2.67	10.33	7.27	12.73	24.39	10.83
Don't know	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	5
	2.70	0.00	0.00	1.88	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Personal Econ	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total

A lot better off	26	3	4	1	3	1	2	1	41
	13.68	5.26	6.56	1.59	2.44	1.54	0.97	5.56	5.23
Somewhat better off	59	16	16	12	9	9	21	2	144
	31.05	28.07	26.23	19.05	7.32	13.85	10.14	11.11	18.37
About the same	84	21	31	22	42	29	90	3	322
	44.21	36.84	50.82	34.92	34.15	44.62	43.48	16.67	41.07
Somewhat worse off	17	14	9	14	45	18	67	6	190
	8.95	24.56	14.75	22.22	36.59	27.69	32.37	33.33	24.23
A lot worse off	2	3	1	13	24	8	27	4	82
	1.05	5.26	1.64	20.63	19.51	12.31	13.04	22.22	10.46
Don't know	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5
	1.05	0.00	0.00	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.11	0.64
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Personal Econ	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
A lot better off	2	33	0	6	41
	1.60	11.46	0.00	2.88	5.12
Somewhat better off	16	82	19	28	145
	12.80	28.47	10.61	13.46	18.12
About the same	59	134	68	67	328
	47.20	46.53	37.99	32.21	41.00
Somewhat worse off	35	35	55	69	194
	28.00	12.15	30.73	33.17	24.25
A lot worse off	13	2	37	35	87
	10.40	0.69	20.67	16.83	10.88
Don't know	0	2	0	3	5
	0.00	0.69	0.00	1.44	0.62
Total	125	288	179	208	800

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
                    |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q12 by educ

Personal Econ	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
	Education						
A lot better off	0	8	13	4	11	5	41
	0.00	3.48	5.91	4.55	6.71	7.04	5.12
Somewhat better off	6	27	46	15	31	20	145
	22.22	11.74	20.91	17.05	18.90	28.17	18.12
About the same	9	93	97	38	62	29	328
	33.33	40.43	44.09	43.18	37.80	40.85	41.00
Somewhat worse off	8	63	48	20	44	11	194
	29.63	27.39	21.82	22.73	26.83	15.49	24.25
A lot worse off	4	36	16	10	15	6	87
	14.81	15.65	7.27	11.36	9.15	8.45	10.88
Don't know	0	3	0	1	1	0	5
	0.00	1.30	0.00	1.14	0.61	0.00	0.62
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by attend

Personal Econ	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
	Religion participation					
A lot better off	13	6	2	8	12	41
	9.03	3.77	2.11	3.59	6.98	5.17
Somewhat better off	24	26	20	47	28	145
	16.67	16.35	21.05	21.08	16.28	18.28
About the same	54	72	30	93	76	325
	37.50	45.28	31.58	41.70	44.19	40.98
Somewhat worse off	34	37	30	53	38	192
	23.61	23.27	31.58	23.77	22.09	24.21
A lot worse off	18	18	13	22	14	85

	12.50	11.32	13.68	9.87	8.14	10.72
Don't know	1	0	0	0	4	5
	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.33	0.63
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by race

	Race								
Personal Econ	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
A lot better off	15	15	7	2	0	1	1	0	41
	2.91	13.39	5.38	40.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	5.12
Somewhat better off	70	41	29	0	1	3	1	0	145
	13.59	36.61	22.31	0.00	16.67	18.75	6.67	0.00	18.12
About the same	214	43	57	2	3	6	2	1	328
	41.55	38.39	43.85	40.00	50.00	37.50	13.33	100.00	41.00
Somewhat worse off	143	12	26	1	1	4	7	0	194
	27.77	10.71	20.00	20.00	16.67	25.00	46.67	0.00	24.25
A lot worse off	68	1	11	0	1	2	4	0	87
	13.20	0.89	8.46	0.00	16.67	12.50	26.67	0.00	10.88
Don't know	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	0.97	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.62
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Personal Econ	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
A lot better off	25	0	2	2	9	3	41
	5.04	0.00	1.92	5.56	8.04	7.69	5.13
Somewhat better off	88	4	17	3	24	9	145
	17.74	33.33	16.35	8.33	21.43	23.08	18.15
About the same	208	1	44	11	46	17	327

```
                    |     41.94       8.33      42.31      30.56      41.07      43.59 |     40.93
--------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Somewhat worse off |       121          4         29         15         18          7 |       194
                    |     24.40      33.33      27.88      41.67      16.07      17.95 |     24.28
--------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
    A lot worse off |        53          3         11          5         12          3 |        87
                    |     10.69      25.00      10.58      13.89      10.71       7.69 |     10.89
--------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
         Don't know |         1          0          1          0          3          0 |         5
                    |      0.20       0.00       0.96       0.00       2.68       0.00 |      0.63
--------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
              Total |       496         12        104         36        112         39 |       799
                    |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by gender

                    |        Gender
      Personal Econ |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
--------------------+----------------------+----------
   A lot better off |        19         22 |        41
                    |      5.09       5.15 |      5.12
--------------------+----------------------+----------
Somewhat better off |        73         72 |       145
                    |     19.57      16.86 |     18.12
--------------------+----------------------+----------
     About the same |       154        174 |       328
                    |     41.29      40.75 |     41.00
--------------------+----------------------+----------
 Somewhat worse off |        84        110 |       194
                    |     22.52      25.76 |     24.25
--------------------+----------------------+----------
    A lot worse off |        43         44 |        87
                    |     11.53      10.30 |     10.88
--------------------+----------------------+----------
         Don't know |         0          5 |         5
                    |      0.00       1.17 |      0.62
--------------------+----------------------+----------
              Total |       373        427 |       800
                    |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by locate

                    |          Community size
      Personal Econ |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
```

A lot better off	18	18	5	41
	8.74	4.34	2.81	5.13
Somewhat better off	31	88	26	145
	15.05	21.20	14.61	18.15
About the same	98	168	62	328
	47.57	40.48	34.83	41.05
Somewhat worse off	43	100	50	193
	20.87	24.10	28.09	24.16
A lot worse off	13	40	34	87
	6.31	9.64	19.10	10.89
Don't know	3	1	1	5
	1.46	0.24	0.56	0.63
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q12 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Personal Econ	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
A lot better off	12	13	3	4	9	41
	7.69	5.58	3.80	4.82	3.66	5.14
Somewhat better off	38	41	16	18	32	145
	24.36	17.60	20.25	21.69	13.01	18.19
About the same	64	104	34	36	90	328
	41.03	44.64	43.04	43.37	36.59	41.15
Somewhat worse off	31	52	18	20	70	191
	19.87	22.32	22.78	24.10	28.46	23.96
A lot worse off	11	22	7	5	42	87
	7.05	9.44	8.86	6.02	17.07	10.92
Don't know	0	1	1	0	3	5
	0.00	0.43	1.27	0.00	1.22	0.63
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Q13. Texas Economy Compared to a Year Ago

```
. tab2 Q13 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q13 by libcon

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
A lot better off	2	4	5	10	1	6	7	35
	5.41	5.63	6.76	4.72	0.91	3.64	5.69	4.42
Somewhat better off	7	21	28	42	21	49	30	198
	18.92	29.58	37.84	19.81	19.09	29.70	24.39	25.00
About the same	18	35	28	93	65	77	52	368
	48.65	49.30	37.84	43.87	59.09	46.67	42.28	46.46
Somewhat worse off	5	6	9	38	16	30	25	129
	13.51	8.45	12.16	17.92	14.55	18.18	20.33	16.29
A lot worse off	4	4	2	19	4	3	5	41
	10.81	5.63	2.70	8.96	3.64	1.82	4.07	5.18
Don't know	1	1	2	10	3	0	4	21
	2.70	1.41	2.70	4.72	2.73	0.00	3.25	2.65
Total	37	71	74	212	110	165	123	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by pid7

Texas economy compared to a year ago	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
A lot better off	16	2	2	1	9	0	5	0	35
	8.51	3.51	3.28	1.59	7.32	0.00	2.42	0.00	4.48
Somewhat better off	53	17	22	6	28	13	57	0	196
	28.19	29.82	36.07	9.52	22.76	20.00	27.54	0.00	25.06

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

About the same	83	25	25	37	57	35	98	4	364
	44.15	43.86	40.98	58.73	46.34	53.85	47.34	22.22	46.55
Somewhat worse off	22	10	7	8	21	13	37	9	127
	11.70	17.54	11.48	12.70	17.07	20.00	17.87	50.00	16.24
A lot worse off	7	3	3	8	5	4	7	2	39
	3.72	5.26	4.92	12.70	4.07	6.15	3.38	11.11	4.99
Don't know	7	0	2	3	3	0	3	3	21
	3.72	0.00	3.28	4.76	2.44	0.00	1.45	16.67	2.69
Total	188	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by Q22

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
A lot better off	6	20	6	3	35
	4.80	6.99	3.35	1.44	4.39
Somewhat better off	36	82	45	36	199
	28.80	28.67	25.14	17.31	24.94
About the same	52	130	84	104	370
	41.60	45.45	46.93	50.00	46.37
Somewhat worse off	23	33	34	42	132
	18.40	11.54	18.99	20.19	16.54
A lot worse off	8	12	7	14	41
	6.40	4.20	3.91	6.73	5.14
Don't know	0	9	3	9	21
	0.00	3.15	1.68	4.33	2.63
Total	125	286	179	208	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by educ

Texas economy |

compared to a year ago	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
A lot better off	0	6	11	7	8	3	35
	0.00	2.63	5.00	7.95	4.88	4.23	4.39
Somewhat better off	4	55	44	24	47	25	199
	14.81	24.12	20.00	27.27	28.66	35.21	24.94
About the same	12	102	115	34	76	31	370
	44.44	44.74	52.27	38.64	46.34	43.66	46.37
Somewhat worse off	10	45	32	14	24	7	132
	37.04	19.74	14.55	15.91	14.63	9.86	16.54
A lot worse off	1	14	12	3	7	4	41
	3.70	6.14	5.45	3.41	4.27	5.63	5.14
Don't know	0	6	6	6	2	1	21
	0.00	2.63	2.73	6.82	1.22	1.41	2.63
Total	27	228	220	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by attend

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
A lot better off	10	8	5	4	7	34
	7.04	5.03	5.26	1.79	4.07	4.30
Somewhat better off	33	45	25	55	40	198
	23.24	28.30	26.32	24.66	23.26	25.03
About the same	60	77	35	113	83	368
	42.25	48.43	36.84	50.67	48.26	46.52
Somewhat worse off	28	19	24	33	26	130
	19.72	11.95	25.26	14.80	15.12	16.43
A lot worse off	6	7	3	14	10	40
	4.23	4.40	3.16	6.28	5.81	5.06
Don't know	5	3	3	4	6	21
	3.52	1.89	3.16	1.79	3.49	2.65

Total	142	159	95	223	172	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by race

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
A lot better off	11	12	10	2	0	0	0	0	35
	2.14	10.71	7.81	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.39
Somewhat better off	124	35	29	1	3	2	5	0	199
	24.08	31.25	22.66	20.00	50.00	12.50	33.33	0.00	24.94
About the same	249	45	59	1	1	10	4	1	370
	48.35	40.18	46.09	20.00	16.67	62.50	26.67	100.00	46.37
Somewhat worse off	93	10	21	1	1	2	4	0	132
	18.06	8.93	16.41	20.00	16.67	12.50	26.67	0.00	16.54
A lot worse off	25	5	7	0	1	1	2	0	41
	4.85	4.46	5.47	0.00	16.67	6.25	13.33	0.00	5.14
Don't know	13	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	21
	2.52	4.46	1.56	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	2.63
Total	515	112	128	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by marstat

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
A lot better off	23	1	1	3	7	0	35
	4.66	8.33	0.96	8.33	6.25	0.00	4.39
Somewhat better off	134	1	21	8	25	10	199
	27.13	8.33	20.19	22.22	22.32	25.64	24.97
About the same	226	5	44	18	58	18	369
	45.75	41.67	42.31	50.00	51.79	46.15	46.30

Somewhat worse off	83	4	25	5	9	6	132
	16.80	33.33	24.04	13.89	8.04	15.38	16.56
A lot worse off	18	1	8	2	9	3	41
	3.64	8.33	7.69	5.56	8.04	7.69	5.14
Don't know	10	0	5	0	4	2	21
	2.02	0.00	4.81	0.00	3.57	5.13	2.63
Total	494	12	104	36	112	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by gender

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Gender: Male	Female	Total
A lot better off	16	19	35
	4.30	4.46	4.39
Somewhat better off	109	90	199
	29.30	21.13	24.94
About the same	170	200	370
	45.70	46.95	46.37
Somewhat worse off	53	79	132
	14.25	18.54	16.54
A lot worse off	16	25	41
	4.30	5.87	5.14
Don't know	8	13	21
	2.15	3.05	2.63
Total	372	426	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by locate

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

A lot better off	12	19	4	35
	5.83	4.59	2.26	4.39
Somewhat better off	50	106	43	199
	24.27	25.60	24.29	24.97
About the same	86	209	74	369
	41.75	50.48	41.81	46.30
Somewhat worse off	35	56	41	132
	16.99	13.53	23.16	16.56
A lot worse off	11	17	13	41
	5.34	4.11	7.34	5.14
Don't know	12	7	2	21
	5.83	1.69	1.13	2.63
Total	206	414	177	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q13 by metro

Texas economy compared to a year ago	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
A lot better off	13	7	2	4	9	35
	8.33	3.00	2.53	4.82	3.69	4.40
Somewhat better off	38	69	20	20	51	198
	24.36	29.61	25.32	24.10	20.90	24.91
About the same	75	108	37	39	110	369
	48.08	46.35	46.84	46.99	45.08	46.42
Somewhat worse off	20	37	10	13	51	131
	12.82	15.88	12.66	15.66	20.90	16.48
A lot worse off	4	8	8	6	15	41
	2.56	3.43	10.13	7.23	6.15	5.16
Don't know	6	4	2	1	8	21
	3.85	1.72	2.53	1.20	3.28	2.64
Total	156	233	79	83	244	795

```
                    |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q14. Children's Future

```
. tab2 Q14 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q14 by libcon

   Children in |
    the future |
 better off or |                                   Ideology
     worse off | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
    Better off |        12         30         31         55         12         23         19 |       182
               |     32.43      42.25      41.33      25.82      10.91      13.94      15.45 |     22.92
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Worse off |         5         12         15         74         55         99         82 |       342
               |     13.51      16.90      20.00      34.74      50.00      60.00      66.67 |     43.07
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
About the same |         7         20         24         45         14         21          7 |       138
               |     18.92      28.17      32.00      21.13      12.73      12.73       5.69 |     17.38
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
    Don't know |        13          9          5         39         29         22         15 |       132
               |     35.14      12.68       6.67      18.31      26.36      13.33      12.20 |     16.62
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
         Total |        37         71         75        213        110        165        123 |       794
               |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q14 by pid7

   Children in |
    the future |
 better off or |                                    7 point Party ID
     worse off | Strong De  Not very   Lean Demo  Independe  Lean Repu  Not very   Strong Re  None of t |     Total
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
    Better off |        90         20         15         13         11          6         22          2 |       179
               |     47.37      35.09      24.59      20.63       8.94       9.23      10.63      11.11 |     22.83
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Worse off |        26         19         17         22         83         35        130          5 |       337
               |     13.68      33.33      27.87      34.92      67.48      53.85      62.80      27.78 |     42.98
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
About the same |        49          8         19         10          8         10         31          3 |       138
               |     25.79      14.04      31.15      15.87       6.50      15.38      14.98      16.67 |     17.60
---------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Don't know	25	10	10	18	21	14	24	8	130
	13.16	17.54	16.39	28.57	17.07	21.54	11.59	44.44	16.58
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by Q22

Children in the future better off or worse off	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Better off	15	123	15	30	183
	12.00	42.71	8.38	14.42	22.88
Worse off	62	50	130	102	344
	49.60	17.36	72.63	49.04	43.00
About the same	25	72	12	31	140
	20.00	25.00	6.70	14.90	17.50
Don't know	23	43	22	45	133
	18.40	14.93	12.29	21.63	16.62
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by educ

Children in the future better off or worse off	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Better off	5	28	69	17	47	17	183
	18.52	12.17	31.36	19.32	28.66	23.94	22.88
Worse off	12	115	79	42	66	30	344
	44.44	50.00	35.91	47.73	40.24	42.25	43.00
About the same	8	44	31	12	25	20	140
	29.63	19.13	14.09	13.64	15.24	28.17	17.50
Don't know	2	43	41	17	26	4	133
	7.41	18.70	18.64	19.32	15.85	5.63	16.62

Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by attend

Children in the future better off or worse off	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Better off	43	31	24	45	37	180
	29.86	19.50	25.26	20.18	21.51	22.70
Worse off	63	81	44	96	56	340
	43.75	50.94	46.32	43.05	32.56	42.88
About the same	17	26	11	44	42	140
	11.81	16.35	11.58	19.73	24.42	17.65
Don't know	21	21	16	38	37	133
	14.58	13.21	16.84	17.04	21.51	16.77
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by race

Children in the future better off or worse off	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Better off	66	66	44	2	2	1	2	0	183
	12.82	58.93	33.85	40.00	33.33	6.25	13.33	0.00	22.88
Worse off	274	12	41	2	1	3	10	1	344
	53.20	10.71	31.54	40.00	16.67	18.75	66.67	100.00	43.00
About the same	88	18	23	1	2	5	3	0	140
	17.09	16.07	17.69	20.00	33.33	31.25	20.00	0.00	17.50
Don't know	87	16	22	0	1	7	0	0	133
	16.89	14.29	16.92	0.00	16.67	43.75	0.00	0.00	16.62
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800

```
                |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q14 by marstat

Children in the future better off or worse off	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Better off	103	2	28	9	30	11	183
	20.77	16.67	26.92	25.00	26.79	28.21	22.90
Worse off	246	6	34	16	29	13	344
	49.60	50.00	32.69	44.44	25.89	33.33	43.05
About the same	85	3	23	5	17	6	139
	17.14	25.00	22.12	13.89	15.18	15.38	17.40
Don't know	62	1	19	6	36	9	133
	12.50	8.33	18.27	16.67	32.14	23.08	16.65
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by gender

Children in the future better off or worse off	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Better off	87	96	183
	23.32	22.48	22.88
Worse off	169	175	344
	45.31	40.98	43.00
About the same	65	75	140
	17.43	17.56	17.50
Don't know	52	81	133
	13.94	18.97	16.62
Total	373	427	800

```
                |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q14 by locate

Children in the future better off or worse off	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Better off	57	97	29	183
	27.67	23.37	16.29	22.90
Worse off	66	186	91	343
	32.04	44.82	51.12	42.93
About the same	43	68	29	140
	20.87	16.39	16.29	17.52
Don't know	40	64	29	133
	19.42	15.42	16.29	16.65
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q14 by metro

Children in the future better off or worse off	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Better off	49	50	18	19	47	183
	31.41	21.46	22.78	22.89	19.11	22.96
Worse off	59	99	38	36	109	341
	37.82	42.49	48.10	43.37	44.31	42.79
About the same	25	45	10	16	44	140
	16.03	19.31	12.66	19.28	17.89	17.57
Don't know	23	39	13	12	46	133
	14.74	16.74	16.46	14.46	18.70	16.69
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q15. National Economy, Better off/Worse Off

```
. tab2 Q15 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q15 by libcon

    National economy |
worst over or yet to |                                  Ideology
                come | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
---------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Worst is over |        28         54         43         76         17         17         14 |       249
                     |     75.68      76.06      57.33      35.68      15.45      10.30      11.38 |     31.36
---------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Worst is yet to come |         6          6         10         82         56        113         86 |       359
                     |     16.22       8.45      13.33      38.50      50.91      68.48      69.92 |     45.21
---------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
          Don't know |         3         11         22         55         37         35         23 |       186
                     |      8.11      15.49      29.33      25.82      33.64      21.21      18.70 |     23.43
---------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
               Total |        37         71         75        213        110        165        123 |       794
                     |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q15 by pid7

    National economy |
worst over or yet to |                                   7 point Party ID
                come | Strong De  Not very   Lean Demo  Independe  Lean Repu  Not very   Strong Re  None of t |     Total
---------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Worst is over |       135         28         35         14         11          8         14          0 |       245
                     |     71.05      49.12      57.38      22.22       8.94      12.31       6.76       0.00 |     31.25
---------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Worst is yet to come |        21         13         12         29         85         39        139         14 |       352
                     |     11.05      22.81      19.67      46.03      69.11      60.00      67.15      77.78 |     44.90
---------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
          Don't know |        34         16         14         20         27         18         54          4 |       187
                     |     17.89      28.07      22.95      31.75      21.95      27.69      26.09      22.22 |     23.85
---------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
               Total |       190         57         61         63        123         65        207         18 |       784
                     |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q15 by Q22

    National economy |  Vote for Tea party if it were a political
worst over or yet to |                      party
                come | The Repub  The Democ  The Tea P  Don't kno |     Total
---------------------+--------------------------------------------+----------
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Worst is over	17	196	10	26	249
	13.60	68.06	5.59	12.50	31.13
Worst is yet to come	70	35	136	120	361
	56.00	12.15	75.98	57.69	45.12
Don't know	38	57	33	62	190
	30.40	19.79	18.44	29.81	23.75
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by educ

National economy worst over or yet to come	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Worst is over	8	52	86	24	50	29	249
	29.63	22.61	39.09	27.27	30.49	40.85	31.13
Worst is yet to come	15	120	87	38	73	28	361
	55.56	52.17	39.55	43.18	44.51	39.44	45.12
Don't know	4	58	47	26	41	14	190
	14.81	25.22	21.36	29.55	25.00	19.72	23.75
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by attend

National economy worst over or yet to come	Religion participation					
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Worst is over	37	37	31	74	70	249
	25.69	23.27	32.63	33.18	40.70	31.40
Worst is yet to come	80	76	44	96	61	357
	55.56	47.80	46.32	43.05	35.47	45.02
Don't know	27	46	20	53	41	187
	18.75	28.93	21.05	23.77	23.84	23.58
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793

```
                     |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q15 by race

National economy worst over or yet to come	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Worst is over	105	71	60	2	2	7	2	0	249
	20.39	63.39	46.15	40.00	33.33	43.75	13.33	0.00	31.13
Worst is yet to come	282	13	46	3	3	4	9	1	361
	54.76	11.61	35.38	60.00	50.00	25.00	60.00	100.00	45.12
Don't know	128	28	24	0	1	5	4	0	190
	24.85	25.00	18.46	0.00	16.67	31.25	26.67	0.00	23.75
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by marstat

National economy worst over or yet to come	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Worst is over	124	5	42	12	45	20	248
	25.00	41.67	40.38	33.33	40.18	51.28	31.04
Worst is yet to come	256	7	35	15	38	10	361
	51.61	58.33	33.65	41.67	33.93	25.64	45.18
Don't know	116	0	27	9	29	9	190
	23.39	0.00	25.96	25.00	25.89	23.08	23.78
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by gender

National economy worst over or yet to come	Gender: Male	Female	Total

Worst is over	115	134	249
	30.83	31.38	31.13
Worst is yet to come	176	185	361
	47.18	43.33	45.12
Don't know	82	108	190
	21.98	25.29	23.75
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by locate

National economy worst over or yet to come	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Worst is over	79	132	38	249
	38.35	31.81	21.35	31.16
Worst is yet to come	69	192	99	360
	33.50	46.27	55.62	45.06
Don't know	58	91	41	190
	28.16	21.93	23.03	23.78
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q15 by metro

National economy worst over or yet to come	Metropolitan area Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Worst is over	53	79	25	30	62	249
	33.97	33.91	31.65	36.14	25.20	31.24
Worst is yet to come	73	92	35	36	122	358
	46.79	39.48	44.30	43.37	49.59	44.92
Don't know	30	62	19	17	62	190
	19.23	26.61	24.05	20.48	25.20	23.84

Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q16. Money Owed on Credit Cards

```
. tab2 Q16 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q16 by libcon

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Owe a lot more than y	6	5	8	39	14	18	17	107
	16.22	7.04	10.81	18.31	12.73	10.91	13.82	13.49
Owe a little more tha	2	14	10	29	16	17	23	111
	5.41	19.72	13.51	13.62	14.55	10.30	18.70	14.00
Owe about what you ca	8	15	16	50	30	38	26	183
	21.62	21.13	21.62	23.47	27.27	23.03	21.14	23.08
Owe less than what yo	5	22	14	33	17	34	21	146
	13.51	30.99	18.92	15.49	15.45	20.61	17.07	18.41
Don't have any debts	8	8	16	29	21	36	19	137
	21.62	11.27	21.62	13.62	19.09	21.82	15.45	17.28
Don't have any credit	7	5	10	28	12	18	16	96
	18.92	7.04	13.51	13.15	10.91	10.91	13.01	12.11
Don't know	1	2	0	5	0	4	1	13
	2.70	2.82	0.00	2.35	0.00	2.42	0.81	1.64
Total	37	71	74	213	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by pid7

Money owed on credit cards and loans	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Owe a lot more than y	22	11	6	10	19	10	24	3	105
	11.58	19.30	10.00	15.87	15.45	15.38	11.59	16.67	13.41

Owe a little more tha	24	9	8	9	16	9	34	2	111
	12.63	15.79	13.33	14.29	13.01	13.85	16.43	11.11	14.18
Owe about what you ca	45	15	17	13	22	14	54	2	182
	23.68	26.32	28.33	20.63	17.89	21.54	26.09	11.11	23.24
Owe less than what yo	45	10	13	7	22	9	32	3	141
	23.68	17.54	21.67	11.11	17.89	13.85	15.46	16.67	18.01
Don't have any debts	24	6	10	14	29	13	36	4	136
	12.63	10.53	16.67	22.22	23.58	20.00	17.39	22.22	17.37
Don't have any credit	25	5	6	9	13	10	24	3	95
	13.16	8.77	10.00	14.29	10.57	15.38	11.59	16.67	12.13
Don't know	5	1	0	1	2	0	3	1	13
	2.63	1.75	0.00	1.59	1.63	0.00	1.45	5.56	1.66
Total	190	57	60	63	123	65	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by Q22

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Owe a lot more than y	21	38	21	29	109
	16.80	13.24	11.73	13.94	13.64
Owe a little more tha	25	38	22	28	113
	20.00	13.24	12.29	13.46	14.14
Owe about what you ca	27	66	44	48	185
	21.60	23.00	24.58	23.08	23.15
Owe less than what yo	16	63	36	31	146
	12.80	21.95	20.11	14.90	18.27
Don't have any debts	19	38	37	43	137
	15.20	13.24	20.67	20.67	17.15
Don't have any credit	16	38	16	26	96
	12.80	13.24	8.94	12.50	12.02
Don't know	1	6	3	3	13
	0.80	2.09	1.68	1.44	1.63

Total	125	287	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by educ

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Owe a lot more than y	3	38	28	13	21	6	109
	11.11	16.52	12.73	14.77	12.88	8.45	13.64
Owe a little more tha	3	32	35	10	23	10	113
	11.11	13.91	15.91	11.36	14.11	14.08	14.14
Owe about what you ca	4	56	45	27	39	14	185
	14.81	24.35	20.45	30.68	23.93	19.72	23.15
Owe less than what yo	8	29	49	14	31	15	146
	29.63	12.61	22.27	15.91	19.02	21.13	18.27
Don't have any debts	6	38	35	11	30	17	137
	22.22	16.52	15.91	12.50	18.40	23.94	17.15
Don't have any credit	3	33	24	10	17	9	96
	11.11	14.35	10.91	11.36	10.43	12.68	12.02
Don't know	0	4	4	3	2	0	13
	0.00	1.74	1.82	3.41	1.23	0.00	1.63
Total	27	230	220	88	163	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by attend

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Owe a lot more than y	26	21	11	25	24	107
	18.06	13.21	11.58	11.21	14.04	13.51
Owe a little more tha	21	28	15	25	24	113
	14.58	17.61	15.79	11.21	14.04	14.27
Owe about what you ca	32	31	18	62	40	183
	22.22	19.50	18.95	27.80	23.39	23.11

Owe less than what yo	19	30	22	50	25	146
	13.19	18.87	23.16	22.42	14.62	18.43
Don't have any debts	26	27	14	31	36	134
	18.06	16.98	14.74	13.90	21.05	16.92
Don't have any credit	18	17	14	28	19	96
	12.50	10.69	14.74	12.56	11.11	12.12
Don't know	2	5	1	2	3	13
	1.39	3.14	1.05	0.90	1.75	1.64
Total	144	159	95	223	171	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by race

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Owe a lot more than y	69	16	16	2	1	2	3	0	109
	13.42	14.29	12.31	40.00	16.67	12.50	20.00	0.00	13.64
Owe a little more tha	76	19	11	0	3	2	2	0	113
	14.79	16.96	8.46	0.00	50.00	12.50	13.33	0.00	14.14
Owe about what you ca	113	30	36	0	0	2	3	1	185
	21.98	26.79	27.69	0.00	0.00	12.50	20.00	100.00	23.15
Owe less than what yo	90	18	34	0	0	2	2	0	146
	17.51	16.07	26.15	0.00	0.00	12.50	13.33	0.00	18.27
Don't have any debts	100	10	19	2	0	4	2	0	137
	19.46	8.93	14.62	40.00	0.00	25.00	13.33	0.00	17.15
Don't have any credit	59	16	11	1	2	4	3	0	96
	11.48	14.29	8.46	20.00	33.33	25.00	20.00	0.00	12.02
Don't know	7	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	13
	1.36	2.68	2.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.63
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by marstat

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Owe a lot more than y	65	3	16	4	17	4	109
	13.13	25.00	15.38	11.11	15.18	10.26	13.66
Owe a little more tha	68	3	19	5	12	6	113
	13.74	25.00	18.27	13.89	10.71	15.38	14.16
Owe about what you ca	128	1	19	7	20	10	185
	25.86	8.33	18.27	19.44	17.86	25.64	23.18
Owe less than what yo	101	2	20	4	11	7	145
	20.40	16.67	19.23	11.11	9.82	17.95	18.17
Don't have any debts	83	2	16	9	22	5	137
	16.77	16.67	15.38	25.00	19.64	12.82	17.17
Don't have any credit	42	1	13	6	27	7	96
	8.48	8.33	12.50	16.67	24.11	17.95	12.03
Don't know	8	0	1	1	3	0	13
	1.62	0.00	0.96	2.78	2.68	0.00	1.63
Total	495	12	104	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q16 by gender

Money owed on credit cards and loans	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Owe a lot more than y	45	64	109
	12.10	14.99	13.64
Owe a little more tha	46	67	113
	12.37	15.69	14.14
Owe about what you ca	87	98	185
	23.39	22.95	23.15
Owe less than what yo	89	57	146
	23.92	13.35	18.27

```
 Don't have any debts |        66         71 |       137
                      |     17.74      16.63 |     17.15
----------------------+----------------------+----------
Don't have any credit |        36         60 |        96
                      |      9.68      14.05 |     12.02
----------------------+----------------------+----------
           Don't know |         3         10 |        13
                      |      0.81       2.34 |      1.63
----------------------+----------------------+----------
                Total |       372        427 |       799
                      |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q16 by locate

```
 Money owed on credit |          Community size
      cards and loans |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Owe a lot more than y |        34         53         22 |       109
                      |     16.59      12.77      12.36 |     13.66
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Owe a little more tha |        22         64         26 |       112
                      |     10.73      15.42      14.61 |     14.04
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Owe about what you ca |        42         96         47 |       185
                      |     20.49      23.13      26.40 |     23.18
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Owe less than what yo |        33         91         22 |       146
                      |     16.10      21.93      12.36 |     18.30
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
 Don't have any debts |        37         65         35 |       137
                      |     18.05      15.66      19.66 |     17.17
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Don't have any credit |        33         42         21 |        96
                      |     16.10      10.12      11.80 |     12.03
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |         4          4          5 |        13
                      |      1.95       0.96       2.81 |      1.63
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
                Total |       205        415        178 |       798
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q16 by metro

```
 Money owed on credit |                   Metropolitan area
      cards and loans | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Owe a lot more than y	23	32	6	13	35	109
	14.84	13.73	7.59	15.66	14.23	13.69
Owe a little more tha	19	34	12	12	35	112
	12.26	14.59	15.19	14.46	14.23	14.07
Owe about what you ca	37	59	21	13	54	184
	23.87	25.32	26.58	15.66	21.95	23.12
Owe less than what yo	32	37	15	17	45	146
	20.65	15.88	18.99	20.48	18.29	18.34
Don't have any debts	22	44	14	20	37	137
	14.19	18.88	17.72	24.10	15.04	17.21
Don't have any credit	21	25	10	6	33	95
	13.55	10.73	12.66	7.23	13.41	11.93
Don't know	1	2	1	2	7	13
	0.65	0.86	1.27	2.41	2.85	1.63
Total	155	233	79	83	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q17. Presidential Vote Choice

```
. tab2 Q17F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q17F by libcon
```

	Ideology							
Q17F	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Mitt Romney	2	0	0	74	86	156	107	425
	5.41	0.00	0.00	34.74	78.18	94.55	86.99	53.53
Barack Obama	34	70	67	115	17	5	10	318
	91.89	98.59	89.33	53.99	15.45	3.03	8.13	40.05
Someone else	1	1	8	23	7	4	6	50
	2.70	1.41	10.67	10.80	6.36	2.42	4.88	6.30

Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Q17F	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.13
Mitt Romney	2	9	3	25	113	56	205	7	420
	1.05	15.79	4.92	39.68	91.87	86.15	99.03	38.89	53.57
Barack Obama	186	47	53	18	3	3	1	2	313
	97.89	82.46	86.89	28.57	2.44	4.62	0.48	11.11	39.92
Someone else	2	1	5	20	7	5	1	9	50
	1.05	1.75	8.20	31.75	5.69	7.69	0.48	50.00	6.38
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Q17F	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.12
Mitt Romney	120	8	164	139	431
	96.00	2.78	91.62	66.83	53.87
Barack Obama	2	270	1	45	318
	1.60	93.75	0.56	21.63	39.75
Someone else	3	10	14	23	50
	2.40	3.47	7.82	11.06	6.25
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by educ

Q17F	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12
Mitt Romney	11	146	95	50	93	36	431
	40.74	63.48	43.18	56.82	56.71	50.70	53.87
Barack Obama	14	69	113	36	56	30	318
	51.85	30.00	51.36	40.91	34.15	42.25	39.75
Someone else	2	14	12	2	15	5	50
	7.41	6.09	5.45	2.27	9.15	7.04	6.25
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by attend

Q17F	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.13
Mitt Romney	95	101	54	112	63	425
	65.97	63.52	56.84	50.22	36.63	53.59
Barack Obama	40	49	37	98	93	317
	27.78	30.82	38.95	43.95	54.07	39.97
Someone else	9	9	4	13	15	50
	6.25	5.66	4.21	5.83	8.72	6.31
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by race

Q17F	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12

Mitt Romney	346	8	55	3	2	4	12	1	431
	67.18	7.14	42.31	60.00	33.33	25.00	80.00	100.00	53.87
Barack Obama	126	104	72	1	3	10	2	0	318
	24.47	92.86	55.38	20.00	50.00	62.50	13.33	0.00	39.75
Someone else	42	0	3	1	1	2	1	0	50
	8.16	0.00	2.31	20.00	16.67	12.50	6.67	0.00	6.25
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by marstat

	Marital Status						
Q17F	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.56	0.13
Mitt Romney	321	7	37	19	37	10	431
	64.72	58.33	35.58	52.78	33.04	25.64	53.94
Barack Obama	149	4	62	14	63	25	317
	30.04	33.33	59.62	38.89	56.25	64.10	39.67
Someone else	26	1	5	3	12	3	50
	5.24	8.33	4.81	8.33	10.71	7.69	6.26
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by gender

	Gender		
Q17F	Male	Female	Total
0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.23	0.12
Mitt Romney	222	209	431
	59.52	48.95	53.87

Barack Obama	132	186	318
	35.39	43.56	39.75
Someone else	19	31	50
	5.09	7.26	6.25
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by locate

	Community size			
Q17F	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
0	1	0	0	1
	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.13
Mitt Romney	80	230	120	430
	38.83	55.42	67.42	53.82
Barack Obama	112	159	47	318
	54.37	38.31	26.40	39.80
Someone else	13	26	11	50
	6.31	6.27	6.18	6.26
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q17F by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Q17F	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.13
Mitt Romney	76	120	46	37	149	428
	48.72	51.50	58.23	44.58	60.57	53.70
Barack Obama	71	99	29	37	82	318
	45.51	42.49	36.71	44.58	33.33	39.90
Someone else	9	14	4	9	14	50
	5.77	6.01	5.06	10.84	5.69	6.27

Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q18. Senate Vote Choice

```
. tab2 Q18F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q18F by libcon

Q18F	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	1	1	2	62	83	154	103	406
	2.70	1.41	2.67	29.11	75.45	93.33	83.74	51.13
Paul Sadler (Democrat	33	63	64	105	18	4	11	298
	89.19	88.73	85.33	49.30	16.36	2.42	8.94	37.53
John Jay Myers (Liber	1	5	3	9	3	4	4	29
	2.70	7.04	4.00	4.23	2.73	2.42	3.25	3.65
David Collins (Green)	1	2	4	4	1	0	0	12
	2.70	2.82	5.33	1.88	0.91	0.00	0.00	1.51
Someone else	1	0	2	32	5	3	5	48
	2.70	0.00	2.67	15.02	4.55	1.82	4.07	6.05
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by pid7

Q18F	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	0	10	1	22	109	52	203	6	403
	0.00	17.54	1.64	34.92	88.62	80.00	98.07	33.33	51.40

Paul Sadler (Democrat	182	42	42	18	3	5	0	1	293
	95.79	73.68	68.85	28.57	2.44	7.69	0.00	5.56	37.37
John Jay Myers (Liber	1	2	9	5	5	1	0	4	27
	0.53	3.51	14.75	7.94	4.07	1.54	0.00	22.22	3.44
David Collins (Green)	2	0	6	1	1	1	0	1	12
	1.05	0.00	9.84	1.59	0.81	1.54	0.00	5.56	1.53
Someone else	5	3	3	17	4	6	4	6	48
	2.63	5.26	4.92	26.98	3.25	9.23	1.93	33.33	6.12
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Q18F	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.12
Ted Cruz (Republican)	118	6	160	127	411
	94.40	2.08	89.39	61.06	51.38
Paul Sadler (Democrat	2	257	5	35	299
	1.60	89.24	2.79	16.83	37.38
John Jay Myers (Liber	0	9	8	12	29
	0.00	3.12	4.47	5.77	3.62
David Collins (Green)	0	8	1	3	12
	0.00	2.78	0.56	1.44	1.50
Someone else	5	8	5	30	48
	4.00	2.78	2.79	14.42	6.00
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by educ

	Education						
Q18F	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total

0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41	0.12
Ted Cruz (Republican)	9	142	88	45	90	37	411
	33.33	61.74	40.00	51.14	54.88	52.11	51.38
Paul Sadler (Democrat	9	63	112	35	54	26	299
	33.33	27.39	50.91	39.77	32.93	36.62	37.38
John Jay Myers (Liber	2	6	4	5	9	3	29
	7.41	2.61	1.82	5.68	5.49	4.23	3.62
David Collins (Green)	3	2	1	1	2	3	12
	11.11	0.87	0.45	1.14	1.22	4.23	1.50
Someone else	4	17	15	2	9	1	48
	14.81	7.39	6.82	2.27	5.49	1.41	6.00
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by attend

	Religion participation					
Q18F	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.58	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	91	91	47	110	67	406
	63.19	57.23	49.47	49.33	38.95	51.20
Paul Sadler (Democrat	41	47	37	90	82	297
	28.47	29.56	38.95	40.36	47.67	37.45
John Jay Myers (Liber	3	6	4	9	7	29
	2.08	3.77	4.21	4.04	4.07	3.66
David Collins (Green)	1	1	2	3	5	12
	0.69	0.63	2.11	1.35	2.91	1.51
Someone else	8	14	5	11	10	48
	5.56	8.81	5.26	4.93	5.81	6.05
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q18F by race

Q18F	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	0.12
Ted Cruz (Republican)	334	7	50	3	3	2	11	1	411
	64.85	6.25	38.46	60.00	50.00	12.50	73.33	100.00	51.38
Paul Sadler (Democrat	121	98	66	1	1	10	2	0	299
	23.50	87.50	50.77	20.00	16.67	62.50	13.33	0.00	37.38
John Jay Myers (Liber	23	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	29
	4.47	2.68	0.77	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	3.62
David Collins (Green)	8	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	12
	1.55	0.89	1.54	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.50
Someone else	29	3	11	1	1	2	1	0	48
	5.63	2.68	8.46	20.00	16.67	12.50	6.67	0.00	6.00
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by marstat

Q18F	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	306	5	35	17	36	11	410
	61.69	41.67	33.65	47.22	32.14	28.21	51.31
Paul Sadler (Democrat	145	6	54	14	60	20	299
	29.23	50.00	51.92	38.89	53.57	51.28	37.42
John Jay Myers (Liber	11	1	5	2	6	4	29
	2.22	8.33	4.81	5.56	5.36	10.26	3.63
David Collins (Green)	4	0	4	0	3	1	12
	0.81	0.00	3.85	0.00	2.68	2.56	1.50

Someone else	29	0	6	3	7	3	48
	5.85	0.00	5.77	8.33	6.25	7.69	6.01
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by gender

Q18F	Gender: Male	Female	Total
0	1	0	1
	0.27	0.00	0.12
Ted Cruz (Republican)	220	191	411
	58.98	44.73	51.38
Paul Sadler (Democrat	123	176	299
	32.98	41.22	37.38
John Jay Myers (Liber	9	20	29
	2.41	4.68	3.62
David Collins (Green)	8	4	12
	2.14	0.94	1.50
Someone else	12	36	48
	3.22	8.43	6.00
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by locate

Q18F	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
0	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	80	223	107	410
	38.83	53.73	60.11	51.31

Paul Sadler (Democrat	102	148	49	299
	49.51	35.66	27.53	37.42
John Jay Myers (Liber	6	19	4	29
	2.91	4.58	2.25	3.63
David Collins (Green)	7	3	2	12
	3.40	0.72	1.12	1.50
Someone else	11	21	16	48
	5.34	5.06	8.99	6.01
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q18F by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Q18F	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Ted Cruz (Republican)	68	115	46	37	142	408
	43.59	49.36	58.23	44.58	57.72	51.19
Paul Sadler (Democrat	69	89	28	35	78	299
	44.23	38.20	35.44	42.17	31.71	37.52
John Jay Myers (Liber	9	13	0	3	4	29
	5.77	5.58	0.00	3.61	1.63	3.64
David Collins (Green)	3	2	0	5	2	12
	1.92	0.86	0.00	6.02	0.81	1.51
Someone else	6	14	5	3	20	48
	3.85	6.01	6.33	3.61	8.13	6.02
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q19. Railroad Commission Vote Choice

```
. tab2 Q19F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q19F by libcon

Q19F	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	4
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.94	1.82	0.00	0.00	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep	1	0	4	62	79	153	98	397
	2.70	0.00	5.33	29.11	71.82	92.73	79.67	50.00
Dale Henry (Democrat)	31	62	52	101	14	4	11	275
	83.78	87.32	69.33	47.42	12.73	2.42	8.94	34.63
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	1	5	4	14	7	4	7	42
	2.70	7.04	5.33	6.57	6.36	2.42	5.69	5.29
Chris Kennedy (Green)	3	4	13	9	4	1	1	35
	8.11	5.63	17.33	4.23	3.64	0.61	0.81	4.41
Someone else	1	0	2	25	4	3	6	41
	2.70	0.00	2.67	11.74	3.64	1.82	4.88	5.16
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by pid7

Q19F	7 point Party ID Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	0.53	3.51	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.51
Christi Craddick (Rep	2	7	3	20	103	57	197	3	392
	1.05	12.28	4.92	31.75	83.74	87.69	95.17	16.67	50.00
Dale Henry (Democrat)	173	43	38	13	2	0	1	2	272
	91.05	75.44	62.30	20.63	1.63	0.00	0.48	11.11	34.69
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	2	1	8	9	10	1	5	4	40
	1.05	1.75	13.11	14.29	8.13	1.54	2.42	22.22	5.10
Chris Kennedy (Green)	10	2	12	4	2	2	1	1	34
	5.26	3.51	19.67	6.35	1.63	3.08	0.48	5.56	4.34

Someone else	2	2	0	17	6	4	3	8	42
	1.05	3.51	0.00	26.98	4.88	6.15	1.45	44.44	5.36
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Q19F	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
0	0	1	0	3	4
	0.00	0.35	0.00	1.44	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep	114	9	152	127	402
	91.20	3.12	84.92	61.06	50.25
Dale Henry (Democrat)	3	242	4	26	275
	2.40	84.03	2.23	12.50	34.38
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	4	10	15	13	42
	3.20	3.47	8.38	6.25	5.25
Chris Kennedy (Green)	1	23	1	10	35
	0.80	7.99	0.56	4.81	4.38
Someone else	3	3	7	29	42
	2.40	1.04	3.91	13.94	5.25
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by educ

	Education						
Q19F	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4
	0.00	0.87	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep	10	140	89	42	86	35	402
	37.04	60.87	40.45	47.73	52.44	49.30	50.25
Dale Henry (Democrat)	8	60	102	34	50	21	275
	29.63	26.09	46.36	38.64	30.49	29.58	34.38

Vivekandanda Wall (Li	1	3	11	5	15	7	42
	3.70	1.30	5.00	5.68	9.15	9.86	5.25
Chris Kennedy (Green)	4	8	6	5	6	6	35
	14.81	3.48	2.73	5.68	3.66	8.45	4.38
Someone else	4	17	10	2	7	2	42
	14.81	7.39	4.55	2.27	4.27	2.82	5.25
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by attend

	Religion participation					
Q19F	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
0	0	0	1	1	2	4
	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.45	1.16	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep	86	92	50	105	63	396
	59.72	57.86	52.63	47.09	36.63	49.94
Dale Henry (Democrat)	36	43	33	84	78	274
	25.00	27.04	34.74	37.67	45.35	34.55
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	11	8	4	10	9	42
	7.64	5.03	4.21	4.48	5.23	5.30
Chris Kennedy (Green)	5	2	4	12	12	35
	3.47	1.26	4.21	5.38	6.98	4.41
Someone else	6	14	3	11	8	42
	4.17	8.81	3.16	4.93	4.65	5.30
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by race

	Race								
Q19F	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4
	0.39	0.89	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50

Christi Craddick (Rep	323	8	50	3	3	3	11	1	402
	62.72	7.14	38.46	60.00	50.00	18.75	73.33	100.00	50.25
Dale Henry (Democrat)	108	92	62	0	1	10	2	0	275
	20.97	82.14	47.69	0.00	16.67	62.50	13.33	0.00	34.38
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	34	4	1	1	0	1	1	0	42
	6.60	3.57	0.77	20.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	5.25
Chris Kennedy (Green)	20	6	7	0	2	0	0	0	35
	3.88	5.36	5.38	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.38
Someone else	28	1	9	1	0	2	1	0	42
	5.44	0.89	6.92	20.00	0.00	12.50	6.67	0.00	5.25
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by marstat

	Marital Status						
Q19F	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
0	1	0	0	1	2	0	4
	0.20	0.00	0.00	2.78	1.79	0.00	0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep	298	4	34	16	37	13	402
	60.08	33.33	32.69	44.44	33.04	33.33	50.31
Dale Henry (Democrat)	137	6	48	10	53	20	274
	27.62	50.00	46.15	27.78	47.32	51.28	34.29
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	26	1	7	2	5	1	42
	5.24	8.33	6.73	5.56	4.46	2.56	5.26
Chris Kennedy (Green)	13	0	10	4	6	2	35
	2.62	0.00	9.62	11.11	5.36	5.13	4.38
Someone else	21	1	5	3	9	3	42
	4.23	8.33	4.81	8.33	8.04	7.69	5.26
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by gender

Q19F	Gender: Male	Female	Total
0	2 0.54	2 0.47	4 0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep	207 55.50	195 45.67	402 50.25
Dale Henry (Democrat)	116 31.10	159 37.24	275 34.38
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	23 6.17	19 4.45	42 5.25
Chris Kennedy (Green)	16 4.29	19 4.45	35 4.38
Someone else	9 2.41	33 7.73	42 5.25
Total	373 100.00	427 100.00	800 100.00

-> tabulation of Q19F by locate

Q19F	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
0	0 0.00	2 0.48	2 1.12	4 0.50
Christi Craddick (Rep	74 35.92	218 52.53	109 61.24	401 50.19
Dale Henry (Democrat)	102 49.51	132 31.81	41 23.03	275 34.42
Vivekandanda Wall (Li	10 4.85	22 5.30	10 5.62	42 5.26
Chris Kennedy (Green)	10 4.85	19 4.58	6 3.37	35 4.38

```
         Someone else |        10         22         10 |        42
                      |      4.85       5.30       5.62 |      5.26
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        415        178 |       799
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q19F by metro

```
                      |                Metropolitan area
                 Q19F | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                    0 |         1          0          1          1         1 |         4
                      |      0.64       0.00       1.27       1.20      0.41 |      0.50
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Christi Craddick (Rep |        70        113         45         37       134 |       399
                      |     44.87      48.50      56.96      44.58     54.47 |     50.06
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Dale Henry (Democrat) |        62         81         20         34        78 |       275
                      |     39.74      34.76      25.32      40.96     31.71 |     34.50
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Vivekandanda Wall (Li |        13         13          3          4         9 |        42
                      |      8.33       5.58       3.80       4.82      3.66 |      5.27
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Chris Kennedy (Green) |         7         12          5          5         6 |        35
                      |      4.49       5.15       6.33       6.02      2.44 |      4.39
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
         Someone else |         3         14          5          2        18 |        42
                      |      1.92       6.01       6.33       2.41      7.32 |      5.27
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       156        233         79         83       246 |       797
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00    100.00 |    100.00
```

Q20. Incumbent State Representative Support

```
. tab2 Q20 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q20 by libcon

```
      Incumbent state |
       representative |                                   Ideology
  deserves reelection | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
  Deserves reelection |        10         14         19         42         46         77         64 |       272
                      |     27.03      19.72      25.33      19.81      41.82      46.67      52.03 |     34.30
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Time to give someone	25	37	41	103	32	51	32	321
	67.57	52.11	54.67	48.58	29.09	30.91	26.02	40.48
Don't know	2	20	15	67	32	37	27	200
	5.41	28.17	20.00	31.60	29.09	22.42	21.95	25.22
Total	37	71	75	212	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by pid7

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	7 point Party ID								
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Deserves reelection	42	17	16	15	56	14	106	1	267
	22.11	29.82	26.23	23.81	45.53	21.54	51.21	5.88	34.10
Time to give someone	102	25	36	28	42	23	52	9	317
	53.68	43.86	59.02	44.44	34.15	35.38	25.12	52.94	40.49
Don't know	46	15	9	20	25	28	49	7	199
	24.21	26.32	14.75	31.75	20.33	43.08	23.67	41.18	25.42
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	17	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by Q22

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Deserves reelection	54	71	83	65	273
	43.20	24.65	46.37	31.40	34.17
Time to give someone	35	154	61	75	325
	28.00	53.47	34.08	36.23	40.68
Don't know	36	63	35	67	201
	28.80	21.88	19.55	32.37	25.16
Total	125	288	179	207	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q20 by educ

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Deserves reelection	6	74	67	30	68	28	273
	22.22	32.31	30.45	34.09	41.46	39.44	34.17
Time to give someone	16	90	88	35	68	28	325
	59.26	39.30	40.00	39.77	41.46	39.44	40.68
Don't know	5	65	65	23	28	15	201
	18.52	28.38	29.55	26.14	17.07	21.13	25.16
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by attend

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Deserves reelection	57	58	40	67	47	269
	39.58	36.48	42.11	30.18	27.33	33.96
Time to give someone	55	59	41	89	80	324
	38.19	37.11	43.16	40.09	46.51	40.91
Don't know	32	42	14	66	45	199
	22.22	26.42	14.74	29.73	26.16	25.13
Total	144	159	95	222	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by race

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Deserves reelection	194	29	35	3	2	3	6	1	273
	37.74	25.89	26.92	60.00	33.33	18.75	40.00	100.00	34.17

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Time to give someone	203	43	62	1	2	7	7	0	325
	39.49	38.39	47.69	20.00	33.33	43.75	46.67	0.00	40.68
Don't know	117	40	33	1	2	6	2	0	201
	22.76	35.71	25.38	20.00	33.33	37.50	13.33	0.00	25.16
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by marstat

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Deserves reelection	175	3	41	16	29	9	273
	35.28	25.00	39.81	44.44	25.89	23.08	34.21
Time to give someone	202	7	37	13	48	17	324
	40.73	58.33	35.92	36.11	42.86	43.59	40.60
Don't know	119	2	25	7	35	13	201
	23.99	16.67	24.27	19.44	31.25	33.33	25.19
Total	496	12	103	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q20 by gender

Incumbent state representative deserves reelection	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Deserves reelection	152	121	273
	40.75	28.40	34.17
Time to give someone	143	182	325
	38.34	42.72	40.68
Don't know	78	123	201
	20.91	28.87	25.16
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
-> tabulation of Q20 by locate

      Incumbent state |
       representative |          Community size
  deserves reelection |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
  Deserves reelection |        70        150         53 |       273
                      |     33.98      36.23      29.78 |     34.21
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Time to give someone  |        72        172         81 |       325
                      |     34.95      41.55      45.51 |     40.73
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |        64         92         44 |       200
                      |     31.07      22.22      24.72 |     25.06
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        414        178 |       798
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q20 by metro

      Incumbent state |
       representative |                   Metropolitan area
  deserves reelection | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
  Deserves reelection |        55         78         29         33         77 |       272
                      |     35.26      33.62      36.71      39.76      31.30 |     34.17
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Time to give someone  |        63        105         28         30         98 |       324
                      |     40.38      45.26      35.44      36.14      39.84 |     40.70
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |        38         49         22         20         71 |       200
                      |     24.36      21.12      27.85      24.10      28.86 |     25.13
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       156        232         79         83        246 |       796
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q21. 2014 Lt. Governor Primary

```
. tab2 Q21 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q21 by libcon
```

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
David Dewhurst	0	0	2	19	22	38	23	104
	0.00	0.00	2.67	8.92	20.18	23.03	19.01	13.16
Susan Combs	0	1	3	11	7	3	12	37
	0.00	1.43	4.00	5.16	6.42	1.82	9.92	4.68
Dan Patrick	0	0	0	3	7	16	11	37
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.41	6.42	9.70	9.09	4.68
Dan Branch	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.61	2.48	0.63
Jerry Patterson	1	1	1	1	1	5	5	15
	2.70	1.43	1.33	0.47	0.92	3.03	4.13	1.90
Todd Staples	0	0	0	1	3	5	2	11
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	2.75	3.03	1.65	1.39
I won't be voting in	28	58	49	77	14	8	8	242
	75.68	82.86	65.33	36.15	12.84	4.85	6.61	30.63
Haven't thought enoug	8	10	20	100	55	89	57	339
	21.62	14.29	26.67	46.95	50.46	53.94	47.11	42.91
Total	37	70	75	213	109	165	121	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by pid7

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
David Dewhurst	3	6	3	4	23	11	53	2	105
	1.59	10.53	4.92	6.35	18.70	16.92	25.98	11.11	13.46
Susan Combs	2	1	6	3	11	6	8	0	37
	1.06	1.75	9.84	4.76	8.94	9.23	3.92	0.00	4.74
Dan Patrick	2	0	0	3	9	1	18	2	35
	1.06	0.00	0.00	4.76	7.32	1.54	8.82	11.11	4.49
Dan Branch	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4

	0.53	1.75	0.00	0.00	0.81	1.54	0.00	0.00	0.51
Jerry Patterson	2	1	0	0	5	1	6	0	15
	1.06	1.75	0.00	0.00	4.07	1.54	2.94	0.00	1.92
Todd Staples	0	0	0	1	4	3	3	0	11
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.59	3.25	4.62	1.47	0.00	1.41
I won't be voting in	145	29	30	22	7	2	1	1	237
	76.72	50.88	49.18	34.92	5.69	3.08	0.49	5.56	30.38
Haven't thought enoug	34	19	22	30	63	40	115	13	336
	17.99	33.33	36.07	47.62	51.22	61.54	56.37	72.22	43.08
Total	189	57	61	63	123	65	204	18	780
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by Q22

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
David Dewhurst	36	4	35	32	107
	28.80	1.39	19.66	15.53	13.44
Susan Combs	8	9	15	5	37
	6.40	3.14	8.43	2.43	4.65
Dan Patrick	4	2	22	9	37
	3.20	0.70	12.36	4.37	4.65
Dan Branch	1	2	2	0	5
	0.80	0.70	1.12	0.00	0.63
Jerry Patterson	5	4	3	3	15
	4.00	1.39	1.69	1.46	1.88
Todd Staples	2	0	8	1	11
	1.60	0.00	4.49	0.49	1.38
I won't be voting in	4	199	7	32	242
	3.20	69.34	3.93	15.53	30.40
Haven't thought enoug	65	67	86	124	342
	52.00	23.34	48.31	60.19	42.96

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Total	125	287	178	206	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by educ

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
David Dewhurst	0	37	18	17	25	10	107
	0.00	16.23	8.22	19.54	15.24	14.08	13.44
Susan Combs	0	12	11	2	8	4	37
	0.00	5.26	5.02	2.30	4.88	5.63	4.65
Dan Patrick	0	13	7	7	9	1	37
	0.00	5.70	3.20	8.05	5.49	1.41	4.65
Dan Branch	0	0	2	0	2	1	5
	0.00	0.00	0.91	0.00	1.22	1.41	0.63
Jerry Patterson	0	0	6	2	3	4	15
	0.00	0.00	2.74	2.30	1.83	5.63	1.88
Todd Staples	0	4	1	1	2	3	11
	0.00	1.75	0.46	1.15	1.22	4.23	1.38
I won't be voting in	11	54	86	24	44	23	242
	40.74	23.68	39.27	27.59	26.83	32.39	30.40
Haven't thought enoug	16	108	88	34	71	25	342
	59.26	47.37	40.18	39.08	43.29	35.21	42.96
Total	27	228	219	87	164	71	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by attend

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
David Dewhurst	25	24	12	32	12	105
	17.48	15.29	12.63	14.41	6.98	13.31
Susan Combs	8	9	4	9	7	37

	5.59	5.73	4.21	4.05	4.07	4.69
Dan Patrick	9	8	4	11	3	35
	6.29	5.10	4.21	4.95	1.74	4.44
Dan Branch	1	2	1	0	1	5
	0.70	1.27	1.05	0.00	0.58	0.63
Jerry Patterson	3	2	1	9	0	15
	2.10	1.27	1.05	4.05	0.00	1.90
Todd Staples	3	4	2	0	2	11
	2.10	2.55	2.11	0.00	1.16	1.39
I won't be voting in	32	30	28	71	80	241
	22.38	19.11	29.47	31.98	46.51	30.54
Haven't thought enoug	62	78	43	90	67	340
	43.36	49.68	45.26	40.54	38.95	43.09
Total	143	157	95	222	172	789
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by race

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
David Dewhurst	81	4	16	1	1	1	3	0	107
	15.79	3.60	12.40	20.00	16.67	6.25	20.00	0.00	13.44
Susan Combs	27	5	2	2	0	0	1	0	37
	5.26	4.50	1.55	40.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	4.65
Dan Patrick	30	2	3	0	0	0	2	0	37
	5.85	1.80	2.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	0.00	4.65
Dan Branch	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
	0.58	0.90	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
Jerry Patterson	13	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	15
	2.53	0.00	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.88
Todd Staples	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	11
	1.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.38

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

I won't be voting in	114	66	51	0	2	8	1	0	242
	22.22	59.46	39.53	0.00	33.33	50.00	6.67	0.00	30.40
Haven't thought enoug	235	33	54	2	2	7	8	1	342
	45.81	29.73	41.86	40.00	33.33	43.75	53.33	100.00	42.96
Total	513	111	129	5	6	16	15	1	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by marstat

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
David Dewhurst	76	2	11	2	14	2	107
	15.38	16.67	10.68	5.56	12.50	5.26	13.46
Susan Combs	28	0	3	1	5	0	37
	5.67	0.00	2.91	2.78	4.46	0.00	4.65
Dan Patrick	30	0	1	2	4	0	37
	6.07	0.00	0.97	5.56	3.57	0.00	4.65
Dan Branch	2	0	1	0	1	1	5
	0.40	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.89	2.63	0.63
Jerry Patterson	13	0	0	0	1	1	15
	2.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	2.63	1.89
Todd Staples	9	0	1	0	0	1	11
	1.82	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00	2.63	1.38
I won't be voting in	113	3	46	12	50	17	241
	22.87	25.00	44.66	33.33	44.64	44.74	30.31
Haven't thought enoug	223	7	40	19	37	16	342
	45.14	58.33	38.83	52.78	33.04	42.11	43.02
Total	494	12	103	36	112	38	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by gender

2014 Republican primary for Lt.	Gender

Governor	Male	Female	Total
David Dewhurst	57	50	107
	15.32	11.79	13.44
Susan Combs	17	20	37
	4.57	4.72	4.65
Dan Patrick	28	9	37
	7.53	2.12	4.65
Dan Branch	1	4	5
	0.27	0.94	0.63
Jerry Patterson	13	2	15
	3.49	0.47	1.88
Todd Staples	8	3	11
	2.15	0.71	1.38
I won't be voting in	104	138	242
	27.96	32.55	30.40
Haven't thought enoug	144	198	342
	38.71	46.70	42.96
Total	372	424	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by locate

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
David Dewhurst	25	56	26	107
	12.25	13.56	14.61	13.46
Susan Combs	4	21	12	37
	1.96	5.08	6.74	4.65
Dan Patrick	8	23	6	37
	3.92	5.57	3.37	4.65
Dan Branch	1	2	2	5

	0.49	0.48	1.12	0.63
Jerry Patterson	2	8	5	15
	0.98	1.94	2.81	1.89
Todd Staples	0	6	5	11
	0.00	1.45	2.81	1.38
I won't be voting in	85	121	36	242
	41.67	29.30	20.22	30.44
Haven't thought enoug	79	176	86	341
	38.73	42.62	48.31	42.89
Total	204	413	178	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21 by metro

2014 Republican primary for Lt. Governor	Metropolitan area Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
David Dewhurst	15	34	14	9	34	106
	9.62	14.72	17.95	10.84	13.88	13.37
Susan Combs	4	8	5	5	15	37
	2.56	3.46	6.41	6.02	6.12	4.67
Dan Patrick	23	2	1	3	8	37
	14.74	0.87	1.28	3.61	3.27	4.67
Dan Branch	0	3	0	1	1	5
	0.00	1.30	0.00	1.20	0.41	0.63
Jerry Patterson	2	3	1	5	4	15
	1.28	1.30	1.28	6.02	1.63	1.89
Todd Staples	0	2	0	2	7	11
	0.00	0.87	0.00	2.41	2.86	1.39
I won't be voting in	55	71	25	33	58	242
	35.26	30.74	32.05	39.76	23.67	30.52
Haven't thought enoug	57	108	32	25	118	340
	36.54	46.75	41.03	30.12	48.16	42.88

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Total	156	231	78	83	245	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q21A. 2014 Rick Perry Support

```
. tab2 Q21A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q21A by libcon

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Vote for Perry	1	1	1	31	28	76	57	195
	2.70	1.41	1.33	14.62	25.45	46.06	46.34	24.59
Vote against Perry	35	64	62	95	21	15	18	310
	94.59	90.14	82.67	44.81	19.09	9.09	14.63	39.09
Wait and see	1	6	9	72	60	72	46	266
	2.70	8.45	12.00	33.96	54.55	43.64	37.40	33.54
Don't know	0	0	3	14	1	2	2	22
	0.00	0.00	4.00	6.60	0.91	1.21	1.63	2.77
Total	37	71	75	212	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by pid7

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	7 point Party ID Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Vote for Perry	6	6	3	7	38	18	111	3	192
	3.16	10.53	4.92	11.11	30.89	28.12	53.62	16.67	24.52
Vote against Perry	163	30	48	24	18	10	9	4	306
	85.79	52.63	78.69	38.10	14.63	15.62	4.35	22.22	39.08
Wait and see	19	19	9	26	63	35	85	7	263
	10.00	33.33	14.75	41.27	51.22	54.69	41.06	38.89	33.59
Don't know	2	2	1	6	4	1	2	4	22

	1.05	3.51	1.64	9.52	3.25	1.56	0.97	22.22	2.81
Total	190	57	61	63	123	64	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by Q22

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Vote for Perry	73	9	71	44	197
	58.87	3.12	39.66	21.15	24.66
Vote against Perry	6	238	27	39	310
	4.84	82.64	15.08	18.75	38.80
Wait and see	43	39	78	110	270
	34.68	13.54	43.58	52.88	33.79
Don't know	2	2	3	15	22
	1.61	0.69	1.68	7.21	2.75
Total	124	288	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by educ

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Vote for Perry	7	60	41	32	45	12	197
	25.93	26.20	18.64	36.36	27.44	16.90	24.66
Vote against Perry	12	62	107	31	64	34	310
	44.44	27.07	48.64	35.23	39.02	47.89	38.80
Wait and see	7	95	69	24	52	23	270
	25.93	41.48	31.36	27.27	31.71	32.39	33.79
Don't know	1	12	3	1	3	2	22
	3.70	5.24	1.36	1.14	1.83	2.82	2.75
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by attend

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Vote for Perry	42	49	28	48	26	193
	29.17	31.01	29.47	21.52	15.12	24.37
Vote against Perry	46	44	36	94	89	309
	31.94	27.85	37.89	42.15	51.74	39.02
Wait and see	52	61	31	76	48	268
	36.11	38.61	32.63	34.08	27.91	33.84
Don't know	4	4	0	5	9	22
	2.78	2.53	0.00	2.24	5.23	2.78
Total	144	158	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by race

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Vote for Perry	156	4	28	2	2	4	1	0	197
	30.35	3.57	21.54	40.00	33.33	25.00	6.67	0.00	24.66
Vote against Perry	158	85	54	1	2	6	4	0	310
	30.74	75.89	41.54	20.00	33.33	37.50	26.67	0.00	38.80
Wait and see	189	19	44	1	2	5	9	1	270
	36.77	16.96	33.85	20.00	33.33	31.25	60.00	100.00	33.79
Don't know	11	4	4	1	0	1	1	0	22
	2.14	3.57	3.08	20.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	2.75
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by marstat

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Vote for Perry	146	5	17	10	15	4	197
	29.49	41.67	16.35	27.78	13.39	10.26	24.69
Vote against Perry	159	4	56	12	59	19	309
	32.12	33.33	53.85	33.33	52.68	48.72	38.72
Wait and see	180	3	29	13	32	13	270
	36.36	25.00	27.88	36.11	28.57	33.33	33.83
Don't know	10	0	2	1	6	3	22
	2.02	0.00	1.92	2.78	5.36	7.69	2.76
Total	495	12	104	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by gender

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Vote for Perry	99	98	197
	26.54	23.00	24.66
Vote against Perry	143	167	310
	38.34	39.20	38.80
Wait and see	125	145	270
	33.51	34.04	33.79
Don't know	6	16	22
	1.61	3.76	2.75
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by locate

If Rick Perry ran for governor in | Community size

2014	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Vote for Perry	45	95	56	196
	21.84	22.89	31.64	24.56
Vote against Perry	99	163	48	310
	48.06	39.28	27.12	38.85
Wait and see	54	148	68	270
	26.21	35.66	38.42	33.83
Don't know	8	9	5	22
	3.88	2.17	2.82	2.76
Total	206	415	177	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q21A by metro

If Rick Perry ran for governor in 2014	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Vote for Perry	37	53	26	15	64	195
	23.72	22.84	32.91	18.07	26.02	24.50
Vote against Perry	73	97	30	41	69	310
	46.79	41.81	37.97	49.40	28.05	38.94
Wait and see	46	77	20	26	100	269
	29.49	33.19	25.32	31.33	40.65	33.79
Don't know	0	5	3	1	13	22
	0.00	2.16	3.80	1.20	5.28	2.76
Total	156	232	79	83	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q22. Tea Party Support

```
. tab2 Q22 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q22 by libcon

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
The Republican candid	0	0	0	28	20	46	30	124
	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.15	18.18	27.88	24.39	15.62
The Democratic candid	35	66	67	94	13	4	9	288
	94.59	92.96	89.33	44.13	11.82	2.42	7.32	36.27
The Tea Party candida	1	1	0	15	29	70	59	175
	2.70	1.41	0.00	7.04	26.36	42.42	47.97	22.04
Don't know	1	4	8	76	48	45	25	207
	2.70	5.63	10.67	35.68	43.64	27.27	20.33	26.07
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by pid7

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
The Republican candid	1	1	0	2	17	22	78	1	122
	0.53	1.75	0.00	3.17	13.82	33.85	37.68	5.56	15.56
The Democratic candid	178	44	42	13	4	1	0	1	283
	93.68	77.19	68.85	20.63	3.25	1.54	0.00	5.56	36.10
The Tea Party candida	2	1	3	11	61	18	76	2	174
	1.05	1.75	4.92	17.46	49.59	27.69	36.71	11.11	22.19
Don't know	9	11	16	37	41	24	53	14	205
	4.74	19.30	26.23	58.73	33.33	36.92	25.60	77.78	26.15
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by Q22

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

The Republican candid	125	0	0	0	125
	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.62
The Democratic candid	0	288	0	0	288
	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	36.00
The Tea Party candida	0	0	179	0	179
	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	22.38
Don't know	0	0	0	208	208
	0.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	26.00
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by educ

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The Republican candid	3	45	25	13	25	14	125
	11.11	19.57	11.36	14.77	15.24	19.72	15.62
The Democratic candid	12	57	106	33	55	25	288
	44.44	24.78	48.18	37.50	33.54	35.21	36.00
The Tea Party candida	5	56	39	24	41	14	179
	18.52	24.35	17.73	27.27	25.00	19.72	22.38
Don't know	7	72	50	18	43	18	208
	25.93	31.30	22.73	20.45	26.22	25.35	26.00
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by attend

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
The Republican candid	29	30	17	34	15	125
	20.14	18.87	17.89	15.25	8.72	15.76
The Democratic candid	41	43	33	85	85	287

	28.47	27.04	34.74	38.12	49.42	36.19
The Tea Party candida	36	49	24	41	26	176
	25.00	30.82	25.26	18.39	15.12	22.19
Don't know	38	37	21	63	46	205
	26.39	23.27	22.11	28.25	26.74	25.85
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by race

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
The Republican candid	105	1	15	1	1	0	2	0	125
	20.39	0.89	11.54	20.00	16.67	0.00	13.33	0.00	15.62
The Democratic candid	118	96	61	1	1	9	2	0	288
	22.91	85.71	46.92	20.00	16.67	56.25	13.33	0.00	36.00
The Tea Party candida	140	2	25	2	2	1	6	1	179
	27.18	1.79	19.23	40.00	33.33	6.25	40.00	100.00	22.38
Don't know	152	13	29	1	2	6	5	0	208
	29.51	11.61	22.31	20.00	33.33	37.50	33.33	0.00	26.00
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by marstat

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
The Republican candid	90	2	11	6	14	2	125
	18.15	16.67	10.58	16.67	12.50	5.13	15.64
The Democratic candid	137	5	55	12	56	23	288
	27.62	41.67	52.88	33.33	50.00	58.97	36.05
The Tea Party candida	147	3	10	6	9	4	179
	29.64	25.00	9.62	16.67	8.04	10.26	22.40

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Don't know	122	2	28	12	33	10	207
	24.60	16.67	26.92	33.33	29.46	25.64	25.91
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by gender

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Gender Male	Female	Total
The Republican candid	53	72	125
	14.21	16.86	15.62
The Democratic candid	120	168	288
	32.17	39.34	36.00
The Tea Party candida	119	60	179
	31.90	14.05	22.38
Don't know	81	127	208
	21.72	29.74	26.00
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q22 by locate

Vote for Tea party if it were a political party	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
The Republican candid	23	71	31	125
	11.17	17.11	17.42	15.64
The Democratic candid	107	141	40	288
	51.94	33.98	22.47	36.05
The Tea Party candida	25	104	49	178
	12.14	25.06	27.53	22.28
Don't know	51	99	58	208

```
                      |     24.76      23.86      32.58 |     26.03
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        415        178 |       799
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q22 by metro

Vote for Tea party if |
  it were a political |                   Metropolitan area
               party | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
The Republican candid |        17         37         16         15         38 |       123
                      |     10.90      15.88      20.25      18.07      15.45 |     15.43
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
The Democratic candid |        64         90         25         38         71 |       288
                      |     41.03      38.63      31.65      45.78      28.86 |     36.14
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
The Tea Party candida |        32         51         18         19         58 |       178
                      |     20.51      21.89      22.78      22.89      23.58 |     22.33
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |        43         55         20         11         79 |       208
                      |     27.56      23.61      25.32      13.25      32.11 |     26.10
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       156        233         79         83        246 |       797
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q23. Tea Party Influence

```
. tab2 Q23 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q23 by libcon

                      |                                  Ideology
  Tea Party influence | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Too much influence |        33         58         60         70         15          8          9 |       253
                      |     89.19      81.69      80.00      32.86      13.64       4.85       7.32 |     31.86
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Too little influence |         2          0          2         21         33         73         65 |       196
                      |      5.41       0.00       2.67       9.86      30.00      44.24      52.85 |     24.69
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
The right amount of i |         0          4          5         43         36         66         37 |       191
                      |      0.00       5.63       6.67      20.19      32.73      40.00      30.08 |     24.06
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Don't know	2	9	8	79	26	18	12	154
	5.41	12.68	10.67	37.09	23.64	10.91	9.76	19.40
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Tea Party influence	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Too much influence	136	31	40	17	9	4	10	3	250
	71.58	54.39	65.57	26.98	7.32	6.15	4.83	16.67	31.89
Too little influence	10	5	3	10	61	19	81	3	192
	5.26	8.77	4.92	15.87	49.59	29.23	39.13	16.67	24.49
The right amount of i	12	4	7	14	44	17	92	2	192
	6.32	7.02	11.48	22.22	35.77	26.15	44.44	11.11	24.49
Don't know	32	17	11	22	9	25	24	10	150
	16.84	29.82	18.03	34.92	7.32	38.46	11.59	55.56	19.13
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Tea Party influence	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Too much influence	18	208	1	26	253
	14.40	72.22	0.56	12.50	31.62
Too little influence	29	13	117	40	199
	23.20	4.51	65.36	19.23	24.88
The right amount of i	51	23	53	66	193
	40.80	7.99	29.61	31.73	24.12
Don't know	27	44	8	76	155
	21.60	15.28	4.47	36.54	19.38
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by educ

Tea Party influence	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Too much influence	11	49	80	31	54	28	253
	40.74	21.30	36.36	35.23	32.93	39.44	31.62
Too little influence	7	57	42	24	48	21	199
	25.93	24.78	19.09	27.27	29.27	29.58	24.88
The right amount of i	3	73	45	17	39	16	193
	11.11	31.74	20.45	19.32	23.78	22.54	24.12
Don't know	6	51	53	16	23	6	155
	22.22	22.17	24.09	18.18	14.02	8.45	19.38
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by attend

Tea Party influence	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Too much influence	30	38	29	82	73	252
	20.83	23.90	30.53	36.77	42.44	31.78
Too little influence	48	48	25	48	27	196
	33.33	30.19	26.32	21.52	15.70	24.72
The right amount of i	43	47	24	48	29	191
	29.86	29.56	25.26	21.52	16.86	24.09
Don't know	23	26	17	45	43	154
	15.97	16.35	17.89	20.18	25.00	19.42
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by race

Tea Party influence	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total

Too much influence	119	69	54	1	1	6	3	0	253
	23.11	61.61	41.54	20.00	16.67	37.50	20.00	0.00	31.62
Too little influence	147	9	29	1	1	2	9	1	199
	28.54	8.04	22.31	20.00	16.67	12.50	60.00	100.00	24.88
The right amount of i	153	9	24	2	2	1	2	0	193
	29.71	8.04	18.46	40.00	33.33	6.25	13.33	0.00	24.12
Don't know	96	25	23	1	2	7	1	0	155
	18.64	22.32	17.69	20.00	33.33	43.75	6.67	0.00	19.38
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Tea Party influence	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Too much influence	120	2	50	11	54	16	253
	24.19	16.67	48.08	30.56	48.21	41.03	31.66
Too little influence	160	2	13	7	13	4	199
	32.26	16.67	12.50	19.44	11.61	10.26	24.91
The right amount of i	139	4	16	10	19	5	193
	28.02	33.33	15.38	27.78	16.96	12.82	24.16
Don't know	77	4	25	8	26	14	154
	15.52	33.33	24.04	22.22	23.21	35.90	19.27
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by gender

	Gender	
Tea Party influence	Male	Female	Total
Too much influence	123	130	253
	32.98	30.44	31.62

Too little influence	128	71	199
	34.32	16.63	24.88
The right amount of i	86	107	193
	23.06	25.06	24.12
Don't know	36	119	155
	9.65	27.87	19.38
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by locate

	Community size			
Tea Party influence	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Too much influence	85	130	38	253
	41.26	31.33	21.35	31.66
Too little influence	30	112	56	198
	14.56	26.99	31.46	24.78
The right amount of i	41	104	48	193
	19.90	25.06	26.97	24.16
Don't know	50	69	36	155
	24.27	16.63	20.22	19.40
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q23 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Tea Party influence	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Too much influence	59	78	23	32	61	253
	37.82	33.48	29.11	38.55	24.80	31.74
Too little influence	34	54	23	19	68	198
	21.79	23.18	29.11	22.89	27.64	24.84
The right amount of i	39	54	18	17	63	191
	25.00	23.18	22.78	20.48	25.61	23.96

Don't know	24	47	15	15	54	155
	15.38	20.17	18.99	18.07	21.95	19.45
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q24. Favorability: Ted Cruz

```
. tab2 Q24 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q24 by libcon

	Ideology							
Ted Cruz opinion	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Very favorable	1	0	0	14	17	74	69	175
	2.70	0.00	0.00	6.57	15.45	44.85	56.56	22.07
Somewhat favorable	1	2	4	28	42	59	28	164
	2.70	2.82	5.33	13.15	38.18	35.76	22.95	20.68
Neither favorable nor	1	9	7	38	21	13	9	98
	2.70	12.68	9.33	17.84	19.09	7.88	7.38	12.36
Somewhat unfavorable	2	14	19	21	9	5	5	75
	5.41	19.72	25.33	9.86	8.18	3.03	4.10	9.46
Very unfavorable	26	35	35	37	6	3	4	146
	70.27	49.30	46.67	17.37	5.45	1.82	3.28	18.41
Don't know enough abo	6	11	10	75	15	11	7	135
	16.22	15.49	13.33	35.21	13.64	6.67	5.74	17.02
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	122	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Ted Cruz opinion	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	3	2	0	9	45	14	97	1	171
	1.58	3.51	0.00	14.29	36.59	21.54	47.09	5.56	21.84

Somewhat favorable	7	6	4	12	42	17	74	3	165
	3.68	10.53	6.56	19.05	34.15	26.15	35.92	16.67	21.07
Neither favorable nor	21	12	9	11	17	8	16	1	95
	11.05	21.05	14.75	17.46	13.82	12.31	7.77	5.56	12.13
Somewhat unfavorable	27	12	11	5	3	9	5	1	73
	14.21	21.05	18.03	7.94	2.44	13.85	2.43	5.56	9.32
Very unfavorable	89	12	27	9	4	2	2	1	146
	46.84	21.05	44.26	14.29	3.25	3.08	0.97	5.56	18.65
Don't know enough abo	43	13	10	17	12	15	12	11	133
	22.63	22.81	16.39	26.98	9.76	23.08	5.83	61.11	16.99
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	206	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Ted Cruz opinion	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	44	5	88	39	176
	35.48	1.74	49.16	18.75	22.03
Somewhat favorable	38	11	59	58	166
	30.65	3.82	32.96	27.88	20.78
Neither favorable nor	19	34	11	35	99
	15.32	11.81	6.15	16.83	12.39
Somewhat unfavorable	5	50	5	15	75
	4.03	17.36	2.79	7.21	9.39
Very unfavorable	3	130	4	10	147
	2.42	45.14	2.23	4.81	18.40
Don't know enough abo	15	58	12	51	136
	12.10	20.14	6.70	24.52	17.02
Total	124	288	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by educ

Ted Cruz opinion	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Very favorable	5	56	40	16	40	19	176
	18.52	24.45	18.18	18.18	24.39	26.76	22.03
Somewhat favorable	2	52	36	19	43	14	166
	7.41	22.71	16.36	21.59	26.22	19.72	20.78
Neither favorable nor	4	31	28	18	11	7	99
	14.81	13.54	12.73	20.45	6.71	9.86	12.39
Somewhat unfavorable	5	15	19	9	22	5	75
	18.52	6.55	8.64	10.23	13.41	7.04	9.39
Very unfavorable	4	24	56	14	29	20	147
	14.81	10.48	25.45	15.91	17.68	28.17	18.40
Don't know enough abo	7	51	41	12	19	6	136
	25.93	22.27	18.64	13.64	11.59	8.45	17.02
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by attend

Ted Cruz opinion	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Very favorable	44	45	20	44	21	174
	30.56	28.30	21.05	19.82	12.21	21.97
Somewhat favorable	35	35	24	43	26	163
	24.31	22.01	25.26	19.37	15.12	20.58
Neither favorable nor	19	18	13	26	23	99
	13.19	11.32	13.68	11.71	13.37	12.50
Somewhat unfavorable	10	15	7	25	18	75
	6.94	9.43	7.37	11.26	10.47	9.47
Very unfavorable	15	16	18	47	50	146
	10.42	10.06	18.95	21.17	29.07	18.43
Don't know enough abo	21	30	13	37	34	135

	14.58	18.87	13.68	16.67	19.77	17.05
Total	144	159	95	222	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by race

	Race								
Ted Cruz opinion	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Very favorable	140	6	23	4	1	0	1	1	176
	27.24	5.36	17.69	80.00	16.67	0.00	6.67	100.00	22.03
Somewhat favorable	129	7	23	0	1	0	6	0	166
	25.10	6.25	17.69	0.00	16.67	0.00	40.00	0.00	20.78
Neither favorable nor	61	20	14	0	1	2	1	0	99
	11.87	17.86	10.77	0.00	16.67	12.50	6.67	0.00	12.39
Somewhat unfavorable	40	17	14	0	1	3	0	0	75
	7.78	15.18	10.77	0.00	16.67	18.75	0.00	0.00	9.39
Very unfavorable	75	36	28	0	0	4	4	0	147
	14.59	32.14	21.54	0.00	0.00	25.00	26.67	0.00	18.40
Don't know enough abo	69	26	28	1	2	7	3	0	136
	13.42	23.21	21.54	20.00	33.33	43.75	20.00	0.00	17.02
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Ted Cruz opinion	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Very favorable	139	4	11	6	14	2	176
	28.02	33.33	10.58	17.14	12.50	5.13	22.06
Somewhat favorable	123	1	13	9	14	5	165
	24.80	8.33	12.50	25.71	12.50	12.82	20.68
Neither favorable nor	55	0	12	6	17	9	99
	11.09	0.00	11.54	17.14	15.18	23.08	12.41
Somewhat unfavorable	39	2	10	2	17	5	75

```
                      |      7.86      16.67       9.62       5.71      15.18      12.82 |      9.40
----------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Very unfavorable |        67          1         32          5         29         13 |       147
                      |     13.51       8.33      30.77      14.29      25.89      33.33 |     18.42
----------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Don't know enough abo |        73          4         26          7         21          5 |       136
                      |     14.72      33.33      25.00      20.00      18.75      12.82 |     17.04
----------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       496         12        104         35        112         39 |       798
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q24 by gender

```
                      |        Gender
     Ted Cruz opinion |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
----------------------+----------------------+----------
       Very favorable |       105         71 |       176
                      |     28.15      16.67 |     22.03
----------------------+----------------------+----------
   Somewhat favorable |        93         73 |       166
                      |     24.93      17.14 |     20.78
----------------------+----------------------+----------
Neither favorable nor |        35         64 |        99
                      |      9.38      15.02 |     12.39
----------------------+----------------------+----------
 Somewhat unfavorable |        35         40 |        75
                      |      9.38       9.39 |      9.39
----------------------+----------------------+----------
     Very unfavorable |        71         76 |       147
                      |     19.03      17.84 |     18.40
----------------------+----------------------+----------
Don't know enough abo |        34        102 |       136
                      |      9.12      23.94 |     17.02
----------------------+----------------------+----------
                Total |       373        426 |       799
                      |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q24 by locate

```
                      |          Community size
     Ted Cruz opinion |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
       Very favorable |        35        100         41 |       176
                      |     16.99      24.15      23.03 |     22.06
```

Somewhat favorable	37	90	39	166
	17.96	21.74	21.91	20.80
Neither favorable nor	24	48	27	99
	11.65	11.59	15.17	12.41
Somewhat unfavorable	27	35	13	75
	13.11	8.45	7.30	9.40
Very unfavorable	43	83	21	147
	20.87	20.05	11.80	18.42
Don't know enough abo	40	58	37	135
	19.42	14.01	20.79	16.92
Total	206	414	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q24 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Ted Cruz opinion	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Very favorable	39	51	14	17	53	174
	25.00	21.98	17.72	20.48	21.54	21.86
Somewhat favorable	27	47	18	14	59	165
	17.31	20.26	22.78	16.87	23.98	20.73
Neither favorable nor	23	22	13	9	32	99
	14.74	9.48	16.46	10.84	13.01	12.44
Somewhat unfavorable	16	26	8	11	14	75
	10.26	11.21	10.13	13.25	5.69	9.42
Very unfavorable	32	52	12	23	28	147
	20.51	22.41	15.19	27.71	11.38	18.47
Don't know enough abo	19	34	14	9	60	136
	12.18	14.66	17.72	10.84	24.39	17.09
Total	156	232	79	83	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q25. Favorability: Paul Sadler

```
. tab2 Q25 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q25 by libcon

Paul Sadler opnion	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Very favorable	8	16	9	9	1	1	7	51
	21.62	22.54	12.00	4.23	0.92	0.61	5.69	6.43
Somewhat favorable	14	27	34	32	7	3	5	122
	37.84	38.03	45.33	15.02	6.42	1.82	4.07	15.38
Neither favorable nor	7	11	14	44	27	27	22	152
	18.92	15.49	18.67	20.66	24.77	16.36	17.89	19.17
Somewhat unfavorable	0	1	2	8	14	21	19	65
	0.00	1.41	2.67	3.76	12.84	12.73	15.45	8.20
Very unfavorable	2	1	1	3	5	17	21	50
	5.41	1.41	1.33	1.41	4.59	10.30	17.07	6.31
Don't know enough abo	6	15	15	117	55	96	49	353
	16.22	21.13	20.00	54.93	50.46	58.18	39.84	44.51
Total	37	71	75	213	109	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by pid7

Paul Sadler opnion	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	39	5	5	0	2	0	0	0	51
	20.53	8.77	8.20	0.00	1.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.51
Somewhat favorable	71	17	15	8	3	4	3	0	121
	37.37	29.82	24.59	12.70	2.46	6.15	1.45	0.00	15.45
Neither favorable nor	32	9	12	14	31	13	41	0	152
	16.84	15.79	19.67	22.22	25.41	20.00	19.81	0.00	19.41
Somewhat unfavorable	2	3	4	4	19	6	25	0	63
	1.05	5.26	6.56	6.35	15.57	9.23	12.08	0.00	8.05

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Very unfavorable	2	1	1	5	11	4	25	1	50
	1.05	1.75	1.64	7.94	9.02	6.15	12.08	5.56	6.39
Don't know enough abo	44	22	24	32	56	38	113	17	346
	23.16	38.60	39.34	50.79	45.90	58.46	54.59	94.44	44.19
Total	190	57	61	63	122	65	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Paul Sadler opnion	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	1	50	0	0	51
	0.81	17.36	0.00	0.00	6.38
Somewhat favorable	3	104	3	12	122
	2.42	36.11	1.68	5.77	15.27
Neither favorable nor	28	50	42	35	155
	22.58	17.36	23.46	16.83	19.40
Somewhat unfavorable	10	7	26	22	65
	8.06	2.43	14.53	10.58	8.14
Very unfavorable	14	4	18	14	50
	11.29	1.39	10.06	6.73	6.26
Don't know enough abo	68	73	90	125	356
	54.84	25.35	50.28	60.10	44.56
Total	124	288	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by educ

	Education						
Paul Sadler opnion	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Very favorable	4	8	21	3	7	8	51
	14.81	3.48	9.55	3.45	4.27	11.27	6.38
Somewhat favorable	2	17	47	16	27	13	122
	7.41	7.39	21.36	18.39	16.46	18.31	15.27

Neither favorable nor	5	46	41	16	33	14	155
	18.52	20.00	18.64	18.39	20.12	19.72	19.40
Somewhat unfavorable	0	22	12	8	16	7	65
	0.00	9.57	5.45	9.20	9.76	9.86	8.14
Very unfavorable	4	12	5	5	20	4	50
	14.81	5.22	2.27	5.75	12.20	5.63	6.26
Don't know enough abo	12	125	94	39	61	25	356
	44.44	54.35	42.73	44.83	37.20	35.21	44.56
Total	27	230	220	87	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by attend

	Religion participation					
Paul Sadler opnion	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Very favorable	8	10	6	15	12	51
	5.59	6.29	6.32	6.73	6.98	6.44
Somewhat favorable	17	14	16	37	37	121
	11.89	8.81	16.84	16.59	21.51	15.28
Neither favorable nor	26	39	18	41	30	154
	18.18	24.53	18.95	18.39	17.44	19.44
Somewhat unfavorable	14	12	13	19	6	64
	9.79	7.55	13.68	8.52	3.49	8.08
Very unfavorable	17	7	4	13	8	49
	11.89	4.40	4.21	5.83	4.65	6.19
Don't know enough abo	61	77	38	98	79	353
	42.66	48.43	40.00	43.95	45.93	44.57
Total	143	159	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by race

	Race								
Paul Sadler opnion	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total

Very favorable	15	20	12	2	0	1	1	0	51
	2.92	17.86	9.23	40.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	6.38
Somewhat favorable	65	30	24	0	1	2	0	0	122
	12.65	26.79	18.46	0.00	16.67	12.50	0.00	0.00	15.27
Neither favorable nor	102	19	28	1	1	3	1	0	155
	19.84	16.96	21.54	20.00	16.67	18.75	6.67	0.00	19.40
Somewhat unfavorable	48	2	9	0	0	2	3	1	65
	9.34	1.79	6.92	0.00	0.00	12.50	20.00	100.00	8.14
Very unfavorable	40	1	4	0	1	0	4	0	50
	7.78	0.89	3.08	0.00	16.67	0.00	26.67	0.00	6.26
Don't know enough abo	244	40	53	2	3	8	6	0	356
	47.47	35.71	40.77	40.00	50.00	50.00	40.00	0.00	44.56
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Paul Sadler opnion	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Very favorable	24	0	12	4	7	4	51
	4.85	0.00	11.54	11.11	6.25	10.26	6.39
Somewhat favorable	61	4	20	5	21	11	122
	12.32	33.33	19.23	13.89	18.75	28.21	15.29
Neither favorable nor	99	1	20	7	24	4	155
	20.00	8.33	19.23	19.44	21.43	10.26	19.42
Somewhat unfavorable	55	1	2	1	4	2	65
	11.11	8.33	1.92	2.78	3.57	5.13	8.15
Very unfavorable	39	2	2	2	5	0	50
	7.88	16.67	1.92	5.56	4.46	0.00	6.27
Don't know enough abo	217	4	48	17	51	18	355
	43.84	33.33	46.15	47.22	45.54	46.15	44.49
Total	495	12	104	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q25 by gender

Paul Sadler opnion	Gender Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	22	29	51
	5.90	6.81	6.38
Somewhat favorable	64	58	122
	17.16	13.62	15.27
Neither favorable nor	65	90	155
	17.43	21.13	19.40
Somewhat unfavorable	40	25	65
	10.72	5.87	8.14
Very unfavorable	31	19	50
	8.31	4.46	6.26
Don't know enough abo	151	205	356
	40.48	48.12	44.56
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q25 by locate

Paul Sadler opnion	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	21	23	7	51
	10.19	5.54	3.95	6.39
Somewhat favorable	50	54	18	122
	24.27	13.01	10.17	15.29
Neither favorable nor	28	88	39	155
	13.59	21.20	22.03	19.42
Somewhat unfavorable	15	37	13	65
	7.28	8.92	7.34	8.15

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
     Very unfavorable |        11         28         11 |        50
                      |      5.34       6.75       6.21 |      6.27
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
Don't know enough abo |        81        185         89 |       355
                      |     39.32      44.58      50.28 |     44.49
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        415        177 |       798
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q25 by metro

                      |                  Metropolitan area
   Paul Sadler opnion | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Very favorable |        12         19          4          4         12 |        51
                      |      7.69       8.15       5.06       4.82       4.90 |      6.41
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat favorable |        29         35         13         23         22 |       122
                      |     18.59      15.02      16.46      27.71       8.98 |     15.33
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Neither favorable nor |        29         41         14         16         55 |       155
                      |     18.59      17.60      17.72      19.28      22.45 |     19.47
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Somewhat unfavorable |        12         24          8          5         16 |        65
                      |      7.69      10.30      10.13       6.02       6.53 |      8.17
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Very unfavorable |        10         16          2          5         17 |        50
                      |      6.41       6.87       2.53       6.02       6.94 |      6.28
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Don't know enough abo |        64         98         38         30        123 |       353
                      |     41.03      42.06      48.10      36.14      50.20 |     44.35
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       156        233         79         83        245 |       796
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q26. Favorability: Barack Obama

```
. tab2 Q26 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q26 by libcon

                      |                                   Ideology
 Barack Obama opinion | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Very favorable	27	46	42	70	9	3	10	207
	72.97	64.79	56.00	33.02	8.18	1.82	8.26	26.17
Somewhat favorable	8	23	26	41	9	2	2	111
	21.62	32.39	34.67	19.34	8.18	1.21	1.65	14.03
Neither favorable nor	0	1	2	12	3	2	0	20
	0.00	1.41	2.67	5.66	2.73	1.21	0.00	2.53
Somewhat unfavorable	0	1	3	23	10	13	1	51
	0.00	1.41	4.00	10.85	9.09	7.88	0.83	6.45
Very unfavorable	2	0	2	58	77	145	107	391
	5.41	0.00	2.67	27.36	70.00	87.88	88.43	49.43
Don't know/No Opinion	0	0	0	8	2	0	1	11
	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.77	1.82	0.00	0.83	1.39
Total	37	71	75	212	110	165	121	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Barack Obama opinion	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	142	19	31	7	3	0	0	1	203
	74.74	33.93	50.82	11.11	2.44	0.00	0.00	5.56	25.99
Somewhat favorable	41	27	21	14	3	3	1	0	110
	21.58	48.21	34.43	22.22	2.44	4.62	0.49	0.00	14.08
Neither favorable nor	4	3	5	5	0	1	2	0	20
	2.11	5.36	8.20	7.94	0.00	1.54	0.98	0.00	2.56
Somewhat unfavorable	0	1	2	8	9	12	14	5	51
	0.00	1.79	3.28	12.70	7.32	18.46	6.83	27.78	6.53
Very unfavorable	2	6	2	25	108	46	188	9	386
	1.05	10.71	3.28	39.68	87.80	70.77	91.71	50.00	49.42
Don't know/No Opinion	1	0	0	4	0	3	0	3	11
	0.53	0.00	0.00	6.35	0.00	4.62	0.00	16.67	1.41
Total	190	56	61	63	123	65	205	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by Q22

Barack Obama opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	1	189	0	17	207
	0.81	65.85	0.00	8.17	25.97
Somewhat favorable	2	81	1	27	111
	1.61	28.22	0.56	12.98	13.93
Neither favorable nor	1	8	3	8	20
	0.81	2.79	1.69	3.85	2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	12	5	10	24	51
	9.68	1.74	5.62	11.54	6.40
Very unfavorable	107	3	164	123	397
	86.29	1.05	92.13	59.13	49.81
Don't know/No Opinion	1	1	0	9	11
	0.81	0.35	0.00	4.33	1.38
Total	124	287	178	208	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by educ

Barack Obama opinion	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Very favorable	9	43	83	23	33	16	207
	33.33	18.78	37.73	26.14	20.37	22.54	25.97
Somewhat favorable	5	23	29	12	26	16	111
	18.52	10.04	13.18	13.64	16.05	22.54	13.93
Neither favorable nor	0	6	6	3	3	2	20
	0.00	2.62	2.73	3.41	1.85	2.82	2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	0	14	15	5	13	4	51
	0.00	6.11	6.82	5.68	8.02	5.63	6.40
Very unfavorable	12	136	86	44	86	33	397
	44.44	59.39	39.09	50.00	53.09	46.48	49.81

Don't know/No Opinion	1	7	1	1	1	0	11
	3.70	3.06	0.45	1.14	0.62	0.00	1.38
Total	27	229	220	88	162	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by attend

	Religion participation					
Barack Obama opinion	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Very favorable	34	29	21	62	61	207
	23.78	18.24	22.34	27.80	35.67	26.20
Somewhat favorable	9	17	17	36	31	110
	6.29	10.69	18.09	16.14	18.13	13.92
Neither favorable nor	3	7	1	7	2	20
	2.10	4.40	1.06	3.14	1.17	2.53
Somewhat unfavorable	5	12	7	15	12	51
	3.50	7.55	7.45	6.73	7.02	6.46
Very unfavorable	90	91	46	103	61	391
	62.94	57.23	48.94	46.19	35.67	49.49
Don't know/No Opinion	2	3	2	0	4	11
	1.40	1.89	2.13	0.00	2.34	1.39
Total	143	159	94	223	171	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by race

	Race								
Barack Obama opinion	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Very favorable	68	84	46	2	1	4	2	0	207
	13.28	75.00	35.38	40.00	16.67	25.00	13.33	0.00	25.97
Somewhat favorable	56	22	25	0	2	6	0	0	111
	10.94	19.64	19.23	0.00	33.33	37.50	0.00	0.00	13.93
Neither favorable nor	12	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	20
	2.34	0.89	5.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.51

Somewhat unfavorable	39	1	9	1	0	1	0	0	51
	7.62	0.89	6.92	20.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	6.40
Very unfavorable	328	4	42	2	3	4	13	1	397
	64.06	3.57	32.31	40.00	50.00	25.00	86.67	100.00	49.81
Don't know/No Opinion	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	11
	1.76	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	1.38
Total	512	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Barack Obama opinion	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Very favorable	93	3	45	12	37	17	207
	18.79	25.00	43.69	33.33	33.33	43.59	26.01
Somewhat favorable	58	1	15	3	25	8	110
	11.72	8.33	14.56	8.33	22.52	20.51	13.82
Neither favorable nor	8	0	4	1	6	1	20
	1.62	0.00	3.88	2.78	5.41	2.56	2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	32	1	4	0	12	2	51
	6.46	8.33	3.88	0.00	10.81	5.13	6.41
Very unfavorable	297	7	33	20	29	11	397
	60.00	58.33	32.04	55.56	26.13	28.21	49.87
Don't know/No Opinion	7	0	2	0	2	0	11
	1.41	0.00	1.94	0.00	1.80	0.00	1.38
Total	495	12	103	36	111	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by gender

	Gender		
Barack Obama opinion	Male	Female	Total

Very favorable	82	125	207
	22.10	29.34	25.97
Somewhat favorable	50	61	111
	13.48	14.32	13.93
Neither favorable nor	9	11	20
	2.43	2.58	2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	23	28	51
	6.20	6.57	6.40
Very unfavorable	205	192	397
	55.26	45.07	49.81
Don't know/No Opinion	2	9	11
	0.54	2.11	1.38
Total	371	426	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q26 by locate

	Community size			
Barack Obama opinion	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	73	106	28	207
	35.61	25.60	15.82	26.01
Somewhat favorable	39	55	17	111
	19.02	13.29	9.60	13.94
Neither favorable nor	7	8	5	20
	3.41	1.93	2.82	2.51
Somewhat unfavorable	13	30	8	51
	6.34	7.25	4.52	6.41
Very unfavorable	67	213	116	396
	32.68	51.45	65.54	49.75
Don't know/No Opinion	6	2	3	11
	2.93	0.48	1.69	1.38
Total	205	414	177	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q26 by metro

Barack Obama opinion	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Very favorable	49	66	19	22	51	207
	31.61	28.33	24.05	26.83	20.82	26.07
Somewhat favorable	23	36	10	17	25	111
	14.84	15.45	12.66	20.73	10.20	13.98
Neither favorable nor	8	2	0	1	9	20
	5.16	0.86	0.00	1.22	3.67	2.52
Somewhat unfavorable	9	14	3	8	17	51
	5.81	6.01	3.80	9.76	6.94	6.42
Very unfavorable	66	112	44	34	138	394
	42.58	48.07	55.70	41.46	56.33	49.62
Don't know/No Opinion	0	3	3	0	5	11
	0.00	1.29	3.80	0.00	2.04	1.39
Total	155	233	79	82	245	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q27. Favorability: Mitt Romney

. tab2 Q27 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q27 by libcon

Mitt Romney opinion	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Very favorable	2	0	0	29	42	90	65	228
	5.41	0.00	0.00	13.68	38.53	54.55	53.28	28.82
Somewhat favorable	1	0	1	28	41	59	42	172
	2.70	0.00	1.33	13.21	37.61	35.76	34.43	21.74
Neither favorable nor	0	1	4	25	8	5	1	44
	0.00	1.41	5.33	11.79	7.34	3.03	0.82	5.56

Somewhat unfavorable	2	8	15	36	6	6	3	76
	5.41	11.27	20.00	16.98	5.50	3.64	2.46	9.61
Very unfavorable	32	61	54	83	11	5	10	256
	86.49	85.92	72.00	39.15	10.09	3.03	8.20	32.36
Don't know/No Opinion	0	1	1	11	1	0	1	15
	0.00	1.41	1.33	5.19	0.92	0.00	0.82	1.90
Total	37	71	75	212	109	165	122	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Mitt Romney opinion	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	5	6	2	11	57	16	129	1	227
	2.65	10.53	3.28	17.46	46.34	24.62	62.93	5.56	29.07
Somewhat favorable	1	3	1	13	50	34	67	2	171
	0.53	5.26	1.64	20.63	40.65	52.31	32.68	11.11	21.90
Neither favorable nor	7	2	3	10	5	8	5	4	44
	3.70	3.51	4.92	15.87	4.07	12.31	2.44	22.22	5.63
Somewhat unfavorable	19	18	14	9	5	5	2	2	74
	10.05	31.58	22.95	14.29	4.07	7.69	0.98	11.11	9.48
Very unfavorable	154	28	41	16	5	0	2	4	250
	81.48	49.12	67.21	25.40	4.07	0.00	0.98	22.22	32.01
Don't know/No Opinion	3	0	0	4	1	2	0	5	15
	1.59	0.00	0.00	6.35	0.81	3.08	0.00	27.78	1.92
Total	189	57	61	63	123	65	205	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Mitt Romney opinion	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	76	8	84	65	233
	61.29	2.78	46.93	31.55	29.23

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Somewhat favorable	39	4	73	57	173
	31.45	1.39	40.78	27.67	21.71
Neither favorable nor	4	12	8	20	44
	3.23	4.17	4.47	9.71	5.52
Somewhat unfavorable	4	38	5	29	76
	3.23	13.19	2.79	14.08	9.54
Very unfavorable	0	223	9	24	256
	0.00	77.43	5.03	11.65	32.12
Don't know/No Opinion	1	3	0	11	15
	0.81	1.04	0.00	5.34	1.88
Total	124	288	179	206	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by educ

	Education						
Mitt Romney opinion	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Very favorable	6	80	51	25	52	19	233
	22.22	34.93	23.29	28.41	31.90	26.76	29.23
Somewhat favorable	2	58	40	21	38	14	173
	7.41	25.33	18.26	23.86	23.31	19.72	21.71
Neither favorable nor	2	16	5	6	11	4	44
	7.41	6.99	2.28	6.82	6.75	5.63	5.52
Somewhat unfavorable	3	20	21	5	16	11	76
	11.11	8.73	9.59	5.68	9.82	15.49	9.54
Very unfavorable	13	45	99	31	45	23	256
	48.15	19.65	45.21	35.23	27.61	32.39	32.12
Don't know/No Opinion	1	10	3	0	1	0	15
	3.70	4.37	1.37	0.00	0.61	0.00	1.88
Total	27	229	219	88	163	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by attend

Mitt Romney opinion	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Very favorable	51	54	30	62	30	227
	35.66	33.96	31.58	28.05	17.44	28.73
Somewhat favorable	38	43	23	42	27	173
	26.57	27.04	24.21	19.00	15.70	21.90
Neither favorable nor	9	8	4	15	8	44
	6.29	5.03	4.21	6.79	4.65	5.57
Somewhat unfavorable	12	15	9	19	21	76
	8.39	9.43	9.47	8.60	12.21	9.62
Very unfavorable	32	35	27	80	81	255
	22.38	22.01	28.42	36.20	47.09	32.28
Don't know/No Opinion	1	4	2	3	5	15
	0.70	2.52	2.11	1.36	2.91	1.90
Total	143	159	95	221	172	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by race

Mitt Romney opinion	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Very favorable	181	4	34	4	1	1	7	1	233
	35.28	3.60	26.15	80.00	16.67	6.25	46.67	100.00	29.23
Somewhat favorable	143	5	18	0	1	3	3	0	173
	27.88	4.50	13.85	0.00	16.67	18.75	20.00	0.00	21.71
Neither favorable nor	30	8	4	0	0	0	2	0	44
	5.85	7.21	3.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	0.00	5.52
Somewhat unfavorable	42	9	21	1	2	1	0	0	76
	8.19	8.11	16.15	20.00	33.33	6.25	0.00	0.00	9.54
Very unfavorable	107	83	51	0	2	10	3	0	256
	20.86	74.77	39.23	0.00	33.33	62.50	20.00	0.00	32.12
Don't know/No Opinion	10	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	15
	1.95	1.80	1.54	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	1.88

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Total	513	111	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by marstat

Mitt Romney opinion	Marital Status Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Very favorable	173	5	19	13	17	6	233
	35.09	41.67	18.27	36.11	15.18	15.38	29.27
Somewhat favorable	127	2	17	5	16	6	173
	25.76	16.67	16.35	13.89	14.29	15.38	21.73
Neither favorable nor	29	0	5	2	6	2	44
	5.88	0.00	4.81	5.56	5.36	5.13	5.53
Somewhat unfavorable	40	0	8	4	18	5	75
	8.11	0.00	7.69	11.11	16.07	12.82	9.42
Very unfavorable	115	5	53	11	52	20	256
	23.33	41.67	50.96	30.56	46.43	51.28	32.16
Don't know/No Opinion	9	0	2	1	3	0	15
	1.83	0.00	1.92	2.78	2.68	0.00	1.88
Total	493	12	104	36	112	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by gender

Mitt Romney opinion	Gender Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	116	117	233
	31.18	27.53	29.23
Somewhat favorable	95	78	173
	25.54	18.35	21.71
Neither favorable nor	17	27	44
	4.57	6.35	5.52

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	28	48	76
	7.53	11.29	9.54
Very unfavorable	113	143	256
	30.38	33.65	32.12
Don't know/No Opinion	3	12	15
	0.81	2.82	1.88
Total	372	425	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by locate

	Community size			
Mitt Romney opinion	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	50	131	51	232
	24.39	31.64	28.81	29.15
Somewhat favorable	28	87	58	173
	13.66	21.01	32.77	21.73
Neither favorable nor	13	24	7	44
	6.34	5.80	3.95	5.53
Somewhat unfavorable	18	35	23	76
	8.78	8.45	12.99	9.55
Very unfavorable	90	133	33	256
	43.90	32.13	18.64	32.16
Don't know/No Opinion	6	4	5	15
	2.93	0.97	2.82	1.88
Total	205	414	177	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q27 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Mitt Romney opinion	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Very favorable	38	70	29	16	77	230
	24.52	30.04	36.71	19.28	31.56	28.97

Somewhat favorable	33	42	17	18	63	173
	21.29	18.03	21.52	21.69	25.82	21.79
Neither favorable nor	12	17	0	4	11	44
	7.74	7.30	0.00	4.82	4.51	5.54
Somewhat unfavorable	13	19	5	11	28	76
	8.39	8.15	6.33	13.25	11.48	9.57
Very unfavorable	58	82	24	34	58	256
	37.42	35.19	30.38	40.96	23.77	32.24
Don't know/No Opinion	1	3	4	0	7	15
	0.65	1.29	5.06	0.00	2.87	1.89
Total	155	233	79	83	244	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q28. Favorability: Joe Biden

```
. tab2 Q28 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q28 by libcon
```

	Ideology							
Joe Biden opinion	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Very favorable	25	52	43	57	10	3	9	199
	67.57	73.24	57.33	26.89	9.09	1.84	7.32	25.16
Somewhat favorable	7	15	22	40	7	3	1	95
	18.92	21.13	29.33	18.87	6.36	1.84	0.81	12.01
Neither favorable nor	2	2	8	24	6	2	1	45
	5.41	2.82	10.67	11.32	5.45	1.23	0.81	5.69
Somewhat unfavorable	0	1	1	16	16	15	9	58
	0.00	1.41	1.33	7.55	14.55	9.20	7.32	7.33
Very unfavorable	3	0	0	52	67	140	101	363
	8.11	0.00	0.00	24.53	60.91	85.89	82.11	45.89
Don't know/No Opinion	0	1	1	23	4	0	2	31
	0.00	1.41	1.33	10.85	3.64	0.00	1.63	3.92

Total	37	71	75	212	110	163	123	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Joe Biden opinion	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	130	23	26	10	3	1	0	1	194
	68.42	41.07	42.62	15.87	2.44	1.56	0.00	5.56	24.84
Somewhat favorable	41	17	24	11	1	0	1	0	95
	21.58	30.36	39.34	17.46	0.81	0.00	0.49	0.00	12.16
Neither favorable nor	9	7	7	7	5	5	4	1	45
	4.74	12.50	11.48	11.11	4.07	7.81	1.94	5.56	5.76
Somewhat unfavorable	3	4	2	7	13	9	19	1	58
	1.58	7.14	3.28	11.11	10.57	14.06	9.22	5.56	7.43
Very unfavorable	2	3	1	23	100	43	181	5	358
	1.05	5.36	1.64	36.51	81.30	67.19	87.86	27.78	45.84
Don't know/No Opinion	5	2	1	5	1	6	1	10	31
	2.63	3.57	1.64	7.94	0.81	9.38	0.49	55.56	3.97
Total	190	56	61	63	123	64	206	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Joe Biden opinion	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	1	185	0	13	199
	0.80	64.46	0.00	6.28	24.97
Somewhat favorable	2	70	2	21	95
	1.60	24.39	1.12	10.14	11.92
Neither favorable nor	5	20	3	17	45
	4.00	6.97	1.69	8.21	5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	13	4	15	26	58
	10.40	1.39	8.43	12.56	7.28

Very unfavorable	100	3	157	109	369
	80.00	1.05	88.20	52.66	46.30
Don't know/No Opinion	4	5	1	21	31
	3.20	1.74	0.56	10.14	3.89
Total	125	287	178	207	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by educ

	Education						
Joe Biden opinion	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Very favorable	8	35	82	24	36	14	199
	29.63	15.28	37.61	27.27	21.95	19.72	24.97
Somewhat favorable	3	22	24	12	17	17	95
	11.11	9.61	11.01	13.64	10.37	23.94	11.92
Neither favorable nor	2	12	11	4	13	3	45
	7.41	5.24	5.05	4.55	7.93	4.23	5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	0	20	13	4	16	5	58
	0.00	8.73	5.96	4.55	9.76	7.04	7.28
Very unfavorable	11	123	82	41	81	31	369
	40.74	53.71	37.61	46.59	49.39	43.66	46.30
Don't know/No Opinion	3	17	6	3	1	1	31
	11.11	7.42	2.75	3.41	0.61	1.41	3.89
Total	27	229	218	88	164	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by attend

	Religion participation					
Joe Biden opinion	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Very favorable	31	29	21	63	55	199
	21.53	18.47	22.11	28.25	32.16	25.19
Somewhat favorable	8	14	14	26	33	95
	5.56	8.92	14.74	11.66	19.30	12.03

Neither favorable nor	8	9	8	10	9	44
	5.56	5.73	8.42	4.48	5.26	5.57
Somewhat unfavorable	8	14	4	21	11	58
	5.56	8.92	4.21	9.42	6.43	7.34
Very unfavorable	84	87	44	93	55	363
	58.33	55.41	46.32	41.70	32.16	45.95
Don't know/No Opinion	5	4	4	10	8	31
	3.47	2.55	4.21	4.48	4.68	3.92
Total	144	157	95	223	171	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by race

	Race								
Joe Biden opinion	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Very favorable	68	75	48	2	1	3	2	0	199
	13.26	66.96	37.21	40.00	16.67	18.75	13.33	0.00	24.97
Somewhat favorable	51	26	14	0	0	4	0	0	95
	9.94	23.21	10.85	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	11.92
Neither favorable nor	30	1	12	0	2	0	0	0	45
	5.85	0.89	9.30	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	42	1	12	0	0	1	2	0	58
	8.19	0.89	9.30	0.00	0.00	6.25	13.33	0.00	7.28
Very unfavorable	302	5	40	2	3	5	11	1	369
	58.87	4.46	31.01	40.00	50.00	31.25	73.33	100.00	46.30
Don't know/No Opinion	20	4	3	1	0	3	0	0	31
	3.90	3.57	2.33	20.00	0.00	18.75	0.00	0.00	3.89
Total	513	112	129	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Joe Biden opinion	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total

Very favorable	93	1	43	12	37	13	199
	18.86	8.33	41.35	33.33	33.04	33.33	25.00
Somewhat favorable	45	2	14	1	22	10	94
	9.13	16.67	13.46	2.78	19.64	25.64	11.81
Neither favorable nor	24	3	6	3	5	4	45
	4.87	25.00	5.77	8.33	4.46	10.26	5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	41	0	3	2	12	0	58
	8.32	0.00	2.88	5.56	10.71	0.00	7.29
Very unfavorable	275	5	33	17	30	9	369
	55.78	41.67	31.73	47.22	26.79	23.08	46.36
Don't know/No Opinion	15	1	5	1	6	3	31
	3.04	8.33	4.81	2.78	5.36	7.69	3.89
Total	493	12	104	36	112	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by gender

Joe Biden opinion	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	89	110	199
	23.92	25.88	24.97
Somewhat favorable	39	56	95
	10.48	13.18	11.92
Neither favorable nor	11	34	45
	2.96	8.00	5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	28	30	58
	7.53	7.06	7.28
Very unfavorable	197	172	369
	52.96	40.47	46.30
Don't know/No Opinion	8	23	31
	2.15	5.41	3.89

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Total	372	425	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by locate

Joe Biden opinion	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	70	103	26	199
	33.98	24.82	14.86	25.00
Somewhat favorable	33	49	13	95
	16.02	11.81	7.43	11.93
Neither favorable nor	16	18	11	45
	7.77	4.34	6.29	5.65
Somewhat unfavorable	13	34	11	58
	6.31	8.19	6.29	7.29
Very unfavorable	61	202	105	368
	29.61	48.67	60.00	46.23
Don't know/No Opinion	13	9	9	31
	6.31	2.17	5.14	3.89
Total	206	415	175	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q28 by metro

Joe Biden opinion	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Very favorable	47	61	20	24	47	199
	30.13	26.18	25.64	28.92	19.26	25.06
Somewhat favorable	24	29	7	14	21	95
	15.38	12.45	8.97	16.87	8.61	11.96
Neither favorable nor	9	15	2	5	14	45
	5.77	6.44	2.56	6.02	5.74	5.67
Somewhat unfavorable	9	20	8	5	16	58
	5.77	8.58	10.26	6.02	6.56	7.30

```
     Very unfavorable |        66         98         39         32        131 |        366
                      |     42.31      42.06      50.00      38.55      53.69 |      46.10
-----------------------+----------------------------------------------------+----------
Don't know/No Opinion |         1         10          2          3         15 |         31
                      |      0.64       4.29       2.56       3.61       6.15 |       3.90
-----------------------+----------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       156        233         78         83        244 |        794
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |     100.00
```

Q29. Favorability: Paul Ryan

```
. tab2 Q29 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q29 by libcon

                      |                                   Ideology
    Paul Ryan opinion | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
-----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Very favorable |         1          0          0         23         53        123         90 |       290
                      |      2.70       0.00       0.00      10.85      48.62      74.55      73.17 |     36.62
-----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat favorable |         1          0          4         35         25         29         14 |       108
                      |      2.70       0.00       5.33      16.51      22.94      17.58      11.38 |     13.64
-----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Neither favorable nor |         1          2          4         34         13          4          3 |        61
                      |      2.70       2.82       5.33      16.04      11.93       2.42       2.44 |      7.70
-----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Somewhat unfavorable |         3          9         12         16          4          4          1 |        49
                      |      8.11      12.68      16.00       7.55       3.67       2.42       0.81 |      6.19
-----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Very unfavorable |        30         57         53         71         10          2         11 |       234
                      |     81.08      80.28      70.67      33.49       9.17       1.21       8.94 |     29.55
-----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Don't know/No Opinion |         1          3          2         33          4          3          4 |        50
                      |      2.70       4.23       2.67      15.57       3.67       1.82       3.25 |      6.31
-----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |        37         71         75        212        109        165        123 |       792
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by pid7

                      |                                   7 point Party ID
    Paul Ryan opinion | Strong De  Not very   Lean Demo  Independe  Lean Repu  Not very   Strong Re  None of t |     Total
-----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Very favorable	3	2	1	12	82	23	162	2	287
	1.58	3.51	1.64	19.35	66.67	35.94	78.26	11.11	36.70
Somewhat favorable	5	7	3	13	24	22	32	2	108
	2.63	12.28	4.92	20.97	19.51	34.38	15.46	11.11	13.81
Neither favorable nor	13	9	8	10	7	7	7	1	62
	6.84	15.79	13.11	16.13	5.69	10.94	3.38	5.56	7.93
Somewhat unfavorable	22	8	4	5	2	3	1	1	46
	11.58	14.04	6.56	8.06	1.63	4.69	0.48	5.56	5.88
Very unfavorable	133	29	44	16	4	0	2	3	231
	70.00	50.88	72.13	25.81	3.25	0.00	0.97	16.67	29.54
Don't know/No Opinion	14	2	1	6	4	9	3	9	48
	7.37	3.51	1.64	9.68	3.25	14.06	1.45	50.00	6.14
Total	190	57	61	62	123	64	207	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Paul Ryan opinion	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	81	3	131	80	295
	64.80	1.04	73.60	38.65	36.97
Somewhat favorable	27	11	29	41	108
	21.60	3.82	16.29	19.81	13.53
Neither favorable nor	9	20	6	27	62
	7.20	6.94	3.37	13.04	7.77
Somewhat unfavorable	2	32	4	11	49
	1.60	11.11	2.25	5.31	6.14
Very unfavorable	0	207	5	22	234
	0.00	71.88	2.81	10.63	29.32
Don't know/No Opinion	6	15	3	26	50
	4.80	5.21	1.69	12.56	6.27
Total	125	288	178	207	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q29 by educ

Paul Ryan opinion	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Very favorable	7	97	65	26	72	28	295
	25.93	42.36	29.68	29.55	43.90	39.44	36.97
Somewhat favorable	1	37	21	17	24	8	108
	3.70	16.16	9.59	19.32	14.63	11.27	13.53
Neither favorable nor	1	24	16	5	12	4	62
	3.70	10.48	7.31	5.68	7.32	5.63	7.77
Somewhat unfavorable	2	7	15	6	14	5	49
	7.41	3.06	6.85	6.82	8.54	7.04	6.14
Very unfavorable	9	42	89	28	40	26	234
	33.33	18.34	40.64	31.82	24.39	36.62	29.32
Don't know/No Opinion	7	22	13	6	2	0	50
	25.93	9.61	5.94	6.82	1.22	0.00	6.27
Total	27	229	219	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by attend

Paul Ryan opinion	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Very favorable	68	72	39	78	32	289
	47.22	45.86	41.05	34.98	18.60	36.54
Somewhat favorable	27	22	9	27	23	108
	18.75	14.01	9.47	12.11	13.37	13.65
Neither favorable nor	7	16	10	17	12	62
	4.86	10.19	10.53	7.62	6.98	7.84
Somewhat unfavorable	8	9	6	19	7	49
	5.56	5.73	6.32	8.52	4.07	6.19
Very unfavorable	27	31	24	72	79	233
	18.75	19.75	25.26	32.29	45.93	29.46

Don't know/No Opinion	7	7	7	10	19	50
	4.86	4.46	7.37	4.48	11.05	6.32
Total	144	157	95	223	172	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by race

	Race								
Paul Ryan opinion	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Very favorable	238	6	35	4	2	2	7	1	295
	46.30	5.36	27.13	80.00	33.33	12.50	46.67	100.00	36.97
Somewhat favorable	78	5	16	0	1	3	5	0	108
	15.18	4.46	12.40	0.00	16.67	18.75	33.33	0.00	13.53
Neither favorable nor	36	10	14	0	2	0	0	0	62
	7.00	8.93	10.85	0.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.77
Somewhat unfavorable	24	14	10	0	0	1	0	0	49
	4.67	12.50	7.75	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	6.14
Very unfavorable	108	68	49	0	1	5	3	0	234
	21.01	60.71	37.98	0.00	16.67	31.25	20.00	0.00	29.32
Don't know/No Opinion	30	9	5	1	0	5	0	0	50
	5.84	8.04	3.88	20.00	0.00	31.25	0.00	0.00	6.27
Total	514	112	129	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Paul Ryan opinion	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Very favorable	228	5	21	13	24	4	295
	46.06	41.67	20.19	36.11	21.62	10.26	37.01
Somewhat favorable	74	0	8	7	13	6	108
	14.95	0.00	7.69	19.44	11.71	15.38	13.55
Neither favorable nor	34	2	8	3	11	4	62
	6.87	16.67	7.69	8.33	9.91	10.26	7.78

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Somewhat unfavorable	31	2	5	0	9	2	49
	6.26	16.67	4.81	0.00	8.11	5.13	6.15
Very unfavorable	105	2	55	12	42	17	233
	21.21	16.67	52.88	33.33	37.84	43.59	29.23
Don't know/No Opinion	23	1	7	1	12	6	50
	4.65	8.33	6.73	2.78	10.81	15.38	6.27
Total	495	12	104	36	111	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by gender

Paul Ryan opinion	Gender Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	161	134	295
	43.28	31.46	36.97
Somewhat favorable	54	54	108
	14.52	12.68	13.53
Neither favorable nor	16	46	62
	4.30	10.80	7.77
Somewhat unfavorable	21	28	49
	5.65	6.57	6.14
Very unfavorable	106	128	234
	28.49	30.05	29.32
Don't know/No Opinion	14	36	50
	3.76	8.45	6.27
Total	372	426	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by locate

Paul Ryan opinion	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Very favorable	48	173	73	294
	23.30	41.79	41.24	36.89
Somewhat favorable	29	46	33	108
	14.08	11.11	18.64	13.55
Neither favorable nor	18	28	16	62
	8.74	6.76	9.04	7.78
Somewhat unfavorable	14	26	9	49
	6.80	6.28	5.08	6.15
Very unfavorable	83	118	33	234
	40.29	28.50	18.64	29.36
Don't know/No Opinion	14	23	13	50
	6.80	5.56	7.34	6.27
Total	206	414	177	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q29 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Paul Ryan opinion	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Very favorable	51	88	35	24	94	292
	32.90	37.77	44.30	28.92	38.37	36.73
Somewhat favorable	20	23	11	11	43	108
	12.90	9.87	13.92	13.25	17.55	13.58
Neither favorable nor	13	20	4	3	22	62
	8.39	8.58	5.06	3.61	8.98	7.80
Somewhat unfavorable	12	13	1	10	13	49
	7.74	5.58	1.27	12.05	5.31	6.16
Very unfavorable	51	74	23	32	54	234
	32.90	31.76	29.11	38.55	22.04	29.43
Don't know/No Opinion	8	15	5	3	19	50
	5.16	6.44	6.33	3.61	7.76	6.29
Total	155	233	79	83	245	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Q30A. Vote Determinant: Religious Faith

```
. tab2 Q30A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q30A by libcon

Religious faith	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Extremely important	6	7	5	40	20	38	55	171
	16.22	10.14	6.67	18.78	18.18	23.17	44.72	21.62
Somewhat important	2	11	15	57	41	74	43	243
	5.41	15.94	20.00	26.76	37.27	45.12	34.96	30.72
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	1.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	7	22	20	55	27	34	17	182
	18.92	31.88	26.67	25.82	24.55	20.73	13.82	23.01
Not at all important	22	29	34	61	22	18	8	194
	59.46	42.03	45.33	28.64	20.00	10.98	6.50	24.53
Total	37	69	75	213	110	164	123	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by pid7

Religious faith	7 point Party ID Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Extremely important	38	7	3	13	26	15	60	3	165
	20.21	12.28	4.92	20.63	21.14	23.08	28.99	16.67	21.10
Somewhat important	34	18	10	13	52	22	88	7	244
	18.09	31.58	16.39	20.63	42.28	33.85	42.51	38.89	31.20
Neither important nor	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	51	14	17	10	21	18	45	5	181
	27.13	24.56	27.87	15.87	17.07	27.69	21.74	27.78	23.15
Not at all important	64	18	31	27	24	10	14	3	191
	34.04	31.58	50.82	42.86	19.51	15.38	6.76	16.67	24.42

Total	188	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by Q22

Religious faith	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Extremely important	40	45	47	40	172
	32.26	15.73	26.26	19.23	21.58
Somewhat important	47	57	76	65	245
	37.90	19.93	42.46	31.25	30.74
Neither important nor	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	25	75	30	54	184
	20.16	26.22	16.76	25.96	23.09
Not at all important	12	108	26	49	195
	9.68	37.76	14.53	23.56	24.47
Total	124	286	179	208	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by educ

Religious faith	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Extremely important	7	57	50	23	31	4	172
	25.93	24.78	22.94	26.44	18.90	5.63	21.58
Somewhat important	6	79	57	26	56	21	245
	22.22	34.35	26.15	29.89	34.15	29.58	30.74
Neither important nor	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	3	47	52	20	39	23	184
	11.11	20.43	23.85	22.99	23.78	32.39	23.09
Not at all important	11	46	59	18	38	23	195
	40.74	20.00	27.06	20.69	23.17	32.39	24.47

Total	27	230	218	87	164	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by attend

Religious faith	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Extremely important	66	47	24	24	10	171
	45.83	29.56	25.26	10.86	5.85	21.65
Somewhat important	44	65	39	68	27	243
	30.56	40.88	41.05	30.77	15.79	30.76
Neither important nor	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	20	32	20	67	41	180
	13.89	20.13	21.05	30.32	23.98	22.78
Not at all important	13	15	12	62	93	195
	9.03	9.43	12.63	28.05	54.39	24.68
Total	144	159	95	221	171	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by race

Religious faith	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Extremely important	105	33	23	3	1	4	3	0	172
	20.47	29.73	17.69	60.00	16.67	25.00	20.00	0.00	21.58
Somewhat important	166	35	33	1	2	5	3	0	245
	32.36	31.53	25.38	20.00	33.33	31.25	20.00	0.00	30.74
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	115	22	37	1	0	2	7	0	184
	22.42	19.82	28.46	20.00	0.00	12.50	46.67	0.00	23.09
Not at all important	127	21	36	0	3	5	2	1	195
	24.76	18.92	27.69	0.00	50.00	31.25	13.33	100.00	24.47

Total	513	111	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by marstat

Religious faith	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Extremely important	119	5	19	8	18	3	172
	24.04	41.67	18.27	22.86	16.22	7.69	21.61
Somewhat important	158	4	26	13	32	12	245
	31.92	33.33	25.00	37.14	28.83	30.77	30.78
Neither important nor	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	105	1	36	6	27	9	184
	21.21	8.33	34.62	17.14	24.32	23.08	23.12
Not at all important	112	2	23	8	34	15	194
	22.63	16.67	22.12	22.86	30.63	38.46	24.37
Total	495	12	104	35	111	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by gender

Religious faith	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Extremely important	77	95	172
	20.64	22.41	21.58
Somewhat important	110	135	245
	29.49	31.84	30.74
Neither important nor	0	1	1
	0.00	0.24	0.13
Not very important	80	104	184
	21.45	24.53	23.09

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Not at all important	106	89	195
	28.42	20.99	24.47
Total	373	424	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by locate

Religious faith	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Extremely important	41	84	46	171
	20.10	20.29	25.84	21.48
Somewhat important	47	141	57	245
	23.04	34.06	32.02	30.78
Neither important nor	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.13
Not very important	49	98	37	184
	24.02	23.67	20.79	23.12
Not at all important	67	90	38	195
	32.84	21.74	21.35	24.50
Total	204	414	178	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A by metro

Religious faith	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Extremely important	33	46	16	15	61	171
	21.29	19.83	20.51	18.07	24.80	21.54
Somewhat important	50	75	26	22	70	243
	32.26	32.33	33.33	26.51	28.46	30.60
Neither important nor	0	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.13
Not very important	40	49	20	14	61	184
	25.81	21.12	25.64	16.87	24.80	23.17

Not at all important	32	62	16	32	53	195
	20.65	26.72	20.51	38.55	21.54	24.56
Total	155	232	78	83	246	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q30B. Vote Determinant: Issue Positions

```
. tab2 Q30B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q30B by libcon

Issue positions	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Extremely important	37	63	68	169	89	148	112	686
	100.00	90.00	90.67	79.72	80.91	89.70	91.80	86.73
Somewhat important	0	7	6	37	20	17	9	96
	0.00	10.00	8.00	17.45	18.18	10.30	7.38	12.14
Not very important	0	0	1	5	1	0	1	8
	0.00	0.00	1.33	2.36	0.91	0.00	0.82	1.01
Not at all important	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	37	70	75	212	110	165	122	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by pid7

Issue positions	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Party ID Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Extremely important	167	52	53	48	110	54	182	14	680
	88.83	91.23	86.89	76.19	89.43	84.38	87.92	77.78	87.07
Somewhat important	19	5	7	11	13	10	23	4	92
	10.11	8.77	11.48	17.46	10.57	15.62	11.11	22.22	11.78
Not very important	1	0	1	4	0	0	2	0	8
	0.53	0.00	1.64	6.35	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	1.02

Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.53	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	188	57	61	63	123	64	207	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Issue positions	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Extremely important	105	252	167	168	692
	84.68	88.11	93.30	80.77	86.83
Somewhat important	17	32	12	35	96
	13.71	11.19	6.70	16.83	12.05
Not very important	2	2	0	4	8
	1.61	0.70	0.00	1.92	1.00
Not at all important	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.13
Total	124	286	179	208	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by educ

	Education						
Issue positions	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Extremely important	24	191	201	71	142	63	692
	88.89	83.41	91.78	81.61	86.59	88.73	86.83
Somewhat important	2	34	17	15	20	8	96
	7.41	14.85	7.76	17.24	12.20	11.27	12.05
Not very important	0	4	1	1	2	0	8
	0.00	1.75	0.46	1.15	1.22	0.00	1.00
Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	3.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	27	229	219	87	164	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by attend

Issue positions	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Extremely important	125	137	80	193	152	687
	87.41	86.71	84.21	86.94	88.37	86.96
Somewhat important	17	20	13	27	17	94
	11.89	12.66	13.68	12.16	9.88	11.90
Not very important	0	1	2	2	3	8
	0.00	0.63	2.11	0.90	1.74	1.01
Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	1
	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	143	158	95	222	172	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by race

Issue positions	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Extremely important	448	96	111	4	4	13	15	1	692
	87.33	86.49	85.38	80.00	66.67	81.25	100.00	100.00	86.83
Somewhat important	60	12	19	1	2	2	0	0	96
	11.70	10.81	14.62	20.00	33.33	12.50	0.00	0.00	12.05
Not very important	5	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
	0.97	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	1.00
Not at all important	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	513	111	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by marstat

Issue positions	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total

Extremely important	429	10	88	31	97	36	691
	86.84	83.33	84.62	88.57	86.61	92.31	86.81
Somewhat important	61	2	15	3	13	2	96
	12.35	16.67	14.42	8.57	11.61	5.13	12.06
Not very important	3	0	1	1	2	1	8
	0.61	0.00	0.96	2.86	1.79	2.56	1.01
Not at all important	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Total	494	12	104	35	112	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by gender

Issue positions	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Extremely important	326	366	692
	87.63	86.12	86.83
Somewhat important	44	52	96
	11.83	12.24	12.05
Not very important	2	6	8
	0.54	1.41	1.00
Not at all important	0	1	1
	0.00	0.24	0.13
Total	372	425	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30B by locate

Issue positions	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Extremely important	170	366	155	691
	82.52	88.62	87.57	86.81

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
   Somewhat important |        35         41         20 |        96
                      |     16.99       9.93      11.30 |     12.06
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
   Not very important |         1          5          2 |         8
                      |      0.49       1.21       1.13 |      1.01
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
 Not at all important |         0          1          0 |         1
                      |      0.00       0.24       0.00 |      0.13
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        413        177 |       796
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q30B by metro

                      |                  Metropolitan area
      Issue positions | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
  Extremely important |       135        201         67         75       211 |       689
                      |     87.10      87.01      84.81      90.36     85.77 |     86.78
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat important |        19         25         12          8        32 |        96
                      |     12.26      10.82      15.19       9.64     13.01 |     12.09
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Not very important |         1          5          0          0         2 |         8
                      |      0.65       2.16       0.00       0.00      0.81 |      1.01
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Not at all important |         0          0          0          0         1 |         1
                      |      0.00       0.00       0.00       0.00      0.41 |      0.13
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       155        231         79         83       246 |       794
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00    100.00 |    100.00
```

Q30C. Vote Determinant: Character

```
. tab2 Q30C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q30C by libcon

                      |                                   Ideology
            Character | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
  Extremely important |        24         51         57        157         97        147        109 |       642
                      |     64.86      72.86      76.00      74.41      88.18      90.18      89.34 |     81.47
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Somewhat important	12	17	15	46	11	15	12	128
	32.43	24.29	20.00	21.80	10.00	9.20	9.84	16.24
Neither important nor	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	1	2	3	3	2	1	1	13
	2.70	2.86	4.00	1.42	1.82	0.61	0.82	1.65
Not at all important	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51
Total	37	70	75	211	110	163	122	788
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Character	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Extremely important	144	45	41	44	107	58	182	12	633
	77.01	78.95	67.21	69.84	87.70	90.62	88.35	66.67	81.36
Somewhat important	40	8	15	15	13	6	24	6	127
	21.39	14.04	24.59	23.81	10.66	9.38	11.65	33.33	16.32
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	1.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	2	3	3	3	2	0	0	0	13
	1.07	5.26	4.92	4.76	1.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.67
Not at all important	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
	0.53	1.75	1.64	1.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.51
Total	187	57	61	63	122	64	206	18	778
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Character	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Extremely important	107	212	152	176	647
	86.29	74.39	85.88	84.62	81.49

Somewhat important	17	64	23	25	129
	13.71	22.46	12.99	12.02	16.25
Neither important nor	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.13
Not very important	0	8	2	3	13
	0.00	2.81	1.13	1.44	1.64
Not at all important	0	1	0	3	4
	0.00	0.35	0.00	1.44	0.50
Total	124	285	177	208	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by educ

	Education						
Character	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Extremely important	21	189	180	73	134	50	647
	77.78	82.53	82.19	85.88	82.21	70.42	81.49
Somewhat important	3	38	34	11	27	16	129
	11.11	16.59	15.53	12.94	16.56	22.54	16.25
Neither important nor	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.13
Not very important	2	1	3	1	1	5	13
	7.41	0.44	1.37	1.18	0.61	7.04	1.64
Not at all important	1	1	2	0	0	0	4
	3.70	0.44	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
Total	27	229	219	85	163	71	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by attend

	Religion participation					
Character	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Extremely important	122	135	81	184	118	640
	85.92	85.99	86.17	82.88	68.60	81.32

Somewhat important	19	19	11	36	44	129
	13.38	12.10	11.70	16.22	25.58	16.39
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	1.06	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	0	2	1	1	9	13
	0.00	1.27	1.06	0.45	5.23	1.65
Not at all important	1	1	0	1	1	4
	0.70	0.64	0.00	0.45	0.58	0.51
Total	142	157	94	222	172	787
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by race

	Race								
Character	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Extremely important	409	97	104	5	5	12	15	0	647
	80.04	87.39	80.62	100.00	83.33	75.00	100.00	0.00	81.49
Somewhat important	92	11	23	0	0	3	0	0	129
	18.00	9.91	17.83	0.00	0.00	18.75	0.00	0.00	16.25
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	9	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	13
	1.76	1.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	100.00	1.64
Not at all important	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	4
	0.20	0.90	0.78	0.00	16.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50
Total	511	111	129	5	6	16	15	1	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by marstat

	Marital Status						
Character	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Extremely important	410	9	82	30	81	34	646
	83.33	75.00	79.61	85.71	72.32	87.18	81.46

Somewhat important	72	3	19	4	26	5	129
	14.63	25.00	18.45	11.43	23.21	12.82	16.27
Neither important nor	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.13
Not very important	8	0	2	0	3	0	13
	1.63	0.00	1.94	0.00	2.68	0.00	1.64
Not at all important	2	0	0	1	1	0	4
	0.41	0.00	0.00	2.86	0.89	0.00	0.50
Total	492	12	103	35	112	39	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by gender

Character	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Extremely important	295	352	647
	79.73	83.02	81.49
Somewhat important	64	65	129
	17.30	15.33	16.25
Neither important nor	1	0	1
	0.27	0.00	0.13
Not very important	9	4	13
	2.43	0.94	1.64
Not at all important	1	3	4
	0.27	0.71	0.50
Total	370	424	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by locate

Character	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total

Extremely important	162	335	149	646
	79.02	81.51	84.18	81.46
Somewhat important	38	67	24	129
	18.54	16.30	13.56	16.27
Neither important nor	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.13
Not very important	4	7	2	13
	1.95	1.70	1.13	1.64
Not at all important	1	1	2	4
	0.49	0.24	1.13	0.50
Total	205	411	177	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30C by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Character	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Extremely important	134	176	69	62	203	644
	87.01	76.86	87.34	74.70	82.52	81.42
Somewhat important	18	47	8	17	39	129
	11.69	20.52	10.13	20.48	15.85	16.31
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	1.27	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	2	4	1	4	2	13
	1.30	1.75	1.27	4.82	0.81	1.64
Not at all important	0	2	0	0	2	4
	0.00	0.87	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.51
Total	154	229	79	83	246	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q30D. Vote Determinant: Party

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
. tab2 Q30D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q30D by libcon

Party	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Extremely important	20	31	17	41	16	32	47	204
	54.05	43.66	22.67	19.43	14.55	19.51	38.52	25.82
Somewhat important	11	29	41	72	46	79	44	322
	29.73	40.85	54.67	34.12	41.82	48.17	36.07	40.76
Neither important nor	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	4	8	12	57	30	40	18	169
	10.81	11.27	16.00	27.01	27.27	24.39	14.75	21.39
Not at all important	2	3	5	40	18	13	13	94
	5.41	4.23	6.67	18.96	16.36	7.93	10.66	11.90
Total	37	71	75	211	110	164	122	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by pid7

Party	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Extremely important	94	10	5	4	11	6	67	1	198
	49.74	17.54	8.20	6.35	8.94	9.38	32.52	5.56	25.35
Somewhat important	77	26	27	10	37	30	106	5	318
	40.74	45.61	44.26	15.87	30.08	46.88	51.46	27.78	40.72
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	1.64	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	14	16	14	20	53	21	27	6	171
	7.41	28.07	22.95	31.75	43.09	32.81	13.11	33.33	21.90
Not at all important	4	5	14	29	22	7	6	6	93
	2.12	8.77	22.95	46.03	17.89	10.94	2.91	33.33	11.91
Total	189	57	61	63	123	64	206	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q30D by Q22

Party	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Extremely important	35	105	34	30	204
	28.69	36.59	18.99	14.42	25.63
Somewhat important	61	125	75	63	324
	50.00	43.55	41.90	30.29	40.70
Neither important nor	0	0	0	1	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.13
Not very important	21	41	49	62	173
	17.21	14.29	27.37	29.81	21.73
Not at all important	5	16	21	52	94
	4.10	5.57	11.73	25.00	11.81
Total	122	287	179	208	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by educ

Party	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Extremely important	9	63	56	20	38	18	204
	33.33	27.51	25.81	22.73	23.17	25.35	25.63
Somewhat important	11	85	99	38	60	31	324
	40.74	37.12	45.62	43.18	36.59	43.66	40.70
Neither important nor	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.61	0.00	0.13
Not very important	4	53	37	16	48	15	173
	14.81	23.14	17.05	18.18	29.27	21.13	21.73
Not at all important	3	28	25	14	17	7	94
	11.11	12.23	11.52	15.91	10.37	9.86	11.81
Total	27	229	217	88	164	71	796

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by attend

	Religion participation					
Party	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Extremely important	41	37	26	58	38	200
	28.87	23.42	27.37	26.13	22.09	25.35
Somewhat important	56	70	40	89	68	323
	39.44	44.30	42.11	40.09	39.53	40.94
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	1.05	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	28	38	21	43	41	171
	19.72	24.05	22.11	19.37	23.84	21.67
Not at all important	17	13	7	32	25	94
	11.97	8.23	7.37	14.41	14.53	11.91
Total	142	158	95	222	172	789
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by race

	Race								
Party	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Extremely important	108	54	35	3	0	2	2	0	204
	21.05	48.65	27.13	60.00	0.00	12.50	13.33	0.00	25.63
Somewhat important	224	38	51	0	2	5	4	0	324
	43.66	34.23	39.53	0.00	33.33	31.25	26.67	0.00	40.70
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	118	13	27	2	1	5	7	0	173
	23.00	11.71	20.93	40.00	16.67	31.25	46.67	0.00	21.73
Not at all important	63	6	15	0	3	4	2	1	94
	12.28	5.41	11.63	0.00	50.00	25.00	13.33	100.00	11.81
Total	513	111	129	5	6	16	15	1	796

	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by marstat

Party	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Extremely important	115	4	35	12	27	11	204
	23.37	33.33	33.65	33.33	24.11	28.21	25.66
Somewhat important	211	4	35	9	45	20	324
	42.89	33.33	33.65	25.00	40.18	51.28	40.75
Neither important nor	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.13
Not very important	108	1	21	10	30	3	173
	21.95	8.33	20.19	27.78	26.79	7.69	21.76
Not at all important	58	3	13	5	9	5	93
	11.79	25.00	12.50	13.89	8.04	12.82	11.70
Total	492	12	104	36	112	39	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by gender

Party	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Extremely important	86	118	204
	23.18	27.76	25.63
Somewhat important	152	172	324
	40.97	40.47	40.70
Neither important nor	1	0	1
	0.27	0.00	0.13
Not very important	89	84	173
	23.99	19.76	21.73
Not at all important	43	51	94
	11.59	12.00	11.81

Total	371	425	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by locate

Party	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Extremely important	60	114	30	204
	29.27	27.60	16.95	25.66
Somewhat important	80	162	81	323
	39.02	39.23	45.76	40.63
Neither important nor	0	1	0	1
	0.00	0.24	0.00	0.13
Not very important	45	87	41	173
	21.95	21.07	23.16	21.76
Not at all important	20	49	25	94
	9.76	11.86	14.12	11.82
Total	205	413	177	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30D by metro

Party	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Extremely important	47	61	17	18	60	203
	30.52	26.29	21.79	21.69	24.39	25.60
Somewhat important	60	88	34	37	103	322
	38.96	37.93	43.59	44.58	41.87	40.61
Neither important nor	0	0	1	0	0	1
	0.00	0.00	1.28	0.00	0.00	0.13
Not very important	26	57	17	18	55	173
	16.88	24.57	21.79	21.69	22.36	21.82
Not at all important	21	26	9	10	28	94
	13.64	11.21	11.54	12.05	11.38	11.85

Total	154	232	78	83	246	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q30E. Vote Determinant: Political Record

```
. tab2 Q30E libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q30E by libcon

Political record	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Extremely important	28	49	50	123	63	112	90	515
	75.68	71.01	66.67	58.29	57.27	68.71	74.38	65.52
Somewhat important	8	20	22	78	42	48	30	248
	21.62	28.99	29.33	36.97	38.18	29.45	24.79	31.55
Not very important	1	0	3	7	3	3	1	18
	2.70	0.00	4.00	3.32	2.73	1.84	0.83	2.29
Not at all important	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	5
	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.42	1.82	0.00	0.00	0.64
Total	37	69	75	211	110	163	121	786
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by pid7

Political record	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Party ID Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Extremely important	133	36	39	31	82	36	144	8	509
	71.51	63.16	65.00	50.00	66.67	56.25	69.57	44.44	65.51
Somewhat important	47	19	19	26	40	27	57	10	245
	25.27	33.33	31.67	41.94	32.52	42.19	27.54	55.56	31.53
Not very important	4	1	2	5	0	0	6	0	18
	2.15	1.75	3.33	8.06	0.00	0.00	2.90	0.00	2.32
Not at all important	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	5
	1.08	1.75	0.00	0.00	0.81	1.56	0.00	0.00	0.64

Total	186	57	60	62	123	64	207	18	777
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by Q22

Political record	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Extremely important	70	201	131	118	520
	56.91	71.02	73.18	57.00	65.66
Somewhat important	48	73	47	81	249
	39.02	25.80	26.26	39.13	31.44
Not very important	4	8	1	5	18
	3.25	2.83	0.56	2.42	2.27
Not at all important	1	1	0	3	5
	0.81	0.35	0.00	1.45	0.63
Total	123	283	179	207	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by educ

Political record	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Extremely important	20	148	146	51	109	46	520
	76.92	64.91	67.28	58.62	66.46	65.71	65.66
Somewhat important	4	73	62	33	53	24	249
	15.38	32.02	28.57	37.93	32.32	34.29	31.44
Not very important	1	6	6	3	2	0	18
	3.85	2.63	2.76	3.45	1.22	0.00	2.27
Not at all important	1	1	3	0	0	0	5
	3.85	0.44	1.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
Total	26	228	217	87	164	70	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by attend

Political record	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Extremely important	88	105	68	139	115	515
	61.54	66.88	72.34	63.18	67.25	65.61
Somewhat important	52	45	25	74	51	247
	36.36	28.66	26.60	33.64	29.82	31.46
Not very important	2	6	1	6	3	18
	1.40	3.82	1.06	2.73	1.75	2.29
Not at all important	1	1	0	1	2	5
	0.70	0.64	0.00	0.45	1.17	0.64
Total	143	157	94	220	171	785
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by race

Political record	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Extremely important	332	84	78	4	3	8	11	0	520
	65.10	76.36	60.47	80.00	50.00	50.00	73.33	0.00	65.66
Somewhat important	165	22	46	1	3	7	4	1	249
	32.35	20.00	35.66	20.00	50.00	43.75	26.67	100.00	31.44
Not very important	11	2	4	0	0	1	0	0	18
	2.16	1.82	3.10	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	2.27
Not at all important	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	5
	0.39	1.82	0.78	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
Total	510	110	129	5	6	16	15	1	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by marstat

Political record	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Extremely important	321	8	73	22	66	30	520

	65.24	66.67	70.87	64.71	58.93	76.92	65.66
Somewhat important	160	3	26	11	41	8	249
	32.52	25.00	25.24	32.35	36.61	20.51	31.44
Not very important	8	1	3	1	4	1	18
	1.63	8.33	2.91	2.94	3.57	2.56	2.27
Not at all important	3	0	1	0	1	0	5
	0.61	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.89	0.00	0.63
Total	492	12	103	34	112	39	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by gender

Political record	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Extremely important	247	273	520
	66.76	64.69	65.66
Somewhat important	118	131	249
	31.89	31.04	31.44
Not very important	3	15	18
	0.81	3.55	2.27
Not at all important	2	3	5
	0.54	0.71	0.63
Total	370	422	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by locate

Political record	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Extremely important	134	277	108	519
	66.01	67.40	61.02	65.61
Somewhat important	65	122	62	249
	32.02	29.68	35.03	31.48

Not very important	4	8	6	18
	1.97	1.95	3.39	2.28
Not at all important	0	4	1	5
	0.00	0.97	0.56	0.63
Total	203	411	177	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30E by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Political record	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Extremely important	91	161	49	56	161	518
	59.09	69.70	62.82	68.29	65.98	65.65
Somewhat important	55	67	29	24	73	248
	35.71	29.00	37.18	29.27	29.92	31.43
Not very important	8	2	0	1	7	18
	5.19	0.87	0.00	1.22	2.87	2.28
Not at all important	0	1	0	1	3	5
	0.00	0.43	0.00	1.22	1.23	0.63
Total	154	231	78	82	244	789
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q30A2. Vote Determinant

```
. tab2 Q30A2 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by libcon

	Ideology							
Most important vote factor	Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Religious faith	0	0	1	9	5	4	8	27
	0.00	0.00	1.35	4.25	4.55	2.44	6.50	3.41
Issue positions	22	38	47	105	64	90	55	421
	59.46	53.52	63.51	49.53	58.18	54.88	44.72	53.22

Character	3	7	9	47	27	44	32	169
	8.11	9.86	12.16	22.17	24.55	26.83	26.02	21.37
Party	7	11	8	15	2	6	12	61
	18.92	15.49	10.81	7.08	1.82	3.66	9.76	7.71
Political record	5	15	9	36	12	20	16	113
	13.51	21.13	12.16	16.98	10.91	12.20	13.01	14.29
Total	37	71	74	212	110	164	123	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by pid7

Most important vote factor	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Religious faith	5	1	0	5	5	3	8	1	28
	2.66	1.75	0.00	7.94	4.10	4.62	3.86	5.56	3.59
Issue positions	99	34	40	31	67	37	103	6	417
	52.66	59.65	65.57	49.21	54.92	56.92	49.76	33.33	53.39
Character	27	9	7	12	33	18	54	6	166
	14.36	15.79	11.48	19.05	27.05	27.69	26.09	33.33	21.25
Party	33	2	2	1	0	1	19	1	59
	17.55	3.51	3.28	1.59	0.00	1.54	9.18	5.56	7.55
Political record	24	11	12	14	17	6	23	4	111
	12.77	19.30	19.67	22.22	13.93	9.23	11.11	22.22	14.21
Total	188	57	61	63	122	65	207	18	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by Q22

Most important vote factor	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Religious faith	8	6	7	7	28
	6.40	2.10	3.91	3.38	3.51
Issue positions	57	163	103	102	425

	45.60	56.99	57.54	49.28	53.32
Character	35	37	36	62	170
	28.00	12.94	20.11	29.95	21.33
Party	11	35	6	9	61
	8.80	12.24	3.35	4.35	7.65
Political record	14	45	27	27	113
	11.20	15.73	15.08	13.04	14.18
Total	125	286	179	207	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by educ

Most important vote factor	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Religious faith	1	10	10	3	3	1	28
	3.70	4.37	4.55	3.41	1.83	1.45	3.51
Issue positions	11	115	122	41	93	43	425
	40.74	50.22	55.45	46.59	56.71	62.32	53.32
Character	6	61	38	24	29	12	170
	22.22	26.64	17.27	27.27	17.68	17.39	21.33
Party	4	16	19	7	12	3	61
	14.81	6.99	8.64	7.95	7.32	4.35	7.65
Political record	5	27	31	13	27	10	113
	18.52	11.79	14.09	14.77	16.46	14.49	14.18
Total	27	229	220	88	164	69	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by attend

Most important vote factor	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Religious faith	15	8	1	3	1	28
	10.56	5.03	1.06	1.35	0.58	3.54
Issue positions	66	78	49	122	107	422

	46.48	49.06	52.13	54.71	62.21	53.42
Character	34	44	23	44	23	168
	23.94	27.67	24.47	19.73	13.37	21.27
Party	12	9	5	24	9	59
	8.45	5.66	5.32	10.76	5.23	7.47
Political record	15	20	16	30	32	113
	10.56	12.58	17.02	13.45	18.60	14.30
Total	142	159	94	223	172	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by race

Most important vote factor	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Religious faith	16	6	3	1	0	2	0	0	28
	3.11	5.41	2.31	20.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	3.51
Issue positions	286	50	67	1	4	10	6	1	425
	55.64	45.05	51.54	20.00	66.67	62.50	42.86	100.00	53.32
Character	107	29	23	2	1	1	7	0	170
	20.82	26.13	17.69	40.00	16.67	6.25	50.00	0.00	21.33
Party	32	11	16	0	0	1	1	0	61
	6.23	9.91	12.31	0.00	0.00	6.25	7.14	0.00	7.65
Political record	73	15	21	1	1	2	0	0	113
	14.20	13.51	16.15	20.00	16.67	12.50	0.00	0.00	14.18
Total	514	111	130	5	6	16	14	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by marstat

Most important vote factor	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Religious faith	17	0	4	2	5	0	28
	3.44	0.00	3.88	5.56	4.46	0.00	3.52
Issue positions	267	6	48	12	70	21	424

	54.05	50.00	46.60	33.33	62.50	53.85	53.27
Character	109	3	23	11	15	9	170
	22.06	25.00	22.33	30.56	13.39	23.08	21.36
Party	35	0	11	4	11	0	61
	7.09	0.00	10.68	11.11	9.82	0.00	7.66
Political record	66	3	17	7	11	9	113
	13.36	25.00	16.50	19.44	9.82	23.08	14.20
Total	494	12	103	36	112	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by gender

Most important vote factor	Gender Male	Female	Total
Religious faith	11	17	28
	2.96	4.00	3.51
Issue positions	215	210	425
	57.80	49.41	53.32
Character	76	94	170
	20.43	22.12	21.33
Party	24	37	61
	6.45	8.71	7.65
Political record	46	67	113
	12.37	15.76	14.18
Total	372	425	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by locate

Most important vote factor	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Religious faith	5	14	9	28
	2.43	3.40	5.06	3.52

```
-----------------+---------------------------------+----------
 Issue positions |       110        216         98 |       424
                 |     53.40      52.43      55.06 |     53.27
-----------------+---------------------------------+----------
       Character |        50         81         39 |       170
                 |     24.27      19.66      21.91 |     21.36
-----------------+---------------------------------+----------
           Party |        18         31         12 |        61
                 |      8.74       7.52       6.74 |      7.66
-----------------+---------------------------------+----------
Political record |        23         70         20 |       113
                 |     11.17      16.99      11.24 |     14.20
-----------------+---------------------------------+----------
           Total |       206        412        178 |       796
                 |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q30A2 by metro

```
  Most important |                   Metropolitan area
     vote factor | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Religious faith |         6          6          1          2         13 |        28
                 |      3.85       2.61       1.27       2.41       5.28 |      3.53
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Issue positions |        87        121         42         49        123 |       422
                 |     55.77      52.61      53.16      59.04      50.00 |     53.15
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Character |        33         52         23          9         53 |       170
                 |     21.15      22.61      29.11      10.84      21.54 |     21.41
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
           Party |        11         15          6          5         24 |        61
                 |      7.05       6.52       7.59       6.02       9.76 |      7.68
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Political record |        19         36          7         18         33 |       113
                 |     12.18      15.65       8.86      21.69      13.41 |     14.23
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
           Total |       156        230         79         83        246 |       794
                 |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q31. Favorability: Rick Perry

```
. tab2 Q31 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q31 by libcon

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Rick Perry opinion	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Very favorable	1	0	0	18	14	50	42	125
	2.70	0.00	0.00	8.49	12.73	30.30	34.15	15.78
Somewhat favorable	0	2	2	44	47	78	48	221
	0.00	2.82	2.70	20.75	42.73	47.27	39.02	27.90
Neither favorable nor	1	2	5	36	21	17	12	94
	2.70	2.82	6.76	16.98	19.09	10.30	9.76	11.87
Somewhat unfavorable	0	7	11	20	17	16	8	79
	0.00	9.86	14.86	9.43	15.45	9.70	6.50	9.97
Very unfavorable	35	59	55	83	11	4	12	259
	94.59	83.10	74.32	39.15	10.00	2.42	9.76	32.70
Don't know/No Opinion	0	1	1	11	0	0	1	14
	0.00	1.41	1.35	5.19	0.00	0.00	0.81	1.77
Total	37	71	74	212	110	165	123	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by pid7

Rick Perry opinion	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	7 point Party ID Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	3	3	2	5	26	6	79	1	125
	1.58	5.26	3.28	7.94	21.14	9.23	38.16	6.25	15.98
Somewhat favorable	9	11	5	12	50	30	95	3	215
	4.74	19.30	8.20	19.05	40.65	46.15	45.89	18.75	27.49
Neither favorable nor	13	7	5	12	19	16	17	5	94
	6.84	12.28	8.20	19.05	15.45	24.62	8.21	31.25	12.02
Somewhat unfavorable	12	8	8	11	20	6	12	2	79
	6.32	14.04	13.11	17.46	16.26	9.23	5.80	12.50	10.10
Very unfavorable	149	28	40	19	7	5	4	3	255
	78.42	49.12	65.57	30.16	5.69	7.69	1.93	18.75	32.61
Don't know/No Opinion	4	0	1	4	1	2	0	2	14
	2.11	0.00	1.64	6.35	0.81	3.08	0.00	12.50	1.79

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	16	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by Q22

Rick Perry opinion	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	47	7	44	29	127
	37.60	2.43	24.58	14.08	15.91
Somewhat favorable	56	17	72	76	221
	44.80	5.90	40.22	36.89	27.69
Neither favorable nor	13	22	23	39	97
	10.40	7.64	12.85	18.93	12.16
Somewhat unfavorable	5	24	30	21	80
	4.00	8.33	16.76	10.19	10.03
Very unfavorable	3	216	10	30	259
	2.40	75.00	5.59	14.56	32.46
Don't know/No Opinion	1	2	0	11	14
	0.80	0.69	0.00	5.34	1.75
Total	125	288	179	206	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by educ

Rick Perry opinion	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Very favorable	4	38	30	17	29	9	127
	14.81	16.67	13.64	19.32	17.68	12.68	15.91
Somewhat favorable	5	80	46	27	45	18	221
	18.52	35.09	20.91	30.68	27.44	25.35	27.69
Neither favorable nor	1	35	24	13	18	6	97
	3.70	15.35	10.91	14.77	10.98	8.45	12.16
Somewhat unfavorable	3	17	23	6	20	11	80

	11.11	7.46	10.45	6.82	12.20	15.49	10.03
Very unfavorable	12	50	95	25	51	26	259
	44.44	21.93	43.18	28.41	31.10	36.62	32.46
Don't know/No Opinion	2	8	2	0	1	1	14
	7.41	3.51	0.91	0.00	0.61	1.41	1.75
Total	27	228	220	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by attend

	Religion participation					
Rick Perry opinion	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Very favorable	29	28	17	36	15	125
	20.14	17.61	17.89	16.29	8.72	15.80
Somewhat favorable	49	54	32	52	31	218
	34.03	33.96	33.68	23.53	18.02	27.56
Neither favorable nor	15	23	9	26	23	96
	10.42	14.47	9.47	11.76	13.37	12.14
Somewhat unfavorable	14	20	12	24	10	80
	9.72	12.58	12.63	10.86	5.81	10.11
Very unfavorable	35	31	23	80	89	258
	24.31	19.50	24.21	36.20	51.74	32.62
Don't know/No Opinion	2	3	2	3	4	14
	1.39	1.89	2.11	1.36	2.33	1.77
Total	144	159	95	221	172	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by race

	Race								
Rick Perry opinion	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Very favorable	100	2	17	3	2	3	0	0	127
	19.49	1.79	13.08	60.00	33.33	18.75	0.00	0.00	15.91
Somewhat favorable	162	10	35	1	0	4	8	1	221

	31.58	8.93	26.92	20.00	0.00	25.00	53.33	100.00	27.69
Neither favorable nor	67	11	12	0	2	3	2	0	97
	13.06	9.82	9.23	0.00	33.33	18.75	13.33	0.00	12.16
Somewhat unfavorable	49	12	17	0	0	0	2	0	80
	9.55	10.71	13.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.33	0.00	10.03
Very unfavorable	128	73	47	0	2	6	3	0	259
	24.95	65.18	36.15	0.00	33.33	37.50	20.00	0.00	32.46
Don't know/No Opinion	7	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	14
	1.36	3.57	1.54	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.75
Total	513	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Rick Perry opinion	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Very favorable	97	3	12	7	7	1	127
	19.60	25.00	11.65	19.44	6.25	2.56	15.93
Somewhat favorable	159	3	15	10	25	9	221
	32.12	25.00	14.56	27.78	22.32	23.08	27.73
Neither favorable nor	53	2	13	6	17	6	97
	10.71	16.67	12.62	16.67	15.18	15.38	12.17
Somewhat unfavorable	54	0	8	4	12	2	80
	10.91	0.00	7.77	11.11	10.71	5.13	10.04
Very unfavorable	123	4	53	9	49	20	258
	24.85	33.33	51.46	25.00	43.75	51.28	32.37
Don't know/No Opinion	9	0	2	0	2	1	14
	1.82	0.00	1.94	0.00	1.79	2.56	1.76
Total	495	12	103	36	112	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by gender

	Gender

Rick Perry opinion	Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	63	64	127
	16.89	15.06	15.91
Somewhat favorable	108	113	221
	28.95	26.59	27.69
Neither favorable nor	46	51	97
	12.33	12.00	12.16
Somewhat unfavorable	41	39	80
	10.99	9.18	10.03
Very unfavorable	114	145	259
	30.56	34.12	32.46
Don't know/No Opinion	1	13	14
	0.27	3.06	1.75
Total	373	425	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q31 by locate

Rick Perry opinion	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	26	70	31	127
	12.62	16.91	17.51	15.93
Somewhat favorable	46	110	64	220
	22.33	26.57	36.16	27.60
Neither favorable nor	27	49	21	97
	13.11	11.84	11.86	12.17
Somewhat unfavorable	16	48	16	80
	7.77	11.59	9.04	10.04
Very unfavorable	87	131	41	259
	42.23	31.64	23.16	32.50
Don't know/No Opinion	4	6	4	14
	1.94	1.45	2.26	1.76

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        414        177 |       797
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q31 by metro

                      |                  Metropolitan area
   Rick Perry opinion | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Very favorable |        25         39         17          8         37 |       126
                      |     16.03      16.81      21.52       9.64      15.10 |     15.85
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat favorable |        36         54         23         22         84 |       219
                      |     23.08      23.28      29.11      26.51      34.29 |     27.55
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Neither favorable nor |        16         32          7          9         33 |        97
                      |     10.26      13.79       8.86      10.84      13.47 |     12.20
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
 Somewhat unfavorable |        23         21          7          7         22 |        80
                      |     14.74       9.05       8.86       8.43       8.98 |     10.06
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
     Very unfavorable |        55         82         25         37         60 |       259
                      |     35.26      35.34      31.65      44.58      24.49 |     32.58
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Don't know/No Opinion |         1          4          0          0          9 |        14
                      |      0.64       1.72       0.00       0.00       3.67 |      1.76
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       156        232         79         83        245 |       795
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q32. Favorability: Greg Abbot

```
. tab2 Q32 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q32 by libcon

                      |                                   Ideology
  Greg Abbott opinion | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Very favorable |         0          0          0          7         10         36         37 |        90
                      |      0.00       0.00       0.00       3.29       9.09      21.82      30.08 |     11.35
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat favorable |         3          1          9         14         27         48         30 |       132
                      |      8.33       1.41      12.00       6.57      24.55      29.09      24.39 |     16.65
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Neither favorable nor	4	10	12	60	32	30	20	168
	11.11	14.08	16.00	28.17	29.09	18.18	16.26	21.19
Somewhat unfavorable	5	17	11	13	3	2	6	57
	13.89	23.94	14.67	6.10	2.73	1.21	4.88	7.19
Very unfavorable	9	15	18	17	6	0	4	69
	25.00	21.13	24.00	7.98	5.45	0.00	3.25	8.70
Don't know/No Opinion	15	28	25	102	32	49	26	277
	41.67	39.44	33.33	47.89	29.09	29.70	21.14	34.93
Total	36	71	75	213	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Greg Abbott opinion	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Very favorable	2	0	0	6	24	7	46	1	86
	1.06	0.00	0.00	9.52	19.51	10.77	22.22	5.56	10.98
Somewhat favorable	9	8	4	8	31	14	58	0	132
	4.76	14.04	6.56	12.70	25.20	21.54	28.02	0.00	16.86
Neither favorable nor	38	15	14	14	32	14	39	5	171
	20.11	26.32	22.95	22.22	26.02	21.54	18.84	27.78	21.84
Somewhat unfavorable	24	5	11	6	3	1	5	0	55
	12.70	8.77	18.03	9.52	2.44	1.54	2.42	0.00	7.02
Very unfavorable	38	10	11	3	1	0	2	2	67
	20.11	17.54	18.03	4.76	0.81	0.00	0.97	11.11	8.56
Don't know/No Opinion	78	19	21	26	32	29	57	10	272
	41.27	33.33	34.43	41.27	26.02	44.62	27.54	55.56	34.74
Total	189	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party

Greg Abbott opinion	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Very favorable	29	2	34	25	90
	23.20	0.70	18.99	12.02	11.26
Somewhat favorable	30	21	41	40	132
	24.00	7.32	22.91	19.23	16.52
Neither favorable nor	26	56	43	46	171
	20.80	19.51	24.02	22.12	21.40
Somewhat unfavorable	1	40	11	5	57
	0.80	13.94	6.15	2.40	7.13
Very unfavorable	2	58	2	7	69
	1.60	20.21	1.12	3.37	8.64
Don't know/No Opinion	37	110	48	85	280
	29.60	38.33	26.82	40.87	35.04
Total	125	287	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by educ

	Education						
Greg Abbott opinion	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Very favorable	1	25	16	10	24	14	90
	3.85	10.87	7.27	11.36	14.63	19.72	11.26
Somewhat favorable	4	41	30	14	28	15	132
	15.38	17.83	13.64	15.91	17.07	21.13	16.52
Neither favorable nor	1	53	51	19	31	16	171
	3.85	23.04	23.18	21.59	18.90	22.54	21.40
Somewhat unfavorable	3	7	21	5	15	6	57
	11.54	3.04	9.55	5.68	9.15	8.45	7.13
Very unfavorable	3	8	30	7	11	10	69
	11.54	3.48	13.64	7.95	6.71	14.08	8.64
Don't know/No Opinion	14	96	72	33	55	10	280
	53.85	41.74	32.73	37.50	33.54	14.08	35.04
Total	26	230	220	88	164	71	799

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by attend

	Religion participation					
Greg Abbott opinion	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Very favorable	22	19	13	26	8	88
	15.28	12.03	13.68	11.66	4.65	11.11
Somewhat favorable	24	34	19	32	21	130
	16.67	21.52	20.00	14.35	12.21	16.41
Neither favorable nor	29	46	21	45	29	170
	20.14	29.11	22.11	20.18	16.86	21.46
Somewhat unfavorable	11	8	2	18	18	57
	7.64	5.06	2.11	8.07	10.47	7.20
Very unfavorable	9	7	10	20	23	69
	6.25	4.43	10.53	8.97	13.37	8.71
Don't know/No Opinion	49	44	30	82	73	278
	34.03	27.85	31.58	36.77	42.44	35.10
Total	144	158	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by race

	Race								
Greg Abbott opinion	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Very favorable	70	4	6	2	1	1	6	0	90
	13.59	3.60	4.62	40.00	16.67	6.25	40.00	0.00	11.26
Somewhat favorable	86	11	31	1	0	1	1	1	132
	16.70	9.91	23.85	20.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	100.00	16.52
Neither favorable nor	109	27	27	1	2	4	1	0	171
	21.17	24.32	20.77	20.00	33.33	25.00	6.67	0.00	21.40
Somewhat unfavorable	36	9	9	0	1	1	1	0	57
	6.99	8.11	6.92	0.00	16.67	6.25	6.67	0.00	7.13
Very unfavorable	35	21	11	0	0	1	1	0	69

	6.80	18.92	8.46	0.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	8.64
Don't know/No Opinion	179	39	46	1	2	8	5	0	280
	34.76	35.14	35.38	20.00	33.33	50.00	33.33	0.00	35.04
Total	515	111	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by marstat

	Marital Status						
Greg Abbott opinion	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Very favorable	74	1	7	2	6	0	90
	14.92	8.33	6.80	5.56	5.36	0.00	11.28
Somewhat favorable	96	1	12	5	10	7	131
	19.35	8.33	11.65	13.89	8.93	17.95	16.42
Neither favorable nor	107	4	21	10	26	3	171
	21.57	33.33	20.39	27.78	23.21	7.69	21.43
Somewhat unfavorable	28	2	14	2	8	3	57
	5.65	16.67	13.59	5.56	7.14	7.69	7.14
Very unfavorable	32	1	19	1	11	5	69
	6.45	8.33	18.45	2.78	9.82	12.82	8.65
Don't know/No Opinion	159	3	30	16	51	21	280
	32.06	25.00	29.13	44.44	45.54	53.85	35.09
Total	496	12	103	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by gender

	Gender	
Greg Abbott opinion	Male	Female	Total
Very favorable	50	40	90
	13.40	9.39	11.26
Somewhat favorable	68	64	132
	18.23	15.02	16.52

Neither favorable nor	74	97	171
	19.84	22.77	21.40
Somewhat unfavorable	34	23	57
	9.12	5.40	7.13
Very unfavorable	42	27	69
	11.26	6.34	8.64
Don't know/No Opinion	105	175	280
	28.15	41.08	35.04
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by locate

	Community size			
Greg Abbott opinion	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Very favorable	15	55	20	90
	7.32	13.25	11.24	11.28
Somewhat favorable	31	70	31	132
	15.12	16.87	17.42	16.54
Neither favorable nor	41	90	40	171
	20.00	21.69	22.47	21.43
Somewhat unfavorable	16	31	10	57
	7.80	7.47	5.62	7.14
Very unfavorable	29	31	9	69
	14.15	7.47	5.06	8.65
Don't know/No Opinion	73	138	68	279
	35.61	33.25	38.20	34.96
Total	205	415	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q32 by metro

	Metropolitan area					
Greg Abbott opinion	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total

Very favorable	19	29	4	11	26	89
	12.18	12.45	5.06	13.25	10.61	11.18
Somewhat favorable	25	33	19	16	38	131
	16.03	14.16	24.05	19.28	15.51	16.46
Neither favorable nor	40	45	23	10	53	171
	25.64	19.31	29.11	12.05	21.63	21.48
Somewhat unfavorable	5	20	5	12	15	57
	3.21	8.58	6.33	14.46	6.12	7.16
Very unfavorable	11	27	6	13	12	69
	7.05	11.59	7.59	15.66	4.90	8.67
Don't know/No Opinion	56	79	22	21	101	279
	35.90	33.91	27.85	25.30	41.22	35.05
Total	156	233	79	83	245	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q33. Texas as a Model

```
. tab2 Q33 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q33 by libcon
```

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	0	0	3	21	26	75	64	189
	0.00	0.00	4.00	9.86	23.85	45.73	52.03	23.86
Somewhat agree	1	7	7	68	55	74	43	255
	2.70	9.86	9.33	31.92	50.46	45.12	34.96	32.20
Somewhat disagree	5	17	25	51	14	10	6	128
	13.51	23.94	33.33	23.94	12.84	6.10	4.88	16.16
Strongly disagree	26	44	37	42	3	1	5	158
	70.27	61.97	49.33	19.72	2.75	0.61	4.07	19.95

Don't know	5	3	3	31	11	4	5	62
	13.51	4.23	4.00	14.55	10.09	2.44	4.07	7.83
Total	37	71	75	213	109	164	123	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by pid7

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	10	6	0	9	36	13	111	1	186
	5.26	10.53	0.00	14.29	29.27	20.00	53.88	5.56	23.75
Somewhat agree	15	18	12	21	69	36	79	5	255
	7.89	31.58	19.67	33.33	56.10	55.38	38.35	27.78	32.57
Somewhat disagree	51	21	14	14	8	6	10	3	127
	26.84	36.84	22.95	22.22	6.50	9.23	4.85	16.67	16.22
Strongly disagree	95	9	28	9	5	3	2	3	154
	50.00	15.79	45.90	14.29	4.07	4.62	0.97	16.67	19.67
Don't know	19	3	7	10	5	7	4	6	61
	10.00	5.26	11.48	15.87	4.07	10.77	1.94	33.33	7.79
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	206	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by Q22

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	51	15	81	43	190
	40.80	5.21	45.25	20.87	23.81
Somewhat agree	61	29	80	90	260
	48.80	10.07	44.69	43.69	32.58
Somewhat disagree	7	87	11	23	128
	5.60	30.21	6.15	11.17	16.04

Strongly disagree	2	132	3	21	158
	1.60	45.83	1.68	10.19	19.80
Don't know	4	25	4	29	62
	3.20	8.68	2.23	14.08	7.77
Total	125	288	179	206	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by educ

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	6	62	49	19	42	12	190
	22.22	27.19	22.27	21.59	25.61	16.90	23.81
Somewhat agree	6	92	58	28	53	23	260
	22.22	40.35	26.36	31.82	32.32	32.39	32.58
Somewhat disagree	4	27	40	19	26	12	128
	14.81	11.84	18.18	21.59	15.85	16.90	16.04
Strongly disagree	9	28	57	13	31	20	158
	33.33	12.28	25.91	14.77	18.90	28.17	19.80
Don't know	2	19	16	9	12	4	62
	7.41	8.33	7.27	10.23	7.32	5.63	7.77
Total	27	228	220	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by attend

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	39	43	25	52	28	187
	27.08	27.22	26.32	23.32	16.28	23.61
Somewhat agree	56	61	35	70	36	258

	38.89	38.61	36.84	31.39	20.93	32.58
Somewhat disagree	18	23	16	41	30	128
	12.50	14.56	16.84	18.39	17.44	16.16
Strongly disagree	19	17	18	44	59	157
	13.19	10.76	18.95	19.73	34.30	19.82
Don't know	12	14	1	16	19	62
	8.33	8.86	1.05	7.17	11.05	7.83
Total	144	158	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by race

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	144	13	24	3	0	2	3	1	190
	28.07	11.61	18.46	60.00	0.00	12.50	20.00	100.00	23.81
Somewhat agree	190	13	40	1	4	4	8	0	260
	37.04	11.61	30.77	20.00	66.67	25.00	53.33	0.00	32.58
Somewhat disagree	66	28	27	0	2	4	1	0	128
	12.87	25.00	20.77	0.00	33.33	25.00	6.67	0.00	16.04
Strongly disagree	81	40	31	0	0	3	3	0	158
	15.79	35.71	23.85	0.00	0.00	18.75	20.00	0.00	19.80
Don't know	32	18	8	1	0	3	0	0	62
	6.24	16.07	6.15	20.00	0.00	18.75	0.00	0.00	7.77
Total	513	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by marstat

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	

Strongly agree	148	4	12	7	16	3	190
	29.84	33.33	11.65	20.00	14.29	7.69	23.84
Somewhat agree	172	2	23	16	32	15	260
	34.68	16.67	22.33	45.71	28.57	38.46	32.62
Somewhat disagree	71	3	22	6	18	7	127
	14.31	25.00	21.36	17.14	16.07	17.95	15.93
Strongly disagree	71	2	36	4	33	12	158
	14.31	16.67	34.95	11.43	29.46	30.77	19.82
Don't know	34	1	10	2	13	2	62
	6.85	8.33	9.71	5.71	11.61	5.13	7.78
Total	496	12	103	35	112	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by gender

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Gender Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	106	84	190
	28.42	19.76	23.81
Somewhat agree	125	135	260
	33.51	31.76	32.58
Somewhat disagree	52	76	128
	13.94	17.88	16.04
Strongly disagree	74	84	158
	19.84	19.76	19.80
Don't know	16	46	62
	4.29	10.82	7.77
Total	373	425	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by locate

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly agree	38	107	44	189
	18.45	25.91	24.72	23.71
Somewhat agree	54	129	77	260
	26.21	31.23	43.26	32.62
Somewhat disagree	34	69	25	128
	16.50	16.71	14.04	16.06
Strongly disagree	57	79	22	158
	27.67	19.13	12.36	19.82
Don't know	23	29	10	62
	11.17	7.02	5.62	7.78
Total	206	413	178	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33 by metro

Texas state government a good model for other states to follow	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	41	56	18	13	60	188
	26.45	24.03	23.08	15.66	24.39	23.65
Somewhat agree	41	71	26	28	93	259
	26.45	30.47	33.33	33.73	37.80	32.58
Somewhat disagree	26	49	14	12	27	128
	16.77	21.03	17.95	14.46	10.98	16.10
Strongly disagree	40	39	16	22	41	158
	25.81	16.74	20.51	26.51	16.67	19.87
Don't know	7	18	4	8	25	62
	4.52	7.73	5.13	9.64	10.16	7.80
Total	155	233	78	83	246	795

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q33A. State Government: Efficiency

```
. tab2 Q33A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q33A by libcon

 State government |
   more efficient |
    than national |                                   Ideology
       government | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         2          0          5         33         34         74         52 |       200
                  |      5.56       0.00       6.67      15.71      30.91      44.85      42.62 |     25.35
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |         6         24         15         97         64         77         57 |       340
                  |     16.67      33.80      20.00      46.19      58.18      46.67      46.72 |     43.09
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        16         29         33         61         10         12          9 |       170
                  |     44.44      40.85      44.00      29.05       9.09       7.27       7.38 |     21.55
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        12         18         22         19          2          2          4 |        79
                  |     33.33      25.35      29.33       9.05       1.82       1.21       3.28 |     10.01
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        36         71         75        210        110        165        122 |       789
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by pid7

 State government |
   more efficient |
    than national |                                     7 point Party ID
       government | Strong De  Not very   Lean Demo  Independe  Lean Repu  Not very   Strong Re  None of t |     Total
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        10          9          3          8         50         16         96          3 |       195
                  |      5.35      15.79       5.00      12.70      40.65      25.00      46.38      16.67 |     25.03
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        47         25         18         36         61         43         99         11 |       340
                  |     25.13      43.86      30.00      57.14      49.59      67.19      47.83      61.11 |     43.65
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        88         18         22         16          9          4          9          3 |       169
                  |     47.06      31.58      36.67      25.40       7.32       6.25       4.35      16.67 |     21.69
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Strongly disagree	42	5	17	3	3	1	3	1	75
	22.46	8.77	28.33	4.76	2.44	1.56	1.45	5.56	9.63
Total	187	57	60	63	123	64	207	18	779
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by Q22

State government more efficient than national government	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	52	19	76	54	201
	41.60	6.67	42.46	26.21	25.28
Somewhat agree	67	79	86	113	345
	53.60	27.72	48.04	54.85	43.40
Somewhat disagree	3	121	14	32	170
	2.40	42.46	7.82	15.53	21.38
Strongly disagree	3	66	3	7	79
	2.40	23.16	1.68	3.40	9.94
Total	125	285	179	206	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by educ

State government more efficient than national government	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	5	63	46	25	47	15	201
	18.52	27.63	21.00	28.74	28.83	21.13	25.28
Somewhat agree	9	116	86	34	71	29	345
	33.33	50.88	39.27	39.08	43.56	40.85	43.40
Somewhat disagree	10	32	56	23	33	16	170
	37.04	14.04	25.57	26.44	20.25	22.54	21.38
Strongly disagree	3	17	31	5	12	11	79
	11.11	7.46	14.16	5.75	7.36	15.49	9.94

Total	27	228	219	87	163	71	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by attend

State government more efficient than national government	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	45	41	33	61	18	198
	31.47	25.79	35.11	27.48	10.59	25.13
Somewhat agree	58	83	38	88	76	343
	40.56	52.20	40.43	39.64	44.71	43.53
Somewhat disagree	32	23	14	52	48	169
	22.38	14.47	14.89	23.42	28.24	21.45
Strongly disagree	8	12	9	21	28	78
	5.59	7.55	9.57	9.46	16.47	9.90
Total	143	159	94	222	170	788
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by race

State government more efficient than national government	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	155	9	23	3	2	4	5	0	201
	30.21	8.26	17.69	60.00	33.33	25.00	33.33	0.00	25.28
Somewhat agree	236	33	58	2	3	7	5	1	345
	46.00	30.28	44.62	40.00	50.00	43.75	33.33	100.00	43.40
Somewhat disagree	86	47	30	0	1	4	2	0	170
	16.76	43.12	23.08	0.00	16.67	25.00	13.33	0.00	21.38
Strongly disagree	36	20	19	0	0	1	3	0	79
	7.02	18.35	14.62	0.00	0.00	6.25	20.00	0.00	9.94
Total	513	109	130	5	6	16	15	1	795

```
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q33A by marstat

State government more efficient than national government	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	149	4	15	12	16	5	201
	30.16	33.33	14.56	33.33	14.41	13.16	25.31
Somewhat agree	225	3	33	14	54	16	345
	45.55	25.00	32.04	38.89	48.65	42.11	43.45
Somewhat disagree	82	4	35	9	26	13	169
	16.60	33.33	33.98	25.00	23.42	34.21	21.28
Strongly disagree	38	1	20	1	15	4	79
	7.69	8.33	19.42	2.78	13.51	10.53	9.95
Total	494	12	103	36	111	38	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by gender

State government more efficient than national government	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	109	92	201
	29.22	21.80	25.28
Somewhat agree	155	190	345
	41.55	45.02	43.40
Somewhat disagree	72	98	170
	19.30	23.22	21.38
Strongly disagree	37	42	79
	9.92	9.95	9.94
Total	373	422	795

```
                  |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q33A by locate

State government more efficient than national government	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly agree	40	112	48	200
	19.70	27.12	26.97	25.19
Somewhat agree	89	176	80	345
	43.84	42.62	44.94	43.45
Somewhat disagree	45	90	35	170
	22.17	21.79	19.66	21.41
Strongly disagree	29	35	15	79
	14.29	8.47	8.43	9.95
Total	203	413	178	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33A by metro

State government more efficient than national government	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	41	63	18	13	64	199
	26.45	27.27	22.78	15.66	26.23	25.13
Somewhat agree	59	90	38	42	116	345
	38.06	38.96	48.10	50.60	47.54	43.56
Somewhat disagree	30	60	14	19	47	170
	19.35	25.97	17.72	22.89	19.26	21.46
Strongly disagree	25	18	9	9	17	78
	16.13	7.79	11.39	10.84	6.97	9.85
Total	155	231	79	83	244	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q33B. State Government: Dependence on Federal government

```
. tab2 Q33B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q33B by libcon

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	3	1	3	15	10	15	19	66
	8.33	1.41	4.00	7.14	9.09	9.15	15.45	8.37
Somewhat agree	5	16	16	67	35	70	48	257
	13.89	22.54	21.33	31.90	31.82	42.68	39.02	32.57
Somewhat disagree	20	37	38	94	56	71	34	350
	55.56	52.11	50.67	44.76	50.91	43.29	27.64	44.36
Strongly disagree	8	17	18	34	9	8	22	116
	22.22	23.94	24.00	16.19	8.18	4.88	17.89	14.70
Total	36	71	75	210	110	164	123	789
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by pid7

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	14	6	1	7	19	4	12	0	63
	7.45	10.53	1.67	11.11	15.45	6.25	5.83	0.00	8.09
Somewhat agree	41	11	16	19	46	25	88	9	255
	21.81	19.30	26.67	30.16	37.40	39.06	42.72	50.00	32.73
Somewhat disagree	87	30	32	32	51	31	78	7	348
	46.28	52.63	53.33	50.79	41.46	48.44	37.86	38.89	44.67
Strongly disagree	46	10	11	5	7	4	28	2	113
	24.47	17.54	18.33	7.94	5.69	6.25	13.59	11.11	14.51
Total	188	57	60	63	123	64	206	18	779
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q33B by Q22

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	8	19	20	19	66
	6.40	6.67	11.17	9.22	8.30
Somewhat agree	42	57	78	83	260
	33.60	20.00	43.58	40.29	32.70
Somewhat disagree	57	140	65	91	353
	45.60	49.12	36.31	44.17	44.40
Strongly disagree	18	69	16	13	116
	14.40	24.21	8.94	6.31	14.59
Total	125	285	179	206	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by educ

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	4	24	10	5	16	7	66
	14.81	10.53	4.59	5.75	9.76	9.86	8.30
Somewhat agree	8	70	66	30	58	28	260
	29.63	30.70	30.28	34.48	35.37	39.44	32.70
Somewhat disagree	11	100	104	40	71	27	353
	40.74	43.86	47.71	45.98	43.29	38.03	44.40
Strongly disagree	4	34	38	12	19	9	116
	14.81	14.91	17.43	13.79	11.59	12.68	14.59
Total	27	228	218	87	164	71	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by attend

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	13	17	9	13	14	66
	9.09	10.69	9.57	5.86	8.24	8.38
Somewhat agree	52	46	31	72	56	257
	36.36	28.93	32.98	32.43	32.94	32.61
Somewhat disagree	57	77	42	104	72	352
	39.86	48.43	44.68	46.85	42.35	44.67
Strongly disagree	21	19	12	33	28	113
	14.69	11.95	12.77	14.86	16.47	14.34
Total	143	159	94	222	170	788
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by race

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	48	9	5	2	1	0	1	0	66
	9.36	8.18	3.88	40.00	16.67	0.00	6.67	0.00	8.30
Somewhat agree	180	27	35	1	2	5	10	0	260
	35.09	24.55	27.13	20.00	33.33	31.25	66.67	0.00	32.70
Somewhat disagree	223	50	63	2	2	10	2	1	353
	43.47	45.45	48.84	40.00	33.33	62.50	13.33	100.00	44.40
Strongly disagree	62	24	26	0	1	1	2	0	116
	12.09	21.82	20.16	0.00	16.67	6.25	13.33	0.00	14.59
Total	513	110	129	5	6	16	15	1	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by marstat

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	40	1	9	3	12	1	66
	8.10	8.33	8.74	8.33	10.81	2.63	8.31
Somewhat agree	179	5	29	5	33	9	260
	36.23	41.67	28.16	13.89	29.73	23.68	32.75
Somewhat disagree	209	4	48	19	53	19	352
	42.31	33.33	46.60	52.78	47.75	50.00	44.33
Strongly disagree	66	2	17	9	13	9	116
	13.36	16.67	16.50	25.00	11.71	23.68	14.61
Total	494	12	103	36	111	38	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by gender

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	38	28	66
	10.22	6.62	8.30
Somewhat agree	143	117	260
	38.44	27.66	32.70
Somewhat disagree	152	201	353
	40.86	47.52	44.40
Strongly disagree	39	77	116
	10.48	18.20	14.59
Total	372	423	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by locate

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly agree	22	23	21	66
	10.84	5.57	11.80	8.31
Somewhat agree	55	145	59	259
	27.09	35.11	33.15	32.62
Somewhat disagree	91	186	76	353
	44.83	45.04	42.70	44.46
Strongly disagree	35	59	22	116
	17.24	14.29	12.36	14.61
Total	203	413	178	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33B by metro

State government too dependent on financial aid from DC	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	16	21	2	6	21	66
	10.32	9.09	2.56	7.23	8.57	8.33
Somewhat agree	50	70	28	12	98	258
	32.26	30.30	35.90	14.46	40.00	32.58
Somewhat disagree	68	103	38	45	99	353
	43.87	44.59	48.72	54.22	40.41	44.57
Strongly disagree	21	37	10	20	27	115
	13.55	16.02	12.82	24.10	11.02	14.52
Total	155	231	78	83	245	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q33C1. State Government: Pensions 1

```
. tab2 Q33C1 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by libcon

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	2	1	1	13	13	38	27	95
	10.00	2.70	3.12	12.15	28.89	42.22	55.10	25.00
Somewhat agree	2	8	9	33	17	30	14	113
	10.00	21.62	28.12	30.84	37.78	33.33	28.57	29.74
Somewhat disagree	8	15	17	37	13	20	2	112
	40.00	40.54	53.12	34.58	28.89	22.22	4.08	29.47
Strongly disagree	8	13	5	24	2	2	6	60
	40.00	35.14	15.62	22.43	4.44	2.22	12.24	15.79
Total	20	37	32	107	45	90	49	380
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by pid7

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	7	1	3	6	18	9	45	2	91
	7.29	4.76	9.38	22.22	31.03	29.03	46.88	20.00	24.53
Somewhat agree	20	4	7	10	25	11	32	2	111
	20.83	19.05	21.88	37.04	43.10	35.48	33.33	20.00	29.92
Somewhat disagree	39	11	13	7	13	9	16	2	110
	40.62	52.38	40.62	25.93	22.41	29.03	16.67	20.00	29.65
Strongly disagree	30	5	9	4	2	2	3	4	59
	31.25	23.81	28.12	14.81	3.45	6.45	3.12	40.00	15.90

Total	96	21	32	27	58	31	96	10	371
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by Q22

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	19	11	36	29	95
	32.20	7.86	45.00	28.43	24.93
Somewhat agree	21	31	32	30	114
	35.59	22.14	40.00	29.41	29.92
Somewhat disagree	15	55	9	33	112
	25.42	39.29	11.25	32.35	29.40
Strongly disagree	4	43	3	10	60
	6.78	30.71	3.75	9.80	15.75
Total	59	140	80	102	381
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by educ

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	2	28	21	7	27	10	95
	12.50	24.14	20.59	17.50	36.99	29.41	24.93
Somewhat agree	1	46	26	13	18	10	114
	6.25	39.66	25.49	32.50	24.66	29.41	29.92
Somewhat disagree	5	27	37	15	18	10	112
	31.25	23.28	36.27	37.50	24.66	29.41	29.40
Strongly disagree	8	15	18	5	10	4	60
	50.00	12.93	17.65	12.50	13.70	11.76	15.75

Total	16	116	102	40	73	34	381
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by attend

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	20	25	10	25	14	94
	33.33	32.89	21.74	23.15	15.73	24.80
Somewhat agree	23	14	18	35	23	113
	38.33	18.42	39.13	32.41	25.84	29.82
Somewhat disagree	11	26	11	28	36	112
	18.33	34.21	23.91	25.93	40.45	29.55
Strongly disagree	6	11	7	20	16	60
	10.00	14.47	15.22	18.52	17.98	15.83
Total	60	76	46	108	89	379
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by race

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	70	6	11	3	0	1	4	0	95
	29.17	12.50	15.94	100.00	0.00	11.11	57.14	0.00	24.93
Somewhat agree	77	9	20	0	1	3	3	1	114
	32.08	18.75	28.99	0.00	25.00	33.33	42.86	100.00	29.92
Somewhat disagree	65	21	23	0	2	1	0	0	112
	27.08	43.75	33.33	0.00	50.00	11.11	0.00	0.00	29.40
Strongly disagree	28	12	15	0	1	4	0	0	60
	11.67	25.00	21.74	0.00	25.00	44.44	0.00	0.00	15.75

Total	240	48	69	3	4	9	7	1	381
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by marstat

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	72	2	9	3	8	1	95
	30.64	33.33	18.00	15.79	15.38	5.56	25.00
Somewhat agree	74	1	12	6	16	4	113
	31.49	16.67	24.00	31.58	30.77	22.22	29.74
Somewhat disagree	58	3	18	8	15	10	112
	24.68	50.00	36.00	42.11	28.85	55.56	29.47
Strongly disagree	31	0	11	2	13	3	60
	13.19	0.00	22.00	10.53	25.00	16.67	15.79
Total	235	6	50	19	52	18	380
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C1 by gender

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	62	33	95
	34.25	16.50	24.93
Somewhat agree	54	60	114
	29.83	30.00	29.92
Somewhat disagree	40	72	112
	22.10	36.00	29.40
Strongly disagree	25	35	60

```
                  |     13.81      17.50 |     15.75
------------------+----------------------+----------
            Total |       181        200 |       381
                  |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q33C1 by locate

 State government |
   should require |
  state and local |
 employees to pay |          Community size
  more of pension |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        18         53         24 |        95
                  |     17.82      27.04      28.92 |     25.00
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        35         56         23 |       114
                  |     34.65      28.57      27.71 |     30.00
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        26         62         23 |       111
                  |     25.74      31.63      27.71 |     29.21
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        22         25         13 |        60
                  |     21.78      12.76      15.66 |     15.79
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
            Total |       101        196         83 |       380
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q33C1 by metro

 State government |
   should require |
  state and local |
 employees to pay |                   Metropolitan area
  more of pension | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        22         33           8         10        21 |        94
                  |     30.99      32.04      21.62      22.73     16.94 |     24.80
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        25         25          15         11        37 |       113
                  |     35.21      24.27      40.54      25.00     29.84 |     29.82
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        18         29           8         15        42 |       112
                  |     25.35      28.16      21.62      34.09     33.87 |     29.55
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |         6         16           6          8        24 |        60
```

```
                  |      8.45      15.53      16.22      18.18      19.35 |     15.83
------------------+--------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        71        103         37         44        124 |       379
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q33C2. State Government: Pensions 2

```
. tab2 Q33C2 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by libcon

 State government |
   should require |
  state and local |
 employees to pay |                                   Ideology
  more of pension | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         1          4          6         15         18         30         40 |       114
                  |      5.88      12.12      13.95      14.29      27.69      40.54      54.05 |     27.74
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |         6         14         10         47         22         30         13 |       142
                  |     35.29      42.42      23.26      44.76      33.85      40.54      17.57 |     34.55
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |         5         13         12         29         19         13         14 |       105
                  |     29.41      39.39      27.91      27.62      29.23      17.57      18.92 |     25.55
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |         5          2         15         14          6          1          7 |        50
                  |     29.41       6.06      34.88      13.33       9.23       1.35       9.46 |     12.17
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        17         33         43        105         65         74         74 |       411
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q33C2 by pid7

 State government |
   should require |
  state and local |
 employees to pay |                                 7 point Party ID
  more of pension | Strong De  Not very   Lean Demo  Independe  Lean Repu  Not very   Strong Re  None of t |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        12          5          4          9         32          7         44          0 |       113
                  |     13.04      13.89      13.79      25.00      49.23      21.21      39.64       0.00 |     27.56
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        34          9         12         12         19         12         37          6 |       141
```

	36.96	25.00	41.38	33.33	29.23	36.36	33.33	75.00	34.39
Somewhat disagree	27	15	7	8	13	12	23	1	106
	29.35	41.67	24.14	22.22	20.00	36.36	20.72	12.50	25.85
Strongly disagree	19	7	6	7	1	2	7	1	50
	20.65	19.44	20.69	19.44	1.54	6.06	6.31	12.50	12.20
Total	92	36	29	36	65	33	111	8	410
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by Q22

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	16	20	52	27	115
	24.62	13.70	52.53	25.47	27.64
Somewhat agree	22	54	32	37	145
	33.85	36.99	32.32	34.91	34.86
Somewhat disagree	21	45	13	27	106
	32.31	30.82	13.13	25.47	25.48
Strongly disagree	6	27	2	15	50
	9.23	18.49	2.02	14.15	12.02
Total	65	146	99	106	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by educ

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	6	32	29	12	24	12	115
	54.55	28.32	24.79	25.00	26.67	32.43	27.64
Somewhat agree	2	46	41	14	32	10	145

	18.18	40.71	35.04	29.17	35.56	27.03	34.86
Somewhat disagree	2	23	32	16	21	12	106
	18.18	20.35	27.35	33.33	23.33	32.43	25.48
Strongly disagree	1	12	15	6	13	3	50
	9.09	10.62	12.82	12.50	14.44	8.11	12.02
Total	11	113	117	48	90	37	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by attend

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	26	28	11	30	19	114
	31.33	34.15	22.92	26.09	22.89	27.74
Somewhat agree	28	32	13	38	32	143
	33.73	39.02	27.08	33.04	38.55	34.79
Somewhat disagree	19	15	20	28	22	104
	22.89	18.29	41.67	24.35	26.51	25.30
Strongly disagree	10	7	4	19	10	50
	12.05	8.54	8.33	16.52	12.05	12.17
Total	83	82	48	115	83	411
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by race

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Total
Strongly agree	91	8	10	1	0	0	5	115
	33.09	12.90	16.67	50.00	0.00	0.00	62.50	27.64
Somewhat agree	95	20	24	1	1	3	1	145

	34.55	32.26	40.00	50.00	50.00	42.86	12.50	34.86
Somewhat disagree	67	18	16	0	1	3	1	106
	24.36	29.03	26.67	0.00	50.00	42.86	12.50	25.48
Strongly disagree	22	16	10	0	0	1	1	50
	8.00	25.81	16.67	0.00	0.00	14.29	12.50	12.02
Total	275	62	60	2	2	7	8	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by marstat

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Marital Status Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	88	1	6	5	12	3	115
	33.72	16.67	11.54	29.41	20.34	14.29	27.64
Somewhat agree	89	3	16	7	22	8	145
	34.10	50.00	30.77	41.18	37.29	38.10	34.86
Somewhat disagree	57	2	20	3	17	7	106
	21.84	33.33	38.46	17.65	28.81	33.33	25.48
Strongly disagree	27	0	10	2	8	3	50
	10.34	0.00	19.23	11.76	13.56	14.29	12.02
Total	261	6	52	17	59	21	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by gender

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Gender Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	75	40	115
	39.06	17.86	27.64

Somewhat agree	63	82	145
	32.81	36.61	34.86
Somewhat disagree	37	69	106
	19.27	30.80	25.48
Strongly disagree	17	33	50
	8.85	14.73	12.02
Total	192	224	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by locate

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly agree	18	63	34	115
	17.48	28.90	35.79	27.64
Somewhat agree	36	80	29	145
	34.95	36.70	30.53	34.86
Somewhat disagree	32	52	22	106
	31.07	23.85	23.16	25.48
Strongly disagree	17	23	10	50
	16.50	10.55	10.53	12.02
Total	103	218	95	416
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q33C2 by metro

State government should require state and local employees to pay more of pension	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	21	36	12	10	36	115
	25.30	27.69	28.57	26.32	29.51	27.71

Somewhat agree	27	43	18	13	44	145
	32.53	33.08	42.86	34.21	36.07	34.94
Somewhat disagree	24	36	7	6	32	105
	28.92	27.69	16.67	15.79	26.23	25.30
Strongly disagree	11	15	5	9	10	50
	13.25	11.54	11.90	23.68	8.20	12.05
Total	83	130	42	38	122	415
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q34A. State Government: Corrupt/Honest

```
. tab2 Q34A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q34A by libcon

The State government corrupt or honest	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	26	43	51	71	20	19	28	258
	70.27	60.56	68.00	33.65	18.35	11.66	22.76	32.70
Is mostly honest	4	16	9	79	68	109	72	357
	10.81	22.54	12.00	37.44	62.39	66.87	58.54	45.25
Don't know	7	12	15	61	21	35	23	174
	18.92	16.90	20.00	28.91	19.27	21.47	18.70	22.05
Total	37	71	75	211	109	163	123	789
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by pid7

The State government corrupt or honest	7 point Party ID Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	112	21	38	23	23	13	17	7	254
	58.95	37.50	62.30	36.51	19.01	20.31	8.21	41.18	32.61
Is mostly honest	36	24	12	22	69	35	154	2	354
	18.95	42.86	19.67	34.92	57.02	54.69	74.40	11.76	45.44

Don't know	42	11	11	18	29	16	36	8	171
	22.11	19.64	18.03	28.57	23.97	25.00	17.39	47.06	21.95
Total	190	56	61	63	121	64	207	17	779
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by Q22

The State government corrupt or honest	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	12	170	38	40	260
	9.84	59.23	21.35	19.23	32.70
Is mostly honest	89	60	113	98	360
	72.95	20.91	63.48	47.12	45.28
Don't know	21	57	27	70	175
	17.21	19.86	15.17	33.65	22.01
Total	122	287	178	208	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by educ

The State government corrupt or honest	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	13	56	84	21	62	24	260
	48.15	24.45	38.71	24.14	37.80	33.80	32.70
Is mostly honest	10	116	89	42	73	30	360
	37.04	50.66	41.01	48.28	44.51	42.25	45.28
Don't know	4	57	44	24	29	17	175
	14.81	24.89	20.28	27.59	17.68	23.94	22.01
Total	27	229	217	87	164	71	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by attend

The State government | Religion participation

corrupt or honest	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	43	34	29	73	80	259
	30.07	21.38	30.85	33.03	46.78	32.87
Is mostly honest	70	88	49	102	48	357
	48.95	55.35	52.13	46.15	28.07	45.30
Don't know	30	37	16	46	43	172
	20.98	23.27	17.02	20.81	25.15	21.83
Total	143	159	94	221	171	788
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by race

The State government corrupt or honest	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is mostly corrupt OR	140	58	48	1	2	5	6	0	260
	27.34	52.25	37.21	20.00	33.33	31.25	40.00	0.00	32.70
Is mostly honest	268	24	53	2	3	5	4	1	360
	52.34	21.62	41.09	40.00	50.00	31.25	26.67	100.00	45.28
Don't know	104	29	28	2	1	6	5	0	175
	20.31	26.13	21.71	40.00	16.67	37.50	33.33	0.00	22.01
Total	512	111	129	5	6	16	15	1	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by marstat

The State government corrupt or honest	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is mostly corrupt OR	134	5	47	9	45	20	260
	27.24	41.67	45.19	25.71	40.18	51.28	32.75
Is mostly honest	256	6	31	17	37	12	359
	52.03	50.00	29.81	48.57	33.04	30.77	45.21
Don't know	102	1	26	9	30	7	175
	20.73	8.33	25.00	25.71	26.79	17.95	22.04
Total	492	12	104	35	112	39	794

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
                     |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q34A by gender

The State government corrupt or honest	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	122	138	260
	33.15	32.32	32.70
Is mostly honest	180	180	360
	48.91	42.15	45.28
Don't know	66	109	175
	17.93	25.53	22.01
Total	368	427	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by locate

The State government corrupt or honest	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	79	131	49	259
	38.73	31.80	27.53	32.62
Is mostly honest	76	191	93	360
	37.25	46.36	52.25	45.34
Don't know	49	90	36	175
	24.02	21.84	20.22	22.04
Total	204	412	178	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34A by metro

The State government corrupt or honest	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	61	74	16	36	73	260
	39.10	32.03	20.51	43.37	29.92	32.83

Is mostly honest	62	105	42	34	115	358
	39.74	45.45	53.85	40.96	47.13	45.20
Don't know	33	52	20	13	56	174
	21.15	22.51	25.64	15.66	22.95	21.97
Total	156	231	78	83	244	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q34B. State Government: Careful/Careless

```
. tab2 Q34B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q34B by libcon

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Is mostly careful wit	4	15	17	64	54	112	82	348
	10.81	21.13	22.67	30.05	49.54	68.29	66.67	43.94
Is mostly careless wi	27	45	47	88	40	34	34	315
	72.97	63.38	62.67	41.31	36.70	20.73	27.64	39.77
Don't know	6	11	11	61	15	18	7	129
	16.22	15.49	14.67	28.64	13.76	10.98	5.69	16.29
Total	37	71	75	213	109	164	123	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by pid7

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	7 point Party ID Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Is mostly careful wit	34	24	11	17	75	36	147	1	345
	17.89	42.11	18.03	26.98	60.98	55.38	71.71	5.56	44.12
Is mostly careless wi	116	26	42	30	33	19	34	8	308
	61.05	45.61	68.85	47.62	26.83	29.23	16.59	44.44	39.39

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Don't know	40	7	8	16	15	10	24	9	129
	21.05	12.28	13.11	25.40	12.20	15.38	11.71	50.00	16.50
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	205	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by Q22

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Is mostly careful wit	87	60	109	95	351
	70.16	20.83	61.24	45.67	43.98
Is mostly careless wi	22	178	52	65	317
	17.74	61.81	29.21	31.25	39.72
Don't know	15	50	17	48	130
	12.10	17.36	9.55	23.08	16.29
Total	124	288	178	208	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by educ

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Is mostly careful wit	11	108	80	42	78	32	351
	40.74	46.96	36.70	47.73	47.56	45.07	43.98
Is mostly careless wi	14	79	93	32	68	31	317
	51.85	34.35	42.66	36.36	41.46	43.66	39.72
Don't know	2	43	45	14	18	8	130
	7.41	18.70	20.64	15.91	10.98	11.27	16.29
Total	27	230	218	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by attend

The State government |

careful or careless with tax dollars	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Is mostly careful wit	77	81	41	98	49	346
	53.47	50.94	43.16	44.14	28.65	43.74
Is mostly careless wi	48	48	38	92	89	315
	33.33	30.19	40.00	41.44	52.05	39.82
Don't know	19	30	16	32	33	130
	13.19	18.87	16.84	14.41	19.30	16.43
Total	144	159	95	222	171	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by race

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Is mostly careful wit	249	25	59	0	3	6	8	1	351
	48.54	22.32	45.38	0.00	50.00	37.50	53.33	100.00	43.98
Is mostly careless wi	185	60	53	4	2	7	6	0	317
	36.06	53.57	40.77	80.00	33.33	43.75	40.00	0.00	39.72
Don't know	79	27	18	1	1	3	1	0	130
	15.40	24.11	13.85	20.00	16.67	18.75	6.67	0.00	16.29
Total	513	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34B by marstat

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Is mostly careful wit	251	4	26	19	37	13	350
	50.71	33.33	25.00	54.29	33.04	33.33	43.91
Is mostly careless wi	178	6	52	11	48	22	317
	35.96	50.00	50.00	31.43	42.86	56.41	39.77
Don't know	66	2	26	5	27	4	130

```
                      |     13.33      16.67      25.00      14.29      24.11      10.26 |     16.31
----------------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       495         12        104         35        112         39 |       797
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q34B by gender

```
 The State government |
  careful or careless |        Gender
     with tax dollars |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
----------------------+----------------------+----------
Is mostly careful wit |       181        170 |       351
                      |     48.53      40.00 |     43.98
----------------------+----------------------+----------
Is mostly careless wi |       150        167 |       317
                      |     40.21      39.29 |     39.72
----------------------+----------------------+----------
           Don't know |        42         88 |       130
                      |     11.26      20.71 |     16.29
----------------------+----------------------+----------
                Total |       373        425 |       798
                      |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q34B by locate

```
 The State government |
  careful or careless |           Community size
     with tax dollars |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Is mostly careful wit |        78        190         83 |       351
                      |     38.05      45.78      46.89 |     44.04
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Is mostly careless wi |        87        163         66 |       316
                      |     42.44      39.28      37.29 |     39.65
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |        40         62         28 |       130
                      |     19.51      14.94      15.82 |     16.31
----------------------+---------------------------------+----------
                Total |       205        415        177 |       797
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q34B by metro

The State government careful or careless with tax dollars	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Is mostly careful wit	74	97	40	31	106	348
	47.44	41.63	50.63	37.80	43.27	43.77
Is mostly careless wi	61	98	23	43	92	317
	39.10	42.06	29.11	52.44	37.55	39.87
Don't know	21	38	16	8	47	130
	13.46	16.31	20.25	9.76	19.18	16.35
Total	156	233	79	82	245	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q34C. State Government: Addresses/Ignores Needs

```
. tab2 Q34C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q34C by libcon

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Mostly addresses the	5	14	15	91	75	142	98	440
	13.51	19.72	20.00	42.72	68.18	86.06	79.67	55.42
Mostly ignores the ne	28	48	54	81	20	13	22	266
	75.68	67.61	72.00	38.03	18.18	7.88	17.89	33.50
Don't know	4	9	6	41	15	10	3	88
	10.81	12.68	8.00	19.25	13.64	6.06	2.44	11.08
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by pid7

The State government addresses needs or ignores	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total

Mostly addresses the	39	28	15	27	97	47	179	4	436
	20.53	49.12	24.59	42.86	78.86	72.31	86.47	22.22	55.61
Mostly ignores the ne	123	23	40	27	18	10	16	5	262
	64.74	40.35	65.57	42.86	14.63	15.38	7.73	27.78	33.42
Don't know	28	6	6	9	8	8	12	9	86
	14.74	10.53	9.84	14.29	6.50	12.31	5.80	50.00	10.97
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by Q22

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Mostly addresses the	103	68	142	132	445
	82.40	23.61	79.33	63.46	55.62
Mostly ignores the ne	13	184	29	41	267
	10.40	63.89	16.20	19.71	33.38
Don't know	9	36	8	35	88
	7.20	12.50	4.47	16.83	11.00
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by educ

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Mostly addresses the	13	144	104	51	97	36	445
	48.15	62.61	47.27	57.95	59.15	50.70	55.62
Mostly ignores the ne	12	56	87	27	56	29	267
	44.44	24.35	39.55	30.68	34.15	40.85	33.38
Don't know	2	30	29	10	11	6	88
	7.41	13.04	13.18	11.36	6.71	8.45	11.00
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800

	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by attend

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Mostly addresses the	95	105	54	125	61	440
	65.97	66.04	56.84	56.05	35.47	55.49
Mostly ignores the ne	37	35	33	77	84	266
	25.69	22.01	34.74	34.53	48.84	33.54
Don't know	12	19	8	21	27	87
	8.33	11.95	8.42	9.42	15.70	10.97
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by race

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Mostly addresses the	326	34	64	4	4	4	8	1	445
	63.30	30.36	49.23	80.00	66.67	25.00	53.33	100.00	55.62
Mostly ignores the ne	141	56	54	0	2	8	6	0	267
	27.38	50.00	41.54	0.00	33.33	50.00	40.00	0.00	33.38
Don't know	48	22	12	1	0	4	1	0	88
	9.32	19.64	9.23	20.00	0.00	25.00	6.67	0.00	11.00
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by marstat

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Mostly addresses the	312	5	42	22	48	15	444

	62.90	41.67	40.38	61.11	42.86	38.46	55.57
Mostly ignores the ne	145	5	49	6	42	20	267
	29.23	41.67	47.12	16.67	37.50	51.28	33.42
Don't know	39	2	13	8	22	4	88
	7.86	16.67	12.50	22.22	19.64	10.26	11.01
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by gender

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Gender Male	Female	Total
Mostly addresses the	224	221	445
	60.05	51.76	55.62
Mostly ignores the ne	123	144	267
	32.98	33.72	33.38
Don't know	26	62	88
	6.97	14.52	11.00
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by locate

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Mostly addresses the	91	240	113	444
	44.17	57.83	63.48	55.57
Mostly ignores the ne	83	135	49	267
	40.29	32.53	27.53	33.42
Don't know	32	40	16	88
	15.53	9.64	8.99	11.01

Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34C by metro

The State government addresses needs or ignores	Metropolitan area Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Mostly addresses the	87	119	48	40	148	442
	55.77	51.07	60.76	48.19	60.16	55.46
Mostly ignores the ne	57	85	22	37	66	267
	36.54	36.48	27.85	44.58	26.83	33.50
Don't know	12	29	9	6	32	88
	7.69	12.45	11.39	7.23	13.01	11.04
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q34D. State Government: Divided/Can Work together

. tab2 Q34D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q34D by libcon

The State government divided or can work together	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Is too divided along	30	59	58	86	22	26	29	310
	83.33	83.10	77.33	40.38	20.00	15.76	23.58	39.09
Can usually work toge	1	7	15	81	79	127	85	395
	2.78	9.86	20.00	38.03	71.82	76.97	69.11	49.81
Don't know	5	5	2	46	9	12	9	88
	13.89	7.04	2.67	21.60	8.18	7.27	7.32	11.10
Total	36	71	75	213	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by pid7

The State government divided or can work together	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Is too divided along	140	29	42	23	24	12	27	6	303
	74.07	50.88	68.85	36.51	19.51	18.46	13.04	33.33	38.70
Can usually work toge	30	22	10	28	89	41	170	3	393
	15.87	38.60	16.39	44.44	72.36	63.08	82.13	16.67	50.19
Don't know	19	6	9	12	10	12	10	9	87
	10.05	10.53	14.75	19.05	8.13	18.46	4.83	50.00	11.11
Total	189	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by Q22

The State government divided or can work together	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Is too divided along	17	209	37	49	312
	13.60	72.82	20.67	23.56	39.05
Can usually work toge	100	51	132	116	399
	80.00	17.77	73.74	55.77	49.94
Don't know	8	27	10	43	88
	6.40	9.41	5.59	20.67	11.01
Total	125	287	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by educ

The State government divided or can work together	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Is too divided along	14	65	97	35	66	35	312
	51.85	28.26	44.29	39.77	40.24	49.30	39.05
Can usually work toge	10	126	103	46	82	32	399

	37.04	54.78	47.03	52.27	50.00	45.07	49.94
Don't know	3	39	19	7	16	4	88
	11.11	16.96	8.68	7.95	9.76	5.63	11.01
Total	27	230	219	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by attend

The State government divided or can work together	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Is too divided along	51	47	36	86	89	309
	35.42	29.56	38.30	38.57	51.74	39.02
Can usually work toge	80	98	52	113	52	395
	55.56	61.64	55.32	50.67	30.23	49.87
Don't know	13	14	6	24	31	88
	9.03	8.81	6.38	10.76	18.02	11.11
Total	144	159	94	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by race

The State government divided or can work together	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is too divided along	163	69	65	2	2	5	6	0	312
	31.71	61.61	50.00	40.00	33.33	31.25	40.00	0.00	39.05
Can usually work toge	297	28	55	2	3	5	8	1	399
	57.78	25.00	42.31	40.00	50.00	31.25	53.33	100.00	49.94
Don't know	54	15	10	1	1	6	1	0	88
	10.51	13.39	7.69	20.00	16.67	37.50	6.67	0.00	11.01
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by marstat

The State government divided or can work together	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Is too divided along	170	7	57	10	49	18	311
	34.34	58.33	54.81	27.78	43.75	46.15	38.97
Can usually work toge	282	4	32	25	41	15	399
	56.97	33.33	30.77	69.44	36.61	38.46	50.00
Don't know	43	1	15	1	22	6	88
	8.69	8.33	14.42	2.78	19.64	15.38	11.03
Total	495	12	104	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by gender

The State government divided or can work together	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Is too divided along	156	156	312
	41.94	36.53	39.05
Can usually work toge	189	210	399
	50.81	49.18	49.94
Don't know	27	61	88
	7.26	14.29	11.01
Total	372	427	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by locate

The State government divided or can work together	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Is too divided along	90	159	62	311
	43.90	38.31	34.83	38.97

Can usually work toge	81	216	102	399
	39.51	52.05	57.30	50.00
Don't know	34	40	14	88
	16.59	9.64	7.87	11.03
Total	205	415	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q34D by metro

The State government divided or can work together	Metropolitan area Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Is too divided along	67	92	23	45	85	312
	43.23	39.48	29.11	54.22	34.55	39.20
Can usually work toge	75	120	50	26	125	396
	48.39	51.50	63.29	31.33	50.81	49.75
Don't know	13	21	6	12	36	88
	8.39	9.01	7.59	14.46	14.63	11.06
Total	155	233	79	83	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q35A. Federal Government: Corrupt/Honest

```
. tab2 Q35A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q35A by libcon

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	23	33	41	134	91	147	107	576
	62.16	46.48	54.67	62.91	82.73	89.63	87.70	72.73
Is mostly honest	10	25	23	34	8	11	7	118
	27.03	35.21	30.67	15.96	7.27	6.71	5.74	14.90

Don't know	4	13	11	45	11	6	8	98
	10.81	18.31	14.67	21.13	10.00	3.66	6.56	12.37
Total	37	71	75	213	110	164	122	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by pid7

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Is mostly corrupt OR	88	35	35	48	114	54	184	13	571
	46.32	61.40	57.38	76.19	92.68	83.08	89.76	72.22	73.02
Is mostly honest	67	12	15	4	4	4	10	0	116
	35.26	21.05	24.59	6.35	3.25	6.15	4.88	0.00	14.83
Don't know	35	10	11	11	5	7	11	5	95
	18.42	17.54	18.03	17.46	4.07	10.77	5.37	27.78	12.15
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	205	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by Q22

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Is mostly corrupt OR	100	154	172	156	582
	80.00	53.47	96.09	75.73	72.93
Is mostly honest	11	85	5	17	118
	8.80	29.51	2.79	8.25	14.79
Don't know	14	49	2	33	98
	11.20	17.01	1.12	16.02	12.28
Total	125	288	179	206	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by educ

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	22	178	149	65	121	47	582
	81.48	77.73	67.73	74.71	73.78	66.20	72.93
Is mostly honest	2	23	46	10	25	12	118
	7.41	10.04	20.91	11.49	15.24	16.90	14.79
Don't know	3	28	25	12	18	12	98
	11.11	12.23	11.36	13.79	10.98	16.90	12.28
Total	27	229	220	87	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by attend

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	105	120	70	154	128	577
	72.92	75.47	73.68	69.37	74.42	72.85
Is mostly honest	23	17	16	42	20	118
	15.97	10.69	16.84	18.92	11.63	14.90
Don't know	16	22	9	26	24	97
	11.11	13.84	9.47	11.71	13.95	12.25
Total	144	159	95	222	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by race

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	417	49	83	3	5	12	12	1	582
	81.13	43.75	63.85	60.00	83.33	75.00	85.71	100.00	72.93

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Is mostly honest	46	32	35	1	1	2	1	0	118
	8.95	28.57	26.92	20.00	16.67	12.50	7.14	0.00	14.79
Don't know	51	31	12	1	0	2	1	0	98
	9.92	27.68	9.23	20.00	0.00	12.50	7.14	0.00	12.28
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	14	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by marstat

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is mostly corrupt OR	383	10	71	25	68	24	581
	77.53	83.33	68.27	69.44	60.71	61.54	72.90
Is mostly honest	60	2	17	6	24	9	118
	12.15	16.67	16.35	16.67	21.43	23.08	14.81
Don't know	51	0	16	5	20	6	98
	10.32	0.00	15.38	13.89	17.86	15.38	12.30
Total	494	12	104	36	112	39	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by gender

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	285	297	582
	76.41	69.88	72.93
Is mostly honest	58	60	118
	15.55	14.12	14.79
Don't know	30	68	98
	8.04	16.00	12.28

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Total	373	425	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by locate

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	122	313	146	581
	59.51	75.42	82.49	72.90
Is mostly honest	48	54	16	118
	23.41	13.01	9.04	14.81
Don't know	35	48	15	98
	17.07	11.57	8.47	12.30
Total	205	415	177	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35A by metro

The federal government in Washington corrupt or honest	Metropolitan area Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Is mostly corrupt OR	109	173	49	62	186	579
	70.32	74.25	62.03	74.70	75.92	72.83
Is mostly honest	26	33	17	12	30	118
	16.77	14.16	21.52	14.46	12.24	14.84
Don't know	20	27	13	9	29	98
	12.90	11.59	16.46	10.84	11.84	12.33
Total	155	233	79	83	245	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q35B. Federal Government: Careful/Careless

```
. tab2 Q35B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q35B by libcon

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Is mostly careful wit	15	23	19	29	6	2	10	104
	40.54	32.39	25.33	13.68	5.45	1.21	8.13	13.11
Is mostly careless wi	19	42	51	153	100	162	113	640
	51.35	59.15	68.00	72.17	90.91	98.18	91.87	80.71
Don't know	3	6	5	30	4	1	0	49
	8.11	8.45	6.67	14.15	3.64	0.61	0.00	6.18
Total	37	71	75	212	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by pid7

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Is mostly careful wit	72	12	9	3	5	1	1	0	103
	38.10	21.05	14.75	4.76	4.07	1.54	0.48	0.00	13.15
Is mostly careless wi	99	41	44	53	118	60	205	12	632
	52.38	71.93	72.13	84.13	95.93	92.31	99.03	66.67	80.72
Don't know	18	4	8	7	0	4	1	6	48
	9.52	7.02	13.11	11.11	0.00	6.15	0.48	33.33	6.13
Total	189	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by Q22

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Is mostly careful wit	2	94	2	6	104
	1.60	32.75	1.12	2.88	13.02
Is mostly careless wi	120	165	177	184	646
	96.00	57.49	98.88	88.46	80.85
Don't know	3	28	0	18	49
	2.40	9.76	0.00	8.65	6.13
Total	125	287	179	208	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by educ

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Is mostly careful wit	3	15	41	9	24	12	104
	11.11	6.55	18.64	10.23	14.63	16.90	13.02
Is mostly careless wi	22	196	166	73	134	55	646
	81.48	85.59	75.45	82.95	81.71	77.46	80.85
Don't know	2	18	13	6	6	4	49
	7.41	7.86	5.91	6.82	3.66	5.63	6.13
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by attend

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total

Is mostly careful wit	22	15	10	32	25	104
	15.28	9.49	10.53	14.35	14.53	13.13
Is mostly careless wi	115	134	81	180	129	639
	79.86	84.81	85.26	80.72	75.00	80.68
Don't know	7	9	4	11	18	49
	4.86	5.70	4.21	4.93	10.47	6.19
Total	144	158	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by race

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Is mostly careful wit	39	33	27	2	0	2	1	0	104
	7.59	29.46	20.77	40.00	0.00	12.50	6.67	0.00	13.02
Is mostly careless wi	452	63	96	2	6	12	14	1	646
	87.94	56.25	73.85	40.00	100.00	75.00	93.33	100.00	80.85
Don't know	23	16	7	1	0	2	0	0	49
	4.47	14.29	5.38	20.00	0.00	12.50	0.00	0.00	6.13
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by marstat

The federal government in Washington careful or careless with tax dollars	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Is mostly careful wit	50	2	19	4	18	11	104
	10.08	16.67	18.27	11.11	16.22	28.21	13.03
Is mostly careless wi	421	9	79	31	80	25	645
	84.88	75.00	75.96	86.11	72.07	64.10	80.83

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
           Don't know |        25          1          6          1         13          3 |        49
                      |      5.04       8.33       5.77       2.78      11.71       7.69 |      6.14
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       496         12        104         36        111         39 |       798
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q35B by gender

```
          The federal |
        government in |
Washington careful or |
    careless with tax |        Gender
               dollars |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
----------------------+----------------------+----------
Is mostly careful wit |        49         55 |       104
                      |     13.14      12.91 |     13.02
----------------------+----------------------+----------
Is mostly careless wi |       310        336 |       646
                      |     83.11      78.87 |     80.85
----------------------+----------------------+----------
           Don't know |        14         35 |        49
                      |      3.75       8.22 |      6.13
----------------------+----------------------+----------
                Total |       373        426 |       799
                      |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q35B by locate

```
          The federal |
        government in |
Washington careful or |
    careless with tax |          Community size
               dollars |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
----------------------+-----------------------------------+----------
Is mostly careful wit |        48         48          8 |       104
                      |     23.30      11.59       4.49 |     13.03
----------------------+-----------------------------------+----------
Is mostly careless wi |       137        346        162 |       645
                      |     66.50      83.57      91.01 |     80.83
----------------------+-----------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |        21         20          8 |        49
                      |     10.19       4.83       4.49 |      6.14
----------------------+-----------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        414        178 |       798
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
                     |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00

-> tabulation of Q35B by metro

          The federal |
        government in |
Washington careful or |
    careless with tax |                   Metropolitan area
              dollars | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Is mostly careful wit |        28         31          9         10        26 |       104
                      |     18.06      13.30      11.39      12.05     10.57 |     13.07
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Is mostly careless wi |       120        186         65         68       204 |       643
                      |     77.42      79.83      82.28      81.93     82.93 |     80.78
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |         7         16          5          5        16 |        49
                      |      4.52       6.87       6.33       6.02      6.50 |      6.16
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       155        233         79         83       246 |       796
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00    100.00 |    100.00
```

Q35C. Federal Government: Addresses/Ignores Needs

```
. tab2 Q35C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q35C by libcon

          The federal |
        government in |
 Washington addresses |                                   Ideology
     needs or ignores | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
----------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Mostly addresses the  |        13         22         23         30          7          3          8 |       106
                      |     35.14      30.99      31.08      14.15       6.36       1.83       6.50 |     13.40
----------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Mostly ignores the ne |        13         30         31        109         77        146        104 |       510
                      |     35.14      42.25      41.89      51.42      70.00      89.02      84.55 |     64.48
----------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |        11         19         20         73         26         15         11 |       175
                      |     29.73      26.76      27.03      34.43      23.64       9.15       8.94 |     22.12
----------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |        37         71         74        212        110        164        123 |       791
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q35C by pid7

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Mostly addresses the	63	17	11	3	4	6	1	1	106
	33.33	29.82	18.03	4.76	3.25	9.23	0.49	5.88	13.57
Mostly ignores the ne	66	21	30	42	108	38	186	9	500
	34.92	36.84	49.18	66.67	87.80	58.46	90.29	52.94	64.02
Don't know	60	19	20	18	11	21	19	7	175
	31.75	33.33	32.79	28.57	8.94	32.31	9.22	41.18	22.41
Total	189	57	61	63	123	65	206	17	781
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by Q22

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Mostly addresses the	3	90	4	10	107
	2.42	31.36	2.23	4.83	13.43
Mostly ignores the ne	99	108	167	139	513
	79.84	37.63	93.30	67.15	64.37
Don't know	22	89	8	58	177
	17.74	31.01	4.47	28.02	22.21
Total	124	287	179	207	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by educ

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total

Mostly addresses the	2	23	36	10	23	13	107
	8.00	10.04	16.36	11.36	14.02	18.31	13.43
Mostly ignores the ne	17	151	125	58	115	47	513
	68.00	65.94	56.82	65.91	70.12	66.20	64.37
Don't know	6	55	59	20	26	11	177
	24.00	24.02	26.82	22.73	15.85	15.49	22.21
Total	25	229	220	88	164	71	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by attend

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Religion participation More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Mostly addresses the	17	15	10	33	32	107
	11.81	9.49	10.64	14.86	18.60	13.54
Mostly ignores the ne	95	106	65	143	98	507
	65.97	67.09	69.15	64.41	56.98	64.18
Don't know	32	37	19	46	42	176
	22.22	23.42	20.21	20.72	24.42	22.28
Total	144	158	94	222	172	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by race

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Race White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Mostly addresses the	43	34	23	2	0	3	2	0	107
	8.40	30.36	17.69	40.00	0.00	18.75	13.33	0.00	13.43
Mostly ignores the ne	377	35	74	2	4	8	12	1	513
	73.63	31.25	56.92	40.00	66.67	50.00	80.00	100.00	64.37
Don't know	92	43	33	1	2	5	1	0	177

	17.97	38.39	25.38	20.00	33.33	31.25	6.67	0.00	22.21
Total	512	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by marstat

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Marital Status Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Mostly addresses the	53	2	15	4	23	10	107
	10.73	16.67	14.42	11.11	20.72	25.64	13.44
Mostly ignores the ne	343	7	58	24	59	21	512
	69.43	58.33	55.77	66.67	53.15	53.85	64.32
Don't know	98	3	31	8	29	8	177
	19.84	25.00	29.81	22.22	26.13	20.51	22.24
Total	494	12	104	36	111	39	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35C by gender

The federal government in Washington addresses needs or ignores	Gender Male	Female	Total
Mostly addresses the	49	58	107
	13.17	13.65	13.43
Mostly ignores the ne	268	245	513
	72.04	57.65	64.37
Don't know	55	122	177
	14.78	28.71	22.21
Total	372	425	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00

```
-> tabulation of Q35C by locate

          The federal |
        government in |
 Washington addresses |           Community size
     needs or ignores |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
Mostly addresses the  |        49         43         15 |       107
                      |     23.79      10.39       8.52 |     13.44
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
Mostly ignores the ne |       102        280        130 |       512
                      |     49.51      67.63      73.86 |     64.32
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |        55         91         31 |       177
                      |     26.70      21.98      17.61 |     22.24
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        414        176 |       796
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q35C by metro

          The federal |
        government in |
 Washington addresses |                    Metropolitan area
     needs or ignores | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Mostly addresses the  |        25         32         12         12         26 |       107
                      |     16.03      13.79      15.19      14.46      10.66 |     13.48
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Mostly ignores the ne |       103        143         48         48        168 |       510
                      |     66.03      61.64      60.76      57.83      68.85 |     64.23
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
           Don't know |        28         57         19         23         50 |       177
                      |     17.95      24.57      24.05      27.71      20.49 |     22.29
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       156        232         79         83        244 |       794
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q35D. Federal Government: Divided/Can Work together

```
. tab2 Q35D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q35D by libcon
```

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Is too divided along	35	68	69	178	97	157	115	719
	94.59	95.77	92.00	83.57	88.18	95.15	93.50	90.55
Can usually work toge	1	1	4	10	6	4	5	31
	2.70	1.41	5.33	4.69	5.45	2.42	4.07	3.90
Don't know	1	2	2	25	7	4	3	44
	2.70	2.82	2.67	11.74	6.36	2.42	2.44	5.54
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by pid7

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Is too divided along	165	54	59	54	114	56	195	11	708
	86.84	94.74	96.72	85.71	92.68	86.15	94.20	61.11	90.31
Can usually work toge	15	0	1	1	1	3	9	1	31
	7.89	0.00	1.64	1.59	0.81	4.62	4.35	5.56	3.95
Don't know	10	3	1	8	8	6	3	6	45
	5.26	5.26	1.64	12.70	6.50	9.23	1.45	33.33	5.74
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by Q22

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Is too divided along	115	261	165	183	724
	92.00	90.62	92.18	87.98	90.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Can usually work toge	5	15	7	4	31
	4.00	5.21	3.91	1.92	3.88
Don't know	5	12	7	21	45
	4.00	4.17	3.91	10.10	5.62
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by educ

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Is too divided along	23	204	201	79	149	68	724
	85.19	88.70	91.36	89.77	90.85	95.77	90.50
Can usually work toge	1	8	9	3	9	1	31
	3.70	3.48	4.09	3.41	5.49	1.41	3.88
Don't know	3	18	10	6	6	2	45
	11.11	7.83	4.55	6.82	3.66	2.82	5.62
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by attend

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Is too divided along	127	146	86	205	154	718
	88.19	91.82	90.53	91.93	89.53	90.54
Can usually work toge	8	4	5	9	4	30
	5.56	2.52	5.26	4.04	2.33	3.78
Don't know	9	9	4	9	14	45
	6.25	5.66	4.21	4.04	8.14	5.67
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q35D by race

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Is too divided along	474	92	119	4	6	15	13	1	724
	92.04	82.14	91.54	80.00	100.00	93.75	86.67	100.00	90.50
Can usually work toge	17	9	5	0	0	0	0	0	31
	3.30	8.04	3.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.88
Don't know	24	11	6	1	0	1	2	0	45
	4.66	9.82	4.62	20.00	0.00	6.25	13.33	0.00	5.62
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by marstat

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Is too divided along	449	12	97	33	96	36	723
	90.52	100.00	93.27	91.67	85.71	92.31	90.49
Can usually work toge	20	0	1	2	6	2	31
	4.03	0.00	0.96	5.56	5.36	5.13	3.88
Don't know	27	0	6	1	10	1	45
	5.44	0.00	5.77	2.78	8.93	2.56	5.63
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by gender

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Gender: Male

	Female	Total
Is too divided along	340	384	724
	91.15	89.93	90.50
Can usually work toge	15	16	31
	4.02	3.75	3.88
Don't know	18	27	45
	4.83	6.32	5.62
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by locate

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Is too divided along	179	378	166	723
	86.89	91.08	93.26	90.49
Can usually work toge	11	14	6	31
	5.34	3.37	3.37	3.88
Don't know	16	23	6	45
	7.77	5.54	3.37	5.63
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q35D by metro

The federal government in Washington divided or can work together	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Is too divided along	142	211	74	75	219	721
	91.03	90.56	93.67	90.36	89.02	90.46
Can usually work toge	8	10	1	1	11	31
	5.13	4.29	1.27	1.20	4.47	3.89

Don't know	6	12	4	7	16	45
	3.85	5.15	5.06	8.43	6.50	5.65
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q37. Most trusted branch of federal government

```
. tab2 Q37 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q37 by libcon

Branch of federal government trusted most	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
The U.S. Congress, th	0	1	0	8	16	35	31	91
	0.00	1.41	0.00	3.76	14.55	21.21	25.41	11.48
The President, the ex	28	53	54	74	12	4	7	232
	75.68	74.65	72.00	34.74	10.91	2.42	5.74	29.26
The U.S. Supreme Cour	5	12	15	69	46	69	30	246
	13.51	16.90	20.00	32.39	41.82	41.82	24.59	31.02
Don't know	4	5	6	62	36	57	54	224
	10.81	7.04	8.00	29.11	32.73	34.55	44.26	28.25
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	122	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by pid7

Branch of federal government trusted most	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
The U.S. Congress, th	4	1	1	7	24	7	45	0	89
	2.11	1.75	1.64	11.11	19.51	10.77	21.84	0.00	11.37
The President, the ex	150	24	31	14	2	3	2	1	227
	78.95	42.11	50.82	22.22	1.63	4.62	0.97	5.56	28.99

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

The U.S. Supreme Cour	20	19	20	22	54	30	74	5	244
	10.53	33.33	32.79	34.92	43.90	46.15	35.92	27.78	31.16
Don't know	16	13	9	20	43	25	85	12	223
	8.42	22.81	14.75	31.75	34.96	38.46	41.26	66.67	28.48
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	206	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by Q22

Branch of federal government trusted most	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
The U.S. Congress, th	27	6	35	24	92
	21.60	2.08	19.55	11.59	11.51
The President, the ex	3	208	2	19	232
	2.40	72.22	1.12	9.18	29.04
The U.S. Supreme Cour	47	49	72	80	248
	37.60	17.01	40.22	38.65	31.04
Don't know	48	25	70	84	227
	38.40	8.68	39.11	40.58	28.41
Total	125	288	179	207	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by educ

Branch of federal government trusted most	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
The U.S. Congress, th	4	26	23	7	21	11	92
	14.81	11.35	10.45	7.95	12.80	15.49	11.51
The President, the ex	11	48	89	30	37	17	232
	40.74	20.96	40.45	34.09	22.56	23.94	29.04
The U.S. Supreme Cour	1	65	56	31	62	33	248
	3.70	28.38	25.45	35.23	37.80	46.48	31.04
Don't know	11	90	52	20	44	10	227

	40.74	39.30	23.64	22.73	26.83	14.08	28.41
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by attend

Branch of federal government trusted most	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
The U.S. Congress, th	26	25	9	18	13	91
	18.18	15.72	9.47	8.07	7.56	11.49
The President, the ex	36	32	29	69	65	231
	25.17	20.13	30.53	30.94	37.79	29.17
The U.S. Supreme Cour	30	55	36	72	50	243
	20.98	34.59	37.89	32.29	29.07	30.68
Don't know	51	47	21	64	44	227
	35.66	29.56	22.11	28.70	25.58	28.66
Total	143	159	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by race

Branch of federal government trusted most	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
The U.S. Congress, th	73	4	9	3	0	1	2	0	92
	14.20	3.57	6.92	60.00	0.00	6.25	13.33	0.00	11.51
The President, the ex	84	87	53	0	1	5	2	0	232
	16.34	77.68	40.77	0.00	16.67	31.25	13.33	0.00	29.04
The U.S. Supreme Cour	187	10	41	0	1	3	5	1	248
	36.38	8.93	31.54	0.00	16.67	18.75	33.33	100.00	31.04
Don't know	170	11	27	2	4	7	6	0	227
	33.07	9.82	20.77	40.00	66.67	43.75	40.00	0.00	28.41
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q37 by marstat

Branch of federal government trusted most	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
The U.S. Congress, th	62	1	9	5	12	3	92
	12.50	9.09	8.65	13.89	10.71	7.69	11.53
The President, the ex	108	2	48	12	43	19	232
	21.77	18.18	46.15	33.33	38.39	48.72	29.07
The U.S. Supreme Cour	163	7	26	7	32	12	247
	32.86	63.64	25.00	19.44	28.57	30.77	30.95
Don't know	163	1	21	12	25	5	227
	32.86	9.09	20.19	33.33	22.32	12.82	28.45
Total	496	11	104	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by gender

Branch of federal government trusted most	Gender: Male	Female	Total
The U.S. Congress, th	45	47	92
	12.06	11.03	11.51
The President, the ex	108	124	232
	28.95	29.11	29.04
The U.S. Supreme Cour	121	127	248
	32.44	29.81	31.04
Don't know	99	128	227
	26.54	30.05	28.41
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q37 by locate

Branch of federal government trusted most	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
The U.S. Congress, th	16	55	21	92
	7.77	13.29	11.80	11.53
The President, the ex	84	116	32	232
	40.78	28.02	17.98	29.07
The U.S. Supreme Cour	53	142	52	247
	25.73	34.30	29.21	30.95
Don't know	53	101	73	227
	25.73	24.40	41.01	28.45
Total	206	414	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q37 by metro

Branch of federal government trusted most	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
The U.S. Congress, th	19	26	12	8	26	91
	12.18	11.16	15.19	9.64	10.61	11.43
The President, the ex	59	67	22	25	59	232
	37.82	28.76	27.85	30.12	24.08	29.15
The U.S. Supreme Cour	50	77	20	31	70	248
	32.05	33.05	25.32	37.35	28.57	31.16
Don't know	28	63	25	19	90	225
	17.95	27.04	31.65	22.89	36.73	28.27
Total	156	233	79	83	245	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q38. Voter ID

```
. tab2 Q38 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q38 by libcon

Require photo ID to vote	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Agree	6	12	9	127	93	157	115	519
	16.22	16.90	12.00	59.62	84.55	95.15	93.50	65.37
Disagree	30	57	61	62	14	7	6	237
	81.08	80.28	81.33	29.11	12.73	4.24	4.88	29.85
Don't know	1	2	5	24	3	1	2	38
	2.70	2.82	6.67	11.27	2.73	0.61	1.63	4.79
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by pid7

Require photo ID to vote	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Agree	37	26	19	47	119	57	196	11	512
	19.47	45.61	31.15	74.60	96.75	87.69	94.69	61.11	65.31
Disagree	140	27	39	12	3	3	8	2	234
	73.68	47.37	63.93	19.05	2.44	4.62	3.86	11.11	29.85
Don't know	13	4	3	4	1	5	3	5	38
	6.84	7.02	4.92	6.35	0.81	7.69	1.45	27.78	4.85
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by Q22

Require photo ID to vote	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total

Agree	114	63	175	172	524
	91.20	21.88	97.77	82.69	65.50
Disagree	7	206	2	22	237
	5.60	71.53	1.12	10.58	29.62
Don't know	4	19	2	14	39
	3.20	6.60	1.12	6.73	4.88
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by educ

Require photo ID to vote	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Agree	15	177	129	53	109	41	524
	55.56	76.96	58.64	60.23	66.46	57.75	65.50
Disagree	10	40	83	27	50	27	237
	37.04	17.39	37.73	30.68	30.49	38.03	29.62
Don't know	2	13	8	8	5	3	39
	7.41	5.65	3.64	9.09	3.05	4.23	4.88
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by attend

Require photo ID to vote	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Agree	106	122	62	144	84	518
	73.61	76.73	65.26	64.57	48.84	65.32
Disagree	35	30	29	69	74	237
	24.31	18.87	30.53	30.94	43.02	29.89
Don't know	3	7	4	10	14	38
	2.08	4.40	4.21	4.48	8.14	4.79
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793

```
           |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q38 by race

Require photo ID to vote	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
	Race								
Agree	381	30	79	4	5	10	14	1	524
	73.98	26.79	60.77	80.00	83.33	62.50	93.33	100.00	65.50
Disagree	107	75	48	0	1	5	1	0	237
	20.78	66.96	36.92	0.00	16.67	31.25	6.67	0.00	29.62
Don't know	27	7	3	1	0	1	0	0	39
	5.24	6.25	2.31	20.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	4.88
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by marstat

Require photo ID to vote	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
	Marital Status						
Agree	369	8	45	27	55	20	524
	74.40	66.67	43.27	75.00	49.11	51.28	65.58
Disagree	116	3	50	7	46	14	236
	23.39	25.00	48.08	19.44	41.07	35.90	29.54
Don't know	11	1	9	2	11	5	39
	2.22	8.33	8.65	5.56	9.82	12.82	4.88
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by gender

```
   Require |
  photo ID |        Gender
   to vote |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
```

Agree	252	272	524
	67.56	63.70	65.50
Disagree	111	126	237
	29.76	29.51	29.62
Don't know	10	29	39
	2.68	6.79	4.88
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by locate

Require photo ID to vote	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Agree	112	272	139	523
	54.37	65.54	78.09	65.46
Disagree	79	124	34	237
	38.35	29.88	19.10	29.66
Don't know	15	19	5	39
	7.28	4.58	2.81	4.88
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q38 by metro

Require photo ID to vote	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Agree	97	145	55	45	179	521
	62.18	62.23	69.62	54.22	72.76	65.37
Disagree	51	74	21	36	55	237
	32.69	31.76	26.58	43.37	22.36	29.74
Don't know	8	14	3	2	12	39
	5.13	6.01	3.80	2.41	4.88	4.89

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q39. Gay Marriage

```
. tab2 Q39 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q39 by libcon

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Gays and lesbians sho	34	54	50	84	14	11	13	260
	91.89	76.06	66.67	39.44	12.73	6.67	10.57	32.75
Gays and lesbians sho	1	9	12	54	57	94	39	266
	2.70	12.68	16.00	25.35	51.82	56.97	31.71	33.50
Gays and lesbians sho	1	6	9	49	33	55	65	218
	2.70	8.45	12.00	23.00	30.00	33.33	52.85	27.46
Don't know	1	2	4	26	6	5	6	50
	2.70	2.82	5.33	12.21	5.45	3.03	4.88	6.30
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by pid7

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Gays and lesbians sho	114	21	43	28	18	9	18	6	257
	60.00	36.84	70.49	44.44	14.63	13.85	8.70	33.33	32.78
Gays and lesbians sho	40	19	7	9	59	30	99	2	265
	21.05	33.33	11.48	14.29	47.97	46.15	47.83	11.11	33.80
Gays and lesbians sho	23	15	7	22	42	19	82	3	213
	12.11	26.32	11.48	34.92	34.15	29.23	39.61	16.67	27.17
Don't know	13	2	4	4	4	7	8	7	49

	6.84	3.51	6.56	6.35	3.25	10.77	3.86	38.89	6.25
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by Q22

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Gays and lesbians sho	18	178	21	44	261
	14.40	61.81	11.73	21.15	32.62
Gays and lesbians sho	47	56	83	84	270
	37.60	19.44	46.37	40.38	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	55	38	68	58	219
	44.00	13.19	37.99	27.88	27.38
Don't know	5	16	7	22	50
	4.00	5.56	3.91	10.58	6.25
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by educ

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Gays and lesbians sho	11	52	83	21	60	34	261
	40.74	22.61	37.73	23.86	36.59	47.89	32.62
Gays and lesbians sho	7	70	81	31	61	20	270
	25.93	30.43	36.82	35.23	37.20	28.17	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	9	87	44	28	39	12	219
	33.33	37.83	20.00	31.82	23.78	16.90	27.38
Don't know	0	21	12	8	4	5	50
	0.00	9.13	5.45	9.09	2.44	7.04	6.25
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q39 by attend

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Gays and lesbians sho	13	28	32	86	101	260
	9.03	17.61	33.68	38.57	58.72	32.79
Gays and lesbians sho	48	66	42	74	36	266
	33.33	41.51	44.21	33.18	20.93	33.54
Gays and lesbians sho	76	57	18	43	23	217
	52.78	35.85	18.95	19.28	13.37	27.36
Don't know	7	8	3	20	12	50
	4.86	5.03	3.16	8.97	6.98	6.31
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by race

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Gays and lesbians sho	157	40	48	2	3	8	2	1	261
	30.49	35.71	36.92	40.00	50.00	50.00	13.33	100.00	32.62
Gays and lesbians sho	185	34	41	0	0	2	8	0	270
	35.92	30.36	31.54	0.00	0.00	12.50	53.33	0.00	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	147	29	33	1	1	5	3	0	219
	28.54	25.89	25.38	20.00	16.67	31.25	20.00	0.00	27.38
Don't know	26	9	8	2	2	1	2	0	50
	5.05	8.04	6.15	40.00	33.33	6.25	13.33	0.00	6.25
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by marstat

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Gays and lesbians sho	125	2	47	7	51	28	260
	25.20	16.67	45.19	19.44	45.54	71.79	32.54
Gays and lesbians sho	193	4	30	13	28	2	270
	38.91	33.33	28.85	36.11	25.00	5.13	33.79
Gays and lesbians sho	154	6	19	11	24	5	219
	31.05	50.00	18.27	30.56	21.43	12.82	27.41
Don't know	24	0	8	5	9	4	50
	4.84	0.00	7.69	13.89	8.04	10.26	6.26
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by gender

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Gays and lesbians sho	107	154	261
	28.69	36.07	32.62
Gays and lesbians sho	141	129	270
	37.80	30.21	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	108	111	219
	28.95	26.00	27.38
Don't know	17	33	50
	4.56	7.73	6.25
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by locate

Opinion on gay marriage or civil | Community size

unions	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Gays and lesbians sho	95	130	36	261
	46.12	31.33	20.22	32.67
Gays and lesbians sho	55	154	60	269
	26.70	37.11	33.71	33.67
Gays and lesbians sho	39	110	70	219
	18.93	26.51	39.33	27.41
Don't know	17	21	12	50
	8.25	5.06	6.74	6.26
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q39 by metro

Opinion on gay marriage or civil unions	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Gays and lesbians sho	52	85	27	36	61	261
	33.33	36.48	34.18	43.37	24.80	32.75
Gays and lesbians sho	52	77	27	27	86	269
	33.33	33.05	34.18	32.53	34.96	33.75
Gays and lesbians sho	42	56	20	18	81	217
	26.92	24.03	25.32	21.69	32.93	27.23
Don't know	10	15	5	2	18	50
	6.41	6.44	6.33	2.41	7.32	6.27
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q40. In-State Tuition for Illegal Immigrants

```
. tab2 Q40 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q40 by libcon

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
In-state tuition	32	52	45	82	25	33	19	288
	86.49	73.24	60.00	38.50	22.73	20.12	15.45	36.32
Out-of-state-tuition	3	11	21	99	73	117	88	412
	8.11	15.49	28.00	46.48	66.36	71.34	71.54	51.95
Don't know/no opinion	2	8	9	32	12	14	16	93
	5.41	11.27	12.00	15.02	10.91	8.54	13.01	11.73
Total	37	71	75	213	110	164	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by pid7

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
In-state tuition	128	27	35	17	29	12	36	2	286
	67.37	47.37	57.38	26.98	23.77	18.46	17.39	11.11	36.53
Out-of-state-tuition	37	23	19	39	86	45	148	9	406
	19.47	40.35	31.15	61.90	70.49	69.23	71.50	50.00	51.85
Don't know/no opinion	25	7	7	7	7	8	23	7	91
	13.16	12.28	11.48	11.11	5.74	12.31	11.11	38.89	11.62
Total	190	57	61	63	122	65	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by Q22

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
In-state tuition	26	189	26	48	289
	20.80	65.62	14.53	23.19	36.17
Out-of-state-tuition	83	67	142	124	416
	66.40	23.26	79.33	59.90	52.07

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Don't know/no opinion	16	32	11	35	94
	12.80	11.11	6.15	16.91	11.76
Total	125	288	179	207	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by educ

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
In-state tuition	8	59	96	28	60	38	289
	29.63	25.65	43.64	31.82	36.59	54.29	36.17
Out-of-state-tuition	14	135	101	49	89	28	416
	51.85	58.70	45.91	55.68	54.27	40.00	52.07
Don't know/no opinion	5	36	23	11	15	4	94
	18.52	15.65	10.45	12.50	9.15	5.71	11.76
Total	27	230	220	88	164	70	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by attend

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Religion participation					
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
In-state tuition	51	47	36	77	76	287
	35.66	29.56	37.89	34.53	44.19	36.24
Out-of-state-tuition	80	89	47	125	72	413
	55.94	55.97	49.47	56.05	41.86	52.15
Don't know/no opinion	12	23	12	21	24	92
	8.39	14.47	12.63	9.42	13.95	11.62
Total	143	159	95	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by race

In-state tuition for |

illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
In-state tuition	153	59	65	2	3	6	1	0	289
	29.71	52.68	50.00	40.00	50.00	37.50	7.14	0.00	36.17
Out-of-state-tuition	309	38	46	3	2	6	11	1	416
	60.00	33.93	35.38	60.00	33.33	37.50	78.57	100.00	52.07
Don't know/no opinion	53	15	19	0	1	4	2	0	94
	10.29	13.39	14.62	0.00	16.67	25.00	14.29	0.00	11.76
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	14	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by marstat

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
In-state tuition	150	7	46	7	53	25	288
	30.24	58.33	44.66	19.44	47.32	64.10	36.09
Out-of-state-tuition	295	4	43	22	39	13	416
	59.48	33.33	41.75	61.11	34.82	33.33	52.13
Don't know/no opinion	51	1	14	7	20	1	94
	10.28	8.33	13.59	19.44	17.86	2.56	11.78
Total	496	12	103	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by gender

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Gender: Male	Female	Total
In-state tuition	141	148	289
	37.90	34.66	36.17
Out-of-state-tuition	197	219	416
	52.96	51.29	52.07

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Don't know/no opinion	34	60	94
	9.14	14.05	11.76
Total	372	427	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by locate

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
In-state tuition	96	142	51	289
	46.60	34.30	28.65	36.22
Out-of-state-tuition	85	224	106	415
	41.26	54.11	59.55	52.01
Don't know/no opinion	25	48	21	94
	12.14	11.59	11.80	11.78
Total	206	414	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q40 by metro

In-state tuition for illegal immigrants in state for 3+ years	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
In-state tuition	61	84	32	38	74	289
	39.10	36.21	40.51	45.78	30.08	36.31
Out-of-state-tuition	80	115	40	38	140	413
	51.28	49.57	50.63	45.78	56.91	51.88
Don't know/no opinion	15	33	7	7	32	94
	9.62	14.22	8.86	8.43	13.01	11.81
Total	156	232	79	83	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q41A. Police Enforcement of Immigration Laws

```
. tab2 Q41A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q41A by libcon

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	26	33	26	43	6	10	11	155
	70.27	46.48	35.14	20.28	5.45	6.06	8.94	19.57
Somewhat agree	4	14	17	31	9	11	7	93
	10.81	19.72	22.97	14.62	8.18	6.67	5.69	11.74
Somewhat disagree	2	8	16	31	26	21	10	114
	5.41	11.27	21.62	14.62	23.64	12.73	8.13	14.39
Strongly disagree	4	11	13	84	67	123	94	396
	10.81	15.49	17.57	39.62	60.91	74.55	76.42	50.00
Don't know	1	5	2	23	2	0	1	34
	2.70	7.04	2.70	10.85	1.82	0.00	0.81	4.29
Total	37	71	74	212	110	165	123	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by pid7

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	7 point Party ID Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	86	12	22	11	10	3	4	2	150
	45.50	21.05	36.07	17.74	8.13	4.62	1.93	11.11	19.18
Somewhat agree	33	12	16	7	10	2	11	3	94
	17.46	21.05	26.23	11.29	8.13	3.08	5.31	16.67	12.02
Somewhat disagree	22	16	7	13	17	12	25	2	114

	11.64	28.07	11.48	20.97	13.82	18.46	12.08	11.11	14.58
Strongly disagree	35	14	13	26	85	45	164	7	389
	18.52	24.56	21.31	41.94	69.11	69.23	79.23	38.89	49.74
Don't know	13	3	3	5	1	3	3	4	35
	6.88	5.26	4.92	8.06	0.81	4.62	1.45	22.22	4.48
Total	189	57	61	62	123	65	207	18	782
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by Q22

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	6	119	7	24	156
	4.80	41.46	3.93	11.54	19.55
Somewhat agree	8	56	12	18	94
	6.40	19.51	6.74	8.65	11.78
Somewhat disagree	23	46	17	29	115
	18.40	16.03	9.55	13.94	14.41
Strongly disagree	84	49	142	123	398
	67.20	17.07	79.78	59.13	49.87
Don't know	4	17	0	14	35
	3.20	5.92	0.00	6.73	4.39
Total	125	287	178	208	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by educ

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total

Strongly agree	6	28	56	14	35	17	156
	22.22	12.28	25.45	15.91	21.34	23.94	19.55
Somewhat agree	3	25	27	9	20	10	94
	11.11	10.96	12.27	10.23	12.20	14.08	11.78
Somewhat disagree	1	31	38	13	20	12	115
	3.70	13.60	17.27	14.77	12.20	16.90	14.41
Strongly disagree	15	132	89	46	85	31	398
	55.56	57.89	40.45	52.27	51.83	43.66	49.87
Don't know	2	12	10	6	4	1	35
	7.41	5.26	4.55	6.82	2.44	1.41	4.39
Total	27	228	220	88	164	71	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by attend

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Religion participation					
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	24	25	21	43	42	155
	16.67	15.72	22.11	19.37	24.56	19.60
Somewhat agree	12	16	10	28	26	92
	8.33	10.06	10.53	12.61	15.20	11.63
Somewhat disagree	15	25	13	41	21	115
	10.42	15.72	13.68	18.47	12.28	14.54
Strongly disagree	88	83	49	104	70	394
	61.11	52.20	51.58	46.85	40.94	49.81
Don't know	5	10	2	6	12	35
	3.47	6.29	2.11	2.70	7.02	4.42
Total	144	159	95	222	171	791
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by race

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	56	33	58	3	1	4	1	0	156
	10.92	29.46	44.62	60.00	16.67	25.00	6.67	0.00	19.55
Somewhat agree	49	15	23	1	3	2	0	1	94
	9.55	13.39	17.69	20.00	50.00	12.50	0.00	100.00	11.78
Somewhat disagree	72	22	20	0	0	0	1	0	115
	14.04	19.64	15.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.67	0.00	14.41
Strongly disagree	320	29	27	1	2	7	12	0	398
	62.38	25.89	20.77	20.00	33.33	43.75	80.00	0.00	49.87
Don't know	16	13	2	0	0	3	1	0	35
	3.12	11.61	1.54	0.00	0.00	18.75	6.67	0.00	4.39
Total	513	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by marstat

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	76	3	27	5	32	12	155
	15.32	25.00	26.21	13.89	28.57	31.58	19.45
Somewhat agree	54	2	13	5	17	3	94
	10.89	16.67	12.62	13.89	15.18	7.89	11.79
Somewhat disagree	67	1	14	8	18	7	115
	13.51	8.33	13.59	22.22	16.07	18.42	14.43
Strongly disagree	285	6	39	17	37	14	398
	57.46	50.00	37.86	47.22	33.04	36.84	49.94

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Don't know |        14          0         10          1          8          2 |        35
                  |      2.82       0.00       9.71       2.78       7.14       5.26 |      4.39
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |       496         12        103         36        112         38 |       797
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q41A by gender

```
  State and local |
  police in Texas |
    should NOT be |
     permitted to |
 inquire about or |        Gender
             repo |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        73         83 |       156
                  |     19.62      19.48 |     19.55
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        36         58 |        94
                  |      9.68      13.62 |     11.78
------------------+----------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        52         63 |       115
                  |     13.98      14.79 |     14.41
------------------+----------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |       206        192 |       398
                  |     55.38      45.07 |     49.87
------------------+----------------------+----------
       Don't know |         5         30 |        35
                  |      1.34       7.04 |      4.39
------------------+----------------------+----------
            Total |       372        426 |       798
                  |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q41A by locate

```
  State and local |
  police in Texas |
    should NOT be |
     permitted to |
 inquire about or |          Community size
             repo |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        67         72         17 |       156
```

	32.68	17.35	9.60	19.57
Somewhat agree	29	49	16	94
	14.15	11.81	9.04	11.79
Somewhat disagree	30	57	28	115
	14.63	13.73	15.82	14.43
Strongly disagree	65	221	111	397
	31.71	53.25	62.71	49.81
Don't know	14	16	5	35
	6.83	3.86	2.82	4.39
Total	205	415	177	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41A by metro

State and local police in Texas should NOT be permitted to inquire about or repo	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Strongly agree	32	40	20	22	42	156
	20.51	17.17	25.32	26.83	17.14	19.62
Somewhat agree	18	25	10	13	28	94
	11.54	10.73	12.66	15.85	11.43	11.82
Somewhat disagree	29	36	14	5	31	115
	18.59	15.45	17.72	6.10	12.65	14.47
Strongly disagree	74	116	34	39	132	395
	47.44	49.79	43.04	47.56	53.88	49.69
Don't know	3	16	1	3	12	35
	1.92	6.87	1.27	3.66	4.90	4.40
Total	156	233	79	82	245	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q41B. Immigrants and Taxes

```
. tab2 Q41B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q41B by libcon

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	17	15	15	27	8	6	13	101
	45.95	21.13	20.00	12.74	7.27	3.64	10.57	12.74
Somewhat agree	7	29	23	41	18	31	8	157
	18.92	40.85	30.67	19.34	16.36	18.79	6.50	19.80
Somewhat disagree	4	10	18	40	33	34	18	157
	10.81	14.08	24.00	18.87	30.00	20.61	14.63	19.80
Strongly disagree	7	10	14	79	46	87	74	317
	18.92	14.08	18.67	37.26	41.82	52.73	60.16	39.97
Don't know	2	7	5	25	5	7	10	61
	5.41	9.86	6.67	11.79	4.55	4.24	8.13	7.69
Total	37	71	75	212	110	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by pid7

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	52	9	13	6	11	3	5	0	99
	27.37	15.79	21.31	9.52	8.94	4.69	2.42	0.00	12.64
Somewhat agree	53	15	17	8	17	9	33	3	155
	27.89	26.32	27.87	12.70	13.82	14.06	15.94	16.67	19.80
Somewhat disagree	30	12	13	15	26	13	45	1	155
	15.79	21.05	21.31	23.81	21.14	20.31	21.74	5.56	19.80
Strongly disagree	40	16	11	25	64	36	112	9	313
	21.05	28.07	18.03	39.68	52.03	56.25	54.11	50.00	39.97
Don't know	15	5	7	9	5	3	12	5	61

	7.89	8.77	11.48	14.29	4.07	4.69	5.80	27.78	7.79
Total	190	57	61	63	123	64	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by Q22

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	4	68	8	21	101
	3.20	23.61	4.47	10.14	12.64
Somewhat agree	21	88	23	26	158
	16.80	30.56	12.85	12.56	19.77
Somewhat disagree	25	50	36	47	158
	20.00	17.36	20.11	22.71	19.77
Strongly disagree	70	55	102	94	321
	56.00	19.10	56.98	45.41	40.18
Don't know	5	27	10	19	61
	4.00	9.38	5.59	9.18	7.63
Total	125	288	179	207	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by educ

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	4	18	33	9	25	12	101
	14.81	7.83	15.00	10.23	15.34	16.90	12.64
Somewhat agree	6	33	50	18	34	17	158
	22.22	14.35	22.73	20.45	20.86	23.94	19.77
Somewhat disagree	5	41	46	16	36	14	158
	18.52	17.83	20.91	18.18	22.09	19.72	19.77
Strongly disagree	11	117	73	37	60	23	321
	40.74	50.87	33.18	42.05	36.81	32.39	40.18

Don't know	1	21	18	8	8	5	61
	3.70	9.13	8.18	9.09	4.91	7.04	7.63
Total	27	230	220	88	163	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by attend

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Religion participation More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	17	13	13	27	31	101
	11.81	8.18	13.68	12.11	18.13	12.75
Somewhat agree	30	35	13	45	35	158
	20.83	22.01	13.68	20.18	20.47	19.95
Somewhat disagree	25	29	21	51	28	154
	17.36	18.24	22.11	22.87	16.37	19.44
Strongly disagree	62	68	40	90	58	318
	43.06	42.77	42.11	40.36	33.92	40.15
Don't know	10	14	8	10	19	61
	6.94	8.81	8.42	4.48	11.11	7.70
Total	144	159	95	223	171	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by race

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Race White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	38	15	40	2	2	2	1	1	101
	7.39	13.39	30.77	40.00	33.33	12.50	6.67	100.00	12.64
Somewhat agree	96	27	28	1	0	3	3	0	158
	18.68	24.11	21.54	20.00	0.00	18.75	20.00	0.00	19.77
Somewhat disagree	110	21	24	0	2	0	1	0	158
	21.40	18.75	18.46	0.00	33.33	0.00	6.67	0.00	19.77

Strongly disagree	230	36	34	2	2	7	10	0	321
	44.75	32.14	26.15	40.00	33.33	43.75	66.67	0.00	40.18
Don't know	40	13	4	0	0	4	0	0	61
	7.78	11.61	3.08	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	7.63
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by marstat

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	48	3	15	2	22	11	101
	9.70	25.00	14.42	5.56	19.64	28.21	12.66
Somewhat agree	93	1	22	4	24	13	157
	18.79	8.33	21.15	11.11	21.43	33.33	19.67
Somewhat disagree	96	2	24	11	19	6	158
	19.39	16.67	23.08	30.56	16.96	15.38	19.80
Strongly disagree	226	5	35	17	32	6	321
	45.66	41.67	33.65	47.22	28.57	15.38	40.23
Don't know	32	1	8	2	15	3	61
	6.46	8.33	7.69	5.56	13.39	7.69	7.64
Total	495	12	104	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by gender

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	45	56	101
	12.06	13.15	12.64
Somewhat agree	84	74	158
	22.52	17.37	19.77

Somewhat disagree	73	85	158
	19.57	19.95	19.77
Strongly disagree	143	178	321
	38.34	41.78	40.18
Don't know	28	33	61
	7.51	7.75	7.63
Total	373	426	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by locate

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly agree	45	43	13	101
	21.84	10.39	7.30	12.66
Somewhat agree	39	84	35	158
	18.93	20.29	19.66	19.80
Somewhat disagree	39	81	38	158
	18.93	19.57	21.35	19.80
Strongly disagree	62	176	82	320
	30.10	42.51	46.07	40.10
Don't know	21	30	10	61
	10.19	7.25	5.62	7.64
Total	206	414	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41B by metro

Most immigrants pay their fair share of taxes.	Metropolitan area Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	18	32	13	12	26	101
	11.54	13.73	16.67	14.46	10.57	12.69

Somewhat agree	37	40	19	23	39	158
	23.72	17.17	24.36	27.71	15.85	19.85
Somewhat disagree	34	46	11	15	52	158
	21.79	19.74	14.10	18.07	21.14	19.85
Strongly disagree	61	95	30	27	106	319
	39.10	40.77	38.46	32.53	43.09	40.08
Don't know	6	20	5	6	23	60
	3.85	8.58	6.41	7.23	9.35	7.54
Total	156	233	78	83	246	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q41C. Immigration Control

```
. tab2 Q41C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q41C by libcon
```

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	6	15	21	100	65	102	94	403
	16.22	21.13	28.00	46.95	59.09	61.82	76.42	50.76
Somewhat agree	6	20	23	64	33	38	14	198
	16.22	28.17	30.67	30.05	30.00	23.03	11.38	24.94
Somewhat disagree	13	21	20	22	3	15	6	100
	35.14	29.58	26.67	10.33	2.73	9.09	4.88	12.59
Strongly disagree	10	11	10	14	3	4	5	57
	27.03	15.49	13.33	6.57	2.73	2.42	4.07	7.18
Don't know	2	4	1	13	6	6	4	36
	5.41	5.63	1.33	6.10	5.45	3.64	3.25	4.53
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q41C by pid7

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	63	23	15	31	76	39	139	7	393
	33.16	40.35	24.59	49.21	61.79	60.00	67.15	38.89	50.13
Somewhat agree	51	18	22	18	33	15	39	4	200
	26.84	31.58	36.07	28.57	26.83	23.08	18.84	22.22	25.51
Somewhat disagree	40	8	15	8	4	6	15	2	98
	21.05	14.04	24.59	12.70	3.25	9.23	7.25	11.11	12.50
Strongly disagree	28	5	7	3	6	1	6	1	57
	14.74	8.77	11.48	4.76	4.88	1.54	2.90	5.56	7.27
Don't know	8	3	2	3	4	4	8	4	36
	4.21	5.26	3.28	4.76	3.25	6.15	3.86	22.22	4.59
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by Q22

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	78	88	133	106	405
	62.40	30.56	74.30	50.96	50.62
Somewhat agree	30	84	27	61	202
	24.00	29.17	15.08	29.33	25.25
Somewhat disagree	11	66	11	12	100
	8.80	22.92	6.15	5.77	12.50

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
Strongly disagree |         0         40          6         11 |        57
                  |      0.00      13.89       3.35       5.29 |      7.12
------------------+--------------------------------------------+----------
       Don't know |         6         10          2         18 |        36
                  |      4.80       3.47       1.12       8.65 |      4.50
------------------+--------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |       125        288        179        208 |       800
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q41C by educ

```
        We should |
     restrict and |
   control people |
coming to live in |
    the U.S. more |                           Education
       than we do |     No HS  High scho  Some coll     2-year     4-year  Post-grad |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         17        136         99         47         75         31 |       405
                  |      62.96      59.13      45.00      53.41      45.73      43.66 |     50.62
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |          4         57         60         23         42         16 |       202
                  |      14.81      24.78      27.27      26.14      25.61      22.54 |     25.25
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |          5         13         31         11         24         16 |       100
                  |      18.52       5.65      14.09      12.50      14.63      22.54 |     12.50
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |          1          6         21          5         17          7 |        57
                  |       3.70       2.61       9.55       5.68      10.37       9.86 |      7.12
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
       Don't know |          0         18          9          2          6          1 |        36
                  |       0.00       7.83       4.09       2.27       3.66       1.41 |      4.50
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |         27        230        220         88        164         71 |       800
                  |     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q41C by attend

```
        We should |
     restrict and |
   control people |
coming to live in |
    the U.S. more |                 Religion participation
       than we do | More than  Once a we  A few tim  Once or t      Never |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         67         89         51        114         80 |       401
```

	46.53	55.97	53.68	51.12	46.51	50.57
Somewhat agree	48	36	25	57	33	199
	33.33	22.64	26.32	25.56	19.19	25.09
Somewhat disagree	15	18	9	27	31	100
	10.42	11.32	9.47	12.11	18.02	12.61
Strongly disagree	9	7	5	20	16	57
	6.25	4.40	5.26	8.97	9.30	7.19
Don't know	5	9	5	5	12	36
	3.47	5.66	5.26	2.24	6.98	4.54
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by race

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	288	57	42	3	2	3	10	0	405
	55.92	50.89	32.31	60.00	33.33	18.75	66.67	0.00	50.62
Somewhat agree	120	26	45	1	2	4	4	0	202
	23.30	23.21	34.62	20.00	33.33	25.00	26.67	0.00	25.25
Somewhat disagree	62	14	17	0	1	5	0	1	100
	12.04	12.50	13.08	0.00	16.67	31.25	0.00	100.00	12.50
Strongly disagree	22	11	20	0	1	3	0	0	57
	4.27	9.82	15.38	0.00	16.67	18.75	0.00	0.00	7.12
Don't know	23	4	6	1	0	1	1	0	36
	4.47	3.57	4.62	20.00	0.00	6.25	6.67	0.00	4.50
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by marstat

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	276	5	49	24	38	13	405
	55.65	41.67	47.12	66.67	33.93	33.33	50.69
Somewhat agree	126	2	26	9	24	15	202
	25.40	16.67	25.00	25.00	21.43	38.46	25.28
Somewhat disagree	44	3	19	1	25	8	100
	8.87	25.00	18.27	2.78	22.32	20.51	12.52
Strongly disagree	31	2	6	1	13	3	56
	6.25	16.67	5.77	2.78	11.61	7.69	7.01
Don't know	19	0	4	1	12	0	36
	3.83	0.00	3.85	2.78	10.71	0.00	4.51
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41C by gender

We should restrict and control people coming to live in the U.S. more than we do	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	195	210	405
	52.28	49.18	50.62
Somewhat agree	89	113	202
	23.86	26.46	25.25
Somewhat disagree	51	49	100
	13.67	11.48	12.50
Strongly disagree	24	33	57
	6.43	7.73	7.12

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
------------------+----------------------+----------
       Don't know |         14         22 |        36
                  |       3.75       5.15 |      4.50
------------------+----------------------+----------
            Total |        373        427 |       800
                  |     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q41C by locate

```
        We should |
     restrict and |
   control people |
coming to live in |
    the U.S. more |           Community size
       than we do |      Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         92        205        107 |       404
                  |      44.66      49.40      60.11 |     50.56
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |         49        108         45 |       202
                  |      23.79      26.02      25.28 |     25.28
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |         38         50         12 |       100
                  |      18.45      12.05       6.74 |     12.52
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |         21         29          7 |        57
                  |      10.19       6.99       3.93 |      7.13
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
       Don't know |          6         23          7 |        36
                  |       2.91       5.54       3.93 |      4.51
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
            Total |        206        415        178 |       799
                  |     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q41C by metro

```
        We should |
     restrict and |
   control people |
coming to live in |
    the U.S. more |                   Metropolitan area
       than we do | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         81        121         33         34       133 |       402
                  |      51.92      51.93      41.77      40.96     54.07 |     50.44
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
```

Somewhat agree	38	55	24	22	63	202
	24.36	23.61	30.38	26.51	25.61	25.35
Somewhat disagree	22	29	11	19	19	100
	14.10	12.45	13.92	22.89	7.72	12.55
Strongly disagree	10	13	9	5	20	57
	6.41	5.58	11.39	6.02	8.13	7.15
Don't know	5	15	2	3	11	36
	3.21	6.44	2.53	3.61	4.47	4.52
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q41D. Immigrants Enrich Texas

```
. tab2 Q41D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q41D by libcon
```

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	16	28	24	35	16	24	21	164
	43.24	39.44	32.00	16.43	14.68	14.55	17.07	20.68
Somewhat agree	16	33	34	89	55	72	42	341
	43.24	46.48	45.33	41.78	50.46	43.64	34.15	43.00
Somewhat disagree	3	5	9	41	15	37	26	136
	8.11	7.04	12.00	19.25	13.76	22.42	21.14	17.15
Strongly disagree	2	3	4	20	14	23	22	88
	5.41	4.23	5.33	9.39	12.84	13.94	17.89	11.10
Don't know	0	2	4	28	9	9	12	64
	0.00	2.82	5.33	13.15	8.26	5.45	9.76	8.07
Total	37	71	75	213	109	165	123	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by pid7

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	7 point Party ID								
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	71	15	16	9	20	7	21	3	162
	37.37	26.32	26.23	14.29	16.26	10.94	10.14	16.67	20.69
Somewhat agree	77	28	34	27	55	22	90	4	337
	40.53	49.12	55.74	42.86	44.72	34.38	43.48	22.22	43.04
Somewhat disagree	21	8	5	11	24	14	48	2	133
	11.05	14.04	8.20	17.46	19.51	21.88	23.19	11.11	16.99
Strongly disagree	9	5	3	6	17	12	31	3	86
	4.74	8.77	4.92	9.52	13.82	18.75	14.98	16.67	10.98
Don't know	12	1	3	10	7	9	17	6	65
	6.32	1.75	4.92	15.87	5.69	14.06	8.21	33.33	8.30
Total	190	57	61	63	123	64	207	18	783
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by Q22

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	13	100	25	26	164
	10.40	34.72	13.97	12.56	20.53
Somewhat agree	57	129	70	87	343
	45.60	44.79	39.11	42.03	42.93
Somewhat disagree	23	30	50	35	138
	18.40	10.42	27.93	16.91	17.27
Strongly disagree	20	14	22	33	89
	16.00	4.86	12.29	15.94	11.14

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Don't know	12	15	12	26	65
	9.60	5.21	6.70	12.56	8.14
Total	125	288	179	207	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by educ

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	4	28	54	15	42	21	164
	14.81	12.23	24.55	17.05	25.61	29.58	20.53
Somewhat agree	10	93	95	39	73	33	343
	37.04	40.61	43.18	44.32	44.51	46.48	42.93
Somewhat disagree	5	42	37	20	27	7	138
	18.52	18.34	16.82	22.73	16.46	9.86	17.27
Strongly disagree	3	39	23	9	9	6	89
	11.11	17.03	10.45	10.23	5.49	8.45	11.14
Don't know	5	27	11	5	13	4	65
	18.52	11.79	5.00	5.68	7.93	5.63	8.14
Total	27	229	220	88	164	71	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by attend

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Religion participation					
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	24	33	21	46	40	164
	16.67	20.75	22.34	20.63	23.26	20.71
Somewhat agree	65	73	36	97	68	339
	45.14	45.91	38.30	43.50	39.53	42.80

Somewhat disagree	30	23	21	36	27	137
	20.83	14.47	22.34	16.14	15.70	17.30
Strongly disagree	12	19	6	31	20	88
	8.33	11.95	6.38	13.90	11.63	11.11
Don't know	13	11	10	13	17	64
	9.03	6.92	10.64	5.83	9.88	8.08
Total	144	159	94	223	172	792
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by race

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	78	30	47	1	2	4	1	1	164
	15.18	26.79	36.15	20.00	33.33	25.00	6.67	100.00	20.53
Somewhat agree	221	47	60	2	2	6	5	0	343
	43.00	41.96	46.15	40.00	33.33	37.50	33.33	0.00	42.93
Somewhat disagree	102	16	15	0	1	2	2	0	138
	19.84	14.29	11.54	0.00	16.67	12.50	13.33	0.00	17.27
Strongly disagree	68	9	2	1	1	1	7	0	89
	13.23	8.04	1.54	20.00	16.67	6.25	46.67	0.00	11.14
Don't know	45	10	6	1	0	3	0	0	65
	8.75	8.93	4.62	20.00	0.00	18.75	0.00	0.00	8.14
Total	514	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by marstat

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	

Strongly agree	85	3	19	5	37	14	163
	17.17	25.00	18.27	13.89	33.04	35.90	20.43
Somewhat agree	217	6	46	13	45	16	343
	43.84	50.00	44.23	36.11	40.18	41.03	42.98
Somewhat disagree	98	2	13	7	10	8	138
	19.80	16.67	12.50	19.44	8.93	20.51	17.29
Strongly disagree	57	0	13	7	11	1	89
	11.52	0.00	12.50	19.44	9.82	2.56	11.15
Don't know	38	1	13	4	9	0	65
	7.68	8.33	12.50	11.11	8.04	0.00	8.15
Total	495	12	104	36	112	39	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by gender

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Gender Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	86	78	164
	23.12	18.27	20.53
Somewhat agree	161	182	343
	43.28	42.62	42.93
Somewhat disagree	58	80	138
	15.59	18.74	17.27
Strongly disagree	42	47	89
	11.29	11.01	11.14
Don't know	25	40	65
	6.72	9.37	8.14
Total	372	427	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by locate

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly agree	58	85	21	164
	28.29	20.48	11.80	20.55
Somewhat agree	77	185	81	343
	37.56	44.58	45.51	42.98
Somewhat disagree	28	73	37	138
	13.66	17.59	20.79	17.29
Strongly disagree	18	44	26	88
	8.78	10.60	14.61	11.03
Don't know	24	28	13	65
	11.71	6.75	7.30	8.15
Total	205	415	178	798
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q41D by metro

Newcomers from other countries enrich Texas with their hard work and values.	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	40	54	16	16	38	164
	25.64	23.18	20.25	19.28	15.51	20.60
Somewhat agree	72	82	41	45	102	342
	46.15	35.19	51.90	54.22	41.63	42.96
Somewhat disagree	24	46	13	11	43	137
	15.38	19.74	16.46	13.25	17.55	17.21
Strongly disagree	12	26	5	9	37	89
	7.69	11.16	6.33	10.84	15.10	11.18
Don't know	8	25	4	2	25	64

	5.13	10.73	5.06	2.41	10.20	8.04
Total	156	233	79	83	245	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q42. K-12 Education Quality

```
. tab2 Q42 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q42 by libcon

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
A lot better	0	1	0	2	1	3	5	12
	0.00	1.41	0.00	0.94	0.91	1.82	4.07	1.51
Somewhat better	2	3	4	23	9	10	10	61
	5.41	4.23	5.33	10.80	8.18	6.06	8.13	7.68
About the same	8	22	14	71	42	72	45	274
	21.62	30.99	18.67	33.33	38.18	43.64	36.59	34.51
Somewhat worse	13	25	29	51	32	47	29	226
	35.14	35.21	38.67	23.94	29.09	28.48	23.58	28.46
A lot worse	11	12	17	29	15	18	25	127
	29.73	16.90	22.67	13.62	13.64	10.91	20.33	15.99
Don't know/no opinion	3	8	11	37	11	15	9	94
	8.11	11.27	14.67	17.37	10.00	9.09	7.32	11.84
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by pid7

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
A lot better	7	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	11
	3.68	0.00	1.64	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.97	0.00	1.40

Somewhat better	19	10	4	4	8	2	13	2	62
	10.00	17.54	6.56	6.35	6.50	3.08	6.28	11.11	7.91
About the same	56	18	10	20	49	31	85	4	273
	29.47	31.58	16.39	31.75	39.84	47.69	41.06	22.22	34.82
Somewhat worse	59	17	21	14	34	14	59	5	223
	31.05	29.82	34.43	22.22	27.64	21.54	28.50	27.78	28.44
A lot worse	27	5	19	17	24	8	23	1	124
	14.21	8.77	31.15	26.98	19.51	12.31	11.11	5.56	15.82
Don't know/no opinion	22	7	6	8	7	10	25	6	91
	11.58	12.28	9.84	12.70	5.69	15.38	12.08	33.33	11.61
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by Q22

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
A lot better	3	8	1	0	12
	2.40	2.78	0.56	0.00	1.50
Somewhat better	10	26	11	15	62
	8.00	9.03	6.15	7.21	7.75
About the same	51	78	69	79	277
	40.80	27.08	38.55	37.98	34.62
Somewhat worse	29	91	54	53	227
	23.20	31.60	30.17	25.48	28.38
A lot worse	19	54	28	27	128
	15.20	18.75	15.64	12.98	16.00
Don't know/no opinion	13	31	16	34	94
	10.40	10.76	8.94	16.35	11.75
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q42 by educ

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
A lot better	0	2	4	1	4	1	12
	0.00	0.87	1.82	1.14	2.44	1.41	1.50
Somewhat better	3	20	16	18	2	3	62
	11.11	8.70	7.27	20.45	1.22	4.23	7.75
About the same	6	93	67	26	64	21	277
	22.22	40.43	30.45	29.55	39.02	29.58	34.62
Somewhat worse	11	48	66	23	48	31	227
	40.74	20.87	30.00	26.14	29.27	43.66	28.38
A lot worse	6	31	39	11	31	10	128
	22.22	13.48	17.73	12.50	18.90	14.08	16.00
Don't know/no opinion	1	36	28	9	15	5	94
	3.70	15.65	12.73	10.23	9.15	7.04	11.75
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by attend

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
A lot better	5	2	3	2	0	12
	3.47	1.26	3.16	0.90	0.00	1.51
Somewhat better	13	12	10	20	7	62
	9.03	7.55	10.53	8.97	4.07	7.82
About the same	56	58	28	79	54	275
	38.89	36.48	29.47	35.43	31.40	34.68
Somewhat worse	37	47	35	58	49	226
	25.69	29.56	36.84	26.01	28.49	28.50
A lot worse	22	23	12	33	37	127
	15.28	14.47	12.63	14.80	21.51	16.02

Don't know/no opinion	11	17	7	31	25	91
	7.64	10.69	7.37	13.90	14.53	11.48
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by race

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
A lot better	4	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	12
	0.78	3.57	2.31	20.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.50
Somewhat better	30	15	13	1	2	1	0	0	62
	5.83	13.39	10.00	20.00	33.33	6.25	0.00	0.00	7.75
About the same	175	36	51	2	1	5	6	1	277
	33.98	32.14	39.23	40.00	16.67	31.25	40.00	100.00	34.62
Somewhat worse	157	28	36	0	1	1	4	0	227
	30.49	25.00	27.69	0.00	16.67	6.25	26.67	0.00	28.38
A lot worse	85	14	18	1	1	5	4	0	128
	16.50	12.50	13.85	20.00	16.67	31.25	26.67	0.00	16.00
Don't know/no opinion	64	15	9	0	1	4	1	0	94
	12.43	13.39	6.92	0.00	16.67	25.00	6.67	0.00	11.75
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by marstat

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
A lot better	6	0	1	2	2	1	12
	1.21	0.00	0.96	5.56	1.79	2.56	1.50
Somewhat better	36	3	6	5	9	3	62
	7.26	25.00	5.77	13.89	8.04	7.69	7.76

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

About the same	184	4	33	13	34	9	277
	37.10	33.33	31.73	36.11	30.36	23.08	34.67
Somewhat worse	149	2	34	5	26	10	226
	30.04	16.67	32.69	13.89	23.21	25.64	28.29
A lot worse	75	3	15	4	21	10	128
	15.12	25.00	14.42	11.11	18.75	25.64	16.02
Don't know/no opinion	46	0	15	7	20	6	94
	9.27	0.00	14.42	19.44	17.86	15.38	11.76
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by gender

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Gender: Male	Female	Total
A lot better	4	8	12
	1.07	1.87	1.50
Somewhat better	28	34	62
	7.51	7.96	7.75
About the same	133	144	277
	35.66	33.72	34.62
Somewhat worse	110	117	227
	29.49	27.40	28.38
A lot worse	59	69	128
	15.82	16.16	16.00
Don't know/no opinion	39	55	94
	10.46	12.88	11.75
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by locate

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
A lot better	6	5	1	12
	2.91	1.20	0.56	1.50
Somewhat better	18	30	14	62
	8.74	7.23	7.87	7.76
About the same	63	152	62	277
	30.58	36.63	34.83	34.67
Somewhat worse	54	121	51	226
	26.21	29.16	28.65	28.29
A lot worse	35	62	31	128
	16.99	14.94	17.42	16.02
Don't know/no opinion	30	45	19	94
	14.56	10.84	10.67	11.76
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q42 by metro

K-12 education better or worse than a year ago	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
A lot better	5	3	0	2	2	12
	3.21	1.29	0.00	2.41	0.81	1.51
Somewhat better	17	17	7	2	19	62
	10.90	7.30	8.86	2.41	7.72	7.78
About the same	61	78	24	25	88	276
	39.10	33.48	30.38	30.12	35.77	34.63
Somewhat worse	40	74	25	25	63	227
	25.64	31.76	31.65	30.12	25.61	28.48
A lot worse	21	35	12	18	41	127
	13.46	15.02	15.19	21.69	16.67	15.93
Don't know/no opinion	12	26	11	11	33	93

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

	7.69	11.16	13.92	13.25	13.41	11.67
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q43. K-12 Education Spending

```
. tab2 Q43 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q43 by libcon

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Too much	1	3	2	4	14	35	47	106
	2.70	4.23	2.67	1.88	12.73	21.21	38.21	13.35
Too little	31	60	63	130	46	36	27	393
	83.78	84.51	84.00	61.03	41.82	21.82	21.95	49.50
About the right amoun	2	5	5	38	36	76	32	194
	5.41	7.04	6.67	17.84	32.73	46.06	26.02	24.43
Don't know/no opinion	3	3	5	41	14	18	17	101
	8.11	4.23	6.67	19.25	12.73	10.91	13.82	12.72
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by pid7

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Too much	6	0	3	9	33	4	47	1	103
	3.16	0.00	4.92	14.29	26.83	6.15	22.71	5.56	13.14
Too little	148	41	48	34	30	26	53	8	388
	77.89	71.93	78.69	53.97	24.39	40.00	25.60	44.44	49.49
About the right amoun	16	13	7	9	48	21	76	4	194
	8.42	22.81	11.48	14.29	39.02	32.31	36.71	22.22	24.74

Don't know/no opinion	20	3	3	11	12	14	31	5	99
	10.53	5.26	4.92	17.46	9.76	21.54	14.98	27.78	12.63
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by Q22

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Too much	21	8	48	29	106
	16.80	2.78	26.82	13.94	13.25
Too little	48	225	34	90	397
	38.40	78.12	18.99	43.27	49.62
About the right amoun	39	30	72	55	196
	31.20	10.42	40.22	26.44	24.50
Don't know/no opinion	17	25	25	34	101
	13.60	8.68	13.97	16.35	12.62
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by educ

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Too much	4	31	22	10	29	10	106
	14.81	13.48	10.00	11.36	17.68	14.08	13.25
Too little	15	91	132	46	75	38	397
	55.56	39.57	60.00	52.27	45.73	53.52	49.62
About the right amoun	4	68	37	19	50	18	196
	14.81	29.57	16.82	21.59	30.49	25.35	24.50
Don't know/no opinion	4	40	29	13	10	5	101
	14.81	17.39	13.18	14.77	6.10	7.04	12.62

Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by attend

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Too much	24	23	16	29	13	105
	16.67	14.47	16.84	13.00	7.56	13.24
Too little	70	70	44	104	107	395
	48.61	44.03	46.32	46.64	62.21	49.81
About the right amoun	33	48	25	59	29	194
	22.92	30.19	26.32	26.46	16.86	24.46
Don't know/no opinion	17	18	10	31	23	99
	11.81	11.32	10.53	13.90	13.37	12.48
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by race

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Too much	82	4	10	4	0	0	6	0	106
	15.92	3.57	7.69	80.00	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	13.25
Too little	217	81	79	1	4	10	5	0	397
	42.14	72.32	60.77	20.00	66.67	62.50	33.33	0.00	49.62
About the right amoun	145	11	30	0	2	4	3	1	196
	28.16	9.82	23.08	0.00	33.33	25.00	20.00	100.00	24.50
Don't know/no opinion	71	16	11	0	0	2	1	0	101
	13.79	14.29	8.46	0.00	0.00	12.50	6.67	0.00	12.62
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by marstat

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Too much	80	2	5	6	11	2	106
	16.13	16.67	4.81	16.67	9.82	5.13	13.27
Too little	220	7	64	16	68	21	396
	44.35	58.33	61.54	44.44	60.71	53.85	49.56
About the right amoun	136	3	20	9	19	9	196
	27.42	25.00	19.23	25.00	16.96	23.08	24.53
Don't know/no opinion	60	0	15	5	14	7	101
	12.10	0.00	14.42	13.89	12.50	17.95	12.64
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by gender

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Too much	72	34	106
	19.30	7.96	13.25
Too little	171	226	397
	45.84	52.93	49.62
About the right amoun	97	99	196
	26.01	23.19	24.50
Don't know/no opinion	33	68	101
	8.85	15.93	12.62
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by locate

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Too much	24	46	36	106
	11.65	11.08	20.22	13.27
Too little	113	205	78	396
	54.85	49.40	43.82	49.56
About the right amoun	36	117	43	196
	17.48	28.19	24.16	24.53
Don't know/no opinion	33	47	21	101
	16.02	11.33	11.80	12.64
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q43 by metro

Texas spending on primary and secondary education	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Too much	22	26	12	13	33	106
	14.10	11.16	15.19	15.66	13.41	13.30
Too little	70	121	39	46	119	395
	44.87	51.93	49.37	55.42	48.37	49.56
About the right amoun	48	53	20	13	61	195
	30.77	22.75	25.32	15.66	24.80	24.47
Don't know/no opinion	16	33	8	11	33	101
	10.26	14.16	10.13	13.25	13.41	12.67
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q44. Public University Spending

```
. tab2 Q44 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q44 by libcon

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Too much	2	6	5	18	26	50	54	161
	5.41	8.45	6.67	8.45	23.64	30.30	43.90	20.28
Too little	25	44	45	97	29	19	24	283
	67.57	61.97	60.00	45.54	26.36	11.52	19.51	35.64
About the right amoun	2	13	19	59	37	70	21	221
	5.41	18.31	25.33	27.70	33.64	42.42	17.07	27.83
Don't know/no opinion	8	8	6	39	18	26	24	129
	21.62	11.27	8.00	18.31	16.36	15.76	19.51	16.25
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by pid7

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Too much	16	3	6	9	44	11	64	2	155
	8.42	5.26	9.84	14.29	35.77	16.92	30.92	11.11	19.77
Too little	106	29	38	26	25	13	36	6	279
	55.79	50.88	62.30	41.27	20.33	20.00	17.39	33.33	35.59
About the right amoun	40	22	9	15	37	23	73	3	222
	21.05	38.60	14.75	23.81	30.08	35.38	35.27	16.67	28.32
Don't know/no opinion	28	3	8	13	17	18	34	7	128
	14.74	5.26	13.11	20.63	13.82	27.69	16.43	38.89	16.33
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by Q22

Texas spending on state universities	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party

and colleges	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Too much	25	21	75	40	161
	20.00	7.29	41.90	19.23	20.12
Too little	34	175	23	53	285
	27.20	60.76	12.85	25.48	35.62
About the right amoun	48	59	53	63	223
	38.40	20.49	29.61	30.29	27.88
Don't know/no opinion	18	33	28	52	131
	14.40	11.46	15.64	25.00	16.38
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by educ

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Too much	8	47	33	13	45	15	161
	29.63	20.43	15.00	14.77	27.44	21.13	20.12
Too little	10	68	98	33	51	25	285
	37.04	29.57	44.55	37.50	31.10	35.21	35.62
About the right amoun	5	67	49	30	48	24	223
	18.52	29.13	22.27	34.09	29.27	33.80	27.88
Don't know/no opinion	4	48	40	12	20	7	131
	14.81	20.87	18.18	13.64	12.20	9.86	16.38
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by attend

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Religion participation					
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Too much	35	38	21	38	27	159
	24.31	23.90	22.11	17.04	15.70	20.05

Too little	47	51	28	75	83	284
	32.64	32.08	29.47	33.63	48.26	35.81
About the right amoun	37	45	34	69	35	220
	25.69	28.30	35.79	30.94	20.35	27.74
Don't know/no opinion	25	25	12	41	27	130
	17.36	15.72	12.63	18.39	15.70	16.39
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by race

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Too much	121	10	16	3	1	1	8	1	161
	23.50	8.93	12.31	60.00	16.67	6.25	53.33	100.00	20.12
Too little	157	57	57	0	4	9	1	0	285
	30.49	50.89	43.85	0.00	66.67	56.25	6.67	0.00	35.62
About the right amoun	147	28	42	0	1	2	3	0	223
	28.54	25.00	32.31	0.00	16.67	12.50	20.00	0.00	27.88
Don't know/no opinion	90	17	15	2	0	4	3	0	131
	17.48	15.18	11.54	40.00	0.00	25.00	20.00	0.00	16.38
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by marstat

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Too much	121	1	13	7	15	4	161
	24.40	8.33	12.50	19.44	13.39	10.26	20.15
Too little	158	8	46	12	45	15	284
	31.85	66.67	44.23	33.33	40.18	38.46	35.54

About the right amoun	136	3	25	10	35	14	223
	27.42	25.00	24.04	27.78	31.25	35.90	27.91
Don't know/no opinion	81	0	20	7	17	6	131
	16.33	0.00	19.23	19.44	15.18	15.38	16.40
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by gender

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Gender Male	Female	Total
Too much	103	58	161
	27.61	13.58	20.12
Too little	115	170	285
	30.83	39.81	35.62
About the right amoun	114	109	223
	30.56	25.53	27.88
Don't know/no opinion	41	90	131
	10.99	21.08	16.38
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by locate

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Too much	30	82	49	161
	14.56	19.76	27.53	20.15
Too little	89	134	61	284
	43.20	32.29	34.27	35.54
About the right amoun	50	127	46	223
	24.27	30.60	25.84	27.91

Don't know/no opinion	37	72	22	131
	17.96	17.35	12.36	16.40
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q44 by metro

Texas spending on state universities and colleges	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Too much	34	46	11	19	51	161
	21.79	19.74	13.92	22.89	20.73	20.20
Too little	51	76	31	36	89	283
	32.69	32.62	39.24	43.37	36.18	35.51
About the right amoun	49	66	24	15	68	222
	31.41	28.33	30.38	18.07	27.64	27.85
Don't know/no opinion	22	45	13	13	38	131
	14.10	19.31	16.46	15.66	15.45	16.44
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q45. Anti-Tax Pledges

```
. tab2 Q45F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q45F by libcon

Q45F	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Candidates should ple	12	13	12	64	45	84	74	304
	33.33	18.31	16.22	30.05	41.28	50.91	60.66	38.48
Candidates should not	24	49	57	110	48	67	40	395
	66.67	69.01	77.03	51.64	44.04	40.61	32.79	50.00
Not sure/Don't know	0	9	5	39	16	14	8	91

	0.00	12.68	6.76	18.31	14.68	8.48	6.56	11.52
Total	36	71	74	213	109	165	122	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by pid7

	7 point Party ID								
Q45F	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Candidates should ple	43	18	10	24	67	20	112	5	299
	22.99	31.58	16.39	38.10	54.47	30.77	54.37	27.78	38.33
Candidates should not	121	34	50	30	46	31	75	5	392
	64.71	59.65	81.97	47.62	37.40	47.69	36.41	27.78	50.26
Not sure/Don't know	23	5	1	9	10	14	19	8	89
	12.30	8.77	1.64	14.29	8.13	21.54	9.22	44.44	11.41
Total	187	57	61	63	123	65	206	18	780
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by Q22

	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
Q45F	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Candidates should ple	49	60	109	88	306
	39.52	21.05	60.89	42.31	38.44
Candidates should not	53	198	59	89	399
	42.74	69.47	32.96	42.79	50.13
Not sure/Don't know	22	27	11	31	91
	17.74	9.47	6.15	14.90	11.43
Total	124	285	179	208	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by educ

	Education						
Q45F	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total

Candidates should ple	15	93	83	33	62	20	306
	55.56	40.79	37.73	37.50	38.27	28.17	38.44
Candidates should not	11	94	114	41	92	47	399
	40.74	41.23	51.82	46.59	56.79	66.20	50.13
Not sure/Don't know	1	41	23	14	8	4	91
	3.70	17.98	10.45	15.91	4.94	5.63	11.43
Total	27	228	220	88	162	71	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by attend

	Religion participation					
Q45F	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Candidates should ple	60	66	43	82	50	301
	41.67	41.51	45.74	37.10	29.07	38.10
Candidates should not	67	75	44	118	94	398
	46.53	47.17	46.81	53.39	54.65	50.38
Not sure/Don't know	17	18	7	21	28	91
	11.81	11.32	7.45	9.50	16.28	11.52
Total	144	159	94	221	172	790
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by race

	Race								
Q45F	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Candidates should ple	203	31	52	2	0	6	11	1	306
	39.57	28.18	40.00	40.00	0.00	37.50	73.33	100.00	38.44
Candidates should not	254	63	66	2	4	7	3	0	399
	49.51	57.27	50.77	40.00	66.67	43.75	20.00	0.00	50.13
Not sure/Don't know	56	16	12	1	2	3	1	0	91
	10.92	14.55	9.23	20.00	33.33	18.75	6.67	0.00	11.43
Total	513	110	130	5	6	16	15	1	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by marstat

Q45F	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Candidates should ple	205	6	34	14	31	16	306
	41.41	50.00	32.69	40.00	28.18	41.03	38.49
Candidates should not	238	5	53	17	63	22	398
	48.08	41.67	50.96	48.57	57.27	56.41	50.06
Not sure/Don't know	52	1	17	4	16	1	91
	10.51	8.33	16.35	11.43	14.55	2.56	11.45
Total	495	12	104	35	110	39	795
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by gender

Q45F	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Candidates should ple	159	147	306
	42.74	34.67	38.44
Candidates should not	188	211	399
	50.54	49.76	50.13
Not sure/Don't know	25	66	91
	6.72	15.57	11.43
Total	372	424	796
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q45F by locate

Q45F	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Candidates should ple	58	176	72	306
	28.57	42.41	40.68	38.49
Candidates should not	115	201	82	398

```
                      |     56.65      48.43      46.33 |     50.06
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
  Not sure/Don't know |        30         38         23 |        91
                      |     14.78       9.16      12.99 |     11.45
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
                Total |       203        415        177 |       795
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q45F by metro

```
                      |                  Metropolitan area
                  Q45F | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Candidates should ple |        53         91         36         29         97 |       306
                      |     34.64      39.06      45.57      34.94      39.59 |     38.59
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Candidates should not |        84        119         36         47        112 |       398
                      |     54.90      51.07      45.57      56.63      45.71 |     50.19
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
  Not sure/Don't know |        16         23          7          7         36 |        89
                      |     10.46       9.87       8.86       8.43      14.69 |     11.22
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |       153        233         79         83        245 |       793
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q46. Voting Rights Act Attitude

```
. tab2 Q46 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q46 by libcon

```
VRA states subject to |                                  Ideology
          supervision | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
These states should s |        34         63         67        123         35         29         25 |       376
                      |     91.89      88.73      89.33      57.75      31.82      17.58      20.33 |     47.36
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
These states should b |         3          6          1         52         58        120         90 |       330
                      |      8.11       8.45       1.33      24.41      52.73      72.73      73.17 |     41.56
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
  Not sure/Don't know |         0          2          7         38         17         16          8 |        88
                      |      0.00       2.82       9.33      17.84      15.45       9.70       6.50 |     11.08
----------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
                Total |        37         71         75        213        110        165        123 |       794
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by pid7

VRA states subject to supervision	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
These states should s	159	45	52	28	18	19	42	9	372
	83.68	78.95	85.25	44.44	14.63	29.23	20.29	50.00	47.45
These states should b	16	6	5	23	90	34	144	3	321
	8.42	10.53	8.20	36.51	73.17	52.31	69.57	16.67	40.94
Not sure/Don't know	15	6	4	12	15	12	21	6	91
	7.89	10.53	6.56	19.05	12.20	18.46	10.14	33.33	11.61
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by Q22

VRA states subject to supervision	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
These states should s	32	248	26	72	378
	25.60	86.11	14.53	34.62	47.25
These states should b	78	19	139	94	330
	62.40	6.60	77.65	45.19	41.25
Not sure/Don't know	15	21	14	42	92
	12.00	7.29	7.82	20.19	11.50
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by educ

VRA states subject to supervision	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
These states should s	16	86	128	48	65	35	378
	59.26	37.39	58.18	54.55	39.63	49.30	47.25

These states should b	8	109	68	31	84	30	330
	29.63	47.39	30.91	35.23	51.22	42.25	41.25
Not sure/Don't know	3	35	24	9	15	6	92
	11.11	15.22	10.91	10.23	9.15	8.45	11.50
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by attend

VRA states subject to supervision	Religion participation More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
These states should s	56	65	47	108	100	376
	38.89	40.88	49.47	48.43	58.14	47.41
These states should b	71	73	40	94	49	327
	49.31	45.91	42.11	42.15	28.49	41.24
Not sure/Don't know	17	21	8	21	23	90
	11.81	13.21	8.42	9.42	13.37	11.35
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by race

VRA states subject to supervision	Race White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
These states should s	191	89	81	2	3	9	3	0	378
	37.09	79.46	62.31	40.00	50.00	56.25	20.00	0.00	47.25
These states should b	260	10	40	2	3	4	10	1	330
	50.49	8.93	30.77	40.00	50.00	25.00	66.67	100.00	41.25
Not sure/Don't know	64	13	9	1	0	3	2	0	92
	12.43	11.61	6.92	20.00	0.00	18.75	13.33	0.00	11.50
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by marstat

VRA states subject to supervision	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
These states should s	198	8	68	17	60	26	377
	39.92	66.67	65.38	47.22	53.57	66.67	47.18
These states should b	246	4	20	16	34	10	330
	49.60	33.33	19.23	44.44	30.36	25.64	41.30
Not sure/Don't know	52	0	16	3	18	3	92
	10.48	0.00	15.38	8.33	16.07	7.69	11.51
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by gender

VRA states subject to supervision	Gender: Male	Female	Total
These states should s	163	215	378
	43.70	50.35	47.25
These states should b	187	143	330
	50.13	33.49	41.25
Not sure/Don't know	23	69	92
	6.17	16.16	11.50
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q46 by locate

VRA states subject to supervision	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
These states should s	121	188	69	378
	58.74	45.30	38.76	47.31
These states should b	59	180	90	329
	28.64	43.37	50.56	41.18
Not sure/Don't know	26	47	19	92

```
                      |     12.62      11.33      10.67 |     11.51
----------------------+----------------------------------+----------
                Total |       206        415        178 |       799
                      |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q46 by metro

VRA states subject to supervision	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
These states should s	77	112	34	47	107	377
	49.36	48.07	43.04	56.63	43.50	47.30
These states should b	64	92	34	31	107	328
	41.03	39.48	43.04	37.35	43.50	41.15
Not sure/Don't know	15	29	11	5	32	92
	9.62	12.45	13.92	6.02	13.01	11.54
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q47. Frequency of Contact with Non-English Speakers

```
. tab2 Q47 libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q47 by libcon

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Often (daily or weekl	18	34	45	115	71	97	71	451
	48.65	47.89	60.00	53.99	64.55	58.79	57.72	56.80
Sometimes (monthly)	13	27	23	66	31	57	32	249
	35.14	38.03	30.67	30.99	28.18	34.55	26.02	31.36
Rarely (once a year)	4	10	6	22	7	10	19	78
	10.81	14.08	8.00	10.33	6.36	6.06	15.45	9.82
Never	1	0	1	3	1	1	1	8
	2.70	0.00	1.33	1.41	0.91	0.61	0.81	1.01

Don't know	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	8
	2.70	0.00	0.00	3.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.01
Total	37	71	75	213	110	165	123	794
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by pid7

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	7 point Party ID								
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Often (daily or weekl	92	35	35	42	77	36	121	8	446
	48.42	61.40	57.38	66.67	62.60	55.38	58.45	44.44	56.89
Sometimes (monthly)	66	17	21	15	37	21	61	4	242
	34.74	29.82	34.43	23.81	30.08	32.31	29.47	22.22	30.87
Rarely (once a year)	25	5	5	4	8	7	23	3	80
	13.16	8.77	8.20	6.35	6.50	10.77	11.11	16.67	10.20
Never	4	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	8
	2.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.81	0.00	0.97	5.56	1.02
Don't know	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	8
	1.58	0.00	0.00	3.17	0.00	1.54	0.00	11.11	1.02
Total	190	57	61	63	123	65	207	18	784
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by Q22

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Often (daily or weekl	72	157	105	121	455
	57.60	54.51	58.66	58.17	56.88
Sometimes (monthly)	37	94	62	56	249
	29.60	32.64	34.64	26.92	31.13
Rarely (once a year)	15	29	10	26	80
	12.00	10.07	5.59	12.50	10.00
Never	1	3	2	2	8

	0.80	1.04	1.12	0.96	1.00
Don't know	0	5	0	3	8
	0.00	1.74	0.00	1.44	1.00
Total	125	288	179	208	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by educ

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Often (daily or weekl	18	119	120	64	90	44	455
	66.67	51.74	54.55	72.73	54.88	61.97	56.88
Sometimes (monthly)	5	74	75	17	54	24	249
	18.52	32.17	34.09	19.32	32.93	33.80	31.13
Rarely (once a year)	3	33	21	5	17	1	80
	11.11	14.35	9.55	5.68	10.37	1.41	10.00
Never	1	2	2	1	1	1	8
	3.70	0.87	0.91	1.14	0.61	1.41	1.00
Don't know	0	2	2	1	2	1	8
	0.00	0.87	0.91	1.14	1.22	1.41	1.00
Total	27	230	220	88	164	71	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by attend

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Religion participation					
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Often (daily or weekl	93	75	59	132	91	450
	64.58	47.17	62.11	59.19	52.91	56.75
Sometimes (monthly)	31	64	32	67	53	247
	21.53	40.25	33.68	30.04	30.81	31.15
Rarely (once a year)	17	18	4	24	17	80
	11.81	11.32	4.21	10.76	9.88	10.09

Never	1	1	0	0	6	8
	0.69	0.63	0.00	0.00	3.49	1.01
Don't know	2	1	0	0	5	8
	1.39	0.63	0.00	0.00	2.91	1.01
Total	144	159	95	223	172	793
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by race

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Often (daily or weekl	296	52	78	4	5	8	11	1	455
	57.48	46.43	60.00	80.00	83.33	50.00	73.33	100.00	56.88
Sometimes (monthly)	162	42	38	1	1	3	2	0	249
	31.46	37.50	29.23	20.00	16.67	18.75	13.33	0.00	31.13
Rarely (once a year)	48	13	14	0	0	3	2	0	80
	9.32	11.61	10.77	0.00	0.00	18.75	13.33	0.00	10.00
Never	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
	0.78	2.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	1.00
Don't know	5	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	8
	0.97	1.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.25	0.00	0.00	1.00
Total	515	112	130	5	6	16	15	1	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by marstat

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Often (daily or weekl	300	6	49	20	55	25	455
	60.48	50.00	47.12	55.56	49.11	64.10	56.95
Sometimes (monthly)	149	2	43	11	35	9	249
	30.04	16.67	41.35	30.56	31.25	23.08	31.16

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

Rarely (once a year)	43	4	9	3	15	5	79
	8.67	33.33	8.65	8.33	13.39	12.82	9.89
Never	1	0	1	2	4	0	8
	0.20	0.00	0.96	5.56	3.57	0.00	1.00
Don't know	3	0	2	0	3	0	8
	0.60	0.00	1.92	0.00	2.68	0.00	1.00
Total	496	12	104	36	112	39	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by gender

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Gender Male	Female	Total
Often (daily or weekl	216	239	455
	57.91	55.97	56.88
Sometimes (monthly)	121	128	249
	32.44	29.98	31.13
Rarely (once a year)	31	49	80
	8.31	11.48	10.00
Never	3	5	8
	0.80	1.17	1.00
Don't know	2	6	8
	0.54	1.41	1.00
Total	373	427	800
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by locate

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Community size Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Often (daily or weekl	127	229	99	455
	61.65	55.18	55.62	56.95

Sometimes (monthly)	53	136	59	248
	25.73	32.77	33.15	31.04
Rarely (once a year)	20	41	19	80
	9.71	9.88	10.67	10.01
Never	4	4	0	8
	1.94	0.96	0.00	1.00
Don't know	2	5	1	8
	0.97	1.20	0.56	1.00
Total	206	415	178	799
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q47 by metro

Frequency of contact with people who speak little or no English	Metropolitan area					Total
	Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	
Often (daily or weekl	103	132	51	40	127	453
	66.03	56.65	64.56	48.19	51.63	56.84
Sometimes (monthly)	41	76	19	32	80	248
	26.28	32.62	24.05	38.55	32.52	31.12
Rarely (once a year)	11	19	7	10	33	80
	7.05	8.15	8.86	12.05	13.41	10.04
Never	0	3	2	0	3	8
	0.00	1.29	2.53	0.00	1.22	1.00
Don't know	1	3	0	1	3	8
	0.64	1.29	0.00	1.20	1.22	1.00
Total	156	233	79	83	246	797
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q49A. Politicians should follow advice of scientists

```
. tab2 Q49A libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q49A by libcon

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Ideology Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	9	14	15	33	6	8	12	97
	64.29	45.16	40.54	30.00	10.91	10.00	16.90	24.37
Somewhat agree	3	11	17	49	28	34	19	161
	21.43	35.48	45.95	44.55	50.91	42.50	26.76	40.45
Somewhat disagree	2	5	4	21	18	26	24	100
	14.29	16.13	10.81	19.09	32.73	32.50	33.80	25.13
Strongly disagree	0	1	1	7	3	12	16	40
	0.00	3.23	2.70	6.36	5.45	15.00	22.54	10.05
Total	14	31	37	110	55	80	71	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by pid7

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	7 point Party ID Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	34	10	12	13	10	2	12	1	94
	40.00	34.48	41.38	35.14	15.62	6.45	11.11	10.00	23.92
Somewhat agree	37	14	12	11	22	17	43	4	160
	43.53	48.28	41.38	29.73	34.38	54.84	39.81	40.00	40.71
Somewhat disagree	11	4	5	10	21	10	36	4	101
	12.94	13.79	17.24	27.03	32.81	32.26	33.33	40.00	25.70
Strongly disagree	3	1	0	3	11	2	17	1	38
	3.53	3.45	0.00	8.11	17.19	6.45	15.74	10.00	9.67
Total	85	29	29	37	64	31	108	10	393
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q49A by Q22

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	13	57	10	17	97
	18.84	43.51	11.11	15.32	24.19
Somewhat agree	30	54	31	48	163
	43.48	41.22	34.44	43.24	40.65
Somewhat disagree	20	16	30	35	101
	28.99	12.21	33.33	31.53	25.19
Strongly disagree	6	4	19	11	40
	8.70	3.05	21.11	9.91	9.98
Total	69	131	90	111	401
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by educ

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	6	20	34	6	22	9	97
	54.55	16.53	29.82	17.65	25.29	26.47	24.19
Somewhat agree	3	57	43	16	28	16	163
	27.27	47.11	37.72	47.06	32.18	47.06	40.65
Somewhat disagree	1	29	27	10	27	7	101
	9.09	23.97	23.68	29.41	31.03	20.59	25.19
Strongly disagree	1	15	10	2	10	2	40
	9.09	12.40	8.77	5.88	11.49	5.88	9.98

Total	11	121	114	34	87	34	401
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by attend

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	7	15	11	25	39	97
	8.97	20.83	22.92	23.81	41.49	24.43
Somewhat agree	31	32	18	49	32	162
	39.74	44.44	37.50	46.67	34.04	40.81
Somewhat disagree	27	16	15	23	17	98
	34.62	22.22	31.25	21.90	18.09	24.69
Strongly disagree	13	9	4	8	6	40
	16.67	12.50	8.33	7.62	6.38	10.08
Total	78	72	48	105	94	397
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49A by race

When faced with a difficult decision, politicians should follow the advice of re	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Total
Strongly agree	55	14	22	0	1	5	0	97
	20.99	25.00	35.48	0.00	20.00	62.50	0.00	24.19
Somewhat agree	108	21	26	1	1	2	4	163
	41.22	37.50	41.94	50.00	20.00	25.00	66.67	40.65
Somewhat disagree	65	17	13	1	2	1	2	101
	24.81	30.36	20.97	50.00	40.00	12.50	33.33	25.19
Strongly disagree	34	4	1	0	1	0	0	40

```
                  |     12.98       7.14       1.61       0.00      20.00       0.00       0.00 |      9.98
------------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |       262         56         62          2          5          8          6 |        401
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |     100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49A by marstat

```
When faced with a |
        difficult |
        decision, |
      politicians |
should follow the |                          Marital Status
     advice of re |   Married  Separated   Divorced    Widowed     Single  Domestic  |     Total
------------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        60          1         12          0         19          4 |        96
                  |     22.81      25.00      29.27       0.00      33.33      22.22 |     24.00
------------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |       113          1         16          9         16          8 |       163
                  |     42.97      25.00      39.02      52.94      28.07      44.44 |     40.75
------------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        65          0         10          5         15          6 |       101
                  |     24.71       0.00      24.39      29.41      26.32      33.33 |     25.25
------------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        25          2          3          3          7          0 |        40
                  |      9.51      50.00       7.32      17.65      12.28       0.00 |     10.00
------------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |       263          4         41         17         57         18 |       400
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49A by gender

```
When faced with a |
        difficult |
        decision, |
      politicians |
should follow the |        Gender
     advice of re |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        45         52 |        97
                  |     23.94      24.41 |     24.19
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        73         90 |       163
                  |     38.83      42.25 |     40.65
------------------+----------------------+----------
```

```
Somewhat disagree |        45         56 |       101
                  |     23.94      26.29 |     25.19
------------------+----------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        25         15 |        40
                  |     13.30       7.04 |      9.98
------------------+----------------------+----------
            Total |       188        213 |       401
                  |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49A by locate

```
When faced with a |
        difficult |
        decision, |
      politicians |
should follow the |          Community size
     advice of re |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
------------------+----------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        27         45         25 |        97
                  |     30.00      20.55      27.47 |     24.25
------------------+----------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        38         94         31 |       163
                  |     42.22      42.92      34.07 |     40.75
------------------+----------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        18         60         23 |       101
                  |     20.00      27.40      25.27 |     25.25
------------------+----------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |         7         20         12 |        39
                  |      7.78       9.13      13.19 |      9.75
------------------+----------------------------------+----------
            Total |        90        219         91 |       400
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49A by metro

```
When faced with a |
        difficult |
        decision, |
      politicians |
should follow the |                   Metropolitan area
     advice of re | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        14         28         11         12         32 |        97
                  |     18.67      23.14      25.58      30.00      26.67 |     24.31
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        31         47         20         18         46 |       162
```

```
                  |     41.33      38.84      46.51      45.00      38.33 |     40.60
------------------+----------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        21         30         10          7         32 |       100
                  |     28.00      24.79      23.26      17.50      26.67 |     25.06
------------------+----------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |         9         16          2          3         10 |        40
                  |     12.00      13.22       4.65       7.50       8.33 |     10.03
------------------+----------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        75        121         43         40        120 |       399
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q49B. Gut instinct as good as the advice of scientists

```
. tab2 Q49B libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49B by libcon

Most of the time, |
 instinct and gut |
    reactions are |
  just as good as |                                  Ideology
  the advice of s | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         1          2          1         15          5         12         17 |        53
                  |      5.56       5.88       2.94      14.56       7.94      15.00      27.42 |     13.45
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |         0          6          4         37         32         42         25 |       146
                  |      0.00      17.65      11.76      35.92      50.79      52.50      40.32 |     37.06
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |         4         13         13         32         17         18         11 |       108
                  |     22.22      38.24      38.24      31.07      26.98      22.50      17.74 |     27.41
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        13         13         16         19          9          8          9 |        87
                  |     72.22      38.24      47.06      18.45      14.29      10.00      14.52 |     22.08
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        18         34         34        103         63         80         62 |       394
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q49B by pid7

Most of the time, |
 instinct and gut |
    reactions are |
  just as good as |                                  7 point Party ID
```

the advice of s	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	12	3	2	4	8	1	21	0	51
	12.00	11.11	7.41	13.33	14.55	3.45	19.09	0.00	13.21
Somewhat agree	26	7	5	5	26	16	57	3	145
	26.00	25.93	18.52	16.67	47.27	55.17	51.82	37.50	37.56
Somewhat disagree	23	10	11	13	17	9	19	2	104
	23.00	37.04	40.74	43.33	30.91	31.03	17.27	25.00	26.94
Strongly disagree	39	7	9	8	4	3	13	3	86
	39.00	25.93	33.33	26.67	7.27	10.34	11.82	37.50	22.28
Total	100	27	27	30	55	29	110	8	386
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by Q22

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	9	15	18	11	53
	15.00	10.20	21.95	10.38	13.42
Somewhat agree	31	31	34	51	147
	51.67	21.09	41.46	48.11	37.22
Somewhat disagree	14	44	19	31	108
	23.33	29.93	23.17	29.25	27.34
Strongly disagree	6	57	11	13	87
	10.00	38.78	13.41	12.26	22.03
Total	60	147	82	106	395
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by educ

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as	Education

the advice of s	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	3	26	8	4	10	2	53
	23.08	23.64	7.69	7.55	12.05	6.25	13.42
Somewhat agree	8	49	33	24	27	6	147
	61.54	44.55	31.73	45.28	32.53	18.75	37.22
Somewhat disagree	0	25	35	14	23	11	108
	0.00	22.73	33.65	26.42	27.71	34.38	27.34
Strongly disagree	2	10	28	11	23	13	87
	15.38	9.09	26.92	20.75	27.71	40.62	22.03
Total	13	110	104	53	83	32	395
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by attend

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	16	11	4	20	2	53
	17.98	14.86	9.52	17.86	2.70	13.55
Somewhat agree	39	31	19	34	22	145
	43.82	41.89	45.24	30.36	29.73	37.08
Somewhat disagree	22	19	11	29	26	107
	24.72	25.68	26.19	25.89	35.14	27.37
Strongly disagree	12	13	8	29	24	86
	13.48	17.57	19.05	25.89	32.43	21.99
Total	89	74	42	112	74	391
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by race

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as | Race

the advice of s	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	33	9	7	2	0	0	2	0	53
	13.31	14.29	11.11	100.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	0.00	13.42
Somewhat agree	101	22	18	0	1	1	3	1	147
	40.73	34.92	28.57	0.00	20.00	20.00	37.50	100.00	37.22
Somewhat disagree	63	19	19	0	3	2	2	0	108
	25.40	30.16	30.16	0.00	60.00	40.00	25.00	0.00	27.34
Strongly disagree	51	13	19	0	1	2	1	0	87
	20.56	20.63	30.16	0.00	20.00	40.00	12.50	0.00	22.03
Total	248	63	63	2	5	5	8	1	395
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by marstat

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	37	1	4	5	6	0	53
	15.04	33.33	7.55	25.00	10.91	0.00	13.42
Somewhat agree	91	1	23	9	15	8	147
	36.99	33.33	43.40	45.00	27.27	44.44	37.22
Somewhat disagree	63	1	16	2	19	7	108
	25.61	33.33	30.19	10.00	34.55	38.89	27.34
Strongly disagree	55	0	10	4	15	3	87
	22.36	0.00	18.87	20.00	27.27	16.67	22.03
Total	246	3	53	20	55	18	395
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49B by gender

Most of the time, instinct and gut reactions are just as good as	Gender

```
  the advice of s |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        22         31 |        53
                  |     12.29      14.35 |     13.42
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        60         87 |       147
                  |     33.52      40.28 |     37.22
------------------+----------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        43         65 |       108
                  |     24.02      30.09 |     27.34
------------------+----------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        54         33 |        87
                  |     30.17      15.28 |     22.03
------------------+----------------------+----------
            Total |       179        216 |       395
                  |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q49B by locate

Most of the time, |
 instinct and gut |
    reactions are |
  just as good as |          Community size
  the advice of s |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        11         26         16 |        53
                  |     10.58      12.44      19.75 |     13.45
------------------+-------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        37         79         31 |       147
                  |     35.58      37.80      38.27 |     37.31
------------------+-------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        31         59         17 |       107
                  |     29.81      28.23      20.99 |     27.16
------------------+-------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        25         45         17 |        87
                  |     24.04      21.53      20.99 |     22.08
------------------+-------------------------------+----------
            Total |       104        209         81 |       394
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q49B by metro

Most of the time, |
 instinct and gut |
```

reactions are just as good as the advice of s	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	14	18	4	2	15	53
	16.67	14.29	11.43	5.56	13.16	13.42
Somewhat agree	30	46	13	12	46	147
	35.71	36.51	37.14	33.33	40.35	37.22
Somewhat disagree	25	36	12	13	22	108
	29.76	28.57	34.29	36.11	19.30	27.34
Strongly disagree	15	26	6	9	31	87
	17.86	20.63	17.14	25.00	27.19	22.03
Total	84	126	35	36	114	395
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q49C. Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence

```
. tab2 Q49C libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49C by libcon
```

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	3	0	1	17	7	22	32	82
	12.00	0.00	3.12	17.17	12.07	26.83	52.46	21.30
Somewhat agree	1	4	5	31	26	39	13	119
	4.00	14.29	15.62	31.31	44.83	47.56	21.31	30.91
Somewhat disagree	1	9	11	28	19	15	11	94
	4.00	32.14	34.38	28.28	32.76	18.29	18.03	24.42
Strongly disagree	20	15	15	23	6	6	5	90
	80.00	53.57	46.88	23.23	10.34	7.32	8.20	23.38
Total	25	28	32	99	58	82	61	385

```
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49C by pid7

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	14	5	1	7	16	4	32	0	79
	16.09	16.67	3.23	22.58	26.67	11.76	31.68	0.00	20.68
Somewhat agree	15	11	5	6	21	16	41	6	121
	17.24	36.67	16.13	19.35	35.00	47.06	40.59	75.00	31.68
Somewhat disagree	21	7	8	9	13	9	23	2	92
	24.14	23.33	25.81	29.03	21.67	26.47	22.77	25.00	24.08
Strongly disagree	37	7	17	9	10	5	5	0	90
	42.53	23.33	54.84	29.03	16.67	14.71	4.95	0.00	23.56
Total	87	30	31	31	60	34	101	8	382
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by Q22

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	17	18	28	19	82
	26.98	12.86	30.77	20.00	21.08
Somewhat agree	25	27	33	37	122
	39.68	19.29	36.26	38.95	31.36
Somewhat disagree	16	30	17	32	95
	25.40	21.43	18.68	33.68	24.42
Strongly disagree	5	65	13	7	90
	7.94	46.43	14.29	7.37	23.14

Total	63	140	91	95	389
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by educ

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Education						Total
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	
Strongly agree	5	32	23	9	12	1	82
	38.46	27.35	21.90	21.43	14.81	3.23	21.08
Somewhat agree	2	39	30	18	23	10	122
	15.38	33.33	28.57	42.86	28.40	32.26	31.36
Somewhat disagree	2	28	28	9	18	10	95
	15.38	23.93	26.67	21.43	22.22	32.26	24.42
Strongly disagree	4	18	24	6	28	10	90
	30.77	15.38	22.86	14.29	34.57	32.26	23.14
Total	13	117	105	42	81	31	389
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by attend

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Religion participation					Total
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	
Strongly agree	33	25	6	10	6	80
	45.83	29.41	13.04	9.62	7.79	20.83
Somewhat agree	23	28	25	32	13	121
	31.94	32.94	54.35	30.77	16.88	31.51
Somewhat disagree	11	21	11	34	16	93
	15.28	24.71	23.91	32.69	20.78	24.22

Strongly disagree	5	11	4	28	42	90
	6.94	12.94	8.70	26.92	54.55	23.44
Total	72	85	46	104	77	384
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by race

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Race						Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Mixed	Other	
Strongly agree	50	13	14	3	2	0	82
	20.16	23.21	21.88	100.00	25.00	0.00	21.08
Somewhat agree	82	18	16	0	0	6	122
	33.06	32.14	25.00	0.00	0.00	60.00	31.36
Somewhat disagree	57	19	16	0	1	2	95
	22.98	33.93	25.00	0.00	12.50	20.00	24.42
Strongly disagree	59	6	18	0	5	2	90
	23.79	10.71	28.12	0.00	62.50	20.00	23.14
Total	248	56	64	3	8	10	389
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49C by marstat

Faith is a better guide than scientific evidence on most important questions.	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	56	2	9	4	10	1	82
	24.03	33.33	16.07	28.57	17.86	4.35	21.13
Somewhat agree	75	2	18	5	16	6	122
	32.19	33.33	32.14	35.71	28.57	26.09	31.44
Somewhat disagree	62	0	15	2	9	7	95

```
                  |     26.61       0.00      26.79      14.29      16.07      30.43 |     24.48
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        40          2         14          3         21          9 |        89
                  |     17.17      33.33      25.00      21.43      37.50      39.13 |     22.94
------------------+----------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |       233          6         56         14         56         23 |       388
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49C by gender

```
Faith is a better |
       guide than |
       scientific |
 evidence on most |
        important |        Gender
       questions. |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        35         47 |        82
                  |     19.23      22.71 |     21.08
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        55         67 |       122
                  |     30.22      32.37 |     31.36
------------------+----------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        44         51 |        95
                  |     24.18      24.64 |     24.42
------------------+----------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        48         42 |        90
                  |     26.37      20.29 |     23.14
------------------+----------------------+----------
            Total |       182        207 |       389
                  |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49C by locate

```
Faith is a better |
       guide than |
       scientific |
 evidence on most |
        important |          Community size
       questions. |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        14         40         27 |        81
                  |     12.96      20.51      31.76 |     20.88
------------------+--------------------------------+----------
```

```
   Somewhat agree |        34         61         27 |       122
                  |     31.48      31.28      31.76 |     31.44
-----------------+----------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        30         45         20 |        95
                  |     27.78      23.08      23.53 |     24.48
-----------------+----------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        30         49         11 |        90
                  |     27.78      25.13      12.94 |     23.20
-----------------+----------------------------------+----------
            Total |       108        195         85 |       388
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q49C by metro

Faith is a better |
       guide than |
       scientific |
 evidence on most |
        important |                   Metropolitan area
       questions. | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        14         27          8          7         23 |        79
                  |     18.92      26.47      21.62      13.46      19.01 |     20.47
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        24         35         14          6         43 |       122
                  |     32.43      34.31      37.84      11.54      35.54 |     31.61
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        25         20          9         13         28 |        95
                  |     33.78      19.61      24.32      25.00      23.14 |     24.61
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        11         20          6         26         27 |        90
                  |     14.86      19.61      16.22      50.00      22.31 |     23.32
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        74        102         37         52        121 |       386
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q49D. Scientists/Academics are not hostile to people of faith

```
. tab2 Q49D libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49D by libcon

   Scientists and |
academics are not |
```

hostile to people of faith.	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	10	19	12	18	1	6	7	73
	50.00	52.78	30.77	18.37	2.17	6.67	12.96	19.06
Somewhat agree	6	9	20	43	12	12	7	109
	30.00	25.00	51.28	43.88	26.09	13.33	12.96	28.46
Somewhat disagree	2	5	5	26	23	40	12	113
	10.00	13.89	12.82	26.53	50.00	44.44	22.22	29.50
Strongly disagree	2	3	2	11	10	32	28	88
	10.00	8.33	5.13	11.22	21.74	35.56	51.85	22.98
Total	20	36	39	98	46	90	54	383
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by pid7

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	36	4	11	4	7	4	4	0	70
	37.11	17.39	39.29	16.00	12.07	11.76	3.81	0.00	18.57
Somewhat agree	34	13	13	8	10	9	15	3	105
	35.05	56.52	46.43	32.00	17.24	26.47	14.29	42.86	27.85
Somewhat disagree	18	6	3	9	24	10	41	3	114
	18.56	26.09	10.71	36.00	41.38	29.41	39.05	42.86	30.24
Strongly disagree	9	0	1	4	17	11	45	1	88
	9.28	0.00	3.57	16.00	29.31	32.35	42.86	14.29	23.34
Total	97	23	28	25	58	34	105	7	377
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by Q22

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total

Strongly agree	6	53	2	12	73
	9.38	38.41	2.22	12.77	18.91
Somewhat agree	18	55	15	22	110
	28.12	39.86	16.67	23.40	28.50
Somewhat disagree	23	21	33	37	114
	35.94	15.22	36.67	39.36	29.53
Strongly disagree	17	9	40	23	89
	26.56	6.52	44.44	24.47	23.06
Total	64	138	90	94	386
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by educ

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	2	11	27	8	16	9	73
	22.22	10.48	23.48	17.78	20.51	26.47	18.91
Somewhat agree	2	33	34	13	20	8	110
	22.22	31.43	29.57	28.89	25.64	23.53	28.50
Somewhat disagree	2	34	28	15	24	11	114
	22.22	32.38	24.35	33.33	30.77	32.35	29.53
Strongly disagree	3	27	26	9	18	6	89
	33.33	25.71	22.61	20.00	23.08	17.65	23.06
Total	9	105	115	45	78	34	386
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by attend

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Religion participation					
	More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	6	13	6	25	23	73

	9.09	15.66	11.54	24.27	28.75	19.01
Somewhat agree	16	19	17	32	25	109
	24.24	22.89	32.69	31.07	31.25	28.39
Somewhat disagree	23	27	15	25	24	114
	34.85	32.53	28.85	24.27	30.00	29.69
Strongly disagree	21	24	14	21	8	88
	31.82	28.92	26.92	20.39	10.00	22.92
Total	66	83	52	103	80	384
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by race

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Race								Total
	White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	
Strongly agree	39	14	18	0	0	2	0	0	73
	15.85	27.45	25.00	0.00	0.00	22.22	0.00	0.00	18.91
Somewhat agree	59	20	25	2	1	3	0	0	110
	23.98	39.22	34.72	66.67	50.00	33.33	0.00	0.00	28.50
Somewhat disagree	80	11	18	0	0	4	0	1	114
	32.52	21.57	25.00	0.00	0.00	44.44	0.00	100.00	29.53
Strongly disagree	68	6	11	1	1	0	2	0	89
	27.64	11.76	15.28	33.33	50.00	0.00	100.00	0.00	23.06
Total	246	51	72	3	2	9	2	1	386
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49D by marstat

Scientists and academics are not hostile to people of faith.	Marital Status						Total
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	
Strongly agree	32	1	17	2	15	5	72
	13.62	20.00	32.69	13.33	25.86	25.00	18.70

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
   Somewhat agree |        56          1         19          3         22          9 |       110
                  |     23.83      20.00      36.54      20.00      37.93      45.00 |     28.57
-----------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        82          0         10          6         13          3 |       114
                  |     34.89       0.00      19.23      40.00      22.41      15.00 |     29.61
-----------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        65          3          6          4          8          3 |        89
                  |     27.66      60.00      11.54      26.67      13.79      15.00 |     23.12
-----------------+--------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |       235          5         52         15         58         20 |       385
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q49D by gender

   Scientists and |
academics are not |
hostile to people |        Gender
        of faith. |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
-----------------+----------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        32         41 |        73
                  |     16.93      20.81 |     18.91
-----------------+----------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        50         60 |       110
                  |     26.46      30.46 |     28.50
-----------------+----------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        54         60 |       114
                  |     28.57      30.46 |     29.53
-----------------+----------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        53         36 |        89
                  |     28.04      18.27 |     23.06
-----------------+----------------------+----------
            Total |       189        197 |       386
                  |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q49D by locate

   Scientists and |
academics are not |
hostile to people |          Community size
        of faith. |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
-----------------+---------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        27         39          7 |        73
                  |     29.03      17.97       9.21 |     18.91
-----------------+---------------------------------+----------
```

```
   Somewhat agree |        34         59         17 |       110
                  |     36.56      27.19      22.37 |     28.50
-----------------+----------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        13         77         24 |       114
                  |     13.98      35.48      31.58 |     29.53
-----------------+----------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        19         42         28 |        89
                  |     20.43      19.35      36.84 |     23.06
-----------------+----------------------------------+----------
            Total |        93        217         76 |       386
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

```
-> tabulation of Q49D by metro

   Scientists and |
academics are not |
hostile to people |                   Metropolitan area
        of faith. | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        11         26          8         10         18 |        73
                  |     13.75      22.81      20.51      24.39      16.36 |     19.01
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        28         31         10         13         28 |       110
                  |     35.00      27.19      25.64      31.71      25.45 |     28.65
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        22         33         15         10         33 |       113
                  |     27.50      28.95      38.46      24.39      30.00 |     29.43
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        19         24          6          8         31 |        88
                  |     23.75      21.05      15.38      19.51      28.18 |     22.92
-----------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        80        114         39         41        110 |       384
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q49E. Scientists/Academics are not concerned about moral implications of research

```
. tab2 Q49E libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49E by libcon

   Scientists and |
academics are not |
  concerned about |
        the moral |
```

implications of their	Ideology: Ext. Lib.	2	3	In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	3	4	5	14	20	34	40	120
	15.00	10.53	12.20	12.28	36.36	41.46	67.80	29.34
Somewhat agree	4	5	10	44	21	28	15	127
	20.00	13.16	24.39	38.60	38.18	34.15	25.42	31.05
Somewhat disagree	8	19	18	41	13	18	3	120
	40.00	50.00	43.90	35.96	23.64	21.95	5.08	29.34
Strongly disagree	5	10	8	15	1	2	1	42
	25.00	26.32	19.51	13.16	1.82	2.44	1.69	10.27
Total	20	38	41	114	55	82	59	409
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by pid7

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	7 point Party ID: Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	13	7	5	8	34	8	44	2	121
	13.40	19.44	14.29	23.53	50.00	26.67	45.83	22.22	29.88
Somewhat agree	28	11	7	14	15	13	35	2	125
	28.87	30.56	20.00	41.18	22.06	43.33	36.46	22.22	30.86
Somewhat disagree	34	14	18	9	18	8	12	5	118
	35.05	38.89	51.43	26.47	26.47	26.67	12.50	55.56	29.14
Strongly disagree	22	4	5	3	1	1	5	0	41
	22.68	11.11	14.29	8.82	1.47	3.33	5.21	0.00	10.12
Total	97	36	35	34	68	30	96	9	405
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by Q22

Scientists and academics are not

concerned about the moral implications of their	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	15	18	53	35	121
	31.91	11.54	50.96	33.33	29.37
Somewhat agree	18	40	32	39	129
	38.30	25.64	30.77	37.14	31.31
Somewhat disagree	10	64	17	29	120
	21.28	41.03	16.35	27.62	29.13
Strongly disagree	4	34	2	2	42
	8.51	21.79	1.92	1.90	10.19
Total	47	156	104	105	412
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by educ

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	9	45	28	10	25	4	121
	50.00	39.47	25.23	24.39	27.17	11.11	29.37
Somewhat agree	3	33	31	14	36	12	129
	16.67	28.95	27.93	34.15	39.13	33.33	31.31
Somewhat disagree	5	24	39	15	22	15	120
	27.78	21.05	35.14	36.59	23.91	41.67	29.13
Strongly disagree	1	12	13	2	9	5	42
	5.56	10.53	11.71	4.88	9.78	13.89	10.19
Total	18	114	111	41	92	36	412
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by attend

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	34	30	12	31	14	121
	47.22	35.71	23.08	26.05	16.87	29.51
Somewhat agree	19	33	17	35	24	128
	26.39	39.29	32.69	29.41	28.92	31.22
Somewhat disagree	17	15	12	43	32	119
	23.61	17.86	23.08	36.13	38.55	29.02
Strongly disagree	2	6	11	10	13	42
	2.78	7.14	21.15	8.40	15.66	10.24
Total	72	84	52	119	83	410
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by race

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Total
Strongly agree	87	11	14	3	1	1	4	121
	31.87	18.64	23.73	75.00	25.00	16.67	57.14	29.37
Somewhat agree	78	21	21	1	3	2	3	129
	28.57	35.59	35.59	25.00	75.00	33.33	42.86	31.31
Somewhat disagree	85	17	16	0	0	2	0	120
	31.14	28.81	27.12	0.00	0.00	33.33	0.00	29.13
Strongly disagree	23	10	8	0	0	1	0	42
	8.42	16.95	13.56	0.00	0.00	16.67	0.00	10.19
Total	273	59	59	4	4	6	7	412
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by marstat

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	93	1	11	4	11	1	121
	35.77	16.67	20.75	19.05	20.75	5.26	29.37
Somewhat agree	75	2	17	10	17	8	129
	28.85	33.33	32.08	47.62	32.08	42.11	31.31
Somewhat disagree	68	2	19	6	17	8	120
	26.15	33.33	35.85	28.57	32.08	42.11	29.13
Strongly disagree	24	1	6	1	8	2	42
	9.23	16.67	11.32	4.76	15.09	10.53	10.19
Total	260	6	53	21	53	19	412
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49E by gender

Scientists and academics are not concerned about the moral implications of their	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	68	53	121
	34.34	24.77	29.37
Somewhat agree	51	78	129
	25.76	36.45	31.31
Somewhat disagree	60	60	120
	30.30	28.04	29.13
Strongly disagree	19	23	42
	9.60	10.75	10.19

```
            Total |       198        214 |       412
                  |    100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q49E by locate

   Scientists and |
academics are not |
  concerned about |
        the moral |
  implications of |          Community size
            their |     Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        25         57         39 |       121
                  |     22.32      27.94      40.62 |     29.37
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        33         65         31 |       129
                  |     29.46      31.86      32.29 |     31.31
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        36         63         21 |       120
                  |     32.14      30.88      21.88 |     29.13
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        18         19          5 |        42
                  |     16.07       9.31       5.21 |     10.19
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
            Total |       112        204         96 |       412
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q49E by metro

   Scientists and |
academics are not |
  concerned about |
        the moral |
  implications of |                   Metropolitan area
            their | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        23         37          9          7        44 |       120
                  |     27.38      29.60      21.95      15.56     37.93 |     29.20
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        25         40         12         14        38 |       129
                  |     29.76      32.00      29.27      31.11     32.76 |     31.39
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        25         41         16         17        21 |       120
                  |     29.76      32.80      39.02      37.78     18.10 |     29.20
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        11          7          4          7        13 |        42
```

```
                  |     13.10       5.60       9.76      15.56      11.21 |     10.22
------------------+--------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        84        125         41         45        116 |       411
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q49F. University professors are liberal

```
. tab2 Q49F libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49F by libcon

  Most university |
   professors are |
 liberals who are |
trying to push an |                                   Ideology
   ideological ag | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         0          2          1         16         15         58         47 |       139
                  |      0.00       5.41       2.38      14.41      32.61      69.88      77.05 |     35.19
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |         2          2          1         32         21         22          9 |        89
                  |     13.33       5.41       2.38      28.83      45.65      26.51      14.75 |     22.53
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |         4         15         19         46          6          2          2 |        94
                  |     26.67      40.54      45.24      41.44      13.04       2.41       3.28 |     23.80
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |         9         18         21         17          4          1          3 |        73
                  |     60.00      48.65      50.00      15.32       8.70       1.20       4.92 |     18.48
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        15         37         42        111         46         83         61 |       395
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q49F by pid7

  Most university |
   professors are |
 liberals who are |
trying to push an |                                 7 point Party ID
   ideological ag | Strong De  Not very   Lean Demo  Independe  Lean Repu  Not very   Strong Re  None of t |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         6          1          0         11         42         12         64          0 |       136
                  |      6.52       4.17       0.00      36.67      68.85      36.36      63.37       0.00 |     34.87
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        12          6          3          8         13         14         28          5 |        89
```

	13.04	25.00	7.89	26.67	21.31	42.42	27.72	45.45	22.82
Somewhat disagree	41	9	15	7	4	3	8	5	92
	44.57	37.50	39.47	23.33	6.56	9.09	7.92	45.45	23.59
Strongly disagree	33	8	20	4	2	4	1	1	73
	35.87	33.33	52.63	13.33	3.28	12.12	0.99	9.09	18.72
Total	92	24	38	30	61	33	101	11	390
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by Q22

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party: The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total
Strongly agree	33	5	59	42	139
	47.14	3.47	74.68	40.00	34.92
Somewhat agree	25	18	18	31	92
	35.71	12.50	22.78	29.52	23.12
Somewhat disagree	9	62	1	22	94
	12.86	43.06	1.27	20.95	23.62
Strongly disagree	3	59	1	10	73
	4.29	40.97	1.27	9.52	18.34
Total	70	144	79	105	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by educ

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	7	42	34	14	26	16	139
	46.67	37.84	30.63	31.82	36.11	35.56	34.92
Somewhat agree	2	34	24	8	14	10	92

	13.33	30.63	21.62	18.18	19.44	22.22	23.12
Somewhat disagree	0	25	31	17	14	7	94
	0.00	22.52	27.93	38.64	19.44	15.56	23.62
Strongly disagree	6	10	22	5	18	12	73
	40.00	9.01	19.82	11.36	25.00	26.67	18.34
Total	15	111	111	44	72	45	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by attend

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Religion participation More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	25	31	17	43	22	138
	41.67	40.79	33.33	37.72	22.92	34.76
Somewhat agree	18	21	10	19	24	92
	30.00	27.63	19.61	16.67	25.00	23.17
Somewhat disagree	12	15	11	34	22	94
	20.00	19.74	21.57	29.82	22.92	23.68
Strongly disagree	5	9	13	18	28	73
	8.33	11.84	25.49	15.79	29.17	18.39
Total	60	76	51	114	96	397
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by race

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Race White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	103	5	18	2	1	2	7	1	139
	39.77	9.80	28.57	66.67	33.33	25.00	70.00	100.00	34.92
Somewhat agree	68	8	12	1	0	2	1	0	92

	26.25	15.69	19.05	33.33	0.00	25.00	10.00	0.00	23.12
Somewhat disagree	49	23	18	0	1	2	1	0	94
	18.92	45.10	28.57	0.00	33.33	25.00	10.00	0.00	23.62
Strongly disagree	39	15	15	0	1	2	1	0	73
	15.06	29.41	23.81	0.00	33.33	25.00	10.00	0.00	18.34
Total	259	51	63	3	3	8	10	1	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by marstat

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Marital Status						
	Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	101	3	9	9	15	2	139
	42.08	37.50	15.79	45.00	25.86	13.33	34.92
Somewhat agree	65	1	12	1	10	3	92
	27.08	12.50	21.05	5.00	17.24	20.00	23.12
Somewhat disagree	41	4	18	7	19	5	94
	17.08	50.00	31.58	35.00	32.76	33.33	23.62
Strongly disagree	33	0	18	3	14	5	73
	13.75	0.00	31.58	15.00	24.14	33.33	18.34
Total	240	8	57	20	58	15	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by gender

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Gender		
	Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	83	56	139
	44.86	26.29	34.92

Somewhat agree	37	55	92
	20.00	25.82	23.12
Somewhat disagree	30	64	94
	16.22	30.05	23.62
Strongly disagree	35	38	73
	18.92	17.84	18.34
Total	185	213	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by locate

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly agree	23	73	43	139
	21.30	37.06	46.24	34.92
Somewhat agree	24	44	24	92
	22.22	22.34	25.81	23.12
Somewhat disagree	34	44	16	94
	31.48	22.34	17.20	23.62
Strongly disagree	27	36	10	73
	25.00	18.27	10.75	18.34
Total	108	197	93	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49F by metro

Most university professors are liberals who are trying to push an ideological ag	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	25	39	15	10	50	139
	32.05	36.45	39.47	27.03	36.23	34.92

Somewhat agree	13	17	10	8	44	92
	16.67	15.89	26.32	21.62	31.88	23.12
Somewhat disagree	24	28	7	8	27	94
	30.77	26.17	18.42	21.62	19.57	23.62
Strongly disagree	16	23	6	11	17	73
	20.51	21.50	15.79	29.73	12.32	18.34
Total	78	107	38	37	138	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Q49G. Economists are conservative

```
. tab2 Q49G libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first
```

-> tabulation of Q49G by libcon

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Ext. Lib.	2	3	Ideology In the mi	5	6	Ext. Con.	Total
Strongly agree	4	2	5	12	4	3	9	39
	23.53	6.06	12.50	11.32	6.67	3.95	14.75	9.92
Somewhat agree	7	14	8	45	15	11	7	107
	41.18	42.42	20.00	42.45	25.00	14.47	11.48	27.23
Somewhat disagree	4	12	25	41	33	38	21	174
	23.53	36.36	62.50	38.68	55.00	50.00	34.43	44.27
Strongly disagree	2	5	2	8	8	24	24	73
	11.76	15.15	5.00	7.55	13.33	31.58	39.34	18.58
Total	17	33	40	106	60	76	61	393
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by pid7

Most economists |

are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	7 point Party ID								Total
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	
Strongly agree	16	8	3	3	6	1	3	0	40
	16.49	26.67	10.00	9.68	9.23	3.12	3.19	0.00	10.34
Somewhat agree	30	7	16	7	9	15	16	4	104
	30.93	23.33	53.33	22.58	13.85	46.88	17.02	50.00	26.87
Somewhat disagree	39	14	11	17	34	14	40	3	172
	40.21	46.67	36.67	54.84	52.31	43.75	42.55	37.50	44.44
Strongly disagree	12	1	0	4	16	2	35	1	71
	12.37	3.33	0.00	12.90	24.62	6.25	37.23	12.50	18.35
Total	97	30	30	31	65	32	94	8	387
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by Q22

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party				Total
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	
Strongly agree	4	23	4	9	40
	6.78	15.44	4.55	9.00	10.10
Somewhat agree	17	47	15	30	109
	28.81	31.54	17.05	30.00	27.53
Somewhat disagree	22	65	43	44	174
	37.29	43.62	48.86	44.00	43.94
Strongly disagree	16	14	26	17	73
	27.12	9.40	29.55	17.00	18.43
Total	59	149	88	100	396
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by educ

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Education: No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	3	13	14	3	6	1	40
	21.43	11.11	13.33	6.38	7.89	2.70	10.10
Somewhat agree	6	41	29	10	15	8	109
	42.86	35.04	27.62	21.28	19.74	21.62	27.53
Somewhat disagree	0	45	48	22	41	18	174
	0.00	38.46	45.71	46.81	53.95	48.65	43.94
Strongly disagree	5	18	14	12	14	10	73
	35.71	15.38	13.33	25.53	18.42	27.03	18.43
Total	14	117	105	47	76	37	396
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by attend

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	10	8	3	10	9	40
	13.89	11.27	6.82	8.85	9.78	10.20
Somewhat agree	18	15	13	29	33	108
	25.00	21.13	29.55	25.66	35.87	27.55
Somewhat disagree	38	26	20	56	32	172
	52.78	36.62	45.45	49.56	34.78	43.88
Strongly disagree	6	22	8	18	18	72
	8.33	30.99	18.18	15.93	19.57	18.37
Total	72	71	44	113	92	392
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

-> tabulation of Q49G by race

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Middle Ea	Total
Strongly agree	19	9	9	1	0	0	2	0	40
	7.39	15.79	15.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	10.10
Somewhat agree	70	15	20	0	1	3	0	0	109
	27.24	26.32	33.33	0.00	33.33	42.86	0.00	0.00	27.53
Somewhat disagree	115	29	23	0	1	2	4	0	174
	44.75	50.88	38.33	0.00	33.33	28.57	40.00	0.00	43.94
Strongly disagree	53	4	8	0	1	2	4	1	73
	20.62	7.02	13.33	0.00	33.33	28.57	40.00	100.00	18.43
Total	257	57	60	1	3	7	10	1	396
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49G by marstat

Most economists are conservatives who are trying to push an ideological agenda w	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	23	1	5	2	5	4	40
	9.09	12.50	9.80	11.11	10.64	21.05	10.10
Somewhat agree	61	5	17	6	17	3	109
	24.11	62.50	33.33	33.33	36.17	15.79	27.53
Somewhat disagree	117	2	24	8	15	8	174
	46.25	25.00	47.06	44.44	31.91	42.11	43.94
Strongly disagree	52	0	5	2	10	4	73
	20.55	0.00	9.80	11.11	21.28	21.05	18.43
Total	253	8	51	18	47	19	396

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

```
                   |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49G by gender

```
  Most economists |
are conservatives |
who are trying to |
          push an |
      ideological |        Gender
         agenda w |     Male
    Female
 |     Total
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         13         27 |         40
                  |       7.14      12.62 |      10.10
------------------+----------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |         38         71 |        109
                  |      20.88      33.18 |      27.53
------------------+----------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |         85         89 |        174
                  |      46.70      41.59 |      43.94
------------------+----------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |         46         27 |         73
                  |      25.27      12.62 |      18.43
------------------+----------------------+----------
            Total |        182        214 |        396
                  |     100.00     100.00 |     100.00
```

-> tabulation of Q49G by locate

```
  Most economists |
are conservatives |
who are trying to |
          push an |
      ideological |           Community size
         agenda w |      Urban   Suburban      Rural |     Total
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         12         20          8 |         40
                  |      12.63       9.62       8.70 |      10.13
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |         36         48         24 |        108
                  |      37.89      23.08      26.09 |      27.34
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |         35        101         38 |        174
                  |      36.84      48.56      41.30 |      44.05
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
```

```
Strongly disagree |        12         39         22 |        73
                  |     12.63      18.75      23.91 |     18.48
------------------+---------------------------------+----------
            Total |        95        208         92 |       395
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00


-> tabulation of Q49G by metro

  Most economists |
are conservatives |
who are trying to |
          push an |
      ideological |                   Metropolitan area
         agenda w | Houston    Dallas-FW   San Antonio   Austin     Other |     Total
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |        11         13          3          2         11 |        40
                  |     15.07      10.92       7.69       5.26       8.80 |     10.15
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Somewhat agree |        13         27         12         13         43 |       108
                  |     17.81      22.69      30.77      34.21      34.40 |     27.41
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Somewhat disagree |        36         54         18         16         49 |       173
                  |     49.32      45.38      46.15      42.11      39.20 |     43.91
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
Strongly disagree |        13         25          6          7         22 |        73
                  |     17.81      21.01      15.38      18.42      17.60 |     18.53
------------------+-------------------------------------------------------+----------
            Total |        73        119         39         38        125 |       394
                  |    100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00     100.00 |    100.00
```

Q49H. Scientists are liberal

```
. tab2 Q49H libcon pid7 Q22 educ attend race marstat gender locate metro, col nokey first

-> tabulation of Q49H by libcon

  Most scientists |
 are liberals who |
    are trying to |
          push an |
      ideological |                                  Ideology
    agenda with t | Ext. Lib.          2          3  In the mi          5          6  Ext. Con. |     Total
------------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------+----------
   Strongly agree |         0          1          1         12          6         43         30 |        93
```

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample

	0.00	2.33	2.86	11.21	11.32	51.81	49.18	23.19
Somewhat agree	2	3	3	33	28	31	23	123
	10.53	6.98	8.57	30.84	52.83	37.35	37.70	30.67
Somewhat disagree	4	12	14	45	16	7	5	103
	21.05	27.91	40.00	42.06	30.19	8.43	8.20	25.69
Strongly disagree	13	27	17	17	3	2	3	82
	68.42	62.79	48.57	15.89	5.66	2.41	4.92	20.45
Total	19	43	35	107	53	83	61	401
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by pid7

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	7 point Party ID								
	Strong De	Not very	Lean Demo	Independe	Lean Repu	Not very	Strong Re	None of t	Total
Strongly agree	4	2	1	4	23	8	48	3	93
	3.96	6.90	3.85	11.76	40.35	24.24	44.86	27.27	23.37
Somewhat agree	21	7	1	6	20	17	45	4	121
	20.79	24.14	3.85	17.65	35.09	51.52	42.06	36.36	30.40
Somewhat disagree	22	13	16	19	11	8	12	3	104
	21.78	44.83	61.54	55.88	19.30	24.24	11.21	27.27	26.13
Strongly disagree	54	7	8	5	3	0	2	1	80
	53.47	24.14	30.77	14.71	5.26	0.00	1.87	9.09	20.10
Total	101	29	26	34	57	33	107	11	398
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by Q22

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Vote for Tea party if it were a political party			
	The Repub	The Democ	The Tea P	Don't kno	Total

Strongly agree	25	5	40	25	95
	42.37	3.50	43.48	22.52	23.46
Somewhat agree	21	24	32	47	124
	35.59	16.78	34.78	42.34	30.62
Somewhat disagree	10	47	17	30	104
	16.95	32.87	18.48	27.03	25.68
Strongly disagree	3	67	3	9	82
	5.08	46.85	3.26	8.11	20.25
Total	59	143	92	111	405
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by educ

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Education						
	No HS	High scho	Some coll	2-year	4-year	Post-grad	Total
Strongly agree	3	35	21	12	18	6	95
	20.00	29.41	19.63	26.09	21.69	17.14	23.46
Somewhat agree	6	46	32	10	22	8	124
	40.00	38.66	29.91	21.74	26.51	22.86	30.62
Somewhat disagree	1	25	25	19	24	10	104
	6.67	21.01	23.36	41.30	28.92	28.57	25.68
Strongly disagree	5	13	29	5	19	11	82
	33.33	10.92	27.10	10.87	22.89	31.43	20.25
Total	15	119	107	46	83	35	405
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by attend

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an

ideological agenda with t	Religion participation: More than	Once a we	A few tim	Once or t	Never	Total
Strongly agree	21	32	11	22	8	94
	31.34	38.55	24.44	18.97	9.09	23.56
Somewhat agree	30	22	15	32	22	121
	44.78	26.51	33.33	27.59	25.00	30.33
Somewhat disagree	13	18	6	41	26	104
	19.40	21.69	13.33	35.34	29.55	26.07
Strongly disagree	3	11	13	21	32	80
	4.48	13.25	28.89	18.10	36.36	20.05
Total	67	83	45	116	88	399
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by race

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Race: White / B	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Am	Mixed	Other	Total
Strongly agree	63	6	21	1	1	1	2	95
	24.90	11.76	27.27	50.00	50.00	7.69	28.57	23.46
Somewhat agree	92	12	16	1	0	1	2	124
	36.36	23.53	20.78	50.00	0.00	7.69	28.57	30.62
Somewhat disagree	55	19	22	0	1	6	1	104
	21.74	37.25	28.57	0.00	50.00	46.15	14.29	25.68
Strongly disagree	43	14	18	0	0	5	2	82
	17.00	27.45	23.38	0.00	0.00	38.46	28.57	20.25
Total	253	51	77	2	2	13	7	405
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by marstat

Most scientists are liberals who

are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Marital Status: Married	Separated	Divorced	Widowed	Single	Domestic	Total
Strongly agree	65	3	6	10	9	2	95
	26.97	37.50	11.32	55.56	15.00	8.33	23.51
Somewhat agree	87	3	11	2	16	5	124
	36.10	37.50	20.75	11.11	26.67	20.83	30.69
Somewhat disagree	58	2	17	3	17	7	104
	24.07	25.00	32.08	16.67	28.33	29.17	25.74
Strongly disagree	31	0	19	3	18	10	81
	12.86	0.00	35.85	16.67	30.00	41.67	20.05
Total	241	8	53	18	60	24	404
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by gender

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Gender: Male	Female	Total
Strongly agree	47	48	95
	24.87	22.22	23.46
Somewhat agree	58	66	124
	30.69	30.56	30.62
Somewhat disagree	48	56	104
	25.40	25.93	25.68
Strongly disagree	36	46	82
	19.05	21.30	20.25
Total	189	216	405
	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by locate

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Community size: Urban	Suburban	Rural	Total
Strongly agree	18	47	30	95
	16.51	22.82	33.33	23.46
Somewhat agree	34	56	34	124
	31.19	27.18	37.78	30.62
Somewhat disagree	30	55	19	104
	27.52	26.70	21.11	25.68
Strongly disagree	27	48	7	82
	24.77	23.30	7.78	20.25
Total	109	206	90	405
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

-> tabulation of Q49H by metro

Most scientists are liberals who are trying to push an ideological agenda with t	Metropolitan area: Houston	Dallas-FW	San Antonio	Austin	Other	Total
Strongly agree	12	32	10	6	34	94
	16.90	28.32	25.00	13.95	25.00	23.33
Somewhat agree	23	24	13	15	48	123
	32.39	21.24	32.50	34.88	35.29	30.52
Somewhat disagree	19	28	11	9	37	104
	26.76	24.78	27.50	20.93	27.21	25.81
Strongly disagree	17	29	6	13	17	82
	23.94	25.66	15.00	30.23	12.50	20.35
Total	71	113	40	43	136	403
	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

Field Dates: October 15-21, 2012
N=800 Adults
Margin of error: +/- 3.46% for full sample